

CALM1 Promotes ESCC Progression and Dampens Chemosensitivity to EGFR Inhibitor

Tao Liu

health management center

Xiujuan Han

1State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis

shutao Zheng (✉ zst824@163.com)

Clinical Medical Research Institute <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9000-0148>

Qing Liu

1State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis

Aerziguli Tuerxun

1State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis

Qiqi Zhang

1State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis

Lifei Yang

1State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis

Xiaomei Lu

1State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis

Primary research

Keywords: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), CALM1, EGFR, Afatinib, Ca²⁺

Posted Date: August 28th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-65402/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on February 18th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-021-01801-6>.

Abstract

Background: Calmodulin1 (CALM1) has been identified as one of the overexpression genes in a variety of cancers and EGFR inhibitor have been widely used in clinical treatment but it is unknown whether CALM1 and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) have a synergistic effect in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). The aim of the present study was to explore the synergistic effects of knock-out CALM1 combined with EGFR inhibitor (Afatinib) and to elucidate the role of CALM1 in sensitizing the resistance to Afatinib in ESCC.

Method: Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and qRT-PCR were used to examine the expression of CALM1 and EGFR in ESCC tissues. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to analyze the clinical and prognostic significance of CALM1 and EGFR expression in ESCC. Furthermore, to evaluate the biological function of CALM1 in ESCC, the latest gene editing technique CRISPR/Cas9 [Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats] was applied to knockout CALM1 in ESCC cell lines KYSE150, Eca109 and TE-1. MTT, flow cytometry, Transwell migration, scratch wound-healing and colony formation assays were performed to assay the combined effect of knock-out CALM1 and EGFR inhibitor on ESCC cell proliferation and migration.. In addition, nude mice xenograft model was used to observe the synergistic inhibition of knock-out CALM1 and Afatinib.

Results: Both CALM1 and EGFR were found to be significantly over-expressed in ESCC compared with paired normal control. Over- expressed CALM1 and EGFR were significantly associated with clinical stage, T classification and poor overall prognosis, respectively. In vitro, the combined effect of knock-out of CALM1 mediated by the lentivirus and EGFR inhibitor was shown to be capable of inhibiting the proliferation, inducing cell cycle arrest at G1/S stage and increasing apoptosis of KYSE-150 and Eca109 cells; invasion and migration were also suppressed. In vivo, the results of tumor weight and total fluorescence were markedly reduced compared with the sgCtrl-infected group and sgCAML1 group.

Conclusion: Our data demonstrated that knock-out of CALM1 could sensitize ESCC cells to EGFR inhibitor, and it may exert oncogenic role via promotion of EMT. Taken together, CALM1 may be a tempting target to overcome Afatinib resistance.

Introduction

Esophageal cancer (EC) is one of the most common gastrointestinal tract malignancies and ranks as the sixth most important cause of cancer mortalities globally, with an incidence of estimated 509,000 new deaths every year [1, 2]. As one of the most common pathohistological subtypes of ESCC, ESCC usually composes over 90% of all EC cases in areas of Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa [1, 3]. Despite the advances in diagnosis and treatment, ESCC still carries a poor prognosis [4], and the 5-year overall survival rate ranges from 15–25% [5].

CALM is a ubiquitous calcium ion (Ca^{2+}) receptor protein, mediating a large number of signaling processes; it is highly conserved from an evolutionary standpoint [6–10]. CALM with a sequence of 148

amino acids is present in all eukaryotic cells[11]. In humans, CALM is encoded by three different genes (CALM1, CALM2, CALM3), each of which has unique selective regulation, tissue specificity, and alternative splicing, but surprisingly, they all produce same protein [12, 13]. However, although specific cells can express these three genes, they do not necessarily all have the same functional roles because the three transcripts can be differentially processed by post-transcriptional regulation or subcellular distribution[14]. In this study we focus on CALM1. CALM is composed of Ca²⁺-binding EF-hands, and participates in signaling pathways that modulates proliferation, motility and differentiation [15]. Several studies found that the expression level of CALM1 was markedly associates with many kinds of cancer, including bladder cancer[16], prostate cancer[17] and nasopharyngeal carcinoma[18]. As far as CALM is concerned, numerous investigations have been carried out on mechanistic aspects, mainly in the cell proliferation, programmed cell death and autophagy. CALM/Ca²⁺ binding to the SH2 domains of the p85 subunit of PI3K α stimulates PI3K α /Akt/mTOR signaling, and thereby regulating cell proliferation and growth [19, 20]. CALM also regulated EGFR's tyrosine kinase activity[21] which activates Ras and PI3K α and has essential roles in programmed cell death and autophagy[19]. However, the biological function of CALM1 and its regulatory mechanism in ESCC are rarely studied.

