

Research on Silicone Methacrylates Containing Dental Resins With Anti-adhesion Effect Against *S. Mutans* and Resistance to Mucin Adsorption

Hui Tong

South China University of Technology

Muzi Liao

Sun Yat-Sen University

Xiangya Huang

Sun Yat-Sen University

Fang Liu

South China University of Technology

Sui Mai

Sun Yat-Sen University

Jingwei He (✉ msjwhe@scut.edu.cn)

South China University of Technology

Research Article

Keywords: dental resin, anti-adhesion, *S. mutans*, mucin adsorption, physicochemical properties

Posted Date: June 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-650254/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Research on silicone methacrylates containing dental resins with anti-adhesion effect against *S. mutans* and resistance to mucin adsorption

Hui Tong^{1,#}, Muzi Liao^{2,#}, Xiangya Huang², Fang Liu¹, Sui Mai^{2,*}, Jingwei He^{1,*}

¹ College of Materials Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China

² Department of Conservation Dentistry and Endodontics, Guanghua School of Stomatology, Hospital of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510055, China

Hui Tong and Muzi Liao contributed equally to this manuscript.

* Corresponding authors:

Jingwei He

Address: 381[#] Wushan Rd., College of Materials Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China

E-mail: msjwhe@scut.edu.cn; **Tel:** +8613538913767

Sui Mai

Address: 56[#] Ling Yuan Xi Rd., Department of Conservation Dentistry and Endodontics, Guanghua School of Stomatology, Hospital of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510055, China

E-mail: maisui@mail.sysu.edu.cn; **Tel:** +8613697475108

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81970974, No.82071162), and Guangdong Financial Fund for High-Caliber Hospital Construction (174-2018-XMZC-0001-03-0125/D-15).

Abstract

With the purpose of preparing anti-bacterial adhesion dental resin, two silicone methacrylates (SMAs) were synthesized. After being confirmed by the FT-IR and ¹H-NMR spectra, SMAs were incorporated into commonly used Bis-GMA/TEGDMA (50/50, wt./wt.) dental resin system with a series of concentration. Physicochemical properties, anti-bacterial adhesion effect, and protein adsorption were tested. The results showed that SMAs had no influence on the double bond conversion of dental resin, and could decrease volumetric shrinkage of dental resin. Because of the increased hydrophobicity and reduced surface free energy, SMAs containing cured resin had resistance to mucin adsorption and anti-adhesion effect against *S. mutans*. However, flexural properties, water sorption and solubility of dental resin were impaired after introducing SMAs. Therefore, further research should be taken to improve these properties by utilizing appropriate inorganic fillers.

Keywords: dental resin; anti-adhesion; *S. mutans*; mucin adsorption; physicochemical properties

1. Introduction

Dental resin composites (DRCs), which consist of methacrylate-based resin matrix and silanized inorganic fillers, have been widely used as restorative materials in dentistry due to their properties as easy handling and desirable aesthetics [1]. However, compared with other restorative materials such as silver amalgam and glass ionomer cement, DRCs can accumulate more biofilm on the surface because of lacking inherent antibacterial activity [2-4], leading to higher occurring possibility of secondary caries, while secondary caries is considered to be one of the main reasons for clinical DRCs restoration failure in long term [5-7]. Therefore, how to reduce biofilm formation on the surface of DRCs plays an important role in long-term success rate of restoration.

Besides the characteristics of fillers, properties of DRCs are mainly influenced by the monomeric composition of resin matrix [8]. For the purpose of achieving long-term biofilm inhibition, several methacrylate monomers with antibacterial groups such as quaternary ammonium have been synthesized and incorporated into dental resins as polymerizable antibacterial agents [9-11]. These agents immobilize antibacterial groups into polymeric network by covalent bonds, thus could endow dental resins with long-last antibacterial activity [12].

Nevertheless, immobilizable antibacterial groups work only when bacteria contact with them, and acquired pellicle formed on the surface of DRCs would forbid contacting between antibacterial groups and bacteria, leading to the reduction in antibacterial efficiency of polymerizable antibacterial agents [13,14]. The acquired pellicle is a thin protein layer formed by the absorbed biological components such as salivary proteins on DRCs surface [15,16],

thus a protein-repellent monomer, 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine (MPC), was introduced into resin matrix to inhibit the formation of acquired pellicle [13-16]. Cao et al. [14] revealed that dental resin both with MPC and quaternary ammonium dimethylaminohexadecyl methacrylate (DMAHDM) had stronger antibacterial effects than using DMAHDM and MPC alone.

As a reversible adhesion, bacterial adhesion is considered to be the most important step in biofilm formation [17], so modifying DRCs to be anti-adhesion against bacteria should also be an effective way to inhibit biofilm formation. Adhesion capabilities of bacteria have been demonstrated in conjunction with the surface physicochemical properties of biomaterials and bacterial [18-20]. It was reported that materials with low surface free energy accumulated less bacteria with high surface free energy, whereas materials with high surface free energy accumulated less bacteria with low surface energy [21]. Bacteria in early plaque such as *Streptococcus sanguis*, *Veillonella parvula*, and *Streptococcus mutans* (*S. mutans*) are all bacteria with high surface free energy [22,23], thus DRCs with low surface free energy might have inhibition effect on biofilm formation through reducing bacteria adherence.

