Positive deviance for dual-method promotion among women in Uganda: Study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial

Hodaka Kosugi

The University of Tokyo <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6388-9498>

Akira Shibamura

The University of Tokyo

Junko Kiriya

The University of Tokyo

Ken Ing Cherng Ong

The University of Tokyo

Stephen Mucunguzi

Mbarara University of Science and Technology

Conrad Muzoora

Mbarara University of Science and Technology

Masamine Jimba (✉ ohjimba@gmail.com)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5659-3237>

Study protocol

Keywords: Positive deviance, Dual-method use, Contraception, Unintended pregnancy, Sexually transmitted infection, HIV/AIDS

Posted Date: October 15th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.15842/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Trials on March 17th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-4192-8>.

Abstract

Background Dual-method use is known as the most reliable protection against unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections, including HIV. However, it is not commonly used in sub-Saharan Africa, especially among women using highly effective contraceptives. This article describes a protocol to evaluate the effect of an intervention formulated under the positive deviance approach for promoting dual-method use in Uganda.

Methods One hundred women will be interviewed using a structured questionnaire to find those practicing dual-method use. Then, in-depth interviews will be conducted with all women using dual-method and ten women using only highly effective contraceptives to identify their unique practice. Then, a cluster randomized controlled trial will be conducted to examine the effect of an intervention formulated under the positive deviance approach on dual-method uptake and adherence. Twenty health facilities will be randomized to an intervention or control arm, and 480 women will be enrolled in each group. The participants will be followed up for eight months.

Discussion This trial focuses on women who already adapted dual-method use and identifies their unique solutions to promote dual-method use. This trial could tackle barriers for dual-method use, which expert outsiders may fail to recognize, by analyzing and promulgating their unique behaviors. This study could provide evidence that the positive deviance approach can address unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections as well as other health problems which usual approaches have failed to address.

Background

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), women of reproductive age bear a disproportionate burden of unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [1]. In SSA, an estimated 26% of pregnancies are unintended [2]. Moreover, women account for approximately 56% of all adults living with HIV in this region [3]. This gender disparity starts when women reach their reproductive age, and women represent 59% of new HIV infections in this region [3, 4].

Unintended pregnancies occur because appropriate contraceptive methods are not available or avoided [5]. To prevent this, highly effective contraceptives (HECs), such as hormonal contraceptives (e.g., pills, injectable, and implants), non-hormonal intrauterine device (IUD), and sterilization, were introduced to family planning programs [5]. In many countries in SSA, women have started to use these methods more frequently during the past decades [6]. HECs are effective in preventing unintended pregnancies but cannot prevent HIV/STIs [7]. Therefore, women need to protect themselves from HIV/STIs, regardless of whether they are using HECs or not.

Dual protection is defined as protection against the dual risks of unintended pregnancies and HIV/STIs [8]. It can be accomplished by either using a condom consistently alone or with HECs (dual-method use) [8]. Condoms are an effective method for women to prevent HIV/STIs from their sexual partners [9]. However, as being often used incorrectly and inconsistently, condoms can only prevent 85% of

pregnancies [10]. Dual-method use, thus, has been recommended as the most reliable protection against the dual risks in couples who do not want a child or who want to delay childbirth [7, 8, 11, 12]. Nevertheless, it remains uncommon [11]. In the United States of America (USA), 7% of reproductive-age women who were sexually active used this method [13]. In SSA, most research has focused on dual-method use among women living with HIV and adolescents. For instance, 16% and 39 % of women living with HIV practiced dual-method in three months in Ethiopia and Kenya, respectively [8, 14], while 7% of South African adolescents aged 15–24 years reported dual-method use [15].

A trade-off between HEC and condom use is a barrier to practicing dual protection. Women are less likely to use condoms with their male partners when using HECs [16]. Condom use may become unacceptable, especially in marital sex, as it is perceived as protection against HIV/STIs rather than pregnancies. Both women and men may think condoms are unnecessary with an intimate partner, especially when women are using HECs [17]. However, condom use is necessary for women who are at risk of HIV/STIs, regardless of HEC use [18]. Extramarital sexual relationships are common, especially among men, in SSA [19]. For instance, an estimated 44% of HIV infections occurred among married or cohabiting couples in Kenya [20].