The EGFR gene encodes a membrane glycoprotein responsible for the upregulation of EGFR signaling. The success of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKIs) have provided a powerful validation for precision cancer medicine because the over-expression and mutations on EGFR plays an important carcinogenic role in a variety of solid tumors such as head and neck, breast, lung, and colorectal cancer, and numerous EGFR inhibitor have been widely used in clinical treatment [22–25]. Fumiyuki Sato et al [26] reported that EGFR inhibitor prevent induction of cancer stem like cells in ESCC by suppressing EMT. In view of these previous findings, we hypothesized that CALM1 and EGFR may play a synergistic role in the development of ESCC. However, up to now, the relationship of CALM1 and EGFR in the progression of ESCC remains unknown. Herein, we undertake the study to present our results of characterization of CALM1 and EGFR and to analyze its clinical relevance in ESCC.

Methods

Cell culture

Two human ESCC cell lines, KYSE150 and TE-1, were obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), and Eca109 cells were from Wuhan University(Wuhan, China).The three cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco;Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). All the ESCC cell lines were cultured in a 5% CO² humidified incubator at 37 °C.

Tissue microarray

Tissue microarrays of clinical samples consisted of ESCC and paired normal adjacent tissues(NAT) .One tissue microarray included 34 paired cases of ESCC and matched NAT (catalog number: #

HEsoS180Su08; Outdo Biotech, Shanghai, China), and another 50 additional independent, subjected to esophagectomy, obtained from the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University. Tumor tissues and clinical data were collected after obtaining the relevant informed consent from each patient involved. The study involving human tissue samples were approved by Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Tissue microarrays were de-waxed and hydrated, boiled in 0.01 M citrate buffer, and treated with 3% hydrogen peroxide after natural cooling. The primary polyclonal rabbit anti CALM1(1:400; Proteintech, Wuhan, China) and EGFR (1:600; Proteintech) was incubated overnight in 4 °C by adding drop of glass slide, followed by treatment with biotinylated antirabbit secondary antibody (CST) for 60 min at 37 °C. The sections were evaluated by two pathologists under optical microscopy and cell localization of protein and immunostaining levels was assessed in each section. The intensity of staining was divided into four grades (0, none; 1, weak; 2, moderate; and 3, strong) and percentage of positive cells (0, < 10%; 1, 10%-25%; 2, 25%-50%; and 3, > 50%). According to the total score (staining intensity plus positive cell score), ESCC patients were divided into two groups, specifically "low expression "(total score ,0–3) and" high expression "(total score ,4–6), which were used to analyze the prognostic significance of EGFR and CALM1 in ESCC.

CRISPR-Cas9 knock-out construction and lentiviral transfection

The LentiCRISPR P2A-GFP-CALM1 CRISPR/Cas9 construct was outsourced to Shanghai Gene Pharma Co Ltd (Shanghai, China). Lentiviruses carrying green fluorescent protein (GFP) is along with scrambled Lv-sgRNA-control (sgCtrl) and CALM1 sgRNA (Lv-sgCALM1-1, Lv-sgCALM1-2, and Lv-sgCALM1-3). A suitable amount of lentivirus was added to the culture medium of ESCC for transduction, according to the multiplicity of infection (MOI), and the cells were incubated further for 8 h. After 72 hours, all fluorescent cells were sorted via flow cytometry and transfection efficiency was evaluated via Western blots and Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The sgRNA target sequences were as follows: sgCALM1-1(GACGGACAAGTCAACTATGAA), sgCALM1-2 (CGTGAGGCATTCCGAGTCTTT), sgCALM1-3(AGAAGCTGAATTGCAGGATAT), and sgRNA control (TTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGT).

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent and then the RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA using a Pria Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit. Following the manufacturer's protocols, Real-time PCR was performed using a SYBR Green Premix PCR Master Mix. Relative mRNA expression of CALM1 and EGFR was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method after being normalized to GAPDH. PCR was performed with the following primer sets: CALM1 forward, 5'GGTCAGAACCCAACAGAA3' and reverse, 5'AGACTCGGAATGCCTCA3'; and EGFR forward, 5'AGGCACGAGTAACAAGCTCAC3' and reverse, 5'ATGAGGACATAACCAGCCACC3'. GAPDH forward, 5'TGACTTCAACAGCGACACCCA3' and reverse, 5'CACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAAA3'.

Western blots

Cells were lysed with RIPA lysis buffer after CALM1-guide RNA transfection for 72 h, and protein concentration was detected with bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific)., 0.1 mg total protein were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE separation under denaturing conditions and then transblotted to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA,USA). Target proteins were detected by using specific antibody against CALM1 (1:800; Proteintech Group, Wuhan, China). GAPDH (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc,USA) was chosen as an internal control and the CALM1 and GAPDH dilutions were incubated at 4 °C with gentle shaking overnight. The blots were visualized with Pierce™ ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocol.