In this research, two kinds of silicone methacrylates (SMA) were synthesized and incorporated into dental resin system with the aim of reducing surface free energy, and thereby endowing anti-adhesion effect against bacteria. The influence of SMA structure and concentration on physicochemical properties, anti-adhesion effect against *S. mutans* and mucin adsorption were investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Two types of branched amino silicone (BAS) (SF1706, methoxyl terminated amino silicone with amino content of 0.46mmol/g; SF1708, methyl terminated amino silicone with amino content of 0.8mmol/g.) were obtained from Momentive Performance Materials Inc., USA. Isocyanatoethyl methacrylate (IEMA), camphorquinone (CQ), and Bradford solution were purchased from the Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Japan. 2,2-bis[4-(2-hydroxy-3-methacryloxy-propoxy)-phenyl]propane (Bis-GMA), triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA), and 2-(N,N-dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (DMAEMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., USA. Mucin from bovine submaxillary was purchased from Hefei Biomei Biotechnolgy Co., Ltd., China.

2.2 Synthesis of silicone methacrylates (SMA)

The synthesis route for target compounds was shown in [Figure 1](#). A mixture of 30 g BAS, IEMA (amount was based on the amino content of different BAS), and 50 mL pre-dried acetone was added into a glass flask and stirred at room temperature. The reaction was continued until the infrared absorbance peak of -NCO group (2270 cm⁻¹) vanished in the FT-IR (Vector 33 Model Fourier Transform Infrared Instrument, Bruker Co., Germany) spectra of the sample that taken from the reaction system. The SMA was obtained after removing the acetone by distillation under vacuum. The FT-IR and ¹H-NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance instrument, Avance AV 600MHz, Bruker, Switzerland) spectra of SMA were taken to confirm the structure.

Methyl terminated silicone methacrylate (SMA-ME)

FT-IR: $\nu(\text{cm}^{-1})$ 3312, 2964, 2908, 2835, 1724, 1635, 1261, 1100, 1026, 853, 804, 702.

$^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 600MHz): δ 6.10-6.00 [2H, $2\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)$ - trans], 5.54-5.44 [2H, $2\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)$ - cis], 4.24-4.06 [4H, 2-NHCH $_2$ CH $_2$ O-], 3.48-3.32 [27H, 9CH $_3$ OSi-], 3.30-2.99 [8H, 4-NHCH $_2$ CH $_2$ -], 1.86 [6H, $2\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)$ -], 0.01-0.1 [-Si(CH $_3$) $_2$ -].

Methoxyl terminated silicone methacrylate (SMA-MEO)

FT-IR: $\nu(\text{cm}^{-1})$ 3312, 2966, 2904, 2835, 1722, 1637, 1261, 1097, 1020, 853, 802, 702.

$^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 600MHz): δ 6.10-6.00 [2H, $2\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)$ - trans], 5.54-5.44 [2H, $2\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)$ - cis], 4.23-4.07 [4H, 2-NHCH $_2$ CH $_2$ O-], 3.48-3.01 [8H, 4-NHCH $_2$ CH $_2$ -], 1.86 [6H, $2\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)$ -], 0.01-0.1 [-Si(CH $_3$) $_2$ -].

2.3 Preparation of experimental dental resin systems

SMA containing dental resin systems were prepared according to the formulations shown in [Table 1](#). A dental resin without SMA was prepared as control.

2.4 Measurement of degree of double bond conversion

The degree of double bond conversion (DC) was determined by using a FT-IR spectrometer with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. The ATR spectra were collected at a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} through 4 scans. All the samples were tested in a mold with a thickness of 2.0 mm and a diameter of 6.0 mm. First, the spectrum of the unpolymerized sample was measured. Then, the sample was irradiated with a visible light-curing unit (X-Cure, $\lambda=385\text{--}515\text{nm}$, $I\sim 1000\text{ mWcm}^{-2}$, Woodpecker Medical Instrument Co., Ltd., China) for 40s at room temperature. Finally, the spectrum of the polymerized sample was recorded.

In order to calculate the percentage of reacted vinyl, the absorbance intensities of the methacrylate C=C absorbance peak at $1,636\text{ cm}^{-1}$, which were decreased after being irradiated,

and the absorption peak of phenyl ring at 1608 cm⁻¹ was taken as the internal standard peak. DC was calculated from the percentage of change in the normalized methacrylate C=C absorbance intensity at 1608 cm⁻¹ by using the following equation:

$$DC = \left[1 - \frac{(A_{C=C}/A_{ph})_{40}}{(A_{C=C}/A_{ph})_0} \right] \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where $A_{C=C}$ and A_{ph} are the absorption intensities of methacrylate C=C at 1,636 cm⁻¹ and phenyl ring at 1,608 cm⁻¹, respectively. $(A_{C=C}/A_{ph})_{40}$ and $(A_{C=C}/A_{ph})_0$ refer to the normalized absorbance of the functional group irradiated for 40s and 0s, respectively. Three replicates (n=3) were performed for the measurement of every resin system.

