

Simplified Cultivation Methods for Rice Production in a Rice-ratoon Rice Cropping System in Southwestern China

Peng Jiang

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Fuxian Xu (✉ xu6501@163.com)

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Lin Zhang

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Liu Mao

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Hong Xiong

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Xiaoyi Guo

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Yongchuan Zhu

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Xingbing Zhou

Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Research Article

Keywords: hybrid rice, ratoon rice, direct seeding, grain yield, simplified cultivation method

Posted Date: July 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-645672/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Simplified cultivation methods for rice production offer considerable social, economic, and environmental benefits. However, limited information is available on yield components of rice grown using simplified cultivation methods in a rice-ratoon rice cropping system. A field experiment using two hybrids and two rice cultivars was conducted to compare four cultivation methods (conventional tillage and transplanting, CTP; conventional tillage and direct seeding, CTDS; no-tillage and transplanting, NTP; no-tillage and direct seeding, NTDS) in a rice-ratoon rice system from 2017–2020. Main season yields for CTDS and NTDS were higher than for CTP, whereas ratoon season yields for CTDS and NTDS were equal to or higher than for CTP. Annual grain yields for CTDS and NTDS were higher than for CTP. The higher CTDS and NTDS yields were associated with higher panicle numbers per m² and biomass production. Rice hybrids had significantly higher yields than inbred cultivars, which was attributed to high grain weight and biomass production. Our results suggest that CTP can be replaced by CTDS and NTDS to maintain high grain yields and save labor costs. Developing cultivars with high grain weight could be a feasible approach to achieve high rice yields in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system in southwest China.

Introduction

Rice is the main staple food crop that supports a large segment of the global population ¹. It has been estimated that global rice production must increase by 116 million tons by 2035 to meet the growing demand for food that will result from predicted population growth². In addition, China will need to produce approximately 20% more rice by 2030 to meet its projected domestic needs ³. Further increases in rice production in China are mainly dependent on more frequent harvests on the existing cropland ^{4–5}. In recent years, the area of arable cropland used for rice production has been decreasing because of increasing use of land for urbanization ⁶. Yield gap analysis has also shown that rice yields have been approaching their potential biophysical ceiling ^{7–8}, and the average annual growth rate was – 0.3% from 1998 to 2006 in China ⁹. In general, multiple rice cropping systems include double-season rice, triple-season rice, and ratoon rice; the double-season rice system is the dominant multiple rice cropping system used in China and elsewhere in Asia ¹⁰. However, the planting area of the double rice cropping systems in China has continued to decline because of labor shortages, a low level of mechanization, and low production efficiency ¹¹. To ensure rice self-sufficiency and food security, strategies to increase the productivity of multiple rice cropping systems are desperately needed.

Ratoon rice, which is the practice of obtaining a second harvest from tillers originating from the stubble remaining after harvesting the main rice crop, has emerged as an alternative option to replace double-season rice ¹². This is called a "one planting and two harvests" cropping system. Ratoon rice crops are characterized by short growth periods, high daily yields, high rice quality, low labor requirements, low water usage, reduced seed usage, reduced production costs, and increased yield and profits ¹³. The total area of ratoon rice cultivation was more than 1.24 million hectares in 2020 ¹⁴. Ratoon rice also conserves resources and is environmentally friendly. At present, conventional tillage is the most widely used method

for land preparation of paddy fields for the main season rice crop. In ratoon rice systems, the crop establishment method used for the main season crop is mainly seedling transplantation, which is still the dominant method used in China. Transplanting seedlings requires a large amount of manpower and the task is very labor-intensive and involves working in a stooping posture and moving in a muddy field¹⁵. Paradoxically, labor availability is limited in China because an increasing number of young farmers have left for jobs in the cities, leaving the older farmers behind. Recently, simplified cultivation technologies for rice production have become increasingly attractive because the potential benefits include savings on labor, reduced water use, lower greenhouse gas emissions, and reduced environmental risks as well as improved rice yield if the crop is properly managed¹⁶.

Simplified cultivation technologies for rice production commonly rely on simplifying the methods used for crop establishment, or land preparation, or both. At present, several simplified cultivation technologies, such as conventional tillage and direct seeding (CTDS), no-tillage and direct seeding (NTDS), and no-tillage and transplanting (NTTP), have been developed in China for rice production. The adoption of simplified cultivation technologies for producing the main rice crop in place of conventional transplanting is continuously increasing in Asia, because this can potentially reduce production costs through savings on fuel and labor while maintaining grain yields and increasing system productivity and resource use efficiency compared with conventional transplanting¹⁷⁻¹⁸. However, the effects of simplified cultivation technologies on rice yields in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system is still unknown.