MTT assay

Cells were placed into the 96-well plates at the density of 4×10^3 /mL in RPMI-1640. At the designated time points, the cells were coated with 100 μ L sterile MTT (Sigma-Aldrich) in an incubator with 5% CO₂ for 4 h at 37 °C. Afatinib was added with the desired drug treatment concentrations ranging from 0 to 20 μ M and incubated for 72 h. The reaction waster was performed by removing the culture medium and then adding 100 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 0.5 h to dissolve the formaldehyde. Finally, absorbance values were measured at 490 nm. The IC₅₀ (half-maximum inhibitory concentration) was used as the measure of relative cytotoxicity.

Apoptosis assay and cell cycle

After transfection with sgCtrl and sgCAML1-1 with or without EGFR inhibitor for 72 h, ESCC were collected after washing twice with PBS. For cell cycle, cells were fixed in 70% ice-cold ethanol overnight, then washed twice with PBS and stained with 10 μ g/mL RNase A in the dark for 15 min at room temperature. The analysis was performed by a flowcytometer (BD FACS Calibur; BD Biosciences, Brea, CA, USA). For analysis of apoptotic cells, it was analyzed by flow cytometry using an FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions after harvesting cells.

Transwell assay

50 μ L Matrigel (BD, Bedford, MA) pre-coated on Transwell system (Corning, New York) with 8 μ L pores. Cells at a density of 1×10^5 cells per well were placed into the upper chambers in 600 μ L serum-free RPMI 1640. After incubating at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h, cells invading the lower surface of the filter membrane were scraped off with swabs; the number of invaded cells was counted using Image J software (NIH, Bethesda, MA, USA).

Wound healing assay

The migratory variation of ESCC cells was determined by Wound healing assay. The extent of cell motility was quantified by measuring the distance area between migrating cell boundaries. At 0 and 24 h, Photographs were captured by using amicroscope at $\times 40$ magnifications. At least, four wound areas

were photographed on each plate and counted under an Olympus inverted fluorescence phase-contrast microscope (Tokyo, Japan)

Colony formation assay

KYSE150 and Eca109 cells transfected with sgCtrl, sgCALM1-1 were plated in 6well plates (1000 cells/well) and incubated at 37 °C for 14 days to allow colony formation. In the drug treatment group, the medium was changed with fresh medium containing Afatinib or vehicle (DMSO) every 2 days. The cell medium was subsequently removed. Cells were washed using PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The cells were stained with crystal violet kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) for 15 min at room temperature. The colonies were washed, photographed by camera and counted using ImageJ software.

Tumorigenesis in nude mice and in vivo imaging

Nude mice (4 weeks old) were purchased from Shanghai Lingchang Biological Technology Co., Ltd. All animals (22 ± 1.5 g) were handled according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were housed at a controlled temperature ($22-28^{\circ}\text{C}$) and humidity (50%) under a 12-h light/dark cycle. All mice were randomly divided into three groups: sgCtrl group, sgCAML1-1 group, sgCAML1-1 plus EGFR inhibitor group. Then, the stably cells (4×10^6 for each side) were suspended in PBS and implanted subcutaneously into male BALB/c nude mice. Animals in the sgCAML1-1plus EGFR inhibitor group treated with 20 mg/kg paclitaxel every 3 days once when tumor size reached about 100 mm^3 intraperitoneally (i.p.). After 7 days, the tumor weight was measured every 3 days for 4 weeks. After 27 days of monitoring, in vivo imaging of animals before they are sacrificed and the tumors were dissected and weighted.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD), using SPSS for Windows version 19.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Student t test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate the differences among groups, and chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were applied to analyze correlation between CALM1/EGFR expression and clinicopathological characteristics. The Kaplan-Meier survival curve and log rank test were used to plot the survival curves and estimate survival rates. A two-tailed $P < 0.05$ was taken as significant in all tests.

Results

High CALM1 and EGFR expression were significantly associated with metastasis and poor prognosis in ESCC

To understand the pathological significance of CALM1 and EGFR, we first detected the expression levels of CALM1 and EGFR in 84 paraffin-embedded human ESCC and paired NAT tissue blocks, by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Apparently, IHC analysis revealed that various ESCC tissues show higher expression of CALM1 and EGFR in ESCC tissues compared to the NAT tissues (Fig. 1A, Table 1). The

relationship between the levels of these two proteins in the ESCC tissue and the clinicopathological parameters of the 84 ESCC patients was analyzed in Table 1. Expression of CALM1 and EGFR was not found to be correlated with gender, age, Tumor diameter (cm) but closely related to clinical stage and T classification (Table 1). Kaplan-Meier survival analyses revealed a significantly shorter overall survival time for patients with high CALM1 and EGFR expression relative to patients with low CALM1 and EGFR expression (Fig. 1C). Notably, the CALM1 expression was positively correlated with EGFR in clinical tissues of ESCC (Fig. 1B, Table 2).

Knockout of CALM1 and treated with EGFR inhibitor markedly impaired the proliferation, cell cycle and increased apoptosis of ESCC cells.