2.5 Measurement of volumetric shrinkage

The volumetric shrinkage was determined according ISO 17304:2013(E). The specimens' densities were measured to determine volumetric shrinkage in accordance with the buoyancy method (Archimedes' principle) by using an analytical balance (FA1104J, Shunyuhengping Scientific Instrument Int., Shanghai, China) with a density test kit. The weight of the specimen was measured in air and water respectively, and the density of the samples was calculated by the following formula:

$$\rho = \frac{M_1 \times \rho_0}{M_1 - M_2} \quad (2)$$

where ρ is the individual density of the sample, M_1 is mass of the sample in air, M_2 is the mass of the sample in water medium, ρ_0 is the density of the water medium at the temperature at the respective time of measurement.

Then, volumetric shrinkage (VS) was calculated according the following formula:

$$VS = \left(\frac{\rho_c - \rho_u}{\rho_c} \right) \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

Where ρ_u is the density of unpolymerized specimen, ρ_c refer to the density of polymerized specimen. Six replicates (n=6) were performed for the measurement of each resin system before and after polymerization, respectively.

2.6 Three-point bending test

Bar-shaped specimens were made in half-split stainless steel moulds between transparent Mylar sheets. The resin was cured using a visible light-curing unit as mentioned above for 40 s in five separate overlapping portions from one side of the mould. Sixteen specimens were prepared for every dental resin system and divided into two groups randomly. One group was kept dry in desiccator for 1 week before test, and the other group was immersed in distilled water at 37°C for one week before test. The three-point bending test (span = 20 mm) was carried out on a universal testing machine (AGS-10KN, Shimadzu Co., Japan) at a cross-head speed of 1.0 mm/min to evaluate the flexural strength (FS) and modulus (FM) according to ISO 4049:2019(E). The values of FS and FM were directly obtained from the software of the universal testing machine.

2.7 Measurement of water sorption, solubility, and diffusion coefficient

The resin was filled into a 1.0 mm height cylindrical stainless steel mold with a diameter of 15.0 mm followed by a transparent Mylar sheet. The resin was light cured using a visible light-curing unit as mentioned above for 40 s in twelve separate overlapping portions from one side of the mould. Five specimens (n=5) were prepared for every dental resin system. The initial mass (M_1) of every specimen was measured using an analytical balance with an accuracy of 0.1mg. After that, the specimens were immersed in 30ml of distilled water at 37°C. At fix time intervals, the specimens were taken out, wiped to remove the excess water

on the surface, and weighted to get mass (M_1). The equilibrium mass of specimen after 16 days of water immersion was defined as M_2 . Finally, the specimens were dried in a vacuum oven at 60°C until getting equilibrium mass (M_3). Water sorption (WS) and solubility (SL) were calculated by the following formula.

$$WS = \frac{M_2 - M_3}{M_3} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

$$SL = \frac{M_1 - M_3}{M_1} \times 100\% \quad (5)$$

The water absorption and solubility of resin-based composite have been proved as diffusion-controlled [23]. In a relatively short term (1, 3, 6 and 9h) immersion, when $\Delta M_t / \Delta M_f \leq 0.5$ ($\Delta M_t = M_t - M_1$, $\Delta M_f = M_2 - M_1$), the diffusion coefficient of water (DCW) in resin can be calculated using Stefan approximation [24,25]:

$$\frac{\Delta M_t}{\Delta M_f} = \frac{4}{L} \left(\frac{Dt}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (6)$$

2.8 Measurement of contact angle and surface free energy (SFE)

The resin was filled into a 1.0 mm height cylindrical stainless steel mold with a diameter of 10.0 mm followed by a transparent Mylar sheet. The resin was light cured using a visible light-curing unit as mentioned above for 40 s in four separate overlapping portions. Every specimen was polished using wet silicon carbide grinding paper (FEPA #4000) in a grinding machine (MPD-1, Guangxiangzhiyang Instrument Ltd., Shanghai, China) to obtain a smooth surface. Subsequently, the polished specimens were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water for 30 min to remove possible embedded grinding material. After that, specimens were dried in a desiccator before using. Six specimens (n=6) were prepared for every dental resin system.

Distilled water and diiodomethane were used as testing liquids for surface free energy

calculation. A 2 μL droplet of liquid was placed on the surface of every specimen for 20 s, and equilibrium contact angle was measured at room temperature using a contact angle meter (SDC-100, Dongguan SINDIN Precision Instrument Co., Ltd., Dongguan, China). The surface free energy was calculated using Owens-Wendt approach and the result was directly obtained from the software company with the contact angle meter.

2.9 Assessment of mucin adsorption

The specimens for mucin adsorption test were prepared with the same protocol as the specimens for contact angle measurement. Six specimens ($n=6$) were prepared for every dental resin system. The amount of adsorbed mucin was determined by Bradford method [26]. Every specimen was soaked in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 2 h before testing, and then immersed in a tube with 1 mL mucin solution (0.4 mg/mL) for 24 h at 37°C. After removing specimen, 20 mL of Bradford solution was added in to mucin solution and ultrasonically dispersed for 1 min. The absorbance of the mixed solution at wavelength of 595 nm was recorded using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV755B, Shanghai Yoke Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The concentration of mucin solution was calculated according to fitting equation:

$$y = 1538.1x, R^2 = 0.9919 \quad (7)$$

where y is the concentration of mucin solution, x is the absorbance of mucin solution with Bradford solution.