Several interventions have been conducted to promote dual-method use in the USA [11, 12]. However, few interventions had a significant effect on dual-method use [11, 12, 21], and the impact of such interventions was often not sustainable [22]. In resource-limited settings, including SSA, no interventions have been examined, although people are at considerable risk of unintended pregnancies and HIV/ STIs [11]. Women and men may perceive the importance of condom use for preventing HIV/STIs, but often do not practice [23]. Motivating factors for dual-method use remains unknown when the percentage of such users is low.

The positive deviance approach has the potential to address barriers to sensitive issues such as sexual and reproductive health. This approach seeks behaviors that contribute to otherwise high-risk individuals, or positive deviants, remaining free from a disease or condition and enables communities to adopt such practices [24, 25]. This approach has addressed complex development challenges, which are often hard for expert outsiders to measure, such as gender-related and socio-cultural barriers [24]. For example, the positive deviance approach was applied to advocate against female genital mutilation using actual words of positive deviants in Egypt [24]. Condom use is not prevalent in SSA, especially among married women using HECs. Barriers to condom use are complex and often difficult for outsiders to grasp the whole picture [26]. Given the limited effect of previous interventions [11], the positive deviance approach could be an ideal option for promoting dual-method use [25].

This article illustrates a study protocol to examine the effect of an intervention formulated under the positive deviance approach on dual-method use among married women using HECs with their partners in Uganda.

Methods

2.1. Study setting

This study is conducted in Mbarara district, South Western Uganda. Contraceptive use has significantly increased in Uganda. Its use among married women increased from 14% in 2001 to 35% in 2014 [27]. Like other countries in SSA, HECs are getting the norm in Uganda, with 32% of currently married women using them in 2014 [27]. Injectable is the most used method (19%) followed by implants (6%), female sterilization (3%), pills (2%) and IUD (2%) [27]. Despite the significant increase in contraceptive use, an estimated 44% of pregnancies were unintended in Uganda [28]. The HIV prevalence among adults 15–64 years is 6.2% and is higher among women (7.6%) than among men (4.7%) [29]. The South-West region had the second highest HIV prevalence (7.9%) after the Central region (8.0%) in Uganda [29].

Mbarara district has one regional hospital, six general hospitals, four county-level health centers (health center IV), 14 sub-county-level health centers (health center III), 37 parish-level health centers (health center II) [30]. Among them, 48 are public health facilities, and 23 facilities are located in urban areas [30]. Family planning service is provided for free at all the levels of health centers. Male and female condoms are also provided free by the Ministry of Health and by local and international non-governmental organizations [31]. Condoms can also be purchased from supermarkets and pharmacies for United States Dollar (USD) 0.15 to USD 0.50 [31].

2.2. Study objectives

The objectives of this study are to (i) identify unique behaviors that are common only among married women who practice dual-method use with their partners in an HIV-prevalent setting in Uganda and (ii) evaluate the effect of an intervention formulated under the positive deviance approach for promoting dual-method use among married women using HECs.

2.3. Study design

This study consists of Phase I and II. In Phase I, eligible women will be screened with a structured questionnaire. Then, in-depth interviews will be conducted with all women practicing dual-method use and ten women using only HECs to identify unique practice that is common only among women practicing dual-method use (positive deviants). In Phase II, a cluster randomized controlled trial (C-RCT) will be conducted to assess an intervention formulated under the positive deviance approach for promoting dual-method use. The intervention will consist of clinic-based and phone counseling and a participatory workshop which will be tailored based on the unique practice identified in Phase I. The overall study flow chart is shown in figure 1. The schedule of the C-RCT is shown in the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Intervention Trials (SPIRIT) figure (figure 2). The SPIRIT checklist is provided in additional file 1.