Having understood the clinicopathological significance of the CALM1 and EGFR in vivo in ESCC, therefore we hypothesized that knockout of CALM1 and treatment with EGFR inhibitor (Afatinib) could markedly impair the proliferation and apoptosis in vitro in ESCC cell lines. To test the hypothesis, firstly, the basal level of CALM1 and EGFR on mRNA was evaluated using qRT-PCR, in a panel of human ESCC cell lines—KYSE150, Eca109 and TE-1. Results showed these three ESCC cell lines, the basal level of CALM1 and EGFR was higher in KYSE150 and Eca109 cell lines than that in TE-1 cells lines (Fig. 2A). On the basis, the two extreme cases were selected as cell model to further investigate the synergistic reaction of CALM1 and EGFR in ESCC cells. qRT-PCR data showed that sgCALM1-1 successfully achieved significant depletion of CALM1 in two cell line (Fig. 2B). To investigate the effect that synergistic reaction of CALM1 and EGFR exerted over proliferation and apoptotic variation of ESCC cells, we carried out MTT assay and flow cytometry after KYSE150 and Eca109 cell lines were transfected with lentiviral-based knockdown of CALM1. The IC50 value of Afatinib for KYSE150 and Eca109 cells were 8.80 μ M and 4.01 μ M, respectively (Supplementary: Fig S1). It was exhibited that depletion of CALM1 moderately inhibited the proliferation (Fig. 2C) and increased apoptotic (Fig. 2D). More important, treatment with Afatinib can markedly slow down the proliferation (Fig. 2C) and increase apoptotic (Fig. 2D), compared with control and sgCALM1-1 group, strongly suggesting the tumor-promoting role of CALM1 and EGFR in ESCC cells. Cell cycle analysis revealed that KYSE150 and Eca109 cells with CALM1 knockout arrested in G1 and S phase after EGFR inhibitors treatment than the sgCtrl group and sgCALM1-1 group (Fig. 2E). These findings indicated that reducing CALM1 and EGFR expression inhibits the G1/S phase transition.

Knockout of CALM1 and treated with EGFR inhibitors markedly inhibited the invasion and migration of ESCC via EMT

Next, to investigate the combined effect of knock-out of CALM1 and EGFR inhibitor that exerted over proliferation of ESCC cells, we carried out Transwell assay and Wound-healing assays after KYSE150 and Eca109 were transfected with lentiviral-based knockout of CALM1. As shown in Fig. 3A-B, The results showed that compared with the control, the cell proliferation of ESCC significantly suppressed in the sgCALM1-1 group compared with control group cells; however, a stronger increase was observed in the sgCALM1-1 group plus EGFR inhibitors group. In clonogenic assay, we also found that silencing of CALM1 in combination with Afatinib caused a marked inhibition of proliferation in two cell lines, which is

consistent with our previous results (Fig. 3C). We further focused on the mechanisms underlying CALM1 and EGFR activity in ESCC by examining the levels of FN1, the markers of EMT that are critical in cell invasion and migration. Notably, the CALM1 and EGFR expression was negatively correlated with that of FN1 in ESCC (Fig. 3D). Based on the collective results, we suggest that CALM1 and EGFR contribute to tumor cell migration and invasion through promoting EMT.

Knockout of CALM1 and treated with EGFR inhibitors markedly impaired the tumorigenesis in nude mice in vivo.

To confirm the results of knockout of CALM1 and application of EGFR inhibitor in vivo mouse tumorigenesis model, where mice were injected with KYSE150 cells from the sgCtrl or sgCALM1-1 groups with vehicle (saline) or Afatinib, was generated. The results of tumor weight analysis revealed that sgCALM1-1 cells with Afatinib generated markedly smaller subcutaneous xenograft tumors in nude mice compared with NC and sgCALM1-1 cells group (Fig. 4A-C). In addition, in order to further confirm that knockout of CALM1 and treatment of EGFR inhibitor was directly associated with the observed effects on tumor growth, a fluorescence imaging test was also conducted using a small animal live imaging system, which monitors the fluorescence signals emitted from tissues. The sgCtrl-infected and sgCALM1-infected KYSE150 cells were also transduced with GFP; therefore, tumor xenografts in three groups emit fluorescence signals when triggered by specific fluorescence in the live imaging system in vivo. The fluorescence imaging results demonstrated that the total radiant efficiency of mice in the sgCALM1infected group with treatment of EGFR inhibitor was markedly reduced compared with in the sgCtrl-infected group and sgCALM1 group (Fig. 4D-E). These results clearly demonstrated that inhibition of CALM1 increased Afatinib sensitivity in vivo.

