

Quantitative Trait *Loci* and Candidate Genes associated with Freezing Tolerance of Winter Triticale (*× Triticosecale* Wittmack)

Iwona Wąsek

Uniwersytet Pedagogiczny im Komisji Edukacji Narodowej w Krakowie

Mateusz Dydą

Uniwersytet Pedagogiczny im Komisji Edukacji Narodowej w Krakowie

Gabriela Julia Golebiowska (✉ gabriela.golebiowska@up.krakow.pl)

Uniwersytet Pedagogiczny im Komisji Edukacji Narodowej w Krakowie <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2038-282X>

Mirosław Tyrka

Uniwersytet Rzeszowski Wydział Biologiczno-Rolniczy

Marcin Rapacz

Uniwersytet Rolniczy im Hugona Kołłątaja w Krakowie: Uniwersytet Rolniczy im Hugona Kollataja w Krakowie

Magdalena Szechyńska-Hebda

Instytut Fizjologii Roślin imienia Franciszka Górskiego PAN: Instytut Fizjologii Roslin imienia Franciszka Gorskiego Polskiej Akademii Nauk

Maria Wędzony

Uniwersytet Pedagogiczny im Komisji Edukacji Narodowej w Krakowie

Research Article

Keywords: freezing tolerance, plant acclimation, genetic map, QTL, chlorophyll fluorescence, transmembrane proteins, regulation of gene expression, cereals

Posted Date: June 24th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-624103/v1>

License: (cc) (i) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Applied Genetics on September 7th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-021-00660-1>.

Abstract

Freezing tolerance of triticale is a major trait contributing to its winter hardiness. The identification of genomic regions – quantitative trait *loci* (QTLs) and molecular markers associated with freezing tolerance in winter hexaploid triticale was the aim of this study. For that purpose a new genetic linkage map was developed for the population of 92 doubled haploid lines derived from ‘Hewo’ × ‘Magnat’ F₁ hybrid. Those lines, together with parents were subjected to freezing tolerance test three times during two winter seasons. Plants were grown and cold-hardened under natural fall/winter conditions and then subjected to freezing in controlled conditions. Freezing tolerance was assessed as the plants recovery (REC), the electrolyte leakage (EL) and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters (JIP) after freezing. Three consistent QTLs for several fluorescence parameters, electrolyte leakage and the percentage of the survived plants were identified with composite interval mapping (CIM) and single marker analysis (SMA). The first locus Qfr.hm-7A.1 explained 9 % of variation of both electrolyte leakage and plants recovery after freezing. Two QTLs explaining up to 12 % of variation in plants recovery and shared by selected chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were found on 4R and 5R chromosomes. Finally, main locus Qchl.hm-5A.1 was detected for chlorophyll fluorescence parameters that explained up to 19.6 % of phenotypic variation. The common QTLs located on chromosomes 7A.1, 4R and 5R, clearly indicated physiological and genetic relationship of the plant survival after freezing with the ability to maintain optimal photochemical activity of the photosystem II and preservation of the cell membranes integrity. The genes located *in silico* in the identified QTLs include those encoding transmembrane helix proteins like potassium channel and phosphoric ester hydrolase involved in response to osmotic stress as well as proteins involved in the regulation of the gene expression, chloroplast RNA processing and pyrimidine salvage pathway. Additionally, our results confirm that the JIP test is a valuable tool to evaluate freezing tolerance of triticale under unstable winter environments.

Introduction

Freezing is one of the environmental stresses which can restrain agricultural production of winter crops, therefore increasing freezing tolerance is still important for breeding programs in cold and moderate climates. Many plant species including hexaploid winter triticale (*xTriticosecale* Wittm.) have developed a specific adaptive response which allows to stand low and freezing temperatures. During cold acclimation process, plants can increase their freezing tolerance after an exposition to low but non-freezing temperatures (Levitt 1980; Winfield et al. 2010; Janeczko et al. 2019). In natural conditions, this process is initiated by the decreasing temperature in late autumn which is complex phenomenon associated with many molecular, biochemical and physiological changes (Salinas 2002; Kaplan et al. 2004; Catala and Salinas 2008, Burbulis et al. 2011).

It has been previously reported that low temperature alters gene expression of a large number of genes encoding proteins could potentially contribute to plant freezing tolerance (Thomashow 1998; Kovi et al. 2016). Certain of transcriptional responses are common for most of plant species such as induced expression of vernalization genes, *CBF*-genes and *COR*-genes (Knox et al. 2008, 2010). Vernalization genes group contain a *VRN1*, *VRN2* and *VRN3* genes whereas *CBF*-genes group includes a set of tandemly duplicated *CBF* (C-repeat Binding Factors) transcription factors at the *FR2* (Frost Resistance 2) *locus* (Galiba et al. 2009). Additionally, *CBF* similarly to *COR*-genes enhance photosynthetic capacity which was reported in *A. thaliana* and *B. napus* photosynthetic capacity and freezing tolerance in response to temperature conditions (Kurepin et al. 2013).

Furthermore, accumulation of proteins encoded by *COR*-genes can lead to cell membrane stability under freezing conditions (NDong et al. 2002).

The major group of genes associated with cereal freezing tolerance have been reported and identified on the long arms of homeologous group 5 (Roberts 1990; Sutka 1994; Sutka and Snape 1989; Veisz and Sutka 1993; Kocsy 2010). Also, Danyluk et al. (1994) discovered that *wCOR410* and *wCOR719* genes expression was regulated by factors located on chromosome 5A. Another *locus*, *Fr-A1* on long arm of chromosome 5A was mapped in a close position to the previously described vernalization gene *Vrn-A1* (Galiba et al. 1995). The presence of *loci* controlling expression of *cor14b* gene was identified on the long arm of 5A in wheat by Vágújfalvi et al. (2000, 2003). Additionally, wheat chromosome 5D was proved to be involved in the regulation of freezing tolerance (Snape et al. 1997).

The measurement of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters proved to be the good method to evaluate the freezing damage of plants reflecting freezing damages of photosynthetic apparatus (Rizza et al. 2001; Rapacz et al. 2011, 2015b). Also the measurements of electrolyte leakage in freeze-damaged leaves are commonly used for estimation of freezing injury as freeze-thaw damages results in disintegration of plasma membranes (Dexter et al. 1932). However the results of chlorophyll fluorescence measurements, electrolyte-leakage test and plant survival count may give sometimes distinct results depending on environmental conditions (Rapacz et al. 2015a).

Thus, we hypothesized that different effects of freezing on plants may be, at least partially controlled by different genes. In our study we decided to use quantitative trait *loci* (QTLs) mapping technology performed on a newly developed genetic map for triticale to determine the number and to map position of *loci* of different measures of freezing tolerance such as: chlorophyll fluorescence parameters (JIP-test) after freezing, membrane integrity affected by freezing and plant recovery after field-laboratory freezing tests. It is known that interactions among *loci* or between genes/QTL and environment make a substantial contribution to variation in complex traits (Gupta et al. 2007). Additionally, we aimed to identify candidate genes located in genome regions associated with analyzed traits. Doubled haploid mapping population used in our study brought us an unique opportunity to perform experiments in numerous repeats to ensure sound quality of the results.

Materials And Methods

Plant material

The mapping population composed of 92 doubled haploid lines were derived from F₁ generation of a cross between two triticale cultivars: cv. 'Hewo' used as a female parent (Strzelce Plant Breeding Ltd.) and cv. 'Magnat' as the pollen parent (Danko Plant Breeding Ltd.). Both parental cultivars differed in tolerance to *Microdochium nivale* infection (Gołębiowska and Wędzony 2009), as well as in freezing tolerance in pre-tests (unpublished data). The DH 'Hewo x Magnat' lines population was developed by the androgenesis in the anther culture according to the method described by Wędzony et al. (2003).

Genetic linkage map

The genomic DNA was isolated from young triticale leaves according to Milligan (1992) method. Total DNA purity and integrity were tested on the agarose gels while its quantity was measured spectrophotometrically.

Samples were genotyped in the Diversity Arrays Technology Pty Ltd (DArT P/L, Australia, www.diversityarrays.com) to detect different types of DNA variation (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism, indel, and methylation) and to search for diagnostic markers. Using JoinMap 4 (Van Ooijen 2006) software, the segregation data were analyzed to group all markers with LOD value > 3.0. Afterwards, markers within these groups were ordered using the RECORD program (Van Os et al. 2005). The marker order was used to sort all markers within linkage groups and graphical genotypes were examined in Excel 2003. At this step, singletons were replaced by missing values in the dataset and calculations were repeated until no singletons were found (through three rounds). The distance between *loci* was calculated with the Kosambi function (Kosambi 1944). Finally, the final map has consisted of 680 DArT markers. Nomenclature of markers was synchronized with previously published map for partially overlapping set of lines (Tyrka et al. 2015). Consensus triticale DArT map was used for groups identification and orientation (Tyrka et al. 2018).

Simple sequence repeat (SSR) analyses comprised 56 markers that were polymorphic between the two parental lines. The selected 39 mapped SSRs included: 20 *wms*: *gwm46*, *gwm95*, *gwm126*, *gwm130*, *gwm136*, *gwm146*, *gwm149*, *gwm164*, *gwm169*, *gwm181*, *gwm275*, *gwm332*, *gwm335*, *gwm339*, *gwm368*, *gwm375*, *gwm388*, *gwm495*, *gwm499*, *gwm566* (Röder et al. 1998), 7 *scm*: *scm101*, *scm120*, *scm138*, *scm180*, *scm268*, *scm28*, *scm304* (Saal and Wricke 1999; Hackauf and Wehling 2002), 7 *wmc*: *wmc168*, *wmc219*, *wmc262*, *wmc289*, *wmc327*, *wmc434*, *wmc537*, *barc182*, *gdm109* and *gdm147* primers (Somers et al. 2004). Redundant markers were shortlisted in final genetic map, and single representative markers with the lowest number of missing data were left to represent the bin with a total number of binned markers given in brackets.