Figure 2: SPIRIT figure

2.3.1. Phase I: identify positive deviants

Sample size, eligibility, and recruitment

In Phase I, 150 women will be interviewed. This is based on the assumption that at least 7% of women would practice dual-method use [13], and at least ten women who are considered as a positive deviant would be identified.

To be eligible for joining in this study, participants should have the following characteristics: (i) women, (ii) 18–49 years old, (iii) sexually active, (iv) using HECs at the time of recruitment (v) have a desire to avoid pregnancy for 12 months from recruitment (vi) have a husband or live-in sexual partner, and (vii) have access to a valid phone number.

Being sexually active is defined to have had sexual intercourse in the last three months before the study [13]. Pregnant women and women who are infertile for other reasons will be excluded from this study. Health workers, including community health workers, political and religious leaders, and teachers will also be excluded because they may not represent communities or be influenced by their occupations and social status.

For sampling, five health facilities will be selected purposively. Then, five trained female research assistants will approach female clients in the family planning sections of the selected health facilities. The first client will be selected randomly at each clinic, and then every third client will be informed about the opportunity to participate in this study. If they are interested in participating, the research assistants will ask screening questions using a pretested questionnaire to check their eligibility for the study. This process will be repeated until the required sample size is met.

Data collection

The five female trained research assistants will conduct face-to-face interviews using a pretested structured questionnaire with the participants. These interviews aim to identify women practicing dual-method use and their basic socio-demographic characteristics. Data collection items include basic socio-demographic characteristics, the types of HECs, the frequency of condom use in the past two months, and the histories of unintended pregnancies and diagnosed HIV/STIs. Women using both HECs and condom always will be regarded as practicing dual-method use. Dual-method users without no reported histories of unintended pregnancies and HIV/STIs will be considered as positive deviants.

Next, in-depth interviews will be conducted by the research assistants with all positive deviants to identify their unique practice, such as effective communication for condom use that is actually working. Then, ten women who do not practice dual-method use will be randomly selected for in-depth interviews to document common practices and verify if the practice identified in positive deviants is unique. The

interviews will be open-ended, and an interview guide will be used. The interview guide focuses on the following domains: (1) perceptions of condom use and contraception, (2) reasons and motivations for condom use or nonuse, (3) negotiation and communication for condom use, and (4) risk perceptions for or unintended pregnancy and HIV/STIs.

The data collection tools were first developed in English and then translated to Runyankore by an independent researcher. They were back-translated to English by the Ugandan researcher (SM) to ensure the accuracy of the translation. All interviews will be conducted in either English or Runyankore. In-depth interviews will be audio recorded based on the consent of participants. All participants will be given some commodities worth of 10,000 Ugandan Shillings (UGX) (equivalent to 3 USD) after the initial interview, and those who participate in the in-depth interview will receive 10,000 UGX for their time and transportation.

Data analysis plan

All qualitative interview data will be transcribed and if not in English, translated from Runyankore into English by a research assistant. Translated transcriptions will be compared with recorded data by the researcher (SM) to ensure their accuracy. Then, the two researchers (HK, SM) and the five research assistants who conducted in-depth interviews will read all the transcripts and code overarching themes using MAXQDA version 18. Then, data will be compared between dual-method users and nonusers to identify problems and barriers to adapting dual-method use and how they were overcome by positive deviants with their unique practice.

2.3.2. Phase II: Cluster randomized controlled trial

Sample, eligibility, and recruitment

The minimum required sample size for this trial is 588. It was calculated based on data from research on the effect of case management and peer education program on the uptake of dual method use in the USA (Odds ratio: 1.58 with a 95% CI of 1.03 to 2.43) [32]. The effect size of 2.43 was used, considering the contents of the planned intervention. Then, an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.006 was considered [33, 34]. The ICC was adapted from a clinic-based condom use intervention in SSA [33]. The required minimum sample size was 760 after considering the ICC. Considering 26% dropout rate, 960 participants will be recruited (480 participants in each arm) [22]. The power of the study was set at 80%, and the significance level was set at 5%. Open Epi version 3 was used for the calculation.

