Association of Body Mass Index and Waist Circumference with Hypertension in Older Adults

Wenli Zhang

Zhengzhou University

Kun He

Zhengzhou University

Hao Zhao

zhengzhou university

Xueqi Hu

Zhengzhou University

Chunyu Yin

Chinese PLA General Hospital

Xiaoyan Zhao

Chinese PLA General Hospital

Songhe Shi (✉ zzumrssh@gs.zzu.edu.cn)

Zhengzhou University

Research article

Keywords: hypertension, body mass index, waist circumference, restricted cubic spline, additive interaction

Posted Date: August 28th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-65456/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Geriatrics on April 19th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02154-5>.

Abstract

Background: The relationship between obesity and prevalent hypertension in older adults has predominantly been estimated using categorical measures of body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC), masking the shape of the dose-response relationship. We aimed to examine the precise relationship of BMI, WC with hypertension, and to assess the appropriate level of BMI and WC for hypertension.

Methods: We examined data for 126,123 individuals in Xinzheng city aged ≥ 60 years from a population based study from January to December 2019. Logistic regression and restricted cubic spline models were applied to assess the relationship and the appropriate level of BMI and WC for hypertension. An additive interaction analysis was used to test synergistic effects between a higher BMI and WC for hypertension.

Results: The full-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of an increase of 1 kg/m² in BMI and 1 cm in WC for hypertension were 1.084 (1.080-1.087) and 1.025 (1.024-1.027), respectively. Multivariable adjusted restricted cubic spline analyses showed the nonlinear relationships of BMI and WC with hypertension in both men and women (all $P < 0.001$). The risk of hypertension increased steeply with increasing BMI from ≥ 25 kg/m² and WC ≥ 88 cm or 86 cm for males and females, respectively. And we observed a significant additive interaction between a higher BMI and WC such that the prevalence of hypertension was significantly enhanced.

Conclusion: These findings suggest increased hypertension prevalence in the elderly population with increased BMI and WC. BMI ≤ 25 kg/m² and WC ≤ 88 cm or 86 cm for males and females may be the best suggestion with regard to primary prevention of hypertension in older adults.

1. Introduction

Hypertension is a substantial public health burden[1] and a strong modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease[2], which has become one of the leading causes of global mortality, accounting for 9.4 million deaths each year[3, 4]. From 2010 to 2017, the weighted prevalence of hypertension increased by 23.4% and is increasing with aging in China [4]; therefore, the identification of modifiable risk factors for hypertension onset has become a public health priority. Obesity is one of the modifiable risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease [5–7], which is most generally assessed by body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC). Commonly, BMI is used as a substitute for general obesity because of its strong correlation with gold standard body fat[8–10], and WC is regarded as a better index for evaluating abdominal obesity [11].

Accumulating evidence suggests a potential link between obesity-related hypertension [12–14], but controversy exists about the degree of the associations between two anthropometric indicators and the risk of hypertension. Nonetheless, information about the relationship between BMI, WC and hypertension is limited in older adults. In particular, few studies have explored the dose–response relationship and investigated the interaction between BMI and WC. Furthermore, the definition of general overweight and obesity usually ignore ethnicity and age; and are based on their associations with chronic non-communicable diseases. Their utility in predicting hypertension remains uncertain. In addition, higher BMI and WC increase the risk of hypertension alone, but their interrelations with an increased risk of hypertension remain uncertain.

Thus, in this study, we aimed to assess the independent association of BMI and WC with hypertension as well as their possible additive interactions on the risk of hypertension using a large and contemporary population in

central China and to explore the appropriate level of BMI and WC for the elderly group.

2. Methods

2.1| Study Population

The study population consisted of participants of a comprehensive health check-up program conducted at fourteen medical examination centers (**Supplementary 1**). Generally, the Central People's Government of China demands that residents aged ≥ 60 years participate in health examinations annually to promote good health by enabling early detection of chronic diseases and associated risk factors. The study collected clinical, demographic, and lifestyle information from all participants by face-to-face interviews, physical examinations and blood biochemical examinations. Cross-sectional study data from Xinzheng from January to December 2019 were combined for analyses. For this study, 1969 people were excluded from the current study due to missing physical examination data ($n = 117$) or biochemical test data ($n = 1852$). Finally, we had data for 58,115 men and 68,008 women resident ≥ 60 years of age, who were enrolled to assess the association between BMI, WC and hypertension (Fig. 1). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection. The research ethics committee of Zheng Zhou University approved the current study methodology, protocol, and procedures. (Reference Number: ZZUIRB2019-019)

2.2| Exposure

Height, weight, and WC were measured twice by trained nurses following rigorous protocols. Body height was measured without shoes with a stadiometer, and body weight was measured with participants in light clothing and without shoes by electronic scales. WC was measured with gentle breathing at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest to the nearest 0.1 cm[15]. BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m). BMI and WC were divided into quintiles.