Discussion

In the present investigation, we found that CALM1 and EGFR were remarkably up-regulated in ESCC, compared with paired NAT and that over-expression of CALM1 and EGFR in ESCC was significantly associated with tumor progression and poor overall prognosis. Furthermore, to functionally analyze the role of CALM1 in ESCC cell lines in vitro, KYSE150 and Eca109 cells were employed, whose endogenous CALM1 was down-regulated, respectively, by using lentiviral-based transfection. The combined effect of knock-out of CALM1 mediated by the lentivirus and EGFR inhibitors was shown to be capable of inhibiting the proliferation, cell cycle and increasing apoptosis of KYSE150 and Eca109 cells in vitro; invasion and migration were also depressed and enhancing epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). In addition, to investigate the synergistic effect of CALM1 and EGFR plays in cell proliferation, nude mice were xenografted with ESCC cells whose CALM1 was stably knowdown in vivo.

While extensive research has shown that synergy between CALM and EGFR promotes gene transcription and cell proliferation in different cancer types, including human breast cancer, lung cancer, and astrocytic gliomas [27–29] but there are rare data in regard to its role in ESCC, especially CALM1. Kobayashi H et al. found that only CALM 1 played a role in the migration of mouse precerebellar neurons (PCNs) in vivo,

while Calm2-Calm 3 genes did not functionally replace CALM1. When the CALM1 is knocked down with the shRNA, the radial and tangential migration of the cells is inhibited, and the final goal failed to reach during the development, but there is no harmful effect after knocking down the CALM2-CALM3[30]. San Jose, E et al[31] obtained the first experimental evidence for CALM binding to the EGFR in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner in rat liver. In addition, the occurrence of CALM/EGFR complexes in living cells was established and the possible functional effects of this interaction on ligand-dependent activation were identified [32–34]. Based on these studies, it has firstly confirmed the expression of CALM1 and EGFR using IHC with ESCC tissue array. CALM1 and EGFR was upregulated in ESCC relative to NAT, and significantly correlated with poor overall prognosis, in the present study. By expanding the quantities of samples, further results were obtained showing that CALM1 and EGFR-positive staining is positively correlated with tumor progression and poor overall prognosis. Unlike CALM1 that has been seldom reported in the setting of ESCC, studies of EGFR in tumor are relatively extensive.

Although the overexpression or mutation of EGFR levels has proven to be a valid predictor of treatment outcome, the response rates in selected patients remain chemoresistance or poor prognosis in squamous cell carcinomas as well as other malignancies [35–37]. Therefore, future research should focus on exploring more biomarkers to optimize the therapeutic effect on EGFR inhibitors. CALM inhibitors plays an essential role in cell proliferation and/or reverse multiple drug resistance tendencies in many tumor cells[38, 39], so it has been thought it has potential therapeutic effects in cancer[40, 41]. Based on many studies which mostly performed in vitro point to a potential benefit of treating cancers with CALM antagonists. A, V et al [27] found that the site(s) of action of CALM in specific CALM-dependent systems that are upregulated in tumor cells interacting with EGFR. Ca²⁺-CALM binding to the CALM binding domain (CALM-BD) of cytosolic juxtamembrane region of the receptor plays an important trigger role in ligand-dependent activation EGFR in living cells [42–44]. A further study was shown that non-phosphorylated CaM only interacts with the EGFR when is not phosphorylated at Tyr¹¹⁷³(tyrosine¹¹⁷³) [45].Herein, We found here for the first time that treatment with knock-out of CALM1 and EGFR inhibitors have significant effects against tumors in vivo and in vitro in ESCC. Recent studies have pointed to the potential for combinations of EGFR inhibitors with TKIs to overcome a certain degree of resistance for EGFR mutations[46]. However, such strategies may be limited for special resistant population. In the current observation, knock-out of CALM1 mediated by the lentivirus turns out to be able to slow down the growth and motility of KYSE150 and Eca109 cells, preliminarily defining the oncogenic roles of CALM1 in ESCC cells. Further, our combined use of EGFR inhibitors significantly reduced cell proliferation, invasion and migration in vitro and in vivo. Our study provided a molecular phenotype for ESCC, suggesting that CALM1 and EGFR inhibitors might be used as a potential therapeutic target for patients with ESCC. It remains unknown what was the mechanism of CALM1 and EGFR in ESCC, and further study is warranted.

In conclusion, the combined effect of CALM1 and EGFR was observed to be able to remarkably inhibit tumor development in KYSE150 and Eca109 cells, suggesting that the combined effect of CALM1 and

EGFR may assist in the development of new therapeutic strategies to enhance treatment efficacy of EGFR-targeted therapy.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study got approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, with the written informed consents being obtained from all participants involved.

Consent for publication

All authors involved in the authorship are consent for publication in the current form.

Availability of data and material

Source data and materials can be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The study was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (81860510,81960527, U1603284, 81860511), Key Research and Development Project of the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (2020B03003-1), Science and Technology Projects of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (2018E02067),TianshanXuesong Project of the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (2018XS19) and General Project from State Key Laboratory of Pathogenesis, Prevention, Treatment of High Incidence Diseases in Central Asia, Urumqi, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (SKL-HIDCA-2020-11,12).