Freezing tolerance assessment with the field-laboratory method

All presented tests took place in Kraków, Poland (N 50.069014, E 19.845528) according to the Koch and Lehman (1966) method with minor modifications, in three independent experiments with three replicates each, in the autumn/ winter seasons. Three replicates were performed in a randomized complete block design in order to limit the error resulting from the marginal position of an individual genotype in the planting plastic boxes (30 cm x 38 cm x 9 cm). Kernels were sown in 13 rows of 10 kernels/genotype/ box. One row per each parental line was sown in variable positions in every box as a control. Total of 8 planting boxes /replicate, 24 boxes per each experiment were planted and analyzed.

Plants were grown and cold-hardened under natural fall/winter conditions in the open-air vegetation chamber. The temperature was monitored with an electronic weather station WS-3600-11, Technoline, Berlin, Germany and the mean values of daily temperatures are presented in Fig. 1. On the days indicated by arrows (Fig. 1), the exact number of growing seedlings was counted in each row, and the boxes with plants were moved to the freezing chamber where they were subjected to the cycle consisting of one day-long growth in -2 °C, followed by gradual temperature decrease (3 °C/h) down to -15 °C, and then stable for 6h. Later, the temperature was increased at the rate 3 °C/h to +2 °C. After reaching +2 °C, the boxes were transferred to an unheated glasshouse maintained at 10-15 °C, and the plants were cut 2 cm above the soil level. After three weeks, the number of surviving (regrowing) plants was established and the plant survival was expressed as a percentage of the survived plants from initially growing plants.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

Parameters of induction kinetics of chlorophyll *a* fluorescence in dark-adapted leaves (JIP-test) were measured on the middle section of the second fully expanded detached leaves with HandyPEA fluorimeter (Hansatech Kings Lynn, UK). On the days indicated by arrows in Fig. 1 (Experiments 1-3), before boxes with plants were put into the freezing chamber to perform freezing tests, 10-12 leaves were randomly collected from each DH line, approximately the same number of 3-4 leaves/ DH line from each of 3 replications. Since parental lines were present as a control in every box, parental samples consisted of approximately 26 leaves per each of 3 replications. Leaves were packed into polyethylene bags with a string closure and frozen in -15 °C for 6 hours with the protocol described by Rapacz et al. (2015a). Then the leaves were brought to room temperature and dark-adapted before measurements for 15 min in a leaf clip (Hansatech, Kings Lynn, UK). Chlorophyll *a* transient fluorescence was measured according to Rapacz (2007) with a light pulse intensity of 3500 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, and the pulse duration for 0.7 s with the fixed gain (1 \times). The following parameters of JIP-test were calculated and described as in Rapacz (2007): Absorbed energy flux per leaf cross-section (CS) and the single, active PSII reaction centre (RC) (ABS/CS, ABS/RC respectively); trapped energy flux in PSII reaction centers per leaf cross-section and the single, active PSII reaction centre (Tr_0/CS , Tr_0/RC , respectively); the energy flux for electron transport per leaf cross-section and the single, active PSII reaction centre (ET_0/CS , ET_0/RC , respectively); dissipation of energy in PSII reaction centers per leaf cross-section and the single, active PSII reaction centre (DI_0/CS , DI_0/RC , respectively); yield of the energy trapping in PSII (F_v/F_m); performance indexes of PSII normalized for equal absorption (PI_{ABS}) as well as PI , PI_{CSm} , PI_{CS0} , RC/CS_0 , ψ_0 , ϕE_0 , RC/CS_m .

Electrolyte leakage measurements

The electrolyte leakage considered as the test of plasma membrane damages was performed in accordance with Flint et al. (1967), two times during winter 2012/2013 (dates indicated by arrows on Fig. 1B, Experiments 2 and 3). In each series, measurements were made in 20 biological replicates for each genotype (1 leaf from different plant = 1 replicate). Each leaf was placed separately in a 20 cm^3 plastic tube filled with 5 cm^3 of deionized water. The material was frozen for 6 hours at -15 °C with the protocol described for chlorophyll fluorescence studies. After removing from the cold chamber, 10 cm^3 of deionized water was added to each tube and then the samples were shaken (ROTH, Linegal Chemicals Sp. z o.o) at room temperature for 24 hours. Then conductivity measurements (EL1) were performed in each tube using a conductivity meter type OK 102/1 (Radelkis). Probes were then frozen in liquid nitrogen for 2 minutes and again shaken at room temperature for 24 hours before the second electrical conductivity measurement (EL2). The EL % was calculated as: $\text{EL} = (\text{EL1}/\text{EL2}) \times 100\%$, where EL1= Primary electrolyte leakage after -15 °C treatment; EL2= Total electrolyte leakage after freezing in liquid nitrogen.

Statistical analysis

All the data were analyzed with Statistica 13.0 PL software (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Distribution of the data was checked using histograms and accompanied with a Shapiro-Wilk test. For JIP-test parameters, one-dimensional variance analysis was performed. Linear correlation coefficients (Pearson's) were calculated for each of three experiments separately on the basis of mean value of replicates per genotype: (1) between percentage of survived plants and every parameter of fluorescence; (2) between the fluorescence parameters and electrolyte leakage (Experiments 2 and 3). The regression line was presented with a 95% coefficient interval.

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis and candidate genes identification

To identify QTL regions associated with the analyzed traits, single-marker analysis (SMA) and composite interval mapping (CIM) methods were calculated with the Windows QTLCartographer software version 2.5 (Wang et al. 2012). SMA analysis fits the data to the simple linear regression model while CIM method in turn, determines the linkage between QTL and markers limiting the designated interval on the chromosome map. The threshold logarithms of the odds (LOD) scores were calculated based on 1000 permutations and 1 cM walk speed. A significant QTL was accepted with the LOD score > 2.0. The percentage of phenotypic variation was calculated with a single factor regression (R^2). The CIM and SMA analyses were performed separately for each experimental replication. Results of QTL analysis were visualized using CorelDRAW9 software.

The label of each identified QTL region was created from the short name of each parameter (ex. Qrec for recovery, survival; Qel for electrolyte leakage). For *loci* explained more than one trait, the general name was given (ex. Qfr for *loci* common for recovery, survival and electrolyte leakage; Qchl for *loci* containing analyzed chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters); Hewo × Magnat (hm), chromosome names (wheat A and B group, rye group R) and QTL number on the chromosome (1-4). Within the localized QTLs, candidate genes associated with the analyzed traits were identified *in silico* as described in Karbarz et al. (2020).

Results

Genetic map of the DH 'Hewo' x 'Magnat' population with SSR and DArT markers

A set of 92 DH lines derived from F_1 triticale plants that originated from a cross between cv. 'Hewo' and cv. 'Magnat' were used to create a new and unique genetic linkage map. Upon the multiple-mapping approaches, a total of 41 SSR and 680 diversity array technology (DArT) markers were ordered into 22 linkage groups assigned to the A, B, and R genomes (Table 1; Fig. S1 A, B, R; Table S1). Additionally, three chromosomes: 7A, 2B and 3B were represented by double linkage groups. All mapped DArT markers belonged to three groups *rPt*, *tPt*, and *wPt*, that were developed respectively from rye, triticale and wheat. Additionally, during the map construction a small number of markers were eliminated, mainly owing to a high percentage of missing data or a lack of linkage with established markers clusters at LOD value of 2.0. Finally, all remaining markers have covered a total of 1367.7 cM with a mean distance between two markers of 4.7 cM. Microsatellite markers allowed assignment of linkage groups to chromosomes of the A and B genomes of wheat and to five chromosomes of rye (1R, 3R, 4R, 5R, and 6R) (Table 1). Comparative analyses with triticale maps (Tyrka et al. 2011, 2015) and additional information on distribution of wheat DArT markers (series *wPt*) were provided by Diversity Arrays Technology Pty Ltd. and validated the assignment of linkage groups to rye chromosomes. The order of DArT and SSR markers that were used to develop this linkage map are presented in Supplementary Fig. S1 A, B and R and Supplementary Table S1. Details on the distribution of SSR and DArT markers across triticale genomes of Hewo x Magnat population showed the highest saturation of R genome with unique markers, whereas lower densities were identified for the A and B genomes. R genome was covered by 326 markers with total length of 290,4 cM and a mean distance between two unique markers of 2.7 cM, whereas 140 and 255 markers were distributed within genome A and B respectively, with total length of 460.8 and 616.5 cM and density 6.4 and 5.5 cM (Table 1; Table S1).

Freezing tolerance of plants cold acclimated under field conditions

From October to January of winter 2011/2012, plants of the Experiment 1 grew under an average temperature about +4 °C, mostly above 0 °C with few days decrease below (minimum in the average daily temperature to -6.3 °C in December) (Fig. 1A). The winter 2012/2013 was more severe. Plants of Experiment 2 grew under large fluctuations of the average temperature which was mostly above 0 °C in October and November, but below 0 °C in December and January, with minimum -12.3 °C (Fig. 1B). The period with an average temperature of approximately -2 °C started in December and continued until March, when temperature raised to about +2 °C just before the Experiment 3 measurements were performed (Fig. 1B).

The results of the freezing tolerance testing

The mean percentage of survived plants from three independent freezing test performed during winter 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 varied from 0 to 85% (Fig. 2). Transgressive segregation of plant recovery was observed in the evaluated population of DH lines. The smallest – 0% percentage of survived plants was observed for DH lines named HM DH 59 and the highest for DH lines - HM DH 22 and was equal 85%. Relatively high standard deviation for most DH lines clearly indicates that plants recovery strongly depend on weather conditions. Parent Hewo characterized of higher percentage of survived plants after freezing (56%) than parents named Magnat (48.5%).