Rice yield is determined by the number of panicles per unit land area, the spikelet number per panicle, the spikelet filling percentage, and grain weight. Lin et al. showed that the number of spikelets per panicle contributed the most to grain yield in the main rice crop, and the number of panicles per unit area of land contributed the most to grain yield in ratoon rice through the sink size (spikelet number per unit land area)¹⁹. The grain yield of ratoon rice is significantly and positively correlated with the number of panicles per unit land area²⁰. The largest planting area of ratoon rice in China is in southwest China, and accounts for 40% of the current total land area devoted to ratoon rice. However, rice farmers transplant rice seedlings at extremely wide spacings in southwest China due to the shortage of rural labor and increased labor costs³. Grain yields of the main rice crop and ratoon rice in the farmers' paddy fields planted at low density were only 93% and 70%, respectively, of the yield from 666 hectares of demonstration fields planted at high density; and the average total yield in the farmers' paddy fields was approximately 1,500 kg ha⁻¹ lower than that in the demonstration fields¹³. These results indicate that the number of panicles per unit land area plays a vital role in yield formation in ratoon rice, which depends on the ability of the meristematic buds to regenerate, or the number of mother stems per unit land area, or both. The ability of the buds to regenerate is affected by both genetics and management practice factors. Xu et al. has suggested that the selection of genotypes with strong bud regeneration ability in breeding programs could be an effective way to achieve an increase in panicle number per unit land area in the ratoon season crop¹³. Huang et al. reported that grain yield in the direct-seeded (DS) main rice crop was higher than in the main crop using conventional transplanting (CT), and that this was mainly due to the higher panicle number per m² of the main rice crop²¹. These results imply that the panicle number per m² in the

ratoon season can be increased by increasing the number of rice mother stems through the use of DS. However, limited information is available on grain yield and yield components in rice grown using simplified cultivation technologies in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system. A four-year field experiment was conducted with the following aims: (1) to examine the effects of simplified cultivation technologies on grain yield in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system; (2) to determine yield formation characteristics of rice grown using simplified cultivation technologies in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system.

Results

Crop growth and development

The length of the ratoon growth season was 45–48% that of main season (Table 1). The lengths of the main and ratoon growing seasons were similar for the four years of the experiment. Hybrid rice cultivars with longer main growing seasons also had longer ratoon growing seasons. The total main season growth durations for the rice hybrids were 9–10 d longer than for the inbred rice cultivars. The longer total main season growth duration of the rice hybrids was mainly attributed to the longer growing time from sowing to heading. Similarly, the total growth duration for the ratoon season was longer for the rice hybrids than for the inbred rice cultivars by 4–7 d.

Table 1
Growth duration of four rice cultivars in main rice crop -ratoon rice system in 2017–2020

Cultivar	Year	SW-HD ^a (d)	HD-MH (d)	Main crop duration (d)	MH- HDR (d)	HDR- RH (d)	Ratoon crop duration (d)
HHZ & JNSM ^b	2017	112	30	142	34	30	64
	2018	110	30	140	32	32	64
	2019	111	30	141	34	30	64
	2020	111	30	141	34	31	65
NY103 & RY1015	2017	119	32	151	38	32	70
	2018	117	32	149	38	33	71
	2019	118	33	151	37	31	68
	2020	117	33	150	39	33	72

^a SW-HD, days from sowing to heading; HD-MH, days from heading to harvest of the main rice crop; MH-HDR, days from harvest of the main rice crop to heading of the ratoon crop; HDR-RH, days from heading of the ratoon rice to harvest of the ratoon crop. ^bHHZ, JNSM, NY103, and RY1015 are abbreviations for the cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' and 'Jinnongsimiao', and the hybrids 'Nei6you103' and 'Rongyou1015', respectively.

Climatic condition

The maximum and minimum temperatures were slightly higher for the rice hybrids from sowing (SW) to heading (HD) and from HD to harvest for the main rice crop (MH) than for the rice inbreds during the main growing season (Table 2). However, the rice hybrids experienced lower maximum and minimum temperatures from MH to heading of the ratoon rice (HDR) and from HDR to harvest of the ratoon rice (RH) than did the rice inbred lines in the ratoon growing season.

Table 2

Maximum and minimum temperatures during the rice-growing season from sowing to maturity of ratoon rice from 2017 to 2020.

Cultivar	Year	SW-HD ^a	HD-MH	MH-HDR	HDR-RH
HHZ & JNSM ^b	2017	25.9	35.2	33.0	26.7
	2018	27.5	34.1	34.6	24.3
	2019	26.0	31.1	33.3	27.1
	2020	26.9	30.7	33.8	24.6
	Mean	26.6	32.8	33.7	25.7
NY103 & RY1015	2017	26.3	35.6	31.6	22.9
	2018	27.6	35.1	31.2	21.5
	2019	26.1	32.1	31.8	24.9
	2020	27.0	32.4	30.8	20.8
	Mean	26.7	33.8	31.3	22.5
Minimum temperature (°C)					
HHZ & JNSM ^b	2017	18.0	26.2	24.6	21.0
	2018	19.0	25.6	25.3	20.1
	2019	18.4	23.9	24.4	20.2
	2020	18.5	24.1	24.7	19.8
	Mean	18.5	24.9	24.7	20.2
NY103 & RY1015	2017	18.4	26.2	23.8	18.4
	2018	19.4	25.5	23.8	17.3
	2019	18.6	24.7	23.5	18.3
	2020	18.8	25.0	22.8	17.1
	Mean	18.8	25.3	23.5	17.8