Finally, the amount of adsorbed mucin per area (AMA) was calculated by the following equation:

$$AMA = \frac{(y_b - y_a)V}{S} \quad (8)$$

where y_b and y_a is the concentration of mucin solution before and after testing, respectively. V is the volume of tested mucin solution. S is the surface area of specimen.

2.10 Assessment of bacterial adhesion

Bacterial culture

Streptococcus mutans (*S. mutans*, ATCC 25175) was spread on brain heart infusion (BHI) agar plates. After overnight culture at 37 °C, a single colony was collected into 15 mL centrifuge tubes with 5 mL of BHI medium and incubated under anaerobic conditions at 37 °C for 24 h. The bacterial suspension was diluted to approximately 1×10^7 CFU/mL for the bacterial adhesion assay using the McFarland Criterion.

Adhesion assay

The specimens for bacterial adhesion test were prepared with the same protocol as the specimens for contact angle measurement. Two specimens of every dental resin system were prepared for one test, and the test was repeated triplet (totally n=6). After being sterilized with ultraviolet rays on each side, the disc shaped specimen was placed at the bottom of 24-well cell culture plate, which was then filled with 1mL of bacteria suspension. The covered plate was anaerobic incubated at 37°C for 24h. Subsequently, the sample was rinsed twice with PBS and moved to 5 ml centrifuge tube containing 3 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS). After sonication for 15 min and vortexing for 15 s to detach the adhered bacteria, 100 μ L of diluted suspension was spread onto BHI agar and incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 24 h. The colonies that grew on BHI agar were counted to assess the ability of resisting bacterial adhesion. The amount of bacteria recovered from surface of control group was setting as 100%.

2.11 Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA analyses of variance were used to examine statistical differences between variables by employing SPSS 25.0 software (IBM SPSS Software, USA), followed by post hoc Tukey tests (at a significance level of $p = 0.05$).

3. Results

Figure 2 and Figure 3 were the FT-IR spectra and $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of synthesized SMAs, respectively. All the spectra indicated that both SMAs synthesized as designed.

Table 2 exhibited the results of DC and VS. Compared with control group, all SMAs containing resins had the similar DC ($p > 0.05$), and there was no significant difference in DC between SMAs containing resins ($p > 0.05$). All SMAs containing resins had lower VS than the control ($p < 0.05$), and with the increase of SMAs content, the VS had a trend of decreasing ($p < 0.05$). At the same mass fraction, SMA-MEO group had lower VS than SMA-ME group ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 4 and Figure 5 depicted FS and FM before and after water immersion. As shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5, no matter before or after water immersion, the FS and FM were in a trend of decreasing with the increasing of SMAs concentration in resin system ($p < 0.05$), and in the same mass fraction, SMA-MEO containing cured resin and SMA-ME containing cured resin had the similar FS and FM ($p > 0.05$). After water immersion, the FS and FM of all cured resins decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$).

The results of WS, SL, and DCW were summarized in Table 3, and Figure 6 showed the water sorption behavior of cured resins. Only cured resin with 10 wt.% of SMAs had comparable WS and SL as control ($p > 0.05$), all the other SMAs containing cured resin had

higher WS and SL ($p<0.05$). All of SMAs containing cured resin had higher DCW than control ($p<0.05$).

The results of contact angles and SFE were listed in Table 4. As shown in Table 4, in all cured resins, control had lowest contact angles for both water and diiodomethane ($p<0.05$), and highest surface free energy ($p<0.05$). The contact angles for two liquids of SMAs containing cured resin were in a trend of increasing with the increasing of SMAs concentration, while surface free energy was in a trend of decreasing with the increasing of SMAs concentration.

The amount of adsorbed mucin and percentage of adherent bacteria compared with control were shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8, respectively. The amount of adsorbed mucin (Figure 7) and adhered bacteria (Figure 8) on the surfaces of SMAs containing cured resins were all lower than that on the surface of control ($p<0.05$). Structure and concentration of SMAs had no influence on the amount of adsorbed mucin (Figure 7, $p>0.05$). The amount of adherent bacteria was in a trend of decreasing with the increasing of SMAs in cured resin.

4. Discussion

With the aim to reduce SFE of DRCs, the strategy of this research was to prepare resin matrix which would have low SFE after polymerization, for resin matrix is the continuous phase in DRCs. For this purpose, methacrylates with silicone chain were synthesized and incorporated into the commonly used resin matrix (Bis-GMA/TEGDMA), because silicone-based materials are low SFE materials that have already been used in several fields for preventing bacteria adhesion, anti-fouling, anti-graffiti, and anti-contamination [27-30].

The DC is a critical factor that determines the mechanical properties as well as other

performance of methacrylate based dental materials [31]. The results of present study indicated that addition of SMAs had no negative effect on DC of dental resin (Table 2), both structure and concentration of SMAs had no influence on DC.

