Depression, Anxiety and Associated Factors among Housemaids Working in Addis Ababa Ethiopia

Alem Kebede Ejigu (✉ alemkebedeejigu@gmail.com)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8794-858X>

Zahra Reshid Seraj

St. Amanuel Mental Specialied Hospital

Mahlet Wolday Gebre Libanos

St. Amanuel Mental Specialied Hospital

Tolosa Fanta Jelcha

St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital

Yodit Habtamu Bezabih

St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital

Research article

Keywords: Depression, Anxiety, Housemaid, Ethiopia

Posted Date: October 7th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.15652/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Psychiatry on May 13th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-02638-5>.

Abstract

Background: Housemaids are part of women's with low socioeconomic status and most of them are migrant from rural to central part of Ethiopia, less educated, either with poor, separated, single or divorced family and/or dead parents. Housemaid may experience problems like depression and anxiety more than other groups of women. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the magnitude and determinants of those problems among housemaids.

Objective: to assess the magnitude and determinants of depression & anxiety among housemaids in Addis Ababa; Ethiopia; 2018.

Methods: community based cross-sectional study was conduct from January 2018 – August 2018 housemaid working in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Multistage sampling technique was applied with a total of 826 samples. Quantitative data was employed by using structured questionnaires. The collected data was coded, entered in to Epi-Info version 7 and analyzed by using SPSS version 20. Descriptive, analytical statistical procedures; bivariate and multivariate binary logistic regressions with odds ratios and 95% confidence interval was employed. The statistical significance was declared at p value < 0.05.

Results: This study enrolled 862 participants with response rate 99.5%. The result showed that prevalence of depression and anxiety found 27.5% (95% CI= 24.3 – 30.40) and 32.3% (95% CI= 29.3 - 35.4) respectively. Among all participants 44.6% (95% CI= 41.0 – 47.9) have mild, 18.5% (95% CI= 15.7 – 21.2) have moderate and 6.1% (95% CI= 4.5 – 7.8) have severe form of comorbid anxiety with depression. Both depression and anxiety found more (44.9% & 41.9% respectively) prevalent among age group 16 to 20. In this study history of parental divorce, divorce, physical violence and sexual violence are positively associated. Other factors; being less educated (not educated, 1 ry & 2 ry level of education) and living with relatives; were associated negatively.

Conclusion: The prevalence of Depression and Anxiety is found high among housemaid; its prevalence is more among age group 16 to 20 than other age groups. Violence, divorce, history of parental divorce and no contraceptive use have positively associated with depression and anxiety.

Background

1.1. Statement of the problem

Depression and Anxiety are common problems among women worldwide [1–4]. In case of anxiety and depression; the greater vulnerability of women varies with the age: before mid-puberty, boys are more likely than girls to anxious or depressed, while between 15 and 19 years, the prevalence of depression is doubled in girls [2, 5]. This trend persists until 54 years [3]. Depression is part of mental illness which is more prevalent among women and women in socioeconomic problems are more risky when compare with women in better socioeconomic status [6].

Housemaids are a relatively homogenous immigrant subgroup in terms of their gender, socio-cultural, educational and occupational background. Psychiatric morbidity among housemaids is two to five times higher than the native female population [7–9].

Housemaid the most common occupation in the female labor force which can generate mental suffering such as depressive and anxiety symptoms [10].

Poverty and hunger force many women to migrate as a survival strategy. In many countries, women migrants working in domestic service or factories are at high risk of experiencing abuse by employers including confinement, slave-like conditions, and physical and sexual assault in turn that may lead them to develop depressive or anxiety symptoms [7, 11].

Housemaids are part of community who leave their primary place of living or families because of challenging life events that obligate them to search other alternatives to survive or for perceived better life. The majority of housemaids are women, poor and immigrants with minimal education [7, 9, 12].

In our country context responsibilities of housemaids vary and generally include cleaning & arranging the house, compartment & households; giving care for children & entire families; cooking & serving food for family members; in some context shopping & managing the house at all. The specific tasks vary in each household's standard, family size and each employer's perception. Performing all those activities may lead them to stress and stress related problems. [1, 13, 14].

Although there are many researches done; in the world including Ethiopia; on depression and anxiety among women, there is a serious lack of information on the magnitude, as well as factors associated with depression and anxiety among housemaid. This study was therefore aim at evaluating the magnitude and determinants of depression and anxiety among housemaids in Addis Ababa Ethiopia.

1.2. Objectives

1.2.1. General objective:

To assess magnitude and determinants of depression and anxiety among housemaids in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2018

1.2.2. Specific objectives:

- To assess magnitude of depression among housemaids in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2018
- To assess magnitude of anxiety among housemaids in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2018
- To assess determinants of depression among housemaids in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2018
- To assess determinants of anxiety among housemaids in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2018

Methods

2.1. Study Design and Period

Community based Cross-sectional study was conduct from May 1 to August 30, 2018.

2.2. Study Setting

Addis Ababa is the capital city of Ethiopia with different groups of population in different socioeconomic status. It has a group of population from various parts of the country. Among them woman are part of population migrate to capital city due to different reasons like occupation, relationship, education and other socioeconomic issues. Occupational migrants include woman who engage in different labor works to survive and to get perceived better life. Having shelter is among their major problems. They struggle to solve such problems in different strategies. Like forming large group and sharing poor setting house, renting daily paid settings for night, living on the street, possibly depend on relatives and in most cases of women in labor worker; they employee as housemaid to solve those major housing problems. Housemaids are among member of population of Addis. Majority of them are migrants form entire (East, West, South and Northern) parts of the country for perceived better life with limited knowledge about the city, low socioeconomic status and with major communication barriers. Situations in Addis like environment, culture, values and norms are stranger to them. Also they start working in the city without safety, security, training and orientation about the new environment, job and life style.