Authors' contributions

TL conceived the ideas and designed the experiment, drafted the manuscript; XJH performed all the experiments; STZ and QL analyzed the data; AT helped cell culture; QQZ, LFY provided the patient samples for clinical data analysis; XML took charge of the whole project.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate so much the GeneChem Inc. for their excellent technical assistance.

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. Cancer

- J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424.
2. Lin C, Zhang S, Wang Y, Wang Y, Nice E, Guo C, Zhang E, Yu L, Li M, Liu C, et al. Functional Role of a Novel Long Noncoding RNA TTN-AS1 in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma Progression and Metastasis. *Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. 2018;24(2):486–98.
 3. Wang WW, Zhao ZH, Wang L, Li P, Chen KS, Zhang JY, Li WC, Jiang GZ, Li XN. MicroRNA-134 prevents the progression of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma via the PLXNA1-mediated MAPK signalling pathway. *EBioMedicine*. 2019;46:66–78.
 4. Hsu PK, Chen HS, Wang BY, Wu SC, Liu CY, Shih CH, Liu CC. Hospital type- and volume-outcome relationships in esophageal cancer patients receiving non-surgical treatments. *World journal of gastroenterology*. 2015;21(4):1234–42.
 5. Chen L, Wei Q, Bi S, Xie S. Maternal Embryonic Leucine Zipper Kinase Promotes Tumor Growth and Metastasis via Stimulating FOXM1 Signaling in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Frontiers in oncology*. 2020;10:10.
 6. Wang K, Holt C, Lu J, Brohus M, Larsen KT, Overgaard MT, Wimmer R, Van Petegem F. Arrhythmia mutations in calmodulin cause conformational changes that affect interactions with the cardiac voltage-gated calcium channel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2018;115(45):E10556–65.
 7. Schmidt HH, Pollock JS, Nakane M, Forstermann U, Murad F. Ca²⁺/calmodulin-regulated nitric oxide synthases. *Cell calcium*. 1992;13(6–7):427–34.
 8. Chin D, Means AR. Calmodulin: a prototypical calcium sensor. *Trends in cell biology*. 2000;10(8):322–8.
 9. Westerlund AM, Delemotte L. Effect of Ca²⁺ on the promiscuous target-protein binding of calmodulin. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2018;14(4):e1006072.
 10. Shimoyama H, Takeda-Shitaka M. Residue-residue interactions regulating the Ca²⁺-induced EF-hand conformation changes in calmodulin. *J BioChem*. 2017;162(4):259–70.
 11. NL D, Y D, pathology MNJB: **Neuroprotective effects of calmodulin peptide 76-121aa: disruption of calmodulin binding to mutant huntingtin**. 2010, 20(1):176–189.
 12. SL T, JA DFRW, F R, calcium GRT SEJC: **Characterization of the human CALM2 calmodulin gene and comparison of the transcriptional activity of CALM1, CALM2 and CALM3**. 1998, 23(5):323–338.
 13. NJ B, N G-H DY, ML C, DJ T, D K, HS H, CN J, WJ C, CG L et al: **Spectrum and Prevalence of CALM1-, CALM2-, and CALM3-Encoded Calmodulin Variants in Long QT Syndrome and Functional Characterization of a Novel Long QT Syndrome-Associated Calmodulin Missense Variant, E141G**. 2016, 9(2):136–146.
 14. Toutenhoofd SL, Strehler EE. The calmodulin multigene family as a unique case of genetic redundancy: multiple levels of regulation to provide spatial and temporal control of calmodulin pools? *Cell Calcium*. 2000;28(2):83–96.
 15. D C, biology MAJTic: **Calmodulin: a prototypical calcium sensor**. 2000, 10(8):322–328.