PSII photochemical efficiency and membrane stability after freezing

Changes in photochemical efficiency under different stresses can be expressed by the chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters (Kurepin et al., 2013; Rapacz et al., 2004). In our study the photosynthesis efficiency during cold hardening of triticale plants was evaluated based on the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII) (F_v/F_m), the overall performance indexes of PSII (PI) and particular OJIP-test parameters which were recommended by Rapacz et al. (2015a) as a good tools to evaluate freezing tolerance (RC/CS_m , RC/CS_0 , TR_0/CS and ET_0/CS). For all evaluated chlorophyll fluorescence parameters transgressive segregation was observed in our mapping population. The values of each parameters were different and depend on DH lines. Detailed information were described in Table 2. Relatively high standard deviation of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters for parents may result from the high impact of various weather conditions. In general, the photosynthetic apparatus for Hewo was more active and functioned better than that for Magnat, resulting in significantly higher ABS/CS (characterizing light energy absorption), RC/CS_m (number of active reaction centers), F_v/F_m (maximum quantum yield of PSII) and PI (overall performance index of PSII photochemistry) also had trends for higher means in Hewo, though similar amounts of energy used for electron transport and amount of excitation energy trapped in PSII reaction centers (ET_0/CS and TR_0/CS , respectively) were for both parents. However, the dissipation of energy in PSII reaction centers (DI_0/CS and DI_0/RC) was higher for Magnat than Hewo.

The degree of damage to cell membranes under frost was determined by the measurement of electrolyte leakage (EL) from leaf tissues. The statistically significant differences between parents were not observed. The value of the electrolyte leakage for parental forms: Hewo and Magnat was 69.51% and 77.05%, respectively. The DH lines differed from values of electrolyte leakage. The transgression segregation was clearly highlighted: 65.2% of the DH line of the whole population was characterized by a lower statistically significant EL in relation to both Magnat and Hewo parental forms, while 10.9% of the DH line examined showed a statistically

significant EL value in relation to both parent forms. The min and max values of EL was equal 18.98% and 90.02%, respectively (Table 2).

Correlation between plant recovery, electrolyte leakage and chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters

A relationship was established between the average percentage of survived plants from three independent experiments and the mean values of the electrolyte leakage and JIP parameters. For that purpose, the Pearson r correlation was calculated between the individual parameters (Table 3). There was no statistically significant correlation between plant recovery and electrolyte leakage. In contrast, a relatively high positive correlation (0.34 to 0.62) was found between plant recovery (REC) and JIP parameters: F_v/F_m , Ψ_0 , ϕE_0 , PI, PI_{CS_0} , PI_{CS_m} , ABS/CS, TR_0/CS , ET_0/CS , ET_0/RC , RC/CS_0 , RC/CS_m and negative statistically significant correlation (-0.32 to -0.35) for ABS/RC, DI_0/RC , DI_0/CS . The obtained statistical significance correlations confirmed the appropriateness of the photochemical analysis of the photosystem II activity selection and its applicability in breeding practice for the selection of frost resistant lines. EL was significantly negatively correlated with the individual chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters: F_v/F_m , Ψ_0 and ϕE_0 . Positive correlation was statistically significant from 0.36 to 0.48 for the parameters: ABS/CS, DI_0/CS , TR_0/RC and DI_0/RC .

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis

QTL analysis identified several genomic regions involved in regulating plants recovery, chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and electrolyte leakage under three different winter conditions of cold acclimation (Fig. 1). Analysis performed with SMA and CIM method showed similar results for all traits, though more significant QTLs described in this paper were identified only by CIM calculations.

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) for plants recovery

Using CIM analysis, QTL regions for recovery (REC) expressed as percentage of survived plants were identified on chromosomes 7A.1, 1B, 2B.1, 4R and 5R (Table 4; Fig. 3). Additionally, all QTL regions identified by SMA method are shown in Table S2. The phenotypic variation explained by those QTLs ranged from 4.4 to 15.8 % depending on winter conditions and method used (Table 4; Table S2). The QTLs for percentage of survived plants evaluated in two experiments were co-located on chromosome 7A.1 and 1B.1 and named *Qfr.hm-7A.1* and *Qrec.hm-1B.1*, respectively (Table 4; Fig. 3). Those *loci* covered 27.5-57.2 cM distance for *Qfr.hm-7A.1* and explained up to 9.5 % of phenotypic variation. For second *locus*, *Qrec.hm-1B.1* CIM analysis showed only one marker *wPt-2725(4)* in Experiment 2 and one marker *wPt-5899(3)* in Experiment 3 (Table 4; Fig. 3). Those *loci* explained up to 8.8 % of phenotypic variation with the LOD value 2.5 for Experiment 3 (Table 4).

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) for electrolyte leakage

Electrolyte leakage (EL) was measured two times during winter 2012/2013. QTLs controlling EL after freezing, identified by CIM method were on chromosomes 7A.1, 7A.2, 4R and 5R (Table 4; Fig. 3). Additionally, all QTL regions identified by SMA method are shown in Table S3. The phenotypic variation explained by those QTLs ranged from 3.3 to 22.8 % depending on Experiment and method used (Table 4; Table S3). The *locus* *Qfr.hm-7A.1*, which was identified as associated with EL in Experiment 3 was also co-located with QTL identified for plants recovery on chromosome 7A.1. *Locus* *Qfr.hm-7A.1* explained 9.5 % of phenotypic variation observed for EL (Table 4) with LOD value 3.1 and was in similar position in cM to *loci* identified for plants recovery (Table 4).

Another *locus* identified on chromosome 7A, *Qel.hm-7A.2* was located in 8.9-19.9 cM distance and explained up to 13 % of phenotypic variation; it contained *loci* found in Experiment 2 and 3 (Table 4; Fig. 3). *Locus Qel.hm-4R.1* located in 9.8-16.5 cM distance on chromosome 4R was also identified in Experiment 2 and 3 (Table 4; Fig. 3). It explained up to 22.8 % of phenotypic variation with the LOD value 6.5 in Experiment 2 (Table 4). One *loci* identified in Experiment 3 was located on chromosome 5R and covered by *wmc289* to *rPt-506350(10)* markers (Table 4; Fig. 3). This *loci* explained 6.8 % of phenotypic variation (Table 4).

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) for chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters

QTLs for chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters identified by CIM method were located on chromosomes 4A, 5A, 5B, 4R and 5R (Table 5; Fig. 3). Additionally, QTLs identified by SMA method are shown in Tables S4 – S6. All identified QTLs contain *loci* identified for different chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters.

Locus Qchl.hm-4A.1 identified in a chromosome 4A 21.1 cM to 56.6 cM distance consisted QTLs for DI_0/RC and ET_0/RC and explained up to 10.6 % of phenotypic variation with the LOD value 2.5 (Table 5; Fig. 3). *Locus Qchl.hm-5A.1* covered by *wmc327.1* to *wPt-1370(2)* markers was identified for Fv/Fm , PI , ABS/CS , ET_0/CS and TR_0/CS in Experiment 2 and 3 (Table 5; Fig. 3). It explained up to 19.6 % of phenotypic variation with the LOD value up to 5.4 for TR_0/CS (Table 5). On chromosome 5B, *locus Qchl.hm-5B.1* was identified for PI , TR_0/CS and ABS/CS with the LOD value up to 3.9 for ABS/CS (Table 5; Fig. 3). *Locus Qchl.hm-4R.1* was identified on chromosome 4R for TR_0/CS measured in Experiment 2 and ABS/CS for Experiment 2 and 3 (Table 5; Fig. 3). *Loci* identified for ABS/CS and TR_0/CS measured in Experiment 2 were located in the same position (32.9 – 33.9 cM; Table 5). On chromosome 5R, *locus Qchl.hm-5R.1* was identified for PI , TR_0/CS and ABS/CS measured in Experiment 2 and TR_0/CS measured in Experiment 1 (Table 5; Fig. 3). This QTL explained up to 10.8 % of phenotypic variation with LOD value up to 3.2 (Table 5).

Candidate genes for analyzed traits

Seven candidate genes were *in silico* identified within QTLs found for plants recovery (REC), electrolyte leakage (EL) as well as trapped energy flux in PSII reaction centers per leaf cross-section (Tr_0/CS), the energy flux for electron transport per leaf cross-section (ET_0/CS) and absorbed energy flux per leaf cross-section (ABS/CS) traits (Tab. 6). Gene coding PPR protein involved in chloroplast RNA processing, modification and splicing was identified as associated with plants recovery (Tab. 6). Genes identified as associated with electrolyte leakage encode mRNA-binding protein BTR1-like, involved in regulation of gene expression as well as transmembrane cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel (Tab. 6). In turn, genes associated with chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters were chloroplastic uridine kinase-like protein 1 involved in the pyrimidine salvage pathway, uncharacterized LOC119301557 and phosphoinositide phosphatase SAC9 involved in stress signaling (Tab. 6).

Discussion

Recently, climate change has been disturbing the natural hardening process. Global warming caused by the increase in average temperature on Earth is reflected in climate instability and violent local breakdowns of the weather. This leads to the limitation of seamless adjustment of plant physiology to the prevailing conditions, including the course of winters (Rapacz et al. 2014). Thus an effective method to evaluate plant freezing tolerance and/or winter hardiness under unstable winter conditions is urgently needed. In our study, we observed

differences in plant recovery of DH 'Hewo x Magnat' lines population after freezing depending on the experiment condition. Furthermore we observed that differences in plant recovery between those DH lines were closely related to damages of photosynthetic apparatus measured by means of JIP analysis. The relationship between plant survival and photochemical efficiency of PSII under unstable winter conditions was confirmed earlier in wheat (Clement and van Hasselt 1996; Rapacz 2007), oat (Rizza et al. 2001), barley (Francia et al. 2004) and triticale (Rapacz et al. 2011, 2015a, b).