^a SW-HD, days from sowing to heading; HD-MH, days from heading to harvest of the main rice crop; MH-HDR, days from harvesting the main rice crop to heading of the ratoon rice; HDR-RH, days from heading to harvest of the ratoon rice crop. ^b HHZ, JNSM, NY103, and RY1015 are abbreviations for the cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' and 'Jinnongsimiao', and the hybrids 'Nei6you103' and 'Rongyou1015', respectively.

Grain yield and its attributes

The interactive effects of $Y \times T$ and $Y \times C$ were significant for main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual grain yield (Table 3). There was no significant interactive effect of $T \times C$ on main season yield, ratoon season yield, or annual grain yield. The interactive effect of $Y \times T \times C$ was significant for ratoon season yield and annual grain yield but was not significant for main season yield.

Table 3

Main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual yield of four rice cultivars/hybrids grown under four cultivation methods from 2017 to 2020.

Variable	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)		
	Main crop yield	Ratoon crop yield	Annual yield
Treatment (T) ^a			
CTDS	9.34 a	3.21 b	12.55 a
NTDS	9.05 b	3.36 a	12.41 a
NTTP	8.65 c	3.20 b	11.85 b
CTTP	8.80 c	3.22 b	12.03 b
Cultivar (C) ^b			
HHZ	8.43 b	2.93 d	11.36 c
JNSM	8.07 c	3.07 c	11.14 d
NY103	9.64 a	3.70 a	13.33 a
RY1015	9.70 a	3.31 b	13.01 b
Year (Y)			
2017	10.59 a	3.34 b	13.93 a
2018	8.70 b	2.83 c	11.52 c
2019	8.26 c	3.30 b	11.56 c
2020	8.29 c	3.54 a	11.83 b
Interaction			
Y×T	**	*	**
Y×C	**	**	*
T×C	ns	ns	ns
Y×T×C	ns	**	**

^a CTDS, NTDS, NTTP and CTTP represent conventional tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and transplanting, and conventional tillage and transplanting, respectively. ^b HHZ, JNSM, NY103, and RY1015 are abbreviations for the cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' and 'Jinnongsimiao', and the hybrids 'Nei6you103' and 'Rongyou1015', respectively. The means within each variable that share the same lower-case letter are not significantly different according to LSD at $p = 0.05$. * Significant at $p < 0.05$; ** Significant at $p < 0.01$; ns, not significant at $p < 0.05$.

There were significant differences in main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual grain yield among the four cultivation methods used in the experiment (Table 3). Grain yield of the main rice crop for the CTDS and NTDS treatments was 6% and 3% higher, respectively, than for the CTTP treatment, whereas grain yield of ratoon rice for the CTDS and NTDS treatments was equal to or higher than for the CTTP treatment. Consequently, the annual grain yield for rice grown using CTDS and NTDS was 4% and 3% higher, respectively, than for rice grown using CTTP. Main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual grain yield for the NTTP treatment were slightly lower than for the CTTP treatment.

A large difference was observed in main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual grain yield between the rice hybrids and the inbred cultivars (Table 3). The average main season yield and ratoon season yield for the rice hybrids was 9.67 and 3.51 t ha⁻¹, respectively, which were approximately 17% higher than the yields for the rice inbreds. Consequently, the hybrids had 17% higher annual grain yield than did the inbred cultivars.

The main season yields were 22%, 28%, and 28% higher in 2017 than in 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. The ratoon season yield was similar to, or slightly higher in 2017, than it was in 2018, 2019, and 2020. As a result, the annual grain yields were 21%, 21%, and 18% higher than in 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively.

The interactive effects of Y×T, T×C and Y×T×C were significant for panicles per m² and spikelets per panicle in the main season rice crop but were not significant for grain filling and grain weight in the main rice crop (Table 4). There was a significant Y×C interactive effect on panicles per m², spikelets per panicle, grain filling, and grain weight in the main rice crop.

Table 4

Yield components of four rice cultivars/hybrids grown under four cultivation methods in the main crop season in 2017–2020.