2.3| Outcome

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were collected according to the World Health Organization definition [16]. After sitting quietly for five minutes, certified nurses measured each participant's seated blood pressure three times using a mercury sphygmomanometer (Omron HEM-7125, Kyoto, Japan). We calculated the means of SBP and DBP. Diagnosis of hypertension was defined as $SBP \geq 140$ mm Hg/or $DBP \leq 90$ mm Hg or use of antihypertensive medication within 2 weeks [16].

2.4| Covariates

Information on demographic characteristics (age, sex, marital status, and place of residence) and behavioral measures (smoking, physical exercise and alcohol consumption) were obtained by a standardized questionnaire, which was in strict accordance with the *National Standards for Basic Public Health Services (2011)*. Marital status was categorized as unmarried, married, divorced and death of a spouse. Smoking status was defined as current smoker, ex-smoker, or never smoker[17]; Alcohol consumption and physical exercise status were categorized as never, once in a while, more than once a week and every day. Place of residence (rural and urban) was defined according to country-specific definitions. Overnight fasting blood samples were collected into vacuum tubes for assessing serum levels of blood glucose using standard methods.

2.5| Statistical Analysis

Continuous data were expressed as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) and categorical data as the number (percentage) for quintiles of BMI or WC, using different values for men and women. Comparisons of the basic characteristics of the quintiles were performed with the χ^2 test, ANOVA, and Kruskal-Wallis test.

Logistic regression models were used to estimate the adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for BMI, WC and hypertension, taking the quintile with the lowest baseline BMI or WC values as the reference. ORs and 95% CIs for hypertension were estimated for each group with adjustment of multiple confounders. One obesity parameter was introduced at a time in each model to avoid the collinear effect. Model 1 was unadjusted. Model 2 was adjusted for sex and age. Model 3 was adjusted for Model 2 and marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, place of residence and blood glucose. A linear trend test was performed by modeling the median value of each exposure category as a continuous variable in the models. Fully adjusted restricted cubic spline analyses were used to characterize the dose-response association and explore the potential linear or nonlinear relationship of BMI, and WC with hypertension. The knots were placed at the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th percentiles. The test result for overall association was checked first. If the test for overall association was significant, the test result for nonlinearity and linearity were checked, and the P -value for non-linear association < 0.05 indicated a significant result indicating the linear association. We also evaluated the additive interaction between BMI and WC for hypertension with BMI and WC analyzed as continuous variable in two categories [(BMI: BMI < 25 and BMI ≥ 25 . WC: WC < 102 for males, WC < 88 for females and WC ≥ 102 for males, WC ≥ 88 for females) or (WHO proposed cut-off points[18])]. We applied three indicators to evaluate the additive interaction: relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion due to interaction (AP) or synergy index (S). RERI > 0 , AP > 0 , or S > 1 was regarded as a significant additive interaction.

Statistical analyses involved the use of SAS V .9.1 (SAS Institute) and R x64 4.0.0. All reported P values were two-sided, with $P < 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 | Basic demographic characteristics

A total of 126,123 participants were eligible for inclusion in this study. The mean (SD) age was 70.29 (6.94) years. The basic demographic characteristics of the study population according to BMI and WC quintiles are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. The prevalence of hypertension, levels of alcohol consumption, physical exercise and blood glucose showed a significant progressive increase from the quintile with the lowest BMI to the quintile with the highest BMI. This was also the case for the WC quintiles.