Twenty health facilities will be purposively selected considering the size and rural/urban status. The same inclusion criteria as Phase I will be used for this intervention study, but when women are practicing dual-method use in the last two months prior to the recruitment, they will be excluded. The same

sampling method as Phase I will be used to recruit women at health facilities. Eighty women will be recruited from each of hospitals and county-level health centers, 40 from each of sub-county-level health centers and parish-level health centers.

Randomization

To minimize contamination across individuals, the C-RCT approach will be adopted [35]. The 20 health facilities will be stratified based on the level of health facilities and urban/rural status and randomized to the intervention (n = 10 facilities) or control arm (n = 10 facilities) (1:1 allocation ratio), using a computer random number generator by the principal investigator (HK). The participants will be given the intervention that the facilities they were recruited at were allocated to.

Intervention

The intervention will consist of a series of counseling and participatory workshop, planned based on the unique practice identified in Phase I. On the day of enrollment, women in the intervention arm will receive dual-method counseling with a tool developed based on the practice identified in Phase I, in addition to regular family planning counseling with an existing counseling tool [36]. The dual-method counseling will be conducted for 20–40 minutes by trained research assistants.

Two weeks after the enrollment, women in the intervention arm will be invited for a one-day participatory learning workshop (five hours) at the same health facilities where they are recruited. Women may decide whether to participate or not. The workshop will be facilitated by research assistants and positive deviants, using a training protocol developed after Phase I. It will include simulations and role-plays for successful communication to use a condom with their partners and a group discussion regarding family planning and HIV/STI risk.

Bimonthly telephone counseling and refresher training will be provided by the positive deviants three times (three, five, and seven months after the enrollment). It will take 10–20 minutes and aim to remind women of the risk of unintended pregnancies and HIV/STIs and strengthen their capacity to communicate to use a condom with their partners.

Women in the control group will be provided regular family planning counseling including dual-method use for 10–30 minutes by trained research assistants using the same existing tool on the day of enrollment [36]. Moreover, refresher training will be provided bimonthly on family planning and HIV/STI risk via phone, by research assistants three times (three, five, and seven months after the enrollment).

Condoms will be provided for free, regardless of the allocation at the selected health facilities. Before the intervention starts, the research assistants will receive two-day training about the contents of the existing counseling tool by health professionals [36].

Outcomes

The primary outcome is dual-method use. In this study, dual-method use is defined as the use of male or female condom along with HECs such as pills, injectable, implants, male and female sterilization, and IUD consistently in the last two months, prior to each follow-up interview [8]. The outcome measure combines two questions regarding the frequency of condom use and HEC use. The frequency of condom use will be asked with an item: “How often did you and your partner use a male or female condom during the past two months?” Women will answer this question using a four-point scale “every time,” “almost every time,” “sometimes,” and “never.” Only those who answered “every time” will be considered as having consistent condom use. Women will also be asked if they have been using any HECs with a question, “Apart from condoms, have you been using any other forms of protection against pregnancy during the past two months?” Responses to these two questions will be used to construct the dual-method use outcome with the following categories: the following categories: (i) dual-method use (consistent condom and HEC use), (ii) inconsistent condom and HEC use, and (iii) single or no contraceptive method use.

The secondary outcome is the self-reported first occurrence of pregnancy and STIs in the previous two months [37]. They will be assessed with the following two questions: “Have you been told by a health care provider that you got pregnant for the first time in the past two months?” and “Have you been told by a health care provider that you had any STIs such as chlamydia, gonorrhea, or trichomonas infection for the first time in the past two months?”

Other information

The following information will be collected during the baseline interviews to conduct sub-group analyses and to identify factors associated with condom use: age, education, employment, area of residence (rural or urban), reproductive history, pregnancy intention, sexual history, STI history, substance use, domestic violence, current and past contraceptive practice, awareness of dual-method use, spousal communication on family planning, HIV status of participants and their partners, disclosure of HIV status, antiretroviral therapy (ART) treatment status [14, 22, 38], HIV-related knowledge (HIV-KQ-18) [39], perceived HIV infection risk [40], condom use self-efficacy [41], sexual relationship control power (the Sexual Relationship Power Scale: SRPS) [42], and women’s perception of the social acceptability of contraception [43].