The most commonly reported fluorescence parameter for evaluating plant responses to abiotic stress is F_v/F_m which informs about the maximum photochemical PSII activity (Del Rosso et al. 2009). In the studied population, a high positive and statistically significant correlation (0.52) between plant survival and F_v/F_m was obtained. However there are many reports available showing that different parameters of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters followed by JIP tests could be used as the more effective indicators of freezing tolerance than F_v/F_m , especially when plants are well cold acclimated before the measurements, which was also reported for triticale (Rapacz et al. 2011, 2015a, b, 2017). In the present study, we confirmed high statistically significant correlation values of the remaining JIP parameters with plant survival. Similarly to Rapacz et al. (2015a) study, in our results on the JIP test, best correlating with plant survival were ET_0/CS and RC/CS_m parameters. Moreover, in our study, the dependence of plants ability to recover after freezing on freezing tolerance of photosynthetic apparatus was also reflected in the common locus of quantitative traits on chromosomes 4R and 5R, where many genes related with freezing tolerance were earlier identified.

The location of QTLs that control the photochemical efficiency of PSII on chromosome 5 group (5A, 5B and 5R) may indicate that this trait is partially controlled by the frost resistance genes. The main QTLs associated with photochemical activity of PSII were mapped on chromosome 5A at 58.7–78.1 cM, within the range of the major frost resistance *loci* *Fr-A1* and *Fr-A2* (Vágújfalvi et al. 2000, 2003). The QTL identified on chromosome 5A for chlorophyll fluorescence parameters may also contain the *vm1* gene (located in close proximity to the *Fr-A1* frost-resistance *locus*). This hypothesis may be supported by the fact that the *wPt-1370* marker located on chromosome 5A in DH Hewo x Magnat mapping population used in our study was reported as a marker in close proximity to the gene *vm1* on the genetic map developed for the wheat population Berkut / Krichauff (Genc et al. 2010). On chromosome 5A, the following genes have been also identified: *Cor14b*, *Cbf3* (Vágújfalvi et al. 2000, 2003; Miller et al. 2006), *Cbf14* and *Cbf15* (Båga et al. 2007). In addition, the *Cbf* genes: *TmCBF12* and *HvCbf14* recognized as candidate genes for frost resistance genes were also located on chromosome 5A at the locus labeled *Fr-A2* in wheat and respectively at the locus labeled *Fr-H2* in barley at the homeological chromosome 2H (Knox et al. 2008; Fricano et al. 2009). On the 5B wheat chromosome, genes associated with flowering and frost tolerance have been identified and the locus was labeled *Fr-B1* (Toth et al. 2003). These results clearly indicates an important role of 5 chromosome groups in the creating of plant freezing tolerance not only in wheat but also in triticale.

For the purpose of present QTL analysis, new genetic map of DH 'Hewo' x 'Magnat' lines population was developed. The unique set of SSR and DArT markers enabled to assign a total of 721 markers into 22 linkage groups of triticale. Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) used in our research is known as a tool used to construct genetic maps of many crop species (Wenzl et al. 2004). That microarray technology can provide the information of several thousand sequence-specific markers without sequence information (Jaccoud et al. 2001; Karbarz et al. 2020). In our research, the distribution of DArT and SSR markers showed the highest saturation of R genome

in contrast to the A and B genomes. Similar effect with the best coverage and highest density of R genome in triticale genetic maps were previously described by Tyrka et al. (2011) and Karbarz et al. (2020). Up to now, several genetic maps have been developed and reported QTL analysis in triticale (Alheit et al. 2011; Badea et al. 2011; González et al. 2005; Tyrka et al. 2011, 2015; Krzewska et al. 2012, 2015; Dyda et al. 2019; Karbarz et al. 2020).

Numerous QTLs associated with the most commonly analyzed F_v/F_m chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameter have been identified in association with various types of stress (Yang et al 2007; Liang et al 2010; Zhang et al. 2017; Czyczyło-Mysza et al. 2011; Kumar et al. 2012; Cheng et al. 2012; Czyczyło-Mysza et al. 2013; Bhusal et al. 2018; Dyda et al. 2019). QTLs for F_v/F_m under drought stress was identified in wheat on chromosome 5A (Czyczyło-Mysza et al. 2011; Liang et al. 2010). No correlation were observed in our study between plant recovery after freezing and electrolyte leakage from freezing-damaged leaf tissues of triticale. On the other hand, 10 QTLs related with the stability of cell membranes measured by electrolyte leakage from leaf tissues after freezing have been identified. The QTLs identified for freezing tolerance of photosynthetic apparatus was located on chromosomes inherited from wheat: 4A, 5A, 5B, and on rye chromosomes: 4R, 5R. QTLs on chromosomes 4A, 5A and 5B, two *loci* on chromosome 4R as well as *loci* on terminal arm of 5R chromosome were identified in both experiments conducted during winter 2012/2013. In our study *loci* related to cell membrane stability were identified on chromosome 7A, that is the same chromosome where Morgan and Tan (1996) identified QTL associated with osmoregulation in wheat. In rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress, QTL of the cell membranes stability were identified on chromosomes 1, 3, 7, 9, 11 and 12 (Tripathy et al. 2000) as well as chromosome 8 (Lilley et al. 1996; Tripathy et al. 2000). The literature also provides a lot of information on the genes that are expressed in response to the stress of drought and low temperature and regulate the condition of cell membranes (Danyluk et al. 1998; Nylander et al. 2001; Kocheva et al. 2014; Janeczko et al. 2019).

In our research we mainly focused on finding the molecular background of freezing tolerance based on the crosstalk between chlorophyll fluorescence measurements of photosynthetic apparatus freezing tolerance, cell membrane stability and plant recovery abilities after freezing. For these three components of plant freezing tolerance we found durable, strong, constant quantitative trait *loci* regardless on plant growth conditions. A total of 11 genomic regions (QTLs on 7A, 1B, 2B, 4R and 5R chromosomes) associated with plant survival after freezing were identified. Four of them were mapped in at least two experiments (7A, 1B and one locus on the end arm of 4R chromosome). In contrast, QTLs identified for one seasons can be considered as potential *loci* and / or *loci* specific to particular weather conditions. QTLs of plant survival after freezing identified on chromosome 1B confirmed previous results obtained for Norstar × Cappelle-Desprez DH population where *locus* of frost resistance was mapped (Chodaparambil 2009). Frost resistance *loci* in the DH Norstar × Cappelle-Desprez population were identified on chromosome 1B at 90–118 cM. In the present study, QTLs of plant recovery was located in a very similar position on chromosome 1B at position 89.9–93.3 cM. Similar to the results obtained for the Hewo × Magnat DH population, also some of the earliest studies have indicated that genes associated with wheat frost resistance are located on chromosomes 7A and 2B (Sutka 1981; 1994; Galiba et al. 2003) and additionally on chromosomes: 4B and 4D (Law and Jenkins 1970; Puchkov and Zhironov 1978; Sutka 1981; Roberts 1986). Chromosome groups 1, 2 and 7, indicated in this study as containing strong QTLs of plant survival regardless of environmental conditions, could mainly carry *loci* responsible for vernalization, flowering and photoperiod regulation, which only may indirectly affect cereal frost resistance (Galiba et al. 1995; Law and

Worland 1997; Mahfoozi et al. 2000; Skinner et al. 2004). Genes related to the vernalization process are located, for example, on the wheat group 1 homeologous chromosome (*vrn3* gene) (Law and Worland 1997) and on barley 7 chromosomes (*sh2* gene) (Pan et al. 1994; Galiba et al. 1995) and on the 7 rye chromosome (Plaschke et al. 1993; Galiba et al. 1995). In the presented study QTL of plant survival after freezing was located exclusively on one of the chromosomes of 5 group, rye chromosome (5R). The reason why the *locus* responsible for plant survival was not mapped on chromosome 5A in the studied population is probably the lack of variation between parents in this region. Moreover, in triticale the absence of important freezing tolerance *loci* on wheat chromosome 5D are reported to be compensated by 5R loci.

On chromosome 5R many authors have identified genes of freezing tolerance described as CBF family, closely related to the *locus* corresponding to the *locus Fr2* on homeologous chromosomes of the 5 group in barley, diploid and hexaploid wheat and meadow fescue (Francia et al. 2007; Baga et al. 2007; Knox et al. 2008). Campoli et al. (2009) also identified 12 different *Cbf* family genes on the long arm of the 5R chromosome. *Loci ScCbf* (*ScCbf2*, *ScCbf6*, *ScCbf9b*, *ScCbf12*, *ScCbf15*, *ScLce2*, *ScDhn3*) were mapped on chromosome 5R in similar positions to the frost resistance *loci Fr-H2 / Fr-am2* in barley and diploid wheat, respectively (Li et al. 2011a, b). The described *Cbf* family genes (Campoli et al. 2009; Li et al. 2011a, b) were located on the 5R chromosome in similar position as *Qrec.hm-5R.1* identified in our study. Campoli et al. (2009) clearly showed that the expression of *Cbf* family genes is closely related to the temperature during the acclimation process and the measurement time. This hypothesis could explain why this QTL was identified in our study only in Experiment 3.