2.3. Source and Study Population

2.3.1. Source Population

All housemaids working in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

2.3.2. Study Population

Our study population was all housemaids working in Addis Ababa who were available at the time of data collection in selected households.

2.4. Eligibility Criteria

Housemaids who have work experience more than six consecutive months back from data collection date were included in this study.

Non residential workers (not living together with employer) and housemaids who were previously diagnosed mental illness were excluded in this study.

2.5. Sample Size and Sampling Procedures

2.5.1. Sample Size Determination

The minimum number of sample required for this study was estimated by using Single population proportion formula considering the following assumptions

[Due to technical limitations, this equation is only available as a download in the supplemental files section.]

Where

n = minimum sample size required for the study

Z = standard normal distribution ($Z = 1.96$) with confidence interval of 95% and $\alpha = 0.05$

d = margin of sample error tolerated, (d) = 5% = 0.05

P = proportion the sample size was determine for each of response variables and the maximum sample size was take

Considering non response rate of 15%, the total sample size for the study was 826

2.5.2. Sampling Techniques

A multistage sampling technique was used and 3 sub cities selected from 10 sub cities. Then 3 Woredas selected from each sub cities by using simple random sampling method. The sample size was distributed to each selected Woreda proportionally to its household size. Computer generated lottery method was use to select household from each woreda, if there is no housemaid in selected household next or previous household was checked. In case of more than one housemaid at a household one selected randomly.

2.6. Instruments used for data collection and data collection procedures

2.6.1. Instruments Used for Data Collection

In this study, to assess Socio-demographic information, clinical data, depression, anxiety, and factors associated with depression and anxiety. Depression and anxiety was measured by PHQ –4. A four item assessment tool which has separate parts for depression (the PHQ–2 has a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 90%) and for anxiety (GAD–2 has sensitivity for generalized anxiety disorder (88%), and specificity (81%–83%)). Also it has potency to measure occurrence of both cases at a person. All the 4 items should answered on a four point (Likert type) scale from “not at all” through “several days” and “more than half the days” to “nearly every day” [25]. To assess violence, WHO violence assessment tool was used. It has three parts: sexual violence which has six questions, emotional violence and physical violence which has three questions for each. It has ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ response. Presence of one ‘Yes’ in each category is enough to say possible sexual, emotional or physical violence [26]. Daily Stress Full Life Events Measurement Scale (DSLEMS) to assess presence of Stressful Life Events, and a detailed self-designed semi structured questionnaire was administer to each consented study participant to obtain socio-demographic and clinical data.

2.6.2. Data collection Procedure

Quantitative data was employ; Amharic Version of structured and semi structured interviewer administered questionnaire was used. It has five parts: 1st part contains Sociodemographic data, 2nd part to assess depression and anxiety, 3rd part includes questions to assess violence (Physical, Emotional and sexual violence), 4th part assesses stressful life events and the 5th was assessing clinical data of participants. The data was collected by 9 female health extension workers on 32 weekends.

2.6.3. Data Quality Management

To assure the quality of the data high emphasis was give in designing data collection instruments for its simplicity. Prior to data collection training was give for data collectors. The questioners were pretest before the actual data collection and necessary modification was made. The collected data was reviewed and checked for completeness and consistency by supervisors and principal investigator daily.

2.7. Definition of Variables

Depression and Anxiety were dependent variables for our study and independent variables were socio demographic factors: (age, educational level, marital status, salary, service year and family status), clinical factors (medical illness, family history of mental illness, Medications) and psychological factors (substance use, stress, violence).

Anxiety: it is explained by score on PHQ 4: a cut of point 3 and more on the 0-to-6 –point GAD–2 scale determine as anxiety [25].

Depression: score on PHQ 4: a cut of point 3 and more on the 0-to-6 point PHQ-2 score determine as depression [25].

Depression with Anxiety: PHQ-4 scores were operationally categorized as mild (3-5), moderate (6-8), and severe (9-12) [25].

House head: in this study it includes all independent house heads inside each formally numbered household

Housemaids: Females who are working in household either non residential (not living with employer) or residential (living with employer) system.

2.8. Data Analysis

Data was code and entered to Epi-Info version 7 for cleaning, storing, recording and imported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20 (SPSS-20) computer software. Descriptive statistical procedures were utilized to estimate the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and violence. Bivariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis was conduct to identify associated factors of depression, anxiety and violence among housemaids. The strength of the associated factors was present by odds ratio with 95% CI. The independent variables that fulfill p-value less than 0.2 was tolerate and exported to multivariable logistic regression. P-value less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significance.

2.9. Dissemination and Utilization of results

- To Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital
- To Federal minster of health
- To Addis Ababa health bureau
- Ethiopia Ministry of Women, Children and Youth Affairs
- To publish the research in scientific journals and to present it in different scientific conference.