The process of polymerization of methacrylate involves the formation of covalent bonds and reduction in distance between molecules, which would inevitably cause volumetric shrinkage. The volumetric shrinkage would then lead to shrinkage stress, which could induce microleakage, marginal discoloration, postoperative sensitivity, and secondary caries in clinic, eventually causing failure of restoration [32]. Therefore, volumetric shrinkage is a primary defect of methacrylate based dental materials [33-35]. The degree of volumetric shrinkage is related to the conversion and concentration of double bond, and studies have reported that for linear methacrylate, when one mole of C=C was converted to C-C, the corresponding volumetric shrinkage was about 22.5 cm³ [36,37]. Several efforts have been taken to minimize volumetric shrinkage by reducing double bond concentration while optimizing the double bond conversion [32,37,38]. With the same level of DC, SMAs containing dental resins had lower VS than control (Table 2), this should be due to the molecular weight of SMAs. Comparing monomers with the same functionality, volumetric shrinkage would decrease with the increasing of molecular weight, because high molecular weight leads to low double bond concentration [32,38-40]. According to their amino contents, molecular weights of SMA-MEO and SMA-ME could be approximately calculated as 6832 g/mol and 4060 g/mol, respectively, which were much higher than molecular weight of Bis-GMA (512 g/mol) and TEGDMA (286 g/mol). Therefore, it also could be explained that, at the same mass fraction, why SMA-MEO containing resins had lower VS than SMA-ME containing resins.

Mechanical properties of cured resin were revealed to be associated with degree of double bond conversion and chemical structure of monomers [32,41]. With the comparable DC, the reduction of flexural properties after adding SMAs should be due to the branched silicone chains in the structure of SMAs, which could decrease interaction between polymeric chains just like some side alkyl chains [42]. Moreover, adding SMAs into Bis-GMA/TEGDMA would decrease concentration of rigid benzene ring in polymeric network, which could further lead to poor mechanical properties. After water immersion, flexural properties of all cure resins were decreased because of plasticization effect of water molecules [43]. Though mechanical properties of cured resin were reduced significantly after introducing SMAs, this phenomenon would be ameliorated after adding inorganic fillers to prepare DRCs. Because silicone chains in SMAs, especially the methoxyl terminated silicone chains in SMA-MEO, might have effect on improving the miscibility and interface properties between resin matrix and fillers. This should be proved in further study.

Compared with control group, all SMAs containing cured resin had higher hydrophobicity (Table 4), but some of them still possessed comparable or even higher WS (Table 3). This should be attributed to the higher DCW of SMAs containing cured resin, which made the water molecules to penetrate into polymeric networks much more easily. While the higher DCW of SMAs containing cured resin was the result of increased motion of polymer chains [44], that induced by the lower interaction between polymeric chains as mentioned above. The amount and leachability of residual monomers in cured resin determined its SL in water [45], and the amount of residual monomers is correlated with DC [46]. Though having the same DC, cured resin with more than 10 wt.% of SMAs had higher SL, since the decreased

interaction between polymeric chains made the residual monomers to be leached out of polymeric network easily.

The development of biofilm always starts from the primary adhesion of bacteria [18,47,48]. Regarding the first colonizers in biofilm, oral *streptococci* are the most prominent bacteria [47]. Among *streptococci*, *S mutans* is a main etiological factor for dental caries [49,50], and have a greatest ability to form biofilm [51], thus *S mutans* was chosen in this work to study the anti-bacterial adhesion effect of cured resin. What's more, mucin adsorption of cured resin was also investigated in this study, because mucin is one of main components that constitute saliva and acquired pellicle [52,53], and has been reported that can enhance growth, biofilm formation and survival of *S mutans* [54]. Bovine submaxillary mucin was recommended as the most optimal commercially available mucin source when attempting to replicate saliva based on surface adsorption [53], so bovine submaxillary mucin was used here. The results showed in Figure 7 and Figure 8 indicated that SMAs could not only endow cured resin with anti-bacteria adhesion effect, but also with resistance to mucin adsorption.

It was reported that surfaces with water contact angle lower than 20° and approaching 120° exhibit a reduction in protein adsorption [27,56]. Thus, the resistance to mucin adsorption should be mainly attributed to the increased water contact angle (Table 4) after adding SMAs. Meanwhile, the reduced surface free energy of SMAs containing cured resin (Table 4) should be the main reason of reduced adhered bacteria. According to the study of Absolom et al. [55], *S. mutans* with high surface free energy (117 mJ/m²) [22] should adhere worse to surface with low surface free energy.

5. Conclusion

It could be concluded that the synthesized silicone methacrylates SMAs could reduce volumetric shrinkage of dental resin, endow cured resin with anti-bacterial adhesion effect and resistance to protein adsorption. However, the reduced flexural properties, increased water sorption and solubility after adding SMAs should be improved through incorporating suitable inorganic fillers in further study.

Declarations

Funding: This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81970974, No.82071162), and Guangdong Financial Fund for High-Caliber Hospital Construction (174-2018-XMZC-0001-03-0125/D-15).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Availability of data and material: Not applicable

Code availability: Not applicable

Author' contributions:

Hui Tong: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft.