Variable	Panicles per m ²	Spikelets per panicle	Grain filling (%)	Grain weight (mg)
Treatment (T) ^a				
CTDS	354.2 a	121.4 d	90.3 b	24.9 a
NTDS	327.9 b	130.2 c	91.3 a	24.9 a
NTTP	230.7 d	159.9 a	91.3 a	24.7 a
CTTP	242.8 c	154.6 b	91.5 a	24.8 a
Cultivar (C) ^b				
HHZ	330.3 a	133.4 b	92.0 b	21.9 c
JNSM	263.0 d	176.7 a	88.6 d	19.6 d
NY103	287.1 b	121.9 c	93.2 a	29.6 a
RY1015	275.2 c	134.1 b	90.6 c	28.1 b
Year (Y)				
2017	331.4 a	138.1 b	92.0 a	25.2 a
2018	279.1 b	140.3 b	92.6 a	24.6 c
2019	273.0 b	138.1 b	89.6 b	24.9 b
2020	272.1 b	149.6 a	90.2 b	24.6 c
Interaction				
Y×T	**	**	ns	ns
Y×C	**	**	**	**
T×C	**	**	ns	ns
Y×T×C	**	**	ns	ns
<p>^a CTDS, NTDS, NTTP, and CTTP represent conventional tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and transplanting, and conventional tillage and transplanting, respectively. ^b HHZ, JNSM, NY103, and RY1015 are abbreviations for the cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' and 'Jinnongsimiao', and the hybrids 'Nei6you103' and 'Rongyou1015', respectively. Means within each variable sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD at P = 0.05. * Significant at $p < 0.05$; ** Significant at $p < 0.01$; ns, not significant at $p < 0.05$.</p>				

The panicles per m² in the main rice crop for the CTDS and NTDS treatments were 46% and 35% higher than for the CTTP treatment, respectively, and there were 22% and 16% fewer spikelets per panicle in the main rice crop for the CTDS and NTDS treatments than for the CTTP treatment, respectively (Table 4).

The number of panicles per m² in the main rice crop for the NTTP treatment was 5% lower than for the CTTP treatment; however, the number of spikelets per panicle for main rice crop was 3% higher for the NTTP treatment compared to CTTP. The differences in grain filling and grain weight in the main rice crop among the four cultivation methods were relatively small.

The inbred cultivar 'Huanghuazhan' had the highest number of panicles per m² and 'Jinnongsimiao' had the most spikelets per panicle in the main growing season (Table 4). On average, the rice hybrids had 5% fewer panicles per m² and 17% fewer spikelets per panicle than did the rice inbreds in the main growing season, while grain filling and grain weight were 2% and 39% higher, respectively, in the rice hybrids compared to the inbred cultivars.

The panicles per m², grain filling, and grain weight in the main rice crop were higher in 2017 than in 2018, 2019, and 2020 (Table 4). The number of spikelets per panicle in the main rice crop was slightly lower in 2017 than in 2018, 2019, and 2020.

The interactive effects of Y×T and Y×C were significant for yield components in the ratoon rice crop except for the interactive effect of Y×T for grain filling (Table 5). The interactive effect of T×C was not significant for number of panicles per m², spikelets per panicle, or grain filling in ratoon rice but was significant for grain weight in ratoon rice. The interactive effect of Y×T×C was not significant for the number spikelets per panicle, grain filling, and grain weight in ratoon rice but was significant for the number of panicles per m² in ratoon rice.

Table 5

Yield components of four rice cultivars/hybrids grown under four cultivation methods in the ratoon rice season in 2017–2020.

Variable	Panicles per m ²	Spikelets per panicle	Grain filling (%)	Grain weight (mg)
Treatment (T)				
CTDS	352.2 a	55.8 b	72.9 b	21.1 a
NTDS	327.3 b	59.3 a	73.4 b	21.3 a
NTTP	279.4 c	61.4 a	76.9 a	21.1 a
CTTP	288.7 c	61.0 a	76.9 a	21.1 a
Cultivar (C)				
HHZ	338.7 a	58.0 b	76.3 b	17.5 c
JNSM	291.5 b	67.3 a	80.8 a	16.5 d
N6Y103	342.5 a	51.4 c	71.7 c	25.4 a
RY1015	274.9 b	60.7 b	71.2 c	25.1 b
Year (Y)				
2017	385.4 a	64.1 b	72.0 b	21.3 b
2018	322.0 b	54.2 c	71.4 b	20.1 c
2019	337.2 b	45.6 d	78.6 a	22.0 a
2020	203.0 c	73.6 a	78.1 a	21.1 b
Interaction				
Y×T	*	ns	**	**
Y×C	**	**	**	**
T×C	ns	ns	ns	*
Y×T×C	*	ns	ns	ns
<p>^a CTDS, NTDS, NTTP, and CTTP represent conventional tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and transplanting, and conventional tillage and transplanting, respectively. ^b HHZ, JNSM, NY103, and RY1015 are abbreviations for the cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' and 'Jinnongsimiao', and the hybrids 'Nei6you103' and 'Rongyou1015', respectively. Means within each variable sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD at P = 0.05. * Significant at $p < 0.05$; ** Significant at $p < 0.01$; ns, not significant at $p < 0.05$.</p>				

The number of panicles per m² in ratoon rice for the CTDS and NTDS treatments were 22% and 13% higher, respectively, than in the CTTP treatment, while there were 9% and 3% fewer spikelets per panicle, respectively, in ratoon rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments compared to CTTP (Table 5). Grain filling in

ratoon rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments was lower than in the CTTP treatment by 5% and 4%, respectively. The differences in yield components of ratoon rice between the CTTP and NTTP treatments were relatively small, and there were no significant differences in grain weight among the four cultivation methods.