16. Zhang L, Feng C, Zhou Y, Zhou Q. Dysregulated genes targeted by microRNAs and metabolic pathways in bladder cancer revealed by bioinformatics methods. *Oncol Lett.* 2018;15(6):9617–24.
17. Adeola HA, Smith M, Kaestner L, Blackburn JM, Zerbini LF. Novel potential serological prostate cancer biomarkers using CT100 + cancer antigen microarray platform in a multi-cultural South African cohort. *Oncotarget.* 2016;7(12):13945–64.
18. Zamanian Azodi M, Rezaei Tavirani M, Rezaei Tavirani M, Vafae R, Rostami-Nejad M. Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Protein Interaction Mapping Analysis via Proteomic Approaches. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2018;19(3):845–51.
19. Berchtold MW, Villalobo A. The many faces of calmodulin in cell proliferation, programmed cell death, autophagy, and cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2014;1843(2):398–435.
20. Vergne I, Chua J, Deretic V. Tuberculosis toxin blocking phagosome maturation inhibits a novel Ca²⁺/calmodulin-PI3K hVPS34 cascade. *The Journal of experimental medicine.* 2003;198(4):653–9.
21. Sanchez-Gonzalez P, Jellali K, Villalobo A. Calmodulin-mediated regulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *FEBS J.* 2010;277(2):327–42.
22. BA C, research HBJTlc: **Targeted therapy for non-small cell lung cancer: current standards and the promise of the future.** 2015, 4(1):36–54.
23. A Z, chemotherapy FVJC, pharmacology: **The Best. First. Anti-EGFR before anti-VEGF, in the first-line treatment of RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer: from bench to bedside.** 2016, 78(2):233–244.
24. HS L, Gut PSJ. liver: **Systemic Chemotherapy in Advanced Pancreatic Cancer.** 2016, 10(3):340–347.
25. AG S. OncoTargets WFJ, therapy: **Molecularly targeted therapy for the treatment of head and neck cancer: a review of the ErbB family inhibitors.** 2016, 9:1927–1943.
26. M FSYK, O N, K MYHKM. T, G S, S O, Y S et al: **EGFR inhibitors prevent induction of cancer stem-like cells in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by suppressing epithelial-mesenchymal transition.** 2015, 16(6):933–940.
27. I G-P AV. SR S, pharmacy JKJJo, pharmaceutical sciences: a publication of the Canadian Society for Pharmaceutical Sciences Scdsp: **Targeting the calmodulin-regulated ErbB/Grb7 signaling axis in cancer therapy.** 2013, 16(2):177–189.
28. X Z, HK NB, PG JTM RBAV, MS S. K, R C, A P et al: **Identifying novel targets of oncogenic EGF receptor signaling in lung cancer through global phosphoproteomics.** 2015, 15:340–355.
29. MJ DA, P G-G BMEA, I L-M JLCA, JM AI. DC, J V et al: **Molecular analysis of the erbB gene family calmodulin-binding and calmodulin-like domains in astrocytic gliomas.** 2004, 25(5):1489–1494.
30. Kobayashi H, Saragai S, Naito A, Ichio K, Kawauchi D, Murakami F. Calm1 signaling pathway is essential for the migration of mouse precerebellar neurons. *Development.* 2015;142(2):375–84.
31. San Jose E, Benguria A, Geller P, Villalobo A. Calmodulin inhibits the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase. *J Biol Chem.* 1992;267(21):15237–45.

32. MJ HL, letters R. VAJF: **Endogenous calmodulin interacts with the epidermal growth factor receptor in living cells.** 2004, 559:175–180.
33. SO SM, MJ S. H, physiology MDJTJog: **An electrostatic engine model for autoinhibition and activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR/ErbB) family.** 2005, 126(1):41–53.
34. S A, J A, G N, I L, SP S, research HOJEC: **A basic peptide within the juxtamembrane region is required for EGF receptor dimerization.** 2005, 302(1):108–114.
35. G Z MM, A Z FMAPGS. S M, F E, H E, F B et al: **Dacomitinib, a pan-inhibitor of ErbB receptors, suppresses growth and invasive capacity of chemoresistant ovarian carcinoma cells.** 2017, 7(1):4204.
36. biology WZJMim. **ErbB Receptors and Cancer.** 2017, 1652:3–35.
37. research RRJP. **Small molecule inhibitors targeting the EGFR/ErbB family of protein-tyrosine kinases in human cancers.** 2019, 139:395–411.
38. Orosz F, Horvath I, Ovadi J. New Anti-Mitotic Drugs with Distinct Anti-Calmodulin Activity. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry.* 2006;6(10):1145–57.
39. Mayur Y, Jagadeesh S, Thimmaiah K. Targeting Calmodulin in Reversing Multi Drug Resistance in Cancer Cells. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry.* 2006;6(12):1383–9.
40. Rhoads AR, Friedberg F. Sequence motifs for calmodulin recognition. *Faseb Journal Official Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology.* 1997;11(5):331.
41. Hoeflich KP, Ikura M. Calmodulin in Action: Diversity in Target Recognition and Activation Mechanisms. *Cell.* 2002;108(6):0–742.
42. Martín-Nieto J, Villalobo A: **The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Contains a Juxtamembrane Calmodulin-Binding Site**. *Biochemistry* 1998, **37(1):227–236.**
43. Hongbing, Li, Svetlana, Panina, Amandeep, Kaur, María J, Ruano P. **Regulation of the Ligand-dependent Activation of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor by Calmodulin.** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2011.
44. Aifa S, Johansen K, Nilsson UK, Bo L, Lundström I, Svensson SPS. Interactions between the juxtamembrane domain of the EGFR and calmodulin measured by surface plasmon resonance. *Cell Signal.* 2002;14(12):1005–13.
45. Stateva SR, Salas V, Benguria A, Cossio I, Anguita E, Martin-Nieto J, Benaim G, Villalobo A. The activating role of phospho-(Tyr)-calmodulin on the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Biochem J.* 2015;472(2):195–204.
46. RR AC, M ADCFSLA. G, F Q, G G, D M, P M et al: **Combined use of anti-ErbB monoclonal antibodies and erlotinib enhances antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity of wild-type erlotinib-sensitive NSCLC cell lines.** 2012, 11:91.