Data collection

On the day of enrollment, all women will be interviewed using a pre-tested structured questionnaire to identify basic baseline characteristics. Then, they will be followed up via phone bimonthly for eight months to assess how the intervention influences on dual-method use and pregnancy and STI incidence (two, four, six, and eight months after the enrollment). All interviews will be conducted by trained female

research assistants using an interview guide in either English or Runyankore. All data will be entered using EpiData version 4.6.

All the participants will be given some incentives worth of 10,000 UGX (equivalent to 3 USD) for their time and participation in the study after the initial interviews. Participants who participate in the learning workshop will receive 20,000 UGX (equivalent to 6 USD) for transportation.

Data analysis

The background characteristics of women will be compared between the intervention and the control group using Pearson's chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests [44]. Multiple logistic regression analysis will be performed to assess the effect of the intervention on the following outcomes: dual-method selection and adherence in the past two months before each follow-up data collection and self-reporting pregnancy and STIs in the past two months. Differences between the two groups at the baseline will be controlled as covariates in the analysis [44]. Besides, sub-group analyses will be conducted among HIV-seroconcordant and-discordant couples. All the analyses will be conducted on per-protocol and intention-to-treat basis to assess the effectiveness of the intervention. Attrition rates and reasons for dropout will be compared between the intervention and the control group [45]. The significance level will be set at 5%. STATA version 13.1 will be used for all data analyses.

Discussion

This study will be a unique trial to examine the effect of the intervention to promote dual-method use among women using the positive deviance approach. Dual-method use can reduce unintended pregnancies and HIV/STIs among women of reproductive age. Condom use is necessary for dual protection but not commonly practiced in SSA, especially among married couples [9].

This trial could tackle barriers to dual-method use, which expert outsiders may fail to recognize, by taking the positive deviance approach. This approach could be an ideal option when a problem needs behavioral change but is not merely technical [49]. This trial focuses on women who already adapted dual-method and identifies unique effective communication and behavioral strategies that are actually working. Their unique solutions are analyzed and promulgated to other women who do not practice dual-method use. Unlike the usual approach, which looks for solutions from the outside, this approach could overcome barriers to dual-method use and bring sustainable effects by adopting local solutions. This trial aims to provide evidence that the positive deviance approach can address unintended pregnancies and HIV/STIs among women in Uganda.

This study has several limitations. First, the success of the positive deviance approach depends on whether women practicing dual-method use or positive deviants can be found in the study area [24, 46]. Considering the prevalence of such people, the sample size can be too small and need to be modified. Second, positive deviants are identified by the research team not by community members in this trial.

Therefore, the effect of the intervention can be limited in mobilizing and empowering communities to adopt identified strategies, compared to the usual approach including self-discovery of positive deviants [24, 46].

The proposed research is designed to add evidence on the effectiveness of the positive deviance approach in tackling problems that the usual approach has failed to address, such as dual-method use. The results will be useful for public health policymakers to develop programs to reach women who need to tackle unintended pregnancies and HIV/STIs as well as other health problems that other solutions fail to address.

Trial Status

Enrollment into the trial is expected to start in October 2019 and end in November 2019. The trial is expected to be completed by June 2020. This manuscript was based on the study protocol version 8, and the version date is 5 August 2019.

Abbreviations

ART: Antiretroviral therapy

C-RCT: Cluster randomized controlled trial

FP: Family planning

HEC: Highly effective contraceptive

HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus

ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient

IUD: Intrauterine device

PD: Positive deviant

RA: Research assistant

SPIRIT: Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Intervention Trials

SRPS: Sexual Relationship Power Scale

SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa

STIs: Sexually transmitted infections

UGX: Ugandan Shilling

USA: United States of America

USD: United States Dollar

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval has been obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Japan (2019085NI) and the Institutional Research and Ethics Committee of Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda (IRB15/06–19). The trial has been registered on the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN000037065).