The hybrid 'Nei6you107' had the highest number of panicles per m² and the cultivar 'Jinnongsimiao' had the most spikelets per panicle in the ratoon season (Table 5). On average, rice hybrids had fewer panicles per m², fewer spikelets per panicle, and less grain filling than the inbred cultivars by 2%, 11%, and 9% in the ratoon season, respectively, while grain weight in the rice hybrids was 48.5% higher than in the inbred lines in the ratoon season.

The number of panicles per m² was the highest in 2017, and the number of spikelets per panicle was the highest in the 2020 in ratoon season (Table 5). Grain filling in ratoon rice in 2017 and 2018 was 9% higher than it was in 2019 and 2020. The differences in grain weight in ratoon rice among the four years were relatively small or inconsistent.

Biomass production and harvest index

The interactive effects of Y×T, Y×C, T×C, and Y×T×C were significant for total dry matter and harvest index in the main season rice crop (Table 6). The total dry matter of the main rice crop in the CTDS and NTDS treatments was higher than in the CTTP treatment by 16% and 18%, respectively, whereas the harvest indexes of the main rice crop in the CTDS and NTDS treatments were lower than in the CTTP treatment. The NTTP treatment produced 5% less total dry matter in the main rice crop than the CTTP treatment; however, the harvest index of the main rice crop in the NTTP treatment was 2% higher than in the CTTP treatment. The rice hybrids had 13% higher total dry matter compared to the inbred cultivars in the main season. The difference in the harvest index for the main rice crop between the hybrids and the inbred cultivars was relatively small. The total dry matter was highest in 2017 and the harvest index was highest in 2020 in the main growing season.

Table 6

Biomass and harvest index of four rice cultivars/hybrids grown four cultivation methods in the main crop-ratoon rice system in 2017–2020.

Variable	Main season		Ratoon season	
	Total dry matter (g m ⁻²)	Harvest index (%)	Total dry matter (g m ⁻²)	Harvest index (%)
Treatment (T) ^a				
CTDS	1767.0 a	52.9 c	654.6 a	42.8 c
NTDS	1748.6 a	53.2 c	660.9 a	43.2 bc
NTTP	1455.7 c	55.1 a	586.4 b	44.4 ab
CTTP	1524.5 b	54.2 b	603.1 b	44.7 a
Cultivar (C) ^b				
HHZ	1581.2 c	54.0 a	600.4 b	42.4 c
JNSM	1474.1 d	53.4 b	544.9 c	45.7 a
N6Y103	1738.7 a	54.1 a	722.1 a	43.2 bc
RY1015	1701.8 b	53.9 ab	637.6 b	43.8 b
Year (Y)				
2017	1884.0 a	53.4 b	763.9 a	47.4 a
2018	1581.1 b	53.4 b	576.4 c	42.6 c
2019	1488.3 d	53.1 b	629.2 b	41.0 d
2020	1542.4 c	55.6 a	535.6 d	44.1 b
Interaction				
Y×T	**	**	**	**
Y×C	**	**	**	**
T×C	**	*	ns	ns
Y×T×C	**	**	**	**
<p>^a CTDS, NTDS, NTTP, and CTTP represent conventional tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and direct seeding, no-tillage and transplanting, and conventional tillage and transplanting, respectively. ^b HHZ, JNSM, NY103, and RY1015 are abbreviations for the cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' and 'Jinnongsimiao', and the hybrids 'Nei6you103' and 'Rongyou1015', respectively. Means within each variable sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD at P = 0.05. * Significant at $p < 0.05$; ** Significant at $p < 0.01$; ns, not significant at $p < 0.05$.</p>				

The interactive effects of Y×T, Y×C, and Y×T×C were significant for total dry matter and harvest index in ratoon rice (Table 6). The interactive effect of T×C was not significant for biomass production or harvest index in ratoon rice. The total dry matter of ratoon rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments was 9% and 10% higher, respectively, than in the CTPP treatment. The harvest index of ratoon rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments were lower than in the CTPP treatment by 4% and 3%, respectively. The total dry matter and harvest index of ratoon rice in the NTPP and CTPP treatments were the same. On average, the rice hybrids had 19% higher total dry matter than the rice inbreds in the ratoon season; however, the harvest index was 1% lower for the rice hybrids than for the inbreds in the ratoon season. The total dry matter and harvest index of ratoon rice were the highest in 2017.