In the evaluated Hewo × Magnat DH population, common QTLs for plant survival, membrane stability and photochemical PSII efficiency were identified on rye chromosomes 4R and 5R. Common QTLs for these parameters could indicate the partially general genetic background responsible for the survival of whole plants and the protection of their photosynthetic apparatus after freezing. The collinearity of the QTLs for photochemical PSII efficiency, membrane stability and plant survival may be due to the fact that common genes can control the effectiveness of photosynthesis under stress conditions and affect protection during acclimatization or to aid plant regeneration after stress. The involvement of such mechanisms can be jointly controlled at the genome level, and even the efficiency of the photosynthetic apparatus can directly be responsible for the ability of plant to survive freezing. On the other hand, the incomplete correlation between the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, membrane stability and the plant survival and the lack of collinearity of many QTLs obtained between these parameters suggest that not all physiological mechanisms were indicated and plant recovery, membrane stability and photosynthetic efficiency of PSII under stress conditions in many aspects are controlled by different genes.

Our results of QTL and gene identification strongly indicate physiological and genetic relationship of the plant survival after freezing with the ability to maintain optimal photochemical activity of the photosystem II and preservation of the cell membranes integrity. For plants recovery after freezing stress, gene coding pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein At4g18520, involved in chloroplast RNA processing, modification and splicing was identified. Such a result indicates a significant role of chloroplast genes in winter triticale seedlings frost survival. Other authors research, conducted in *Arabidopsis thaliana* showed that protein At4g18520 may be required for proper chloroplast development, the regulation of the plastid gene expression probably through regulation of plastid-encoded polymerase (PEP) dependent chloroplast transcription, for RNA

editing of several chloroplastic transcripts (especially *accD* transcripts), for processing of the chloroplastic *rpoA* pre-mRNA as well as for the monocistronic *rpoA* transcript processing (Yin et al. 2012).

In turn, genes identified as associated with chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters were chloroplastic uridine kinase-like protein 1, uncharacterized LOC119301557 and phosphoinositide phosphatase SAC9. Uridine kinase is plasma membrane protein, involved in CTP and UMP salvage, nucleoside metabolic process, protein secretion, regulation of exocytosis and vesicle docking involved in exocytosis. Phosphoinositide phosphatase could be involved in stress signaling (Williams et al. 2005). At the same time, these genes were identified for the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, the values of which showed a positive correlation with the plants recovery tests result. Genes found for electrolyte leakage code mRNA-binding protein BTR1-like involved in regulation of gene expression as well as transmembrane cyclic nucleotide-gated voltage-gated potassium channel. Protein forming potassium channel was identified among abiotic stress responsive proteins of wheat grain determined using proteomics technique by Kamal et al. (2010).

In conclusion, the location of QTLs that control the PSII photochemical efficiency on chromosome 5 group (5A, 5B and 5R) may indicate that this trait is partially controlled by the genes of freezing tolerance described as *CBF* family. Six genomic regions associated with plant survival after freezing (REC) were identified and two of them were mapped in two experiments (chromosomes 7A.1, 1B, 5R and 4R). Common QTLs for plant survival, membrane stability and photochemical PSII efficiency were identified on chromosomes 4R and 5R. The collinearity of the QTLs for PSII photochemical efficiency, membrane stability and plant survival may be due to the fact that common genes can control the effectiveness of photosynthesis under stress conditions and affect protection during acclimatization or to aid plant regeneration after stress. The same QTL for electrolyte leakage and plant recovery *Qfr.hm-7A.1* was identified in two experiments indicating that these QTLs are responsible for differences in freezing tolerance in our mapping population regardless on strong genotype-environmental interaction observed for freezing tolerance in triticale making this regions particularly interesting for breeders. QTLs for percentage of plant survival and electrolyte leakage were both co-located on chromosomes 4R and 5R with QTLs for several chlorophyll fluorescence parameters. This evidenced that the correlation exist between freezing tolerance of photosynthetic apparatus, plasma membranes and plant regrowth after freezing. This conclusion is also supported by chloroplast and membrane genes identified in genome regions associated with these traits.

Declarations

Funding information Research funded by National Science Centre, project N N310 778640 and project GENMARK PBS1/A8/1/2012.

Code availability Not applicable.

Authors contribution Iwona Wąsek – plant culture, REC, EL and OJIP analysis, QTLs analysis and manuscript writing; Mateusz Dyda – plant culture, REC, EL and OJIP analysis, QTLs and statistical analysis, manuscript writing; Gabriela Gołębiowska – plant culture, REC, EL and JIP analysis, QTLs and statistical analysis, *in silico* candidate gene identification, manuscript writing; Mirosław Tyrka – developing of the new genetic linkage map, QTLs analysis and manuscript writing; Marcin Rapacz – experiment design, procuring funding and manuscript

writing; Magdalena Szechyńska-Hebda – manuscript writing; Maria Wędzony – experiment design, procuring funding and manuscript writing.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material Not applicable

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

References

1. Alheit KV, Reif JC, Maurer HP, Hahn V, Weissmann EA, Miedaner T, Würschum T (2011) Detection of segregation distortion loci in triticale (*x Triticosecale* Wittmack) based on a high-density DArT marker consensus genetic linkage map. *BMC Genom* 12:380. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-380>
2. Badea A, Eudes F, Salmon D, Tuvešson S, Vrolijk A, Larsson C-T, Caig V, Huttner E, Kilian A, Laroche A (2011) Development and assessment of DArT markers in triticale. *Theor Appl Genet* 122:1547–1560. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-011-1554-3>
3. Båga M, Chodaparambil SV, Limin AE, Pecar M, Fowler DB, Chibbar RN (2007) Identification of quantitative trait loci and associated candidate genes for low-temperature tolerance in cold-hardy winter wheat. *Funct Integr Genomics* 7(1):53–68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-006-0030-7>
4. Bhusal N, Sharma P, Sareen S, Sarial AK (2018) Mapping QTLs for chlorophyll content and chlorophyll fluorescence in wheat under heat stress. *Biol Plant* 62(4):721–731. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-018-0811-6>
5. Burbulis N, Jonytiene V, Kupriene R, Blinstrubiene A (2011) Changes in proline and soluble sugars content during cold acclimation of winter rapeseed shoots in vitro. *J Food Agric Environ* 9:371–374
6. Campoli C, Matus-Cádiz MA, Pozniak CJ, Cattivelli L, Fowler DB (2009) Comparative expression of *Cbf* genes in the Triticeae under different acclimation induction temperatures. *Mol Genet Genomics* 282(2):141–152. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-009-0451-9>
7. Catala R, Salinas J (2008) Review. Regulatory mechanisms involved in cold acclimation response. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 6:211–220. <https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/200806s1-390>
8. Cheng L, Wang Y, Meng L, Hu X, Cui Y, Sun Y, Ali J, Xu J, Li Z (2012) Identification of salt-tolerant QTLs with strong genetic background effect using two sets of reciprocal introgression lines in rice. *Genome* 55(1):45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g11-075>
9. Chodaparambil SV (2009) Genetic analysis of low-temperature tolerance in winter wheat (Doctoral dissertation, University of Saskatchewan). <https://doi.org/10.1.1.863.1465>
10. Clement JMAM, van Hasselt PR (1996) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a parameter for frost hardiness in winter wheat. A comparison with other hardiness parameters. *Phyton Ann Rei Bot Austria* 36:29–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-447602-8.50049-0>

11. Czyczyło-Mysza I, Marcińska I, Skrzypek E, Chrupek M, Grzesiak S, Hura T, Stojalowski S, Myśków B, Milczarski P, Quarrie S (2011) Mapping QTLs for yield components and chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters in wheat under three levels of water availability. *Plant Genetic Resources* 9(02):291–295. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1479262111000207>
12. Czyczyło-Mysza I, Tyrka M, Marcińska I, Skrzypek E, Karbarz M, Dziurka M, Hura T, Dziurka K, Quarrie SA (2013) Quantitative trait loci for leaf chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, chlorophyll and carotenoid contents in relation to biomass and yield in bread wheat and their chromosome deletion bin assignments. *Mol Breeding* 32:189–210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-013-9862-8>
13. Danyluk J, Houde M, Rassart É, Sarhan F (1994) Differential expression of a gene encoding an acidic dehydrin in chilling sensitive and freezing tolerant *Gramineae* species. *FEBS Lett* 344:20–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(94\)00353-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(94)00353-x)
14. Danyluk J, Perron A, Houde M, Limin A, Fowler B, Benhamou N, Sarhan F (1998) Accumulation of an acidic dehydrin in the vicinity of the plasma membrane during cold acclimation of wheat. *Plant Cell* 10(4):623–638. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3870737>
15. Del Rosso T, Giorgetti E, Cicchi S, Muniz-Miranda M, Margheri G, Giusti A, Rindi A, Ghini G, Sottini S, Marcelli A, Foggi P (2009) Surface-enhanced fluorescence and surface-enhanced Raman scattering of ultrathin layers of bichromophoric antenna systems adsorbed on silver nanoisland films. *J Lumin* 129(12):1955–1959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2008.11.027>
16. Dexter ST, Totttingham WE, Graber LF (1932) Investigations of hardiness of plants by 1008 measurement of electrical conductivity. *Plant Physiol* 7:63–78. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.7.1.63>
17. Dyda M, Wąsek I, Tyrka M, Wędzony M, Szechyńska-Hebda M (2019) Local and systemic regulation of PSII efficiency in triticale infected by the hemibiotrophic pathogen *Microdochium nivale*. *Physiol Plant* 165(4):711–727. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12760>
18. Flint HJ, Boyce BR, Brattie DJ (1967) Index of injury, a useful expression of freezing injuries to plant tissues as determined by the electric method. *Can J Plant Sci* 47:229–239. <https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps67-043>
19. Francia E, Rizza F, Cattivelli L, Stanca AM, Galiba G, Tóth B, Hayes PM, Skinner JS, Pecchioni N (2004) Two loci on chromosome 5H determine low-temperature tolerance in a 'Nure' (winter) × 'Tremois' (spring) barley map. *Theor Appl Genet* 108:670–680. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-003-1468-9>
20. Francia E, Barabaschi D, Tondelli A, Laidò G, Rizza F, Stanca AM, Busconi M, Fogher C, Stockinger EJ, Pecchioni N (2007) Fine mapping of a HvCBF gene cluster at the frost resistance locus Fr-H2 in barley. *Theor Appl Genet* 115:1083–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-007-0634-x>
21. Fricano A, Rizza F, Faccioli P, Pagani D, Pavan P, Stella A, Rossini L, Piffanelli P, Cattivelli L (2009) Genetic variants of HvCbf14 are statistically associated with frost tolerance in a European germplasm collection of *Hordeum vulgare*. *Theor Appl Genet* 119:1335–1348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1138-7>
22. Galiba G, Quarrie SA, Sutka J, Morgounov A (1995) RFLP mapping of the vernalization (Vrn1) and frost resistance (Fr1) genes on chromosome 5A of wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* 90:1174–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00222940>
23. Galiba G, Pecchioni N, Vágújfalvi A, Francia E, Tóth B, Barabaschi D, Stanca MA (2003) Localization of QTLs and candidate genes involved in the regulation of frost resistance in cereals. In *Proceedings of the international congress in the wake of the double helix: from the green revolution to the gene revolution* (Vol. 27, p. 31)