Results

3.1. Description of Socio Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

This study enrolled 862 participants with response rate 99.5%. The average age of housemaids was 20 ± 4.53 ; range 15 to 46 years. Most (47.1%) of the study participants were in the age group 16 to 20, 27.5% of participants has work experience of 2 to 3 years. Majority (63.3%) of participants were at primary level of education, 60.9% were Orthodox in religion. Majority (56.9%) of respondent's salary was range from 501-1000. Around 70% of participants were single in relationship, 37.2% visit their families more than a

yearly bases, 25.7% of participants lost one of their parents, 65.9% of participants families not nearly found (Table—1).

3.2. Description of psychological factors among housemaids

Among 826 participants, if problem happened 51.7% have difficulties to get family support. Prevalence of lifetime physical and sexual violence was 22.8 & 12.5% respectively. Also 6.2% of participants had sexual violence when they were the age under 15. Majority (73%) of participants has domestic violence and emotional violence was the most (64.30) prevalent than physical and sexual one (Table—2).

3.3. Description of clinical factors among housemaids

Among all participants 6.2% have known medical illness and among them 41.18 take medication for illness. Also 14.5% of participants use contraceptive (Table—3).

3.4. Prevalence of depression and anxiety among housemaid

3.4.1. Prevalence of Depression among housemaid

In this study prevalence of depression found 27.5% (95% CI = 24.3—30.40). It is more (44.9%) prevalent among age group 16 to 20 and accounts 12.3% (Figure 1).

3.4.2. Prevalence of Anxiety among housemaid

Anxiety found more prevalent than depression it counts 32.3% (95% CI = 29.3 - 35.4). It accounts equal (13.6%) amount among the age groups 16 to 20 and age group above 25 years (Figure 2).

3.4.3. Prevalence of Comorbid Depression with Anxiety among Housemaid

Among all participants 44.6% (95% CI = 41.0—47.9) have mild, 18.5% (95% CI = 15.7—21.2) have moderate and 6.1% (95% CI = 4.5—7.8) have severe form of comorbid Depression with Anxiety (Figure 3).

3.5. Factors Associate with Anxiety and Depression among Housemaids

3.5.1. Factors associated with Depression

The binary logistic (crude analysis) was done on socio-demographic (Age, marital status, educational status, service year, salary, family visit, Family support and parent's relationship status), clinical (contraceptive use); psychosocial factors (alcohol use, khat use, stress full life events, life time physical and sexual violence, under 15 sexual violence and domestic violence,) were found to be p-value of less than 0.2 in Univariate logistic regression and taken in to multivariable logistic regression. The variable group expected to be protective against depression is treated as the reference group (Table 4).

The odds of developing depression is increased 2.62; (AOR = 2.62, 95% CI = 1.46–4.71); times among divorced participants when compared with the single one.

The odds of developing depression is decreased by 48%; (AOR = 0.52, 95%CI = 0.29- 0.95); among participants who visit families in the range monthly to yearly when compared with those visit them less than monthly base.

The odds of developing depression is 2.10; (AOR = 2.1, 95% CI = 1.08–4.10); times increased among participants whose parents are divorced and it increased 1.98; (AOR = 1.98, 95%ci = 1.31 to 2.99) times among participants who lost one of their parents when compared with participants whose parents are living together.

The odds of developing depression is 1.63; (AOR = 1.63, 95% CI = 1.13–2.37); times increased among housemaid who had physical violence.

The risk of developing depression is 1.59; (AOR = 1.59, 95%CI = 1.01–2.52); times high among participants who had sexual violence.

The odds of developing depression is 2.19; (AOR = 2.19, 95%CI = 1.31–3.67); increased among non contraceptive users than who use contraceptive.

However, in this study there is no significant association identified between depression and educational status, service year, DSLE, sexual violence (under 15 & life time) and emotional violence.

3.5.2. Factors associated with anxiety:

The binary logistic (crude analysis) was done on socio-demographic (Age, marital status, educational status, service year, salary, family visit and parent's relationship status), clinical (medical condition, contraceptive use); psychosocial factors (alcohol use, khat use, stress full life events, life time physical and sexual violence, under 15 sexual violence and domestic violence,) were found to be p-value of less than 0.2 in Univariate logistic regression and taken in to multivariable logistic regression. The variable group expected to be protective against anxiety is treated as the reference group (Table 5).

The odds of developing Anxiety decreased by 38%; (AOR = 0.68, 95% CI = 0.42–0.92); among participants living with relatives when it compared with relatives living at place possible to visit.

The odds of developing anxiety is 3.92; (AOR = 3.92, 95% CI = 1.99–7.69); times increased among divorced participants when it compared with single one.

The odds of developing Anxiety is 2.63; (AOR = 2.63, 95% CI = 1.06 - 6.56); times higher among participants living with employers when compared with housemaids who visit their relatives more frequently.

When compared with participants whose parents are living together, the risk of developing anxiety is 2.45; (AOR = 2.45, 95% CI = 1.23–4.89); times increased among housemaids from divorced parents, 1.98; (AOR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.30–3.02); times increased among housemaids who lost father/mother, and 1.13 (AOR = 1.13, 95% CI = 0.58–2.19) times increased among housemaids who lost both parents.

The odds of developing anxiety is 1.59; (AOR = 1.59, 95% CI = 1.09–2.33) times more among housemaid who have physical violence.

The odds of developing anxiety is 1.72; (AOR = 1.72, 95% CI = 1.07 – 2.78) times more among housemaid who have sexual violence. 2.03 (1.18–3.50)*

The risk of developing anxiety is 2.03; (AOR = 2.03, 95% CI = 1.18–3.50); times increased among not contraceptive users when it compared with contraceptive users.