Tables

Table 1. Correlation between CALM1 and EGFR expression and clinicopathologic characteristics in 84 cases of ESCC

Characteristics	CALM1 expression		χ^2	P Value	EGFR expression		χ^2	P Value
	High	Low			High	Low		
Type								
ESCC	68	16	27.327	0.001	65	19	26.565	0.001
NAT	35	49			32	52		
Gender								
Male	50	11	0.149	0.700	50	11	2.677	0.102
Female	18	5			15	8		
Age (y)								
≤60	25	5	0.172	0.679	33	5	3.549	0.060
>60	43	11			32	14		
Tumor diameter (cm)								
≤5	46	12	1.473	0.225	42	11	0.285	0.593
≥5	22	4			23	8		
Clinical stage								
I	19	11	4.782	0.029	17	11	6.666	0.010
II-III	49	5			48	8		
T classification								
T1-T2	23	7	4.782	0.029	19	14	6.186	0.013
T3-T4	45	9			42	9		
Lymph node metastasis								
N0	46	9	0.617	0.432	41	14	0.732	0.392
N1-N3	23	7			24	5		

Table 2. Relationship between CALM1 and EGFR expression in patients with ESCC

CALM1 expression	EGFR expression		Contingency coefficient	P Value
	Positive	Negative		
Positive	59	9	0.321	0.001
Negative	6	10		

Figures

Fig1.




Figure 1

High CALM1 and high EGFR expression in ESCC was significantly correlated with metastasis and poor clinical prognosis. A1, A2, Immunostaining of CALM1 in ESCC and paired normal control. B1, B2, Immunostaining of EGFR in ESCC and paired normal control. The scale bar represents 25 μ m. Magnification fold is 400 \times . C, Kaplan-Meier overall survival curves for all 84 patients with ESCC stratified by high and low expression of CALM1 and EGFR (NAT, normal adjacent tissues).





Figure 2


Knockout of CALM1 and treated with EGFR inhibitor markedly impairs the proliferation, apoptosis and cell cycle of ESCC cells. A, Basal expression of CALM1 and EGFR on mRNA by qRT-PCR was detected in three tested ESCC cell lines. B, qRT-PCR showing remarkable silencing efficiency in three ESCC cell lines infected with sgCALM1-1, sgCALM1-2 and sgCALM1-3. C, Comparison of the proliferation of ESCC cell lines after knockout of CALM1 with or without inhibition of EGFR by MTT. Cells were pretreated with the

inhibitors for 1 h and maintained in culture. D, Influence of CALM1 and EGFR inhibitor on apoptosis in ESCC cells, analyzed by using flow cytometry. The percentage of Annexin V-FITC-positive cells to the total cells is shown in bar graphs. E, Flow cytometry analysis of the effects of EGFR inhibitor treatment on cell cycle in ESCC after CALM1 knockout. All assays were performed in triplicate and the results are presented as the mean±S.D. in all panels (C, D, E). CALM1, calmodulin1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor. ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide; sgRNA, guide RNA. *P < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 (Student's t-test).


A



B



C



D




Figure 3

Knockout of CALM1 and application of EGFR inhibitor can synergistically inhibit the invasion and migration of ESCC. A, B, variation of invasive and migration ability was assessed by Transwell assay and Wound-healing assays in KYSE150 and Eca109 cell lines. C, Colony-formation assay to quantify the combined effect of CALM1 and EGFR on ESCC viability. D, Correspondingly, expression variation of biomarkers of ESCC cell lines KYSE150 and Eca109 related to EMT on protein level using immunoblotting. CALM1, calmodulin1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor. ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition. * $P < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ (Student's t-test).




Figure 4

Effects of Knockout of CALM1 and application of EGFR inhibitor on tumorigenesis in nude mice in vivo. KYSE150 cells that were infected with CALM1 or scramble lentivirus were injected s.c. into nude mice. A-C, Nude mice harboring subcutaneous tumors derived from implanted Control CALM1 and CALM1 KD cells were treated by subcutaneous injection with vehicle (saline) or Afatinib. Tumor dimensions were recorded on every other day and calculated tumor volumes are presented as the mean ± SD for each group (n=10). Weight of terminal tumors was recorded on Day 27. D, E The total radiant efficiency of the ROI in xenografts from mice injected with Control CALM1 and CALM1 KD cells with vehicle (saline) or Afatinib. CALM1, calmodulin1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor. ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; ROI, Region of Interest; * $P < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ (Student's t-test).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigureS1.tif](#)