24. Galiba G, Vágújfalvi A, Li Ch, Soltész A, Dubcovsky J (2009) Regulatory genes involved in the determination of frost tolerance in temperate cereals. *Plant Sci* 176:12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2008.09.016>
25. Genc Y, Oldach K, Verbyla AP, Lott G, Hassan M, Tester M, Wallwork H, McDonald GK (2010) Sodium exclusion QTL associated with improved seedling growth in bread wheat under salinity stress. *Theor Appl Genet* 121:877–894. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1357-y>
26. Gołębiowska G, Wędzony M (2009) Cold-hardening of winter triticale (*x Triticosecale* Wittm.) results in increased resistance to pink snow mould *Microdochium nivale* (Fr., Samuels & Hallett) and genotype-dependent chlorophyll fluorescence modulations. *Acta Physiol Plant* 31:1219–1227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-009-0357-5>
27. González JM, Muñoz LM, Jouve N (2005) Mapping of QTLs for androgenetic response based on a molecular genetic map of *x Triticosecale* Wittmack. *Genome* 48(6):999–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g05-064>
28. Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Kulwal PL, Kumar N, Kumar A, Mir RR, Mohan A, Kumar J (2007) QTL analysis for some quantitative traits in bread wheat. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B* 807–814. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.2007.b0807>
29. Hackauf B, Wehling P (2002) Identification of microsatellite polymorphisms in an expressed portion of the rye genome. *Plant Breed* 121:17–25. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.00649.x>
30. Jaccoud D, Peng K, Feinstein D, Kilian A (2001) Diversity arrays: A solid state technology for sequence information independent genotyping. *Nucleic Acids Res* 29:25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.4.e25>
31. Janeczko A, Pocięcha E, Dziurka M, Jurczyk B, Libik-Konieczny M, Oklestkova J, Novak O, Pilarska M, Filek M, Rudolphi-Skórska E, Sadura I, Siwek A (2019) Changes in content of steroid regulators during cold hardening of winter wheat - Steroid physiological/biochemical activity and impact on frost tolerance. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 139:215–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.03.020>
32. Kamal AHM, Kim KH, Shin KH, Choi JS, Baik BK, Tsujimoto H, Woo SH (2010) Abiotic stress responsive proteins of wheat grain determined using proteomics technique. *Aust J Crop Sci* 4(3):196
33. Kaplan F, Kopka J, Haskell DW, Zhao W, Schiller KC, Gatzke N, Sung DY, Guy CL (2004) Exploring the temperature-stress metabolome of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 136:4159–4168. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.052142>
34. Karbarz M, Tyrka M, Woźniak-Strzembicka A, Czajowski G, Wardyńska A, Tyrka D, Pojmaj M, Wędzony M (2020) Quantitative trait loci mapping of adult-plant resistance to powdery mildew in triticale. *Ann Appl Biol* 177(2):223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12613>
35. Knox AK, Li C, Vágújfalvi A, Galiba G, Stockinger EJ, Dubcovsky J (2008) Identification of candidate CBF genes for the frost tolerance locus Fr-A m 2 in *Triticum monococcum*. *Plant molecular biology* 67(3):257–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-008-9316-6>
36. Knox AK, Dhillon T, Cheng H, Tondelli A, Pecchioni N, Stockinger EJ (2010) CBF gene copy number variation at Frost Resistance-2 is associated with levels of freezing tolerance in temperate-climate cereals. *Theor Appl Genet* 121(1):21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1288-7>
37. Koch MD, Lehman EO (1966) Resistenzeigenschaften im Gärsten und Weizensortiment Gatersleben, 7 Prüfung der Frostresistenzpflanze. *DAI* 14:263–282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02095288>

38. Kocheva K, Nenova V, Karceva T, Petrov P, Georgiev GI, Börner A, Landjeva S (2014) Changes in water status, membrane stability and antioxidant capacity of wheat seedlings carrying different Rht-B1 dwarfing alleles under drought stress. *Journal of Agronomy Crop science* 200(2):83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12047>
39. Kocsy G, Athmer B, Perovic D, Himmelbach A, Szücs A, Vashegyi I, Schweizer P, Galiba G, Stein N (2010) Regulation of gene expression by chromosome 5A during cold hardening in wheat. *Mol Genet Genomics* 283:351–363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-010-0520-0>
40. Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination value. *Ann Eug* 12:172–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1943.tb02321.x>
41. Kovi MR, Ergon Å, Rognli OA (2016) Freezing tolerance revisited - effects of variable temperatures on gene regulation in temperate grasses and legumes. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 2016, 33: 140–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2016.07.006>
42. Krzewska M, Czyczyło-Mysza I, Dubas E, Gołębiowska-Pikania G, Golemiec E, Stojalowski S, Chrupek M, Żur I (2012) Quantitative trait loci associated with androgenic responsiveness in triticale (*× Triticosecale* Wittm.) anther culture. *Plant Cell Rep* 31:2099–2108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-012-1320-2>
43. Krzewska M, Czyczyło-Mysza I, Dubas E, Gołębiowska-Pikania G, Żur I (2015) Identification of QTLs associated with albino plant formation and some new facts concerning green versus albino ratio determinants in triticale (*× Triticosecale* Wittm.) anther culture. *Euphytica* 206:263–278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-015-1509-x>
44. Kumar S, Sehgal SK, Kumar U, Prasad PV, Joshi AK, Gill BS (2012) Genomic characterization of drought tolerance-related traits in spring wheat. *Euphytica* 186(1):265–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0675-3>
45. Kurepin LV, Dahal KP, Savitch LV, Singh J, Bode R, Ivanov AG, Hurry V, Kurepin LV, Dahal KP, Savitch LV, Singh J, Bode R, Ivanov AG, Hurry V, Huener N (2013) Role of CBFs as integrators of chloroplast redox, phytochrome and plant hormone signaling during cold acclimation. *Int J Mol Sci* 14:12729–12763. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms140612729>
46. Law CN, Jenkins G (1970) A genetic study of cold resistance in wheat. *Genet Res* 15:197–208. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s001667230000152x>
47. Law CN, Worland AJ (1997) Genetic analysis of some flowering time and adaptive traits in wheat. *New Phytol* 137(1):19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1997.00814.x>
48. Levitt J (1980) Responses of Plants to Environmental Stresses. Chilling, Freezing, and High Temperature Stresses, Ed. 2. Academic Press, New York. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-445501-6.50011-7>
49. Li Y, Haseneyer G, Schön CC, Ankerst D, Korzun V, Wilde P, Bauer E (2011a) High levels of nucleotide diversity and fast decline of linkage disequilibrium in rye (*Secale cereale* L.) genes involved in frost response. *BMC Plant Biol* 11(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-11-6>
50. Li Y, Böck A, Haseneyer G, Korzun V, Wilde P, Schön CC, Ankerst DP, Bauer E (2011b) Association analysis of frost tolerance in rye using candidate genes and phenotypic data from controlled, semi-controlled, and field phenotyping platforms. *BMC Plant Biol* 11(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-11-146>
51. Liang Q, Cheng X, Mei M, Yan X, Liao H (2010) QTL analysis of root traits as related to phosphorus efficiency in soybean. *Ann Bot* 106(1):223–234. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq097>