However, in this study there is no significant association identified between age, educational status, salary, service year, substance (khat, alcohol, cigarette) use, DSLE, sexual violence (under 15 & life time) and emotional violence.

Discussion, Conclusion And Recommendations

4.1. Discussion

In this study; the prevalence of and possible factors associated with depression and anxiety were assessed; prevalence of depression and anxiety is 27% and 32 % respectively. Also coincidence were analyzed and found 44.6% mild, 18.5% moderate and 8.1% severe forms of depression comorbid with anxiety.

Findings on prevalence of depression and anxiety is supported by different studies done in Kuwait among housemaids; which describes Psychiatric morbidity among housemaids is two to five times higher than the native female population [7–9].

This finding is higher when compared with the study conducted in Florence (22.8 % depression and 17.2% Anxiety) [5]. The possible reason might be that study conducted among women in general population and

this study focus on specific group of population (housemaids) with possibly low socioeconomic characteristics.

Prevalence of depression is higher when it compared with the study done in Mozambique (14%) female heads of household [20]. This difference might be because of the tool used to assess depression. They use PHQ-8, which assess each item of depression and value equally for each item as a screening tool. In case of our study we use PHQ-4, which has two gait symptoms of depression and anxiety for each. Also the study participants are in different status at the household; the head and the servant.

Prevalence of depression is higher when it compared with the study conducted among all Ethiopian women (age 15–49) was 4.4% [27]. This difference may occur majority of participants in our study are in the age group and socioeconomic status which is risky to the problem. Another study conducted in Ethiopian immigrants at Toronto is less (9.8%) when compare with our study[28].

The odds of developing depression and anxiety is 2.62 and 3.92 times increased among divorced participants respectively when it compared with single one. This finding is supported by the study done in Ethiopian women that explain; odds ratio increased for divorced, separated or widowed women than for not-married women (4.05 and 4.24, respectively) [27].

The odd of developing depression and anxiety is 2.10 and 2.45 times respectively increased among participants whose parents are divorced. This finding is supported by study finding Increased risk for major depression and generalized anxiety disorder was associated with parental separation but not parental death and with separation from either mother or father [29]. But it is against finding on parent's death. In this study, parent lost has positively associated with depression and anxiety (father/mother lost 1.98 times for both anxiety & depression. Also death of both parents is 1.13 times associate with anxiety) [29].

The risk of developing Depression and Anxiety is increased among participants who had physical violence (1.63 & 1.59 respectively) and sexual violence (1.59 and 1.72 respectively). This finding supported by the study conducted in Nicaragua. It states that, *'Women reporting abuse (any form) were 6 times more likely to experience emotional distress'*[30].

The risk of developing depression and anxiety is (2.19 and 2.03 times respectively) increased among not contraceptive users when it compared with contraceptive users.

The odds of developing depression decreased by 74%, 75% and 72% among participants in primary, secondary and tertiary level of educational when compared with not educated one. This finding is supported by the study conducted in Mozambique; it explains increased risk of depression when additional year of education (AOR: 1.06)[20]

The odd of developing Anxiety is decreased by 38% among participants living with relatives. This finding is supported by Mozambique's study, additional kilometer from home is risky to develop mental distress

(AOR: 1.05) [20]. Also other study explain support from immediate superior find the most consistent protective factor (OR 0.56, 99% CI: 0.43–0.72) among other factors [31].

Unlike other studies, in this study service year, salary, under 15 sexual violence, age, substance use, emotional violence and other variables do not appear to have an independent effect on depression or anxiety [20, 27].

4.2. Limitation

This study doesn't address employer's information as a factor despite it has impact on housemaids.

4.3. Conclusion

The prevalence of depression and anxiety among housemaid found to be higher at Addis Ababa. Its prevalence is more among age group 16 to 20. This study gives an indication of specific health care needs of women working in household and it is significant public health problem that require a great emphasis. This study weighted the data from Addis Ababa, 2018 to succeed in identifying a statistically significant association on depression and anxiety. The following variables; level of educational, parental relationship, divorce, violence (physical and sexual), contraceptive use and daily stressful life events are found statistically significant.

Preventive measures involving recruitment procedures and pre-employment orientation are needed to minimize the impact of factors on mental health.

4.4. Recommendation

Based on findings and conclusions made, the following recommendations were given for responsible organs.

To Federal Ministry of health and Addis Ababa health bureau

- Consider addressing those groups of female mental health need to achieve health for all agenda
- Provide psychological and social support

To Ethiopia Ministry of Women, Children and Youth Affairs:

- To arrange possible psychosocial support for those groups of population

For Researchers:

- Further scientific efforts should be made to find out other family and employer related factors

Acronyms

AMSH: Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital

CI: Confidence interval

CIDI: Composite International Diagnostic Interview

DSLEMS: Daily Stress Full Life Events Measurement Scale

PHQ-4: Patient Health Questionnaire –4

RW CD: Reader and Writer Compact Disc

SPSS-20: Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20

WHO: World Health Organization

Declarations

5.1. Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance was obtained from Ethical Review Board of AMSH Research and Training Center. An official permission letter was obtain from Addis Ababa Health Bureau and selected sub city administrative organs. Then, after explaining the aim and the purpose of the study to each employer (house head) we contact housemaids to collect the data.