Muzi Liao: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft.

Xiangya Huang: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing.

Fang Liu: Writing – Review & Editing.

Sui Mai: Supervision, Writing – Review & Edition.

Jingwei He: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Edition.

Ethics approval: Not applicable

Consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Acknowledgements: This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81970974, No.82071162), and Guangdong Financial Fund for High-Caliber Hospital Construction (174-2018-XMZC-0001-03-0125/D-15).

Ethical Standards

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest: Not applicable

Research involving Human Participants and/or Animals: Not applicable

Informed consent: Not applicable

References

- [1]. Li S, Yu X, Liu F, Deng F, He J. Synthesis of antibacterial dimethacrylate derived from niacin and its application in preparing antibacterial dental resin system. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* 2020;102:103521.
- [2]. Xiao YH, Chen JH, Fang M, Xing XD, Wang H, Wang YJ, Li F. Antibacterial effects of three experimental quaternary ammonium salt (QAS) monomers on bacteria associated with oral infections. *Journal of Oral Science* 2008;50:323-327.
- [3]. Svanberg M, Mjor IA, Orstavik D. Mutans Streptococci in Plaque from Margins of Amalgam, Composite, and Glass-ionomer Restorations. *Journal of Dental Research* 1990;69:861-864.
- [4]. Beyth N, Domb AJ, Weiss EI. An in vitro quantitative antibacterial analysis of amalgam and composite resins. *Journal of Dentistry* 2007;35:201-206.
- [5]. Kopperud SE, Tveit AB, Gaarden T, Sandvik L, Espelid I. Longevity of posterior dental restorations and reasons for failure. *European Journal of Oral Science* 2012;120:539-548.
- [6]. Demarco FF, Correa MB, Cenci MS, Moraes RR, Opdam NJ. Longevity of posterior composite restorations: not only a matter of materials. *Dental Materials* 2012;28:87-101.
- [7]. Rho YJ, Namgung C, Jin BH, Lim BS, Cho BH. Longevity of direct restorations in stress-bearing posterior cavities: a retrospective study. *Operative Dentistry* 2013;38:572-582.
- [8]. Yin M, Guo S, Liu F, He J. Synthesis of fluorinated dimethacrylate monomer and its application in preparing Bis-GMA free dental resin. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* 2015;51:337-344.
- [9]. Jiao Y, Niu LN, Ma S, Li J, Tay FR, Chen JH. Quaternary ammonium-based biomedical

materials: State-of-the-art, toxicological aspects and antimicrobial resistance. *Progress in Polymer Science* 2017;71:53-90.

[10]. Chen L, Sun BI, Yang J. Antibacterial dental restorative materials: A review. *American Journal of Dentistry* 2018;31:6B-12B.

[11]. Xue J, Wang J, Feng D, Huang H, Wang M. Application of antimicrobial polymers in the development of dental resin composite. *Molecules* 2020;25:4738.

[12]. Zhang Y, Chen Y, Hu Y, Huang F, Xiao Y. Quaternary ammonium compounds in dental restorative materials. *Dental Materials Journal* 2018;37:183-191.

[13]. Wang L, Xie X, Imazato S, Weir MD, Reynolds MA, Xu HHK. A protein-repellent and antibacterial nanocomposite for Class-V restoration to inhibit periodontitis-related pathogens. *Materials Science & Engineering C* 2016;67:702-710.

[14]. Cao L, Xie X, Wang B, Weir MD, Oates TW, Xu HHK, Zhang N, Bai Y. Protein-repellent and antibacterial effects of a novel polymethyl methacrylate resin. *Journal of Dentistry* 2018;79:39-45.

[15]. Kang S, Lee M, Kang M, Noh M, Jeon J, Lee Y, Seo JH. Development of antibiofouling interface on hydroxyapatite surface by coating zwitterionic MPC polymers containing calcium-binding moieties to prevent oral bacterial adhesion. *Acta Biomaterialia* 2016;40:70-77.

[16]. Koyama J, Fukazawa K, Ishihara K, Mori Y. In situ surface modification on dental composite resin using 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine polymer for controlling plaque formation. *Materials Science & Engineering C* 2019;104:109916.

[17]. Gyo M, Nikaido T, Okada K, Yamauchi J, Tagami J, Matin K. Surface response of

fluorine polymer-incorporated resin composites to cariogenic biofilm adherence. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2008;74:1428-1435.

[18]. Pereni CI, Zhang Q, Liu Y, Abel E. Surface free energy effect on bacterial retention. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 2006;48:143-147.

[19]. Poggio C, Arciola CR, Rosti F, Scribante A, Saino E, Visai L. Adhesion of streptococcus mutans to different restorative materials. *International Journal of Artificial Organs* 2009;32:671-677.

[20]. Vergheze B, Lok M, Wen J, Alessandria V, Chen Y, Kathariou S, Knabel S. comK prophage junction fragments as markers for *Listeria monocytogenes* genotypes unique to individual meat and poultry processing plants and a model for rapid niche-specific adaptation, biofilm formation, and persistence. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2011;77:3279-3292.