52. Lilley JM, Ludlow MM, McCouch SR, O'toole JC (1996) Locating QTL for osmotic adjustment and dehydration tolerance in rice. *J Exp Bot* 47(9):1427–1436. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/47.9.1427>
53. Mahfoozi S, Limin AE, Hayes PM, Hucl P, Fowler DB (2000) Influence of photoperiod response on the expression of cold hardiness in wheat and barley. *Canadian journal of plant science* 80(4):721–724. <https://doi.org/10.4141/p00-031>
54. Miller AK, Galiba G, Dubcovsky J (2006) A cluster of 11 CBF transcription factors is located at the frost tolerance locus Fr-A m 2 in *Triticum monococcum*. *Mol Genet Genomics* 275(2):193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-005-0076-6>
55. Milligan BG (1992) Is organelle DNA strictly maternally inherited? Power analysis of a binomial distribution. *Am J Bot* 79(11):1325–1328. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1992.tb13737.x>
56. Morgan JM, Tan MK (1996) Chromosomal location of wheat osmoregulation gene using RFLP analysis. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 23:803–806. <https://doi.org/10.1071/pp9960803>
57. NDong Ch, Danyluk J, Wilson KE, Pocock T, Huner NPA, Sarhan F (2002) Cold-Regulated Cereal Chloroplast Late Embryogenesis Abundant-Like Proteins. Molecular Characterization and Functional Analyses. *Plant Physiol* 129:1368–1381. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.001925>
58. Nylander M, Svensson J, Palva ET, Welin BV (2001) Stress-induced accumulation and tissue-specific localization of dehydrins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol Biol* 45(3):263–279. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006469128280>
59. Pan A, Hayes PM, Chen F, Chen THH, Blake T, Wright S, Karsai I, Bedö Z (1994) Genetic analysis of the components of winterhardiness in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 89:900–910. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00224516>
60. Plaschke J, BÓŠmer A, Xie DX, Koebner RMD, Schlegel R, Gale MD (1993) RFLP mapping of genes affecting plant height and growth habit in rye. *Theor Appl Genet* 85:1049–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00215046>
61. Puchkov YM, Zhiron EG (1978) Breeding of common wheat varieties with a high frost resistance and genetic aspects of it. *World Sci News* 15:17–22
62. Pugsley AT (1971) A genetic analysis of the spring-winter habit in wheat. *Aust J Agric Res* 22:21–31. <https://doi.org/10.1071/ar9710021>
63. Rapacz M (2007) Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence transient during freezing and recovery in winter wheat. *Photosynthetica* 45:409–418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-007-0069-2>
64. Rapacz M, Sasal M, Gut M (2011) Chlorophyll Fluorescence-Based Studies of Frost Damage and the Tolerance for Cold-Induced Photoinhibition in Freezing Tolerance Analysis of Triticale (\times *Triticosecale* Wittmack). *J Agron Crop Sci* 197:378–389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037x.2011.00472.x>
65. Rapacz M, Ergon Å, Höglind M, Jørgensen M, Jurczyk B, Østrem L, Rognli OA, Tronsmo AM (2014) Overwintering of herbaceous plants in a changing climate. Still more questions than answers. *Plant Sci* 225:34–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.05.009>
66. Rapacz M, Sasal M, Wójcik-Jagła M (2015a) Direct and indirect measurements of freezing tolerance: Advantages and limitations. *Acta Physiol Plant* 37:157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-015-1907-7>
67. Rapacz M, Sasal M, Kalaji HM, Kościelniak J (2015b) Is the OJIP test a reliable indicator of winter hardiness and freezing tolerance of common wheat and triticale under variable winter environments? *PLoS ONE* 10(7):e0134820. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134820>

68. Rapacz M, Jurczyk B, Sasal M (2017) Deacclimation may be crucial for winter survival of cereals under warming climate. *Plant Sci* 256:5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.11.007>
69. Rizza F, Pagani D, Stanca AM, Cattivelli L (2001) Use of chlorophyll fluorescence to evaluate the cold acclimation and freezing tolerance of winter and spring oats. *Plant Breed* 120:389–396. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2001.00635.x>
70. Roberts DWA (1986) Chromosomes in 'Cadet' and 'Rescue' wheats carrying loci for cold hardiness and vernalization response. *Can J Genet Cytol* 28:991–997. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g86-137>
71. Roberts DWA (1990) Identification of loci on chromosome-5A of wheat involved in control of cold hardiness, vernalization, leaf length, rosette growth habit, and height of hardened plants. *Genome* 33:247–259. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g90-039>
72. Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. *Genetics* 149:2007–2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051185>
73. Saal B, Wricke G (1999) Development of simple sequence repeat markers in rye (*Secale cereale* L.). *Genome* 42:964–972. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g99-052>
74. Salinas J (2002) Molecular mechanism of signal transduction in cold acclimation. In: Hames BD, Glover DM (eds) *Frontiers in Molecular Biology*. Oxford University Press, pp 116–139
75. Snape JW, Semikhodskii A, Fish L, Sarma RN, Quarrie SA, Galiba G, Sutka J (1997) Mapping frost resistance loci in wheat and comparative mapping with other cereals. *Acta Agr Hungarica* 45:265–270
76. Somers DJ, Isaac P, Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 109(6):1105–1114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-004-1740-7>
77. Sutka J (1981) Genetic studies of frost resistance in wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* 59:145–152. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00264968>
78. Sutka J (1994) Genetic control of frost tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica* 77:277–282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02262642>
79. Sutka J, Snape JW (1989) Location of a gene for frost resistance on chromosome 5A of wheat. *Euphytica* 42:41–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00042613>
80. Thomashow MF (1998) Role of Cold-Responsive Genes in Plant Freezing Tolerance. *Plant Physiol* 118:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.118.1.1>
81. Toth B, Galiba G, Feher E, Sutka J, Snape JW (2003) Mapping genes affecting flowering time and frost resistance on chromosome 5B in wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* 107:509–514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-003-1275-3>
82. Tripathy JN, Zhang J, Robin S, Nguyen TT, Nguyen HT (2000) QTLs for cell-membrane stability mapped in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress. *Theor Appl Genet* 100(8):1197–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051424>
83. Tyrka M, Bednarek PT, Kilian A, Wędzony M, Hura T, Bauer E (2011) Genetic map of triticale compiling DArT, SSR and AFLP markers. *Genome* 54(5):391–401. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g11-009>
84. Tyrka M, Tyrka D, Wędzony M (2015) Genetic map of triticale integrating microsatellite, DArT and SNP markers. *PLoS One* 10(12):e0145714. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145714>
85. Tyrka M, Oleszczuk S, Rabiza-Swider J, Woś H, Wędzony M, Zimny J, Ponitka A, Ślusarkiewicz-Jarzina A, Metzger RJ, Baenziger PS, Łukaszewski AJ (2018) Populations of doubled haploids for genetic mapping in

- hexaploid winter triticale. *Mol Breeding* 38(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-018-0804-3>
86. Van Ooijen JW (2006) JoinMap® 4, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in experimental populations. *Kyazma BV, Wageningen*, 33(10.1371)
87. Van Os H, Stam P, Visser RG, Van Eck HJ (2005) RECORD: a novel method for ordering loci on a genetic linkage map. *Theor Appl Genet* 112(1):30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-005-0097-x>
88. Vágújfalvi A, Crosatti C, Galiba G, Dubcovsky J, Cattivelli L (2000) Two *loci* on wheat chromosome 5A regulate the differential cold-dependent expression of the *cor14b* gene in frost tolerant and sensitive genotypes. *Mol Gen Genet* 263:194–200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380051160>
89. Vágújfalvi A, Galiba G, Cattivelli L, Dubcovsky J (2003) The cold-regulated transcriptional activator Cbf3 is linked to the frost-tolerance locus Fr-A2 on wheat chromosome 5A. *Mol Genet Genomics* 269:60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-003-0806-6>
90. Veisz O, Sutka J (1993) Ditelosomic analysis of frost resistance in wheat (cv Chinese Spring). *Cereal Res Comm* 21:263–267. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23783977>
91. Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng BZ (2012) Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh
92. Wenzl P, Carling J, Kudrna D, Jaccoud D, Huttner E, Kleinjohs A, Kilian A (2004) Diversity arrays technology (DArT) for whole-genome profiling of barley. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:9915–9920. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401076101>
93. Wędzony M (2003) Protocol for doubled haploid production in hexaploid triticale (*×Triticosecale* Wittm.) by crosses with maize. In: Maluszynski M, Kasha KJ, Forster BP, Szarejko I (eds) *Doubled haploid production in crop plants. A manual*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1293-4_21
94. Williams ME, Torabinejad J, Cohick E, Parker K, Drake EJ, Thompson JE, DeWald DB (2005) Mutations in the *Arabidopsis* phosphoinositide phosphatase gene SAC9 lead to overaccumulation of PtdIns (4, 5) P2 and constitutive expression of the stress-response pathway. *Plant Physiol* 138(2):686–700. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.061317>
95. Winfield MO, Lu C, Wilson ID, Coghill JA, Edwards KJ (2010) Plant responses to cold: transcriptome analysis of wheat. *Plant Biotechnol J* 8(7):749–771. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2010.00536.x>
96. Yang DL, Jing RL, Chang XP, Li W (2007) Quantitative trait loci mapping for chlorophyll fluorescence and associated traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *J Integr Plant Biol* 49(5):646–654. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2007.00443.x>
97. Yin Q, Cui Y, Zhang G (2012) The *Arabidopsis* pentatricopeptide repeat protein PDM1 is associated with the intergenic sequence of S11-rpoA for rpoA monocistronic RNA cleavage. *Chin Sci Bull* 57:3452–3459. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-012-5278-9>
98. Zhang X, Shabala S, Koutoulis A, Shabala L, Zhou M (2017) Meta-analysis of major QTL for abiotic stress tolerance in barley and implications for barley breeding. *Planta* 245(2):283–295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2605-4>

Tables

Table 1. Genetic linkage map developed for ‘Hewo × Magnat’ DH mapping population.

Genome	Linkage group	Lenght (cM)	Marker no.		
			Total	Unique	Density
A	1A	54.3	24	17	3.4
	2A	45.0	10	6	9.0
	3A	43.9	10	3	22.0
	4A	76.1	34	13	6.3
	5A	78.1	12	8	11.2
	6A	48.3	8	4	16.1
	7A.1	61.8	25	11	5.6
	7A.2	53.3	17	10	5.9
Total genome A		460.8	140	72	6.4
B	1B	93.3	55	21	4.7
	2B.1	65.1	16	10	7.2
	2B.2	48.6	16	8	6.9
	3B.1	22.9	14	8	3.3
	3B.2	115.1	38	18	6.8
	4B	42.5	12	7	7.1
	5B	41.9	13	8	6.0
	6B	97.6	42	16	6.5
	7B	89.5	49	17	5.6
Total genome B		616.5	255	113	5.5
R	1R	17.5	20	5	4.4
	3R	37.5	31	7	6.3
	4R	71.6	82	17	4.2
	5R	36.6	66	19	2.0
	6R	127.2	127	58	2.2
	Total genome R		290.4	326	106
TOTAL	22	1367.7	721	291	4.7

Table 2. Phenotypic performance for traits related to chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters and electrolyte leakage (EL) of doubled haploid lines and their parents.