Following that, the purpose, importance and confidentiality of the study were well explained to each study participants before they engage in to actual activities. Finally written consent obtained from each housemaid in the age group 18 and above. For study participants under the age of eighteen (11.5%; ninety five participants), assent was taken from their guardian. Confidentiality was maintained at all levels of the study by avoiding use of name, specific age, actual salary and other identifiers. Participants were informed that they will never get any benefit because of part of the study and no harm on them if they were not agree to participate or withdraw at any stage of data collection.

Participants' privacy was kept by preparing possible temporary data collecting corner at their place. Nine participants with complains of suggestive anxious and depressed feelings were recommended to visit nearby health facility for further evaluation and possible managements.

5.2. Consent for publication

Not applicable

5.3. Availability of data & material

The datasets generated and analyzed during this study are available as supplementary information file

5.4. Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest

5.5. Funding

Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital Research and Training Center covered secretarial expenditure and data collectors' fee. Principal investigator covered analysis and interpretation of data; and in writing the manuscript.

5.6. Authors' contributions

^aAE: Principal Investigator, Corresponding author; Participated in the conception and design of the study, wrote the proposal, participate in data analysis and write up of this manuscript

^bZS, ^cM.G/L.: Consulted on proposal development, report writing, presentation, manuscript writing and approval of final manuscript

^dTJ.: Consultation on proposal development, report writing & presentation

^bZ. S., ^cM.G/L. and ^eY. H.: Participated on training of data collectors, supervision, data management, entry and analysis of data.

All authors read, revised and approved the final manuscript

5.7. Acknowledgments

The Authors would like to acknowledge Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital Research and Training Center; and Addis Ababa City Administration and Sub Cities for their timely administrative supports to complete this study. The Author would also like to thank all household heads who were facilitating data collection procedure,, all study participants, all data collectors and supervisors.

5.8. Authors' information

A. E. is principal investigator, Corresponding author; BSc in Public health Profession, MA in Adult education & lifelong learning – AAU and MSc in integrated clinical and community mental Health - UOG. She is working at Amanuel Mental specialty hospital since 2009.

Z. S. - BSc in Public health Profession, MSc in Integrated Clinical and Community Mental Health-UOG. Since 2009; working at Amanuel Mental specialty hospital.

M.G/L. - BSc in Public health Profession, MSc in Integrated Clinical and Community Mental Health- UOG. Since 2009; working at Amanuel Mental specialty hospital.

T. J. BSc in Public Health Profession and MSc in Integrated Clinical and Community Mental Health - UOG. He is working at Amanuel Mental Specialty Hospital; Research and Training Center

Y. H. - BSc in Public health Profession, MSc in Integrated Clinical and Community Mental Health- UOG. Since 2009; working at Amanuel Mental specialty hospital.

Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

References

- 1.Allen M, Raghallaigh MN: *Women's Experiences of Gender Based Violence in Tigray, Ethiopia*. 2012.
- 2.Baxter A, Scott K, Vos T, Whiteford H: *Global prevalence of anxiety disorders: a systematic review and meta-regression. Psychological medicine* 2013, *43*(5):897–910.
- 3.Parker G, Fletcher K, Paterson A, Anderson J, Hong M: *Gender differences in depression severity and symptoms across depressive sub-types. Journal of affective disorders* 2014, *167*:351–357.
- 4.Weissman MM, Klerman GL: *Sex differences and the epidemiology of depression. Archives of general psychiatry* 1977, *34*(1):98–111.
- 5.Faravelli C, Scarpato MA, Castellini G, Sauro CL: *Gender differences in depression and anxiety: the role of age. Psychiatry research* 2013, *210*(3):1301–1303.
- 6.Kessler RC: *Epidemiology of women and depression. Journal of affective disorders* 2003, *74*(1):5–13.
- 7.Zahid MA, Fido A, Alowaish R, Mohsen MAE-M, Razik MA: *Psychiatric morbidity among housemaids in Kuwait III: Vulnerability factors. International journal of social psychiatry* 2003, *49*(2):87–96.
- 8.El-Hilu SM, Mousa R, Abdulmalek H, Kamel N, Zohdi M, Maher A, Al-Aamriti M: *Psychiatric morbidity among foreign housemaids in Kuwait. International Journal of Social Psychiatry* 1990, *36*(4):291–299.
- 9.Zahid M, Fido A, Razik M, Mohsen M, El-Sayed A: *Psychiatric morbidity among housemaids in Kuwait. Medical Principles and Practice* 2004, *13*(5):249–254.