Participation in this study will be voluntary. Written consent will be obtained from all women who express a willingness to participate in the study. Each interview will be taken in a confidential and secure environment. All the research assistants will receive two-day training on data collection and ethical consideration before the data collection.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data collection tools and datasets generated during the study will be available from the corresponding author upon request following the publication of results.

Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Funding

HK received a scholarship grant from Foundation for Advanced Studies on International Development, Japan (Tokyo, Japan; <https://www.fasid.or.jp/english/scholarship/>). The funding source has no role in the design and conduct of this study.

Authors' contributions

HK is the principal investigator of the study and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. AS, JK and KICO provided overall guidance to design the study protocol. SM and CM provided a critical review of research methods, including sampling, data collection, and analysis. MJ is the main supervisor of the principal investigator and provided overall guidance to develop the study protocol and write the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all of the participants and research assistants for their involvement in the study.

References

1.Karim SA, Baxter C, Frohlich J, Karim QA. The need for multipurpose prevention technologies in sub-Saharan Africa. Bjog. 2014;121 Suppl 5:27–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.12842>.

2.Ameyaw EK, Budu E, Sambah F, Baatiema L, Appiah F, Seidu A-A, et al. Prevalence and determinants of unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa: A multi-country analysis of demographic and health surveys. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(8):e0220970. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220970>.

3.The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS. UNAIDS Data 2018. 2018. https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/unaid-data-2018_en.pdf. Accessed 7 Sept 2019.

4.Kharsany AB, Karim QA. HIV infection and AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa: current status, challenges and opportunities. Open AIDS J. 2016;10:34–48. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874613601610010034>.

5.Hubacher D, Mavranouzouli I, McGinn E. Unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa: magnitude of the problem and potential role of contraceptive implants to alleviate it. Contraception. 2008;78(1):73–8.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2008.03.002>.

6. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. Estimates and projections of family planning indicators 2019. 2019.

https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/family/Figure_Model-based_estimates_Countries_2019.pdf. Accessed 7 Sept 2019.

7. Pazol K, Kramer MR, Hogue CJ. Condoms for dual protection: patterns of use with highly effective contraceptive methods. *Public Health Rep.* 2010;125(2):208–17.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/003335491012500209>.

8. Gebrehiwot SW, Azeze GA, Robles CC, Adinew YM. Utilization of dual contraception method among reproductive age women on antiretroviral therapy in selected public hospitals of Northern Ethiopia. *Reprod Health.* 2017;14(1):125. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-017-0390-6>.

9. Maticka-Tyndale E. Condoms in sub-Saharan Africa. *Sex Health.* 2012;9(1):59–72.

<https://doi.org/10.1071/SH11033>.

10. Kraft JM, Galavotti C, Carter M, Jamieson DJ, Busang L, Fleming D, et al. Use of dual protection in Botswana. *Stud Fam Plann.* 2009;40(4):319–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4465.2009.00214.x>.

11. Lopez LM, Stockton LL, Chen M, Steiner MJ, Gallo MF. Behavioral interventions for improving dual-method contraceptive use. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;30(3): Cd010915.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010915.pub2>.

12. O'Leary A. Are dual-method messages undermining STI/HIV prevention? *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2011:691210.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/691210>.

13. Eisenberg DL, Allsworth JE, Zhao Q, Peipert JF. Correlates of dual-method contraceptive use: an analysis of the National Survey Of Family Growth (2006–2008). *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012:717163.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/717163>.

14. Mulongo AM, Lihana RW, Githuku J, Gura Z, Karanja S. Factors associated with uptake of dual contraception among HIV-infected women in Bungoma County, Kenya: a cross-sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2017;28 Suppl 1:2. <https://www.dx.doi.org/10.11604/pamj.supp.2017.28.1.9289>.

15. MacPhail C, Pettifor A, Pascoe S, Rees H. Predictors of dual method use for pregnancy and HIV prevention among adolescent South African women. *Contraception.* 2007;75(5):383–9.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2006.12.020>.