[21]. Quirynen M, Marechal M, Busscher HJ, Weerkamp AH, Darius PL, van Steenberghe D. The influence of surface free energy and surface roughness on early plaque formation. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy & Therapeutics* 1990;17:138-144.

[22]. Uyen M, Busscher HJ, Weerkamp AH, Arends J. Surface free energies of oral streptococci and their adhesion to solids. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 1985;30:103-106.

[23]. Palin WM, Fleming GJP, Burke FJT, Marquis PM, Randall. The influence of short and medium-term water immersion on the hydrolytic stability of novel low-shrinkage dental composites. *Dental Materials* 2005;21:852-863.

[24]. He J, Luo Y, Liu F, Jia D. Synthesis and characterization of a new trimethacrylate monomer with low polymerization shrinkage and its application in dental restorative materials.

Journal of Biomaterials Applications 2010;25:235-249.

[25]. He J, Luo Y, Liu F, Jia D. Synthesis, characterization and photopolymerization of a new dimethacrylate monomer based on (α -methyl-benzylidene)bisphenol used as root canal sealer.

Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition 2010;21:1191-1205.

[26]. Kielkopf CL, Bauer W, Urbatsch IL. Bradford assay for determining protein concentration. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 2020;2020:102269.

[27]. Santiago A, Irusta L, Schafer T, Corres A, Martine L, Gonzalez A. Resistance to protein sorption as model of antifouling performance of poly(siloxane-urethane) coating exhibiting phase separated morphologies. Progress in Organic Coatings 2016;99:110-116.

[28]. Zhang P, Chen H, Zhang L, Zhang D. Anti-adhesion effects of liquid-infused textured surfaces on high-temperature stainless steel for soft tissue. Applied Surface Science 2016;385:249-256.

[29]. Kim YS, Cho HJ, Lee H, Kim WY, Jung YC, Lee SY. Development of a multi-functional acrylic urethane coating with high hardness and low surface energy. Progress in Organic Coatings 2020;147:105748.

[30]. Wang H, Wang K, Lu H, Parkin IP, Zhang X. Flexible and strong robust superhydrophobic monoliths with antibacterial property. ACS Applied Polymer Materials 2020;2:4856-4863.

[31]. Alshali RZ, Silikas N, Satterthwaite JD. Degree of conversion of bulk-fill compared to conventional resin-composites at two time intervals. Dental Materials 2013;29:e213-e217.

[32]. Luo S, Liu F, He J. Preparation of low shrinkage stress dental composite with synthesized diemthacrylate oligomers. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical

Materials 2019;94:222-228.

[33]. Liu F, He J, Lin Z, Lin J, Jia D. Synthesis and characterization of dimethacrylate monomer with high molecular weight for root canal filling materials. *Molecules* 2006;11:953-958.

[34]. Alsagob EI, Bardwell DN, Ali AO, Khayat SG, Stark PC. Comparison of microleakage between bulk-fill flowable and nanofilled resin-based composites. *Interventional Medicine and Applied Science* 2018;10:102-109.

[35]. Xiong J, Sun X, Li Y, Chen J. Polymerization shrinkage, stress, and degree of conversion in silorane- and dimethacrylate-based dental composites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 2011;122:1882-1888.

[36]. Patel MP, Braden M, Davy KWM. Polymerization shrinkage of methacrylate esters. *Biomaterials* 1987;8:53-56.

[37]. Dewaele M, Truffier-Boutry D, Devaux J, Leloup G. Volumetric contraction in photocured dental resins: the shrinkage-conversion relationship revisited. *Dental Materials* 2006;22:395-365.

[38]. Luo S, Liu F, Yu B, He J. Preparation of low shrinkage stress Bis-GMA free dental resin composites with a synthesized urethane dimethacrylate monomer. *Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition* 2019;30:137-149.

[39]. He J, Liao L, Liu F, Luo Y, Jia D. Synthesis and characterization of a new dimethacrylate monomer based on 5,5'-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-hexahydro-4,7-methanoindan for root canal sealer application. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine* 2010;21:1135-1142.

- [40]. He J, Garoushi S, Vallittu PK, Lassila L. Effect of low-shrinkage monomers on physicochemical properties of experimental composite resin. *Acta Biomaterialia Odontologica Scandinavica* 2018;4:30-37.
- [41]. Asmussen E, Peutzfeldt A. Influence of UEMDA, BisGMA and TEGDMA on selected mechanical properties of experimental resin composites. *Dental Materials* 1998;14:51-56.
- [42]. Liao X, Söderling E, Liu F, He J, Lassila LVJ, Vallittu PK. Optimizing the concentration of quaternary ammonium dimethacrylate monomer in bis-GMA/TEGDMA dental resin system for antibacterial activity and mechanical properties. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine* 2014;25:1387-1393.
- [43]. Yin M, Liu F, He J. Preparation and characterization of Bis-GMA free dental resin system with synthesized dimethacrylate monomer TDDMMA derived from tricyclo[5.2.1.0(2,6)]decanedimethanol. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* 2016;57:157-163.
- [44]. Hansel C, Leyhausen G, Mai UEH, Geurtsen W. Effects of various resin composite (co)monomers and extracts on two caries associated micro-organisms in vitro. *Dental Materials* 1998;77:60-67.
- [45]. Liu D, Liu F, He J, Lassila LVJ, Vallittu PK. Synthesis of a novel tertiary amine containing urethane dimethacrylate monomer (UDMTA) and its application in dental resin. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine* 2013;24:1595-1603.
- [46]. Ferracane JL. Elution of leachable components from composites. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 1994;21:441-452.
- [47]. Engel AS, Kranz HT, Scheider M, Tietze JP, Piwowarczyk A, Kuzius T, et al. Biofilm

formation on different dental restorative materials in oral cavity. *BMC Oral Health* 2020;20:162.