- 10.Sales EC, Santana VS: *Depressive and anxiety symptoms among housemaids. American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 2003, 44(6):685–691.
- 11.Rehn E, Johnson Sirleaf E: *Women, War and Peace, Progress of the World's Women. New York, UNIFEM* 2002.
- 12.Hsieh Y-CJ, Apostolopoulos Y, Sönmez S: *The world at work: hotel cleaners. Occup Environ Med* 2013, 70(5):360–364.
- 13.Allen M, Raghallaigh MN: *Domestic violence in a developing context: The perspectives of women in northern Ethiopia. Affilia* 2013, 28(3):256–272.
- 14.Kumar A: *Domestic violence in India: Causes, consequences and remedies. Youth ki awaaz* 2010.
- 15.Organization WH: *Addressing violence against women and achieving the Millennium Development Goals.* 2005.
- 16.Semahegn A, Mengistie B: *Domestic violence against women and associated factors in Ethiopia; systematic review. Reproductive health* 2015, 12(1):78.
- 17.Silva MT, Galvao TF, Martins SS, Pereira MG: *Prevalence of depression morbidity among Brazilian adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria* 2014, 36(3):262–270.
- 18.Sadock BJ, Sadock VA: *Kaplan and Sadock's synopsis of psychiatry: Behavioral sciences/clinical psychiatry: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;* 2011.
- 19.Mirza I, Jenkins R: *Risk factors, prevalence, and treatment of anxiety and depressive disorders in Pakistan: systematic review. Bmj* 2004, 328(7443):794.
- 20.Audet CM, Wainberg ML, Oquendo MA, Yu Q, Peratikos MB, Duarte CS, Martinho S, Green AF, González-Calvo L, Moon TD: *Depression among female heads-of-household in rural Mozambique: A cross-sectional population-based survey. Journal of affective disorders* 2018, 227:48–55.
- 21.Ali B, Rahbar M, Naeem S, Tareen A, Gul A, Samad L: *Prevalence of and factors associated with anxiety and depression among women in a lower middle class semi-urban community of Karachi, Pakistan. JPMA The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 2002, 52(11):513–517.
- 22.Mogga S, Prince M, Alem A, Kebede D, Stewart R, Glozier N, Hotopf M: *Outcome of major depression in Ethiopia: population-based study. The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2006, 189(3):241–246.
- 23.Belle D, Doucet J: *Poverty, inequality, and discrimination as sources of depression among US women. Psychology of Women Quarterly* 2003, 27(2):101–113.
- 24.Deyessa N, Berhane Y, Alem A, Hogberg U, Kullgren G: *Depression among women in rural Ethiopia as related to socioeconomic factors: a community-based study on women in reproductive age groups.*

Scandinavian Journal of Social Medicine 2008, 36(6):589–597.

25.Löwe B, Wahl I, Rose M, Spitzer C, Glaesmer H, Wingenfeld K, Schneider A, Brähler E: *A 4-item measure of depression and anxiety: validation and standardization of the Patient Health Questionnaire–4 (PHQ–4) in the general population. Journal of affective disorders* 2010, 122(1):86–95.

26.Ellsberg M, Jansen H, Heise L, Watts CH, Garcia-Moreno C: *WHO Multi-country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence against Women Study Team. Intimate partner violence and women's physical and mental health in the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence: an observational study. Lancet* 2008, 371(9619):1165–1172.

27.Deyessa N, Berhane Y, Alem A, Hogberg U, Kullgren G: *Depression among women in rural Ethiopia as related to socioeconomic factors: a community-based study on women in reproductive age groups. Scandinavian journal of public health* 2008, 36(6):589–597.

28.Fenta H, Hyman I, Noh S: *Determinants of depression among Ethiopian immigrants and refugees in Toronto. The Journal of nervous and mental disease* 2004, 192(5):363–372.

29.Kendler KS, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ: *Childhood parental loss and adult psychopathology in women: a twin study perspective. Archives of General Psychiatry* 1992, 49(2):109–116.

30.Ellsberg M, Caldera T, Herrera A, Winkvist A, Kullgren G: *Domestic violence and emotional distress among Nicaraguan women: Results from a population-based study. American Psychologist* 1999, 54(1):30.

31.Finne LB, Christensen JO, Knardahl S: *Psychological and social work factors as predictors of mental distress: a prospective study. PloS one* 2014, 9(7):e102514.

Tables

Table: 1- Socio-demographic characteristics of housemaids: Addis Ababa, Ethiopia - 2018.

Variables	Results: n=826
	Frequency (%)
Age	
15	29 (3.50)
16 - 20	389 (47.10)
21 - 25	85 (10.30)
>25	323 (39.10)
Service Years	
6 months	143 (17.30)
1 to 2 years	191 (23.10)
2 to 3 years	228 (27.60)
3 to 5 years	69 (8.40)
>5 years	195 (23.60)
Educational status	
No formal education	127 (15.40)
Primary education	523 (63.30)
Secondary education	163 (19.70)
Higher education	13 (1.60)
Religion:	
Orthodox	503 (60.90)
Muslim	112 (13.60)
Protestant	209 (25.30)
Catholic	2 (0.20)
Salary:	
<500	36 (4.40)
501 -1000	470 (56.90)
1001 - 1500	174 (21.10)
1501 - 1200	98 (11.90)
>2000	48 (5.80)
Marital Status:	
Single	660 (79.90)
Separated	86 (10.40)
Divorced	66 (8.00)
Widowed	6 (0.70)
Married	8 (1)
Family Visit:	
<monthly	125 (15.10)
Monthly	174 (21.10)
More than monthly	185 (22.40)
Yearly or more	307 (37.20)
Living with employer	34 (4.10)
Missed	1

Table - 2 - Psychosocial characteristics of housemaids: Addis Ababa, Ethiopia - 2018.

Variables	Results: n=826	
	Yes	No
Life time physical violence	188 (22.8)	638 (77.20)
Hard to get family support	427 (51.70)	399 (48.30)
Life time sexual violence	103 (12.50)	723 (87.50)
Under 15 Sexual Violence	51 (6.20)	775 (93.80)
Daily Stressful Life Events	217 (26.30)	609 (73.70)
Domestic violence:	603 (73.00)	223 (27.00)
• Emotional	531 (64.30)	295 (35.70)
• Physical	378 (45.80)	448 (54.20)
• Sexual	169 (20.50)	657 (79.50)
Substance use		
• Khat	14 (1.70)	812 (98.30)
• Alcohol	32 (3.90)	794 (96.10)
• Cigarette	8(1.00)	818 (99.00)

Table: 3- Clinical characteristics of housemaids: Addis Ababa, Ethiopia - 2018.