Discussion

Our results show that there are significant differences in the main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual yield among the four cultivation methods in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system (Table 3). Dong et al. found no differences in the main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual yield between direct-seeded rice and transplanted rice in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system²². In contrast, Liu et al. reported that main season yield, ratoon season yield, and annual yield of direct-seeded and seedling broadcasting rice were significantly lower than the yields for manually transplanted seedlings²³. In the present study, the main season yields in the CTDS and NTDS treatments were 3%-6% higher than in the CTPP treatment, while the ratoon season yields in the CTDS and NTDS treatments were similar to or higher than the yields in the CTPP treatment. Consequently, CTDS and NTDS produced 3%-4% higher annual yields than did CTPP. On average, a high annual yield of > 12 t ha⁻¹ was achieved for CTDS and NTDS. The main season yields, ratoon season yields, and annual yields for rice grown in the NTPP and CTPP treatments were equal. These results suggest that CTPP can be replaced with CTDS and NTDS to maintain high rice grain yields and save on labor costs for rice production in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system.

The higher main season and ratoon season yields in the CTDS and NTDS treatments can be attributed to the higher number of panicles per m², which was significantly higher for CTDS and NTDS than for CTPP (Tables 4 and 5). The high contribution of panicle number to grain yield in rice production has also been reported by Huang et al. and Lin and Luo²⁴⁻²⁵. However, these studies only analyzed the contribution of yield components to grain yield in single-season rice. Therefore, it is difficult to understand whether the contributions of yield components to grain yield vary with the rice-growing season. In the present study, the panicle number per m² in the main rice crop and in ratoon rice for CTDS and NTDS was significantly higher than for CTPP, while the number of spikelets per panicle in the main rice crop and ratoon rice for CTDS and NTDS was lower than for CTPP. The differences in grain filling and grain weight in the main rice crop and in ratoon rice among the four cultivation methods was relatively small or inconsistent. Therefore, improving panicle number per m² is the key to increasing both main season and ratoon season yields in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system.

In another approach, rice grain yield is determined by biomass production and harvest index²⁶. However, it is generally thought that further improvements in rice grain yield might be driven by increasing the biomass production rather than the harvest index^{27–28}, because there is little room to further increase the harvest index²⁹. Consistently, in the present study, biomass production in the main rice crop and in ratoon rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments was significantly higher than in the CTPP treatment; however, the harvest index of both the main and ratoon rice crops in the CTDS and NTDS treatments was significantly lower than in the CTPP treatment. This result indicates that further improvement in biomass production is important for improving rice grain yield while sustaining a high harvest index in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system. Biomass production can be increased by increasing dry weight per plant or plant number per unit land area, or both. In the present study, higher biomass production for both the main and ratoon rice crops in the CTDS and NTDS treatments compared to CTPP was mainly due to a higher plant number per unit land area, because the dry weight per plant of the main rice crop and ratoon rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments was lower than in the CTPP treatment. Our results indicate that direct-seeding is a feasible strategy to increase tiller numbers that results in high plant number per unit land area and high biomass production in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system.

The main season, ratoon season, and annual rice yields were significantly higher in the rice hybrids than in the inbred cultivars. This result suggests that rice hybrids are more suitable than inbred cultivars to achieve high main season yields, ratoon season yields, and annual yields in southwest China. Similarly, previous studies have demonstrated that rice hybrids produce higher ratoon season yields and annual yields than do rice inbreds in direct seeded rice-ratoon rice cultivation systems^{30–31}. The higher main season, ratoon season, and annual yields of rice hybrids compared to inbred cultivars can be attributed to the higher grain weight and biomass production, which suggests that developing cultivars with high grain weight and biomass production through breeding may also be a feasible approach to achieve high rice yield in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system in southwest China.

Conclusion

Main season yields, ratoon season yields, and annual yields in the CTDS and NTDS treatments were higher than those in the CTPP treatment in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system in southwest China. The higher rice grain yields of direct-seeded rice in the CTDS and NTDS treatments were associated with higher panicle number per m² and biomass production. This result indicates that CTPP can be replaced with CTDS and NTDS to maintain high rice grain yields and to save labor costs for rice production in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system in southwest China. Rice hybrids produced higher main season yields, ratoon season yields, and annual yields than inbred cultivars. The high grain yields produced by rice hybrids were attributed to high grain weight and biomass production. This suggests that breeding and selecting rice cultivars with high grain weight and biomass production may also be a feasible approach towards achieving high grain yields in the rice-ratoon rice cropping system in southwest China.

Methods

A fixed field experiment was conducted in Fuji Town (29°10' N, 105°23' E, 280 m asl) in Luxian County, Sichuan Province, China, from 2017 to 2020. The location has a subtropical zone humid climate with a mean annual temperature of 17.8°C, mean annual rainfall of 1,065 mm, mean annual relative humidity of 84%, mean annual sunshine of 1,390 hr, and an annual frost-free period of 341 d. The soil from the upper 20 cm surface layer contained 1.5 g kg⁻¹ total nitrogen (N), 495.5 mg kg⁻¹ total phosphorus, 31.6 g kg⁻¹ total potassium, 30.3 g kg⁻¹ organic matter, 150 mg kg⁻¹ NaOH hydrolysable N, 4.4 mg kg⁻¹ Olsen P, 162.0 mg kg⁻¹ NH₄OAc-extractable K, and had a pH of 4.3.