16. Ott MA, Adler NE, Millstein SG, Tschann JM, Ellen JM. The trade-off between hormonal contraceptives and condoms among adolescents. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health.* 2002;34(1):6–14.

<https://doi.org/10.1363/3400602>.

17.Kosugi H, Shibamura A, Kiriya J, Wafula SW, Jimba M. Consistent condom use among highly effective contraceptive users in an HIV-endemic area in rural Kenya. PLoS One. 2019;14(5):e0216208. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216208>.

18.Williamson NE, Liku J, McLoughlin K, Nyamongo IK, Nakayima F. A qualitative study of condom use among married couples in Kampala, Uganda. Reprod Health Matters. 2006;14(28):89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003335491012500209>.

19.Ramjee G, Daniels B. Women and HIV in sub-Saharan Africa. AIDS Res Ther. 2013;10(1):30–6405–10–30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742–6405–10–30>.

20.National Aids Control Council. Kenya HIV Estimates Report, 2015. 2016. <http://nacc.or.ke/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Kenya-HIV-Estimates–2015.pdf>. Accessed 7 Sept 2019.

21.El Ayadi AM, Rocca CH, Kohn JE, Velazquez D, Blum M, Newmann SJ, et al. The impact of an IUD and implant intervention on dual method use among young women: Results from a cluster randomized trial. Prev Med.2017;94:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.10.015>.

22.Peipert JF, Zhao Q, Meints L, Peipert BJ, Redding CA, Allsworth JE. Adherence to dual-method contraceptive use. Contraception. 2011;84(3):252–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2011.01.023>.

23.Woodsong C, Koo HP. Two good reasons: women's and men's perspectives on dual contraceptive use. Soc Sci Med. 1999;49(5):567–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277–9536\(99\)00060-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277–9536(99)00060-x).

24.Marsh DR, Schroeder DG, Dearden KA, Sternin J, Sternin M. The power of positive deviance. Bmj. 2004;329(7475):1177–9. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136%2Fbmj.329.7475.1177>.

25.Singhal A, Svenkerud PJ. Diffusion of Evidence-based Interventions or Practice-based Positive Deviations. The Journal of Development Communication. 2018;29(2):54–64.

26.Mutowo J, Kasu C. Barriers to use of dual protection among married women in a Suburban setting. Nurs Health Sci. 2015;4(2):51–7. <https://doi.org/10.9790/1959–04215157>.

27.Uganda Bureau of Statistics. Uganda Demographic and Health Survey 2016. 2018. https://www.ubos.org/wp-content/uploads/publications/07_2018UDHS_2016_Final.pdf. Accessed 8 Sept 2019.

28.Jarolimova J, Kabakyenga J, Bennett K, Muyindike W, Kembabazi A, Martin JN, et al. Contraceptive use following unintended pregnancy among Ugandan women living with HIV. PloS one.

2018;13(10):e0206325. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206325>

29.The Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Assessment. Uganda population-based HIV impact assessment: UPHIA 2016–2017. 2017. <https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017-08/UPHIA%20Uganda%20factsheet.pdf>. Accessed 8 Sept 2019.

30.The Republic of Uganda Ministry of Health. National health facility master list 2018. 2018. <https://health.go.ug/sites/default/files/Signed%20n%20final%20mfl.pdf>. Accessed 24 Aug 2019.

31.Makerere University School of Public Health. Rapid Assessment of Comprehensive Condom Programming in Uganda. 2015. https://samasha.org/download/Comprehensive-Condom-Programming-Assessment-In-Uganda_Final-Report-October-2015.pdf. Accessed 8 Sept 2019.

32.Sieving RE, McMorris BJ, Beckman KJ, Pettingell SL, Secor-Turner M, Kugler K, et al. Prime Time: 12-month sexual health outcomes of a clinic-based intervention to prevent pregnancy risk behaviors. *J Adolesc Health*. 2011;49(2):172–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.12.002>.