Variables	Results: n=826	
	Yes	No
Previously known medical cases	51 (6.20)	775 (93.80)
Medication for known case: (n=51)	21 (41.18)	30(58.82)
Contraceptive use	120 (14.50)	706 (85.50)

Table -4- Factors which has association with depression on binary logistic regression: Addis Ababa, Ethiopia- 2018.

Table -5- Factors which has association with anxiety on binary logistic regression: Addis Ababa, Ethiopia- 2018.

Figures

Variables	Results: n=826			
	Depression		COR (CI 95%)	AOR (CI 95%)
	Yes	No		
Educational Status				
No Formal Education	44 (19.40)	83 (13.90)	.618 (.196 -1.953)	0.28(0.08 - 0.98)*
Primary Level	134 (59.00)	309 (64.90)	.402 (.133 - 1.217)*	0.24 (0.07 - 0.82)*
Secondary Level Education	43 (18.90)	120 (20.00)	.418 (.1333 - 1.313)*	0.25 (0.07 - 0.87)*
College Level Education	6 (2.60)	7 (1.20)	1.00	1.00
Relationship status				
Single	163 (71.80)	497 (83.00)	1.00	1.00
Separated	25 (11.00)	61 (10.20)	1.25 (0.76 - 2.06)	1.27 (0.75 - 2.19)
Divorced	33 (14.50)	33 (5.50)	3.05 (1.82 - 5.10)***	2.62 (1.46 - 4.71)**
Widowed	4 (1.80)	2 (0.30)	6.10 (1.102 - 33.60)*	1.54 (0.25 -9.64)
Married	2 (0.90)	6 (1.00)	1.02 (0.203 - 5.09)	1.15 (0.22 - 6.08)
• Vacation	•	•		
Less than monthly	32 (14.10)	93 (15.50)	1.00	1.00
Monthly	51 (22.50)	123 (20.50)	1.23 (0.72 - 2.02)	0.83 (0.46 - 1.47)
Monthly to yearly	36 (15.90)	149 (24.90)	0.70 (0.41 - 1.21)	0.52 (0.29- 0.95)*
More than Yearly	92 (40.50)	215 (35.90)	1.244 (0.78 - 1.99)	0.83 (0.49 - 1.40)
Living with employer	16 (7.00)	19 (3.20)	2.45 (1.13 - 5.32)*	1.86 (0.79 - 4.38)
Parent R. condition				
Living together	87 (38.30)	321 (53.60)	1.00	1.00
Separated	22 (9.70)	60 (10.00)	1.35 (0.77 - 2.33)	1.38 (0.76 - 2.52)
Divorced	21 (9.30)	32 (5.30)	2.42 (1.33 - 4.41)**	2.10 (1.08 -4.10)*
Mother/ father lost	77 (33.90)	135 (22.50)	2.10 (1.46 - 3.04)***	1.98 (1.31 - 2.99)**
Both parents lost	20 (8.80)	51 (8.50)	1.45 (0.82 - 2.55)	1.22 (0.64 - 2.34)
Daily Stressful Life E				
Yes	76 (33.50)	141 (23.50)	1.99 (1.45- 2.72)***	1.31 (0.89 - 1.9 1)
No	151 (66.50)	458 (76.50)	1.00	
<15 Sexual V.				
Yes	24 (10.60)	27 (4.50)	2.50 (1.41 - 4.44)**	1.81 (0.84 - 3.88)
No	203	572	1.00	1.00

	(89.40)	(95.50)		
Physical violence				
Yes	131 (57.70)	247 (41.20)	1.94 (1.43 - 2.65)***	1.63 (1.13 - 2.37)*
No	96 (42.30)	352 (58.80)	1.00	1.00
Emotional Violence				
Yes	168 (74.00)	363 (60.60)	1.85 (1.32 - 2.59)***	1.32 (0.87 - 2.00)
No	59 (26.00)	236 (39.40)	1.00	1.00
Adolescence Sexual V.				
Yes	69 (30.40)	100 (16.70)	2.18 (1.53 - 3.11)***	1.59 (1.01 - 2.52)*
No	158 (69.60)	499 (83.30)	1.00	1.00
Contraceptive Use				
Yes	26 (11.50)	94 (15.70)	0.69 (0.44 - 1.10)***	2.19 (1.31 - 3.67)**
No	201 (88.50)	505 (84.30)	1.00	1.00
N.B. *=p-value<0.05, **p-value<0.01, ***p-value<0.001 COR=Crude Odds Ratio; AOR= Adjusted Odds Ratio				