Traits	Parents (mean \pm SD)		DH lines		
	Hewo	Magnat	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max
F_v/F_m	0.71 \pm 0.45	0.63 \pm 0.36	0.66 \pm 0.05	0.54	0.77
PI	0.89 \pm 0.31	0.69 \pm 0.34	0.66 \pm 0.13	0.32	0.95
ψ_o	0.39 \pm 0.12	0.38 \pm 0.11	0.35 \pm 0.03	0.29	0.44
ϕE_o	0.28 \pm 0.13	0.28 \pm 0.13	0.25 \pm 0.03	0.19	0.34
PI _{CS_o}	324.48 \pm 143.21	300.42 \pm 122.62	287.98 \pm 54.53	142.91	425.80
PI _{CS_m}	1458.53 \pm 426.89	1384.42 \pm 512.49	1314.71 \pm 319.48	525.43	2281.35
ABS/CS	432.54 \pm 3.20	412.11 \pm 16.77*	444.36 \pm 31.73	348.74	547.45
TR _o /CS	295.09 \pm 117.95	291.41 \pm 59.38	293.32 \pm 31.66	223.70	364.88
ET _o /CS	120.06 \pm 58.22	115.08 \pm 55.24	113.21 \pm 15.14	72.19	157.70
DI _o /CS	109.82 \pm 33.17	148.65 \pm 70.08*	151.45 \pm 24.27	101.80	230.45
ABS/RC	5.20 \pm 4.17	6.03 \pm 5.53	6.27 \pm 3.19	2.78	18.05
ET _o /RC	0.87 \pm 0.24	0.83 \pm 0.25	0.80 \pm 0.06	0.58	1.00
TR _o /RC	2.31 \pm 0.15	2.25 \pm 0.16	2.34 \pm 0.13	1.88	2.61
DI _o /RC	0.77 \pm 0.16	1.81 \pm 0.19*	1.37 \pm 0.52	0.68	3.26
RC/CS _o	131.85 \pm 39.94	127.45 \pm 38.72	129.02 \pm 13.61	102.28	158.47
RC/CS _m	560.25 \pm 239.73	526.87 \pm 240.07*	521.11 \pm 69.45	394.86	707.95
EL	69.51 \pm 9.61	77.05 \pm 15.54	59.12 \pm 21.53	18.98	90.02

Significance levels between parents * ≤ 0.05

Table 3. Correlation matrix using phenotypic mean values for recovery (REC), electrolyte leakage (EL) and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters. Colors and intensity of cell shading indicate significant positive (red) and negative (green) correlations at $p \leq 0.05$.

	REC	EL	F _v /F _m	Φ _o	Φ _{Eo}	PI	PI _{CSa}	PI _{CSm}	ABS/CS	TR _{JCS}	ET _{JCS}	DI _{JCS}	ABC/RC	TR _{JRC}	ET _{JRC}	DI _{JRC}	RC/CS _a	RC/CS _m
REC	-	-	0,52	0,47	0,56	0,45	0,51	0,52	0,34	0,58	0,62	-0,35	-0,32	-	0,57	-0,32	0,54	0,61
EL	-	-	-0,25	-0,28	-0,21	-	-	-	0,36	-	-	0,48	-	0,45	-	0,40	-	-

Table 4. Summary of QTLs identified using CIM method for plant recovery (REC) - percentage of survived plants and electrolyte leakage (EL) after plant freezing.

QTL name	QTL name	Trait-experiment	Flanking markers	Interval/position (cM)	LOD	Add ^a	R ² (%) ^b
Qel.hm-7A-1.4	<i>Qfr.hm-7A.1</i>	EL-3	<i>wPt-6668(4)-wPt9207(2)</i>	27.5-38.3	3.1	-5.5	9.5
Qrec.hm-7A-1.4		REC-2	<i>wPt-6668(4)-wPt-6824(2)</i>	27.5-57.2	2.6	6.6	6.9
		REC-3	<i>wPt-0393-wPt-6824(2)</i>	36.2-57.2	2.5	6.5	9.2
Qel.hm-7A-2.1	<i>Qel.hm-7A.2</i>	EL-2	<i>tPt-513624-tPt-2230(2)</i>	8.9-19.9	2.6	8.1	8.7
		EL-3	<i>tPt-513624-wPt-1961</i>	8.9-19.3	4.1	6.4	13.0
Qrec.hm-1B.1	<i>Qrec.hm-1B.1</i>	REC-2	<i>wPt-2725(4)</i>	61.2	2.4	7.0	6.4
		REC-3	<i>wPt-5899(3)</i>	79.9	2.5	6.7	8.2
Qrec.hm-2B.1	<i>Qrec.hm-2B.1</i>	REC-3	<i>wPt-9402(4)-wPt-1920</i>	36.3-46.1	2.9	7.2	10.4
Qel.hm-4R.1	<i>Qel.hm-4R.1</i>	EL-2	<i>rPt-389466-rPt-389872(5)</i>	9.8-16.5	6.5	18.7	22.8
		EL-3	<i>rPt-389466-rPt-389872(5)</i>	9.8-16.5	2.5	4.9	7.7
Qrec.hm-4R.1	<i>Qrec.hm-4R.2</i>	REC-1	<i>tPt-402470-rPt402563(5)</i>	22.6-25.2	3.5	-0.8	12.9
Qrec.hm-4R.2	<i>Qrec.hm-4R.3</i>	REC-3	<i>tPt-402443(4)</i>	57.2	3.0	-10.8	10.8
Qrec.hm-5R.1	<i>Qrec.hm-5R.1</i>	REC-2	<i>rPt-508323(2)-tPt-390596(4)</i>	22.2-26.5	2.5	-6.9	6.3
Qel.hm-5R.1	<i>Qel.hm-5R.2</i>	EL-3	<i>wmc289-rPt-506350(10)</i>	32.0-32.9	2.4	5.0	6.8

^a Add: additive effects of QTLs expressed in the trait unit; ^b R² (%): the percentage of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.

Table 5. Summary of QTLs for F_v/F_m (the yield of the energy trapping in PSII), PI (performance indexes of PSII) and energy fluxes (RC per single, active PSII reaction centre and CS per leaf cross-section) identified using CIM

methods. QTL name includes each parameter name (after Q).

QTL	QTL name	Trait-experiment	Flanking markers	Interval/position (cM)	LOD	Add _a	R ² (%) _b
Qdiorc.hm-4A.1	<i>Qchl.hm-4A.1</i>	Diorc-3	<i>wPt-6728(4)</i>	21.1	2.5	-0.0	7.9
Qetorc.hm-4A.1		Etorc-1	<i>wPt-7280-wPt-2151</i>	32.3-56.6	2.5	3.7	9.1
		Etorc-1	<i>wPt-0610-wPt-2151</i>	48.7-56.6	2.5	0.0	10.6
Qfvfm.hm-5A.2	<i>Qchl.hm-5A.1</i>	Fv/Fm -3	<i>wmc327.1-wPt-1370(2)</i>	0.0-19.4	3.3	0.1	12.0
Qpi.hm-5A.2		PI-2	<i>wmc327.1-wPt-1370(2)</i>	0.0-19.4	3.8	0.1	11.5
		PI-3	<i>wmc327.1-wPt-1370(2)</i>	0.0-19.4	2.6	0.0	9.3
Qabscs.hm-5A.2		Absces-3	<i>wmc327.1-wPt-1370(2)</i>	0.0-19.4	3.3	25.5	12.7
Qetocs.hm-5A.2		Etos-3	<i>wmc327.1-wPt-1370(2)</i>	0.0-19.4	3.9	11.4	13.0
Qtrocs.hm-5A.2		Trocs-3	<i>wmc327.1-wPt-1370(2)</i>	0.0-19.4	5.4	36.8	19.6
Qpi.hm-5B.1	<i>Qchl.hm-5B.1</i>	PI-2	<i>wPt-4996(4)-wmc537</i>	6.6-41.9	2.4	0.0	7.2
Qtrorc.hm-5B.1		Trorc-2	<i>gwm499</i>	38.5	2.5	-0.0	6.9
Qabscs.hm-5B.1		Absces-3	<i>wPt-7167-wmc537</i>	28.6-41.9	3.9	24.8	11.6
Qabscs.hm-4R.2	<i>Qchl.hm-4R.1</i>	Absces-2	<i>tPt-513729-tPt-508199(2)</i>	32.9-33.9	2.5	-11.3	7.5
Qtrocs.hm-4R.2		Trocs-2	<i>tPt-513729-tPt-508199(2)</i>	32.9-33.9	2.8	-9.0	8.8
Qabscs.hm-4R.2		Absces-3	<i>wPt-4487(3)-tPt-402443(4)</i>	45.0-57.2	3.1	-22.8	10.3
Qpi.hm-5R.1	<i>Qchl.hm-5R.1</i>	PI-2	<i>gwm136-tPt-508190(6)</i>	0.0-28.7	3.2	0.1	9.6
Qtrocs.hm-5R.1		Trocs-2	<i>gwm136-rPt-507354(4)</i>	0.0-8.0	3.1	-10.6	10.8
Qabscs.hm-5R.1		Absces-2	<i>tPt-390596(4)-rPt-508323(2)</i>	22.2-26.5	2.5	-11.8	7.5
Qtrocs.hm-5R.1		Trocs-1	<i>rPt-505449(6)</i>	13.5	2.6	-6.1	6.2



^a Add: additive effects of QTLs expressed in the trait unit; ^b R^2 (%): the percentage of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL.

Due to technical limitations, Table 6 is only available as a download in the Supplemental Files section.

Supplementary

Supplementary Fig S1 is not available with this version

Figures



- renamed88fd7.doc