33.Zhang J, Pals SL, Medley A, Nichols C, Bachanas P, van Zyl D, et al. Parameters for sample size estimation from a group-randomized HIV prevention trial in HIV clinics in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2014;18(12):2359–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-013-0631-9>.

34.van Breukelen GJ, Candel MJ. Calculating sample sizes for cluster randomized trials: we can keep it simple and efficient! *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2012;65(11):1212–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.06.002>.

35.Hemming K, Eldridge S, Forbes G, Weijer C, Taljaard M. How to design efficient cluster randomised trials. *Bmj*. 2017;358:j3064. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j3064>.

36.World Health Organization, The INFO Project at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health/Center for Communication Programs (JHU/CCP). Decision-making tool for family planning clients and providers. 2005. http://www.who.int/reproductive-health/family_planning/tool.html. Accessed 8 Sept 2019.

37.Ewing AC, Kottke MJ, Kraft JM, Sales JM, Brown JL, Goedken P, et al. 2GETHER - The Dual Protection Project: Design and rationale of a randomized controlled trial to increase dual protection strategy selection and adherence among African American adolescent females. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2017;54:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.014>.

38.Antelman G, Medley A, Mbatia R, Pals S, Arthur G, Haberlen S et al. Pregnancy desire and dual method contraceptive use among people living with HIV attending clinical care in Kenya, Namibia and Tanzania. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care*. 2015;41(1):e1. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136%2Fjfprhc-2013-100784>.

39.Carey MP, Schroder KE. Development and psychometric evaluation of the brief HIV Knowledge Questionnaire. *AIDS education and prevention*. 2002;14(2):172–82.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1521/aeap.14.2.172.23902>.

**40.Napper LE, Fisher DG, Reynolds GL. Development of the perceived risk of HIV scale. *AIDS Behav*. 2012;16(4):1075–83.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-011-0003-2>.**

41.Shaweno D, Tekletsadik E. Validation of the condom use self-efficacy scale in Ethiopia. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2013;13(1):22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-698X-13-22>.

42.Pulerwitz J, Gortmaker SL, DeJong W. Measuring sexual relationship power in HIV/STD research. *Sex roles*. 2000;42(7–8):637–60. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007051506972>.

43.Samandari G, Speizer IS, O’Connell K. The role of social support and parity in contraceptive use in Cambodia. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health*.2010;36(3):122–31. <https://doi.org/10.1363/ipsrh.36.122.10>.

44.Twisk J BL, Hoekstra T, Rijnhart J, Welten M, Heymans M. Different ways to estimate treatment effects in randomised controlled trials. *Contemp Clin Trials Commun*. 2018;10:80–5.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.conctc.2018.03.008>.

45.Kolamunnage-Dona R, Powell C, Williamson PR. Modelling variable dropout in randomised controlled trials with longitudinal outcomes: application to the MAGNETIC study. *Trials*.2016;17(1):222.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186%2Fs13063-016-1342-0>.

46.Lapping K, Marsh DR, Rosenbaum J, Swedberg E, Sternin J, Sternin M, et al. The positive deviance approach: challenges and opportunities for the future. *Food Nutr Bull*. 2002;23(4) Suppl 2:128–35.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/15648265020234S217>.

Figures

Figure 1

Study flow chart

	STUDY PERIOD											
	Randomization	Enrolment	Allocation	Post-allocation								Close-out
TIMEPOINT (month)	-1	0	0	0	0.5	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ENROLMENT:												
Cluster randomization	X											
Eligibility screen		X										
Informed consent		X										
Allocation			X									
INTERVENTIONS:												
FP+DM counseling based on positive deviance approach				X								
Participatory Workshop					X							
Phone-based counselling							X		X		X	
Regular FP+DM counseling (control)				X								
Phone-based health education (control)							X		X		X	
ASSESSMENTS:												
Baseline variables			X									
Dual-method use in the past 2 months						X		X		X		X
Pregnancy incidence in the past 2 months						X		X		X		X
HIV/STIs incidence in the past 2 months						X		X		X		X

Figure 2

SPRIT figure

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1.docx](#)