Four regionally popular and widely-adopted rice varieties, the hybrids 'Nei6you103' (NY103) and 'Rongyou1015' (RY1015) and the elite inbred cultivars 'Huanghuazhan' (HHZ) and 'Jinnongsimiao' (JNSM), were used in this experiment. Plant seeds were provided by the following organizations and persons: Rice and Sorghum Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences and Rice Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences. In each year, the hybrids NY103 and RY1015 and the elite inbreds HHZ and JNSM were grown using four cultivation methods: conventional tillage and transplanting (CTTP), conventional tillage and direct seeding (CTDS), no-tillage and transplanting (NTTP), and no-tillage and direct seeding (NTDS). Plots were arranged in a split-plot design with cultivation method as the main plot and rice cultivars as the sub-plots. Each treatment was replicated three times and the sub-plot size was 20 m². The land preparation for the conventional tillage plots was carried out by plowing followed by a single harrowing, and for the no-tillage plots, herbicide was applied one week before planting. For transplanting, the seedlings were raised in a seedbed and 31- to 35-day-old seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 26.4 cm×20 cm with two seedlings per hill. For direct-seeding, pre-germinated seeds were broadcasted onto the soil surface at a seeding rate of 22.5 kg ha⁻¹ on March 15th in each year. The fertilizers used were urea for nitrogen (N), single superphosphate for phosphorus (P), and potassium chloride for potassium (K) at doses of 225 kg N ha⁻¹, 67.5 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, and 150 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. N was applied in four splits: 40% as basal, 20% at mid-tillering, 20% at panicle initiation, and 20% at 10 days full-heading of the main rice crop. P was applied as basal. K was applied in three splits: 50% as basal, 30% at panicle initiation, and 20% at 10 days full-heading of the main rice crop. Water management practices were as follows: water was drained off completely before sowing, maintaining soil saturation from sowing to the three-leaf stage of the main rice crop, after which the fields were kept flooded for the entire growing season. Insects, diseases, and weeds were intensively controlled throughout the entire growing season to avoid yield loss.

At the maturity stages of the main and ratoon crops, plants were sampled from an area of 0.48 m² in the directed-seeded subplots and six hills in the transplanted subplots to determine the yield components, aboveground total biomass, and harvest index. After counting the number of panicles, the plants were separated into straw and grains by manual threshing. Filled spikelets were separated from the unfilled spikelets by submerging them in tap water. Both the filled and unfilled spikelets were then air dried. Three subsamples (30 g each) of the filled spikelets and all unfilled spikelets were counted to calculate the number of spikelets per panicle, the percentage of filled spikelets, and grain weight. After oven-drying to a constant weight at 70°C, the dry weights of the straw and the filled and unfilled spikelets were

determined. Aboveground total biomass was calculated as the sum of the total dry matter of the straw and the filled and unfilled spikelets. The harvest index was calculated as the ratio of filled grain dry weight to aboveground total biomass.

The Statistix 8 software package (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, Florida, USA) was used for analysis of variance (ANOVA). The statistical model for ANOVA included replication, treatment (T), cultivar (C), year (Y), the two-factor interactions of T×C, T×Y, and C×Y, and the three-factor interaction of T×C×Y. The statistical significance was set at the 0.05 probability level.

Declarations

Statement

The study complies with local and national regulations.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the earmarked fund for China Agriculture Research System (CARS-01-25) and the foundation of excellent paper program of Sichuan Agricultural Sciences Academy (2017LWJJ-009).

Author Contributions

PJ and FX conceived the study. PJ, LZ, HX, XG, YZ and XZ performed the experiment and collected the data. PJ analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the article.

References

1. Fageria, N. K. Yield physiology of rice. *J. Plant Nutr*, **30**, 843–879 (2007).
2. Yamano, T., Arouna, A., Labarta, R. A., Huelgas, Z. M. & Mohanty, S. Adoption and impacts of international rice research technologies. *Global Food Sec*, **8**, 1–8 (2016).