[48]. Busscher HJ, Rinastiti M, Siswomihardjo W, van der Mei HC. Biofilm formation on dental restorative and implant materials. *Journal of Dental Research* 2010;89:657-665.

[49]. Khan AU, Islam B, Khan SN, Akram M. A proteomic approach for exploring biofilm in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Bioinformation* 2011;5:440-445.

[50]. Krzyściak W, Jurczak A, Kościelniak D, Bystrowska B, Skalniak A. The virulence of *Streptococcus mutans* and the ability to form biofilms. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*. 2014;33:499-515.

[51]. Tamura S, Yonezawa H, Motegi M, Nakao R, Yoneda S, Watanabe H, et al. Inhibiting effects of *Streptococcus salivarius* on competence-stimulating peptide-dependent biofilm formation by *Streptococcus mutans*. *Oral Microbiology and Immunology* 2009;24:152-161.

[52]. Humphrey SP, Williamson RT. A review of saliva: Normal composition, flow, and function. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 2001;85:162-169.

[53]. Sarkar A, Xu F, Lee S. Human saliva and model saliva at bulk to adsorbed phases-similarities and differences. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* 2019;273:102034.

[54]. Mothey D, Buttaro BA, Piggot PJ. Mucin can enhance growth, biofilm formation and survival of *Streptococcus mutans*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 2014;350:161-167.

[55]. Absolom DR, Lamberti FV, Policova Z, Zingg W, van Oss CJ, Neumann AW. Surface thermodynamics of bacterial adhesion. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 1983;46:90-97.

[56]. Stallard CP, McDonnell KA, Onayemi OD, O’Gara JP, Dowling DP. Evaluation of

protein adsorption on atmospheric plasma deposited coatings exhibiting superhydrophilic to superhydrophobic properties. *Biointerphases* 2012;7:31-43.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Synthesis route of SMAs.

Figure 2. FT-IR spectra of SMAs: (1) SMA-MEO; (2) SMA-ME.

Figure 3. ¹H-NMR spectra of SMAs: (1) SMA-MEO; (2) SMA-ME.

Figure 4. Flexural strength (FS) of cured dental resins: (1) before water immersion; (2) after water immersion. ^a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). ^A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). [#] The octothorpe indicates the statistical differences between FSs of the same cured resin before and after water immersion (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).

Figure 5. Flexural modulus (FM) of cured dental resins: (1) before water immersion; (2) after water immersion. ^a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). ^A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). ^{*} The asterisk refers to the statistical differences between cured with different SMAs at the same mass ratio in resin system (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). [#] The octothorpe indicates the statistical differences between FMs of the same cured resin before and after water immersion (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).

Figure 6. Water sorption behavior of cured resins.

Figure 7. The results of mucin adsorption on the surface of cured resins. ^a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). ^A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no

statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).

* The asterisk refers to the statistical differences between cured with different SMAs at the same mass ratio in resin system (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).

Figure 8. The results of anti-bacterial adhesion test. ^a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). ^A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). * The asterisk refers to the statistical differences between cured with different SMAs at the same mass ratio in resin system (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).

Figures




Figure 1

Synthesis route of SMAs.

(1)

(2)





Figure 2

FT-IR spectra of SMAs: (1) SMA-MEO; (2) SMA-ME.




Figure 3

$^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of SMAs: (1) SMA-MEO; (2) SMA-ME.




Figure 4

Flexural strength (FS) of cured dental resins: (1) before water immersion; (2) after water immersion. a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). # The octothorpe indicates the statistical differences between FSs of the same cured resin before and after water immersion (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).




Figure 5

Flexural modulus (FM) of cured dental resins: (1) before water immersion; (2) after water immersion. a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). * The asterisk refers to the statistical differences between cured with different SMAs at the same mass ratio in resin system (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). # The octothorpe indicates the statistical differences between FMs of the same cured resin before and after water immersion (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).




Figure 6

Water sorption behavior of cured resins.




Figure 7

The results of mucin adsorption on the surface of cured resins. a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). * The asterisk refers to the statistical differences between cured with different SMAs at the same mass ratio in resin system (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).




Figure 8

The results of anti-bacterial adhesion test. a The same lowercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-MEO (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). A The same uppercase letter refers to there is no statistical difference between cured resins with and without SMA-ME (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$). * The asterisk refers to the statistical differences between cured with different SMAs at the same mass ratio in resin system (Tukey's test, $p=0.05$).