Depression among housemaids

Figure 1

Variables	Results: n=826			
	Anxiety		COR (CI 95%)	AOR (CI 95%)
	Yes (%)	No (%)		
• Age				
<15	13 (4.90)	16 (2.90)	1.53 (0.71 - 3.29)	1.89 (0.79 - 4.53)
16 to 20	112 (41.90)	227 (49.60)	0.76 (0.55 - 1.05)*	0.83 (0.56 - 1.21)
21 to 25	30 (11.20)	55 (9.80)	1.03 (0.62 -1.69)	0.71 (0.38 -1.33)
>25	112 (41.90)	211 (37.70)	1.00	1.00
• Level of Education:				
No formal Education	44 (19.40)	83 (13.90)	0.62 (1.96 - 1.95)	0.28 (0.08 - 0.98)*
Primary level	134 (59.00)	309 (64.90)	0.40 (0.13 - 1.22)*	0.24 (0.07 - 0.82)*
Secondary level	43 (18.90)	120 (20.00)	.042 (0.13 - 1.13)*	0.25 (0.07 - 0.87)*
College level	6 (2.60)	7 (1.20)	1.00	1.00
• Salary				
<500	4 (1.50)	32 (5.70)	0.19 (0.58 - 0.63)**	0.25 (0.02 - 2.72)
501 - 1000	161 (60.30)	309 (55.30)	0.79 (0.43 - 1.46)	1.45 (0.25 - 8.21)
1001 - 1500	48 (18.00)	126 (22.50)	0.58 (0.29 - 1.13)*	0.93 (0.21 - 4.06)
1501 - 2000	35 (13.10)	63 (11.30)	0.85 (0.42 - 1.73)	1.31 (0.42 - 4.13)
>2000	19 (7.10)	29 (5.20)	1.00	1.00
• Relatives Distant (how far if your families?)				
Nearest as possible to visit	167 (62.50)	377 (67.40)	1.00	1.00
Not found nearly	2 (0.70)	7 (1.30)	0.36 (0.10 - 1.30)	2.63 (0.62 - 11.11)
Living with them	92 (34.50)	171 (30.60)	0.29 (0.08 - 1.06)*	0.62 (0.42 - 0.92)*
I don't know where they are	6 (2.20)	4 (0.70)	0.19 (0.02 - 1.43)	3.30 (0.69 - 15.78)
• Relationship status				
Single	200 (74.90)	460 (82.30)	1.00	1.00
Separated	26 (9.70)	60 (10.70)	0.99 (0.61 - 1.36)	1.20 (0.67 - 2.15)
Divorced	35 (13.10)	31 (5.50)	2.59 (1.56 - 4.33)***	3.92 (1.99 - 7.69)***
Widowed	4 (1.50)	2 (0.4)	4.60 (0.84 - 25.32)*	1.56 (0.20 - 12.22)
Married	2 (0.70)	6 (1.10)	0.77 (0.15 - 3.83)	1.19 (0.22 - 6.61)
• Vacation (how frequent do you visit your families?)				
Less than monthly	26 (9.70)	99 (17.70)	1.00	1.00
Monthly	56 (21.00)	118 (21.10)	1.891 (1.06 - 3.09)*	1.07 (0.59 - 1.96)
Monthly to yearly	56 (21.00)	129 (23.10)	1.65 (0.97 - 2.82)*	0.59 (0.32 - 1.12)
More than Yearly	117 (43.8)	190 (34.00)	2.34 (1.44 - 3.82)**	1.15 (0.65 - 2.04)
Living with employer	12 (4.50)	23 (4.10)	1.99 (0.87 - 0.51)	2.63 (1.06 - 6.56)*
• Parent condition (Parent Relationship status)				
Living together	113 (42.30)	295 (52.80)	1.00	1.00
Separated	31 (11.60)	51 (9.10)	1.59 (0.97 - 2.61)*	1.12 (0.59 - 2.10)
Divorced	25 (9.40)	28 (5.00)	2.33 (1.30 - 4.19)**	2.45 (1.23 - 4.89)*
Mother/ father lost	74 (27.70)	138 (24.70)	1.40 (0.98 - 1.99)*	1.98 (1.30 -3.02)**
Both parents lost	24 (9.00)	47 (8.40)	1.33 (0.78 - 2.28)	1.13 (0.58 -2.19)**
• Parent support: If problems happen, how hard is getting family support?				

Yes	106 (41.20)	28.90(51.70)	0.65 (0.49 -0.89)**	1.67 (1.16 - 2.42)**
No	157 (58.80)	270 (48.30)	1.00	1.00
• Physical Violence: while working as house maid				
Yes	153 (57.30)	225 (40.30)	1.99 (1.48 - 2.68)**	1.59 (1.09 - 2.33)*
No	114 (42.70)	334 (59.70)	1.00	1.00
• Sexual Violence: while working as house maid				
Yes	93 (34.80)	76 (13.60)	3.39 (2.39 - 4.82)***	1.72 (1.07 - 2.78)*
No	147 (65.20)	483 (86.40)	1.00	1.00
• DSLE:				
Yes	96 (36.00)	121 (21.60)	2.03 (1.47 - 2.80)***	1.51 (1.04 - 2.19)*
No	171 (64.00)	438 (78.40)	1.00	1.00
• Contraceptive Use				
Yes	106 (41.20)	289 (51.70)	0.73 (0.47 - 1.12)*	2.03 (1.18 - 3.50)*
No	157 (58.80)	270 (48.30)	1.00	1.00
N.B. *=p-value<0.05, **p-value<0.01, ***p-value<0.001 COR=Crude Odds Ratio; AOR= Adjusted Odds Ratio				

Prevalence of Depression among Housemaids: Addis Ababa-Ethiopia, 2018 (N=826).

Anxiety among housemaids

Figure 2

Prevalence of Anxiety among Housemaids: Addis Ababa-Ethiopia, 2018 (N=826).

Figure 3

Prevalence of Anxiety with Depression among Housemaids: Addis Ababa-Ethiopia, 2018 (N=826).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [REVISEDHM2018FORANALYSIS.csv](#)
- [eq1.jpg](#)