3. Peng, S. B., Tang, Q. Y. & Zou, Y. B. Current status and challenges of rice production in China. *Plant Prod. Sci*, **12**, 3–8 (2009).
4. Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A., Walters, D. T. & Yang, H. Meeting cereal demand while protecting natural resources and improving environmental quality. *Annu. Rev. Env. Resour*, **28**, 315–358 (2003).
5. Ray, D. K. & Foley, J. A. Increasing global crop harvest frequency: recent trends and future directions. *Environ. Res. Lett*, **8**, 044041 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044041> (2013).
6. Long, H. L. Land consolidation: an indispensable way of spatial restructuring in rural China. *J. Geogr. Sci*, **24**, 211–225 (2014).
7. Licker, R. *et al.* Mind the gap: how do climate and agricultural management explain the yield gap of croplands around the world. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr*, **19**, 769–782 (2010).
8. Mishra, A., Whitten, M., Ketelaar, J. W. & Salokhe, V. M. The System of Rice Intensification (SRI): a challenge for science, and an opportunity for farmer empowerment towards sustainable agriculture. *Int. J. Agric. Sust*, **8**, 193–212 (2011).
9. Fan, M. S., Lu, S. H., Jiang, R. F., Liu, X. J. & Zhang, F. S. Triangular transplanting pattern and split nitrogen fertilizer application increase rice yield and nitrogen fertilizer recovery. *Agron. J*, **101**, 1421–1425 (2009).
10. Yang, J., Huang, D., Duan, H., Tan, G. & Zhang, J. Alternate wetting and moderate soil drying increases grain yield and reduces cadmium accumulation in rice grains. *J. Sci. Food Agr*, **89**, 1728–1736 (2010).
11. Xu, L. *et al.* Yield performance of direct-seeded, double-season rice using varieties with short growth durations in central China. *Field Crop Res*, **227**, 49–55 (2018).
12. Chen, Q. *et al.* Comparisons of regeneration rate and yields performance between inbred and hybrid rice cultivars in a direct seeding rice-ratoon rice system in central China. *Field Crop Res*, **223**, 164–170 (2018).
13. Xu, F. X. *et al.* Progress in research of yield formation of ratooning rice and its high-yielding key regulation technologies. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, **48**, 1702–1717 (2015).
14. Lin, Q., Wang, Y. H., Lin, Q., Zhou, F. M. & Zhang, J. F. Yield formation and key screening indicators ratooning rice under simplified cultivation. *Journal of Northwest Agricultural and Forestry University (Natural Sciences Edition)*, **48**, 38–47 (2020).
15. Thomas, E. V. Development of a mechanism for transplanting rice seedlings. *Mech Mach. Theory*, **37**, 395–410 (2002).
16. Kumar, V. & Ladha, J. K. Direct seeding of rice: Recent developments and future research needs. *Adv. Agron*, **111**, 297–413 (2011).
17. Jiang, Q. W. *et al.* Response of first flood irrigation timing after rice dry-direct-seeding: productivity and greenhouse gas emissions in Central China. *Agric. Water Manag*, **177**, 241–247 (2016).
18. Tao, Y., Chen, Q., Peng, S. B., Wang, W. Q. & Nie, L. X. Lower global warming potential and higher yield of wet direct-seeded rice in Central China. *Agron. Sustain. Dev*, **36**, 1–9 (2016).

19. Lin, Q. *et al.* Relationship of panicle to stem ratio with yield and its components of ratoon rice. *Journal of Northwest Agricultural and Forestry University (Natural Sciences Edition)*, **49**, 1–11 (2021).
20. Wang, H. *et al.* Advances in the effects of the ability of axillary bud germination on grain yield in ratoon rice. *Chin J Rice Sci*, **34**, 205–2016 (2020).
21. Huang, M. *et al.* No-tillage and direct seeding for super hybrid rice production in rice-oilseed rape cropping system. *Eur. J. Agron*, **34**, 278–286 (2011).
22. Dong, H. L. *et al.* The growth and yield of a wet-seeded rice-ratoon rice system in central China. *Field Crop Res*, **208**, 55–59 (2017).
23. Liu, K. L., Qin, J. T. & Zhang, B. Effects on source-sink of ratoon rice under simplified cultivation of different seeding stages. *Soils*, **44**, 686–695 (2012).
24. Huang, M. *et al.* Relationship between grain yield and yield components in super Hybrid rice. *Agr Sci China*, **10**, 1537–1544 (2010).
25. Lin, Q. & Luo, W. L. The analysis of the yield component of super hybrid rice II Youhang 2 and approaches of its yield increase. *Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull*, **23**, 244–246 (2007).
26. Yang, W., Peng, S., Laza, R. C., Visperas, R. M. & Dionisio-Sese, M. L. Yield gap analysis between dry and wet season rice crop grown under high-yielding management conditions. *Agron. J*, **100**, 1390–1395 (2008).
27. Jiang, P. *et al.* Potential yield increase of hybrid rice at five locations in Southern China. *Rice*, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12284-016-0085-6> (2016).
28. Zhang, Y. B. *et al.* Yield potential and radiation use efficiency of super hybrid rice grown under subtropical conditions. *Field Crop Res*, **114**, 91–98 (2009).
29. Evans, L. T. & Fischer, R. A. Yield potential: its definition, measurement and significance. *Crop Sci*, **39**, 1544–1551 (1999).
30. Chen, Q. *et al.* Comparison of regeneration rate and yields performance between inbred and hybrid rice cultivars in a direct seeding rice-ratoon rice system in central China. *Field Crop Res*, **223**, 164–170 (2018).
31. Dong, H. L. *et al.* The growth and yield of a wet-seeded rice-ratoon rice system in central China. *Field Crop Res*, **208**, 55–59 (2017).