Table 1
Basic demographic characteristics of Subjects According to BMI Quintiles

Characteristics	First Quintile	Second Quintile	Third Quintile	Fourth Quintile	Fifth Quintile	P for trend
Male	BMI < 22.20	$22.20 \leq \text{BMI} < 24.02$	$24.02 \leq \text{BMI} < 25.73$	$25.73 \leq \text{BMI} < 27.78$	BMI ≥ 27.78	
Female	BMI < 22.43	$22.43 \leq \text{BMI} < 24.42$	$24.42 \leq \text{BMI} < 26.27$	$26.27 \leq \text{BMI} < 28.58$	BMI ≥ 28.58	
N0.	25164	25236	25166	25268	25289	
Hypertension						< 0.001
no	13812(54.89)	12206(48.37)	11346(45.08)	10508(41.59)	9205(36.4)	
yes	11352(45.11)	13030(51.63)	13820(54.92)	14760(58.41)	16084(63.6)	
Age, years	72.08 ± 7.75	70.54 ± 7.17	69.96 ± 6.66	69.59 ± 6.49	69.29 ± 6.17	< 0.001
sex,%						0.981
men	11619(46.17)	11584(45.9)	11612(46.14)	11642(46.07)	11658(46.1)	
women	13545(53.83)	13652(54.1)	13554(53.86)	13626(53.93)	13631(53.9)	
Marital status,%						< 0.001
unmarried	558(2.22)	432(1.71)	341(1.36)	285(1.13)	246(0.97)	
married	19847(78.87)	20968(83.09)	21315(84.7)	21686(85.82)	21916(86.66)	
divorced	135(0.54)	91(0.36)	124(0.49)	92(0.36)	83(0.33)	
death of a spouse	4624(18.38)	3745(14.84)	3386(13.45)	3205(12.68)	3044(12.04)	
Smoking,%						< 0.001
Never smokers	20950(83.25)	21506(85.22)	21557(85.66)	21879(86.59)	22079(87.31)	
Former smokers	537(2.13)	591(2.34)	611(2.43)	623(2.47)	678(2.68)	
Current smokers	3677(14.61)	3139(12.44)	2998(11.91)	2766(10.95)	2532(10.01)	
Alcohol consumption,%						< 0.001
Never	23681(94.11)	23651(93.72)	23364(92.84)	23379(92.52)	23296(92.12)	
Once in a while	906(3.6)	998(3.95)	1113(4.42)	1129(4.47)	1215(4.8)	
More than once a week	243(0.97)	277(1.1)	305(1.21)	350(1.39)	352(1.39)	

Characteristics	First Quintile	Second Quintile	Third Quintile	Fourth Quintile	Fifth Quintile	<i>P</i> for trend
Every day	334(1.33)	310(1.23)	384(1.53)	410(1.62)	426(1.68)	
Physical exercise,%						< 0.001
Never	16555(65.79)	15881(62.93)	15014(59.66)	14897(58.96)	15290(60.46)	
Once in a while	863(3.43)	912(3.61)	943(3.75)	998(3.95)	966(3.82)	
More than once a week	1576(6.26)	1809(7.17)	1937(7.7)	1914(7.57)	1830(7.24)	
Every day	6170(24.52)	6634(26.29)	7272(28.9)	7459(29.52)	7203(28.48)	
Residence						< 0.001
Rural areas	21838(86.78)	20703(82.04)	20322(80.75)	20314(80.39)	20365(80.53)	
Urban areas	3326(13.22)	4533(17.96)	4844(19.25)	4954(19.61)	4924(19.47)	
Blood glucose, mmol/L	5.44 ± 1.8	5.68 ± 1.86	5.82 ± 1.91	5.91 ± 1.91	6.04 ± 1.95	< 0.001
Data are shown as mean ± SD or n (%).BMI, body mass index; Residence, area of residence; Blood glucose, Fasting plasma glucose.						

Table 2
Basic demographic characteristics of Subjects According to WC Quintiles

Characteristics	First Quintile	Second Quintile	Third Quintile	Fourth Quintile	Fifth Quintile	P for trend
Male	WC < 81	81 ≤ WC < 86	86 ≤ WC < 90	90 ≤ WC < 96	WC ≥ 96	
Female	WC < 79	79 ≤ WC < 84	84 ≤ WC < 89	89 ≤ WC < 95	WC ≥ 95	
N0.	23098	23498	24971	26736	27820	
Hypertension						< 0.001
no	12346(53.45)	11421(48.6)	11219(44.93)	11539(43.16)	10552(37.93)	
yes	10752(46.55)	12077(51.4)	13752(55.07)	15197(56.84)	17268(62.07)	
Age, years	71.29 ± 7.65	70.48 ± 7.15	69.96 ± 6.79	69.86 ± 6.57	70.01 ± 6.51	< 0.001
sex,%						0.001
men	10850(46.97)	10887(46.33)	10190(40.81)	13076(48.91)	13112(47.13)	
women	12248(53.03)	12611(53.67)	14781(59.19)	13660(51.09)	14708(52.87)	
Marital status,%						< 0.001
unmarried	546(2.36)	427(1.82)	312(1.25)	289(1.08)	288(1.04)	
married	18446(79.86)	19404(82.58)	21106(84.52)	22908(85.68)	23868(85.79)	
divorced	120(0.52)	99(0.42)	106(0.42)	103(0.39)	97(0.35)	
death of a spouse	3986(17.26)	3568(15.18)	3447(13.8)	3436(12.85)	3567(12.82)	
Smoking,%						< 0.001
Never smokers	19441(84.17)	19920(84.77)	21755(87.12)	22749(85.09)	24106(86.65)	
Former smokers	469(2.03)	534(2.27)	555(2.22)	748(2.8)	734(2.64)	
Current smokers	3188(13.8)	3044(12.95)	2661(10.66)	3239(12.11)	2980(10.71)	
Alcohol consumption,%						< 0.001
Never	21794(94.35)	22082(93.97)	23500(94.11)	24555(91.84)	25440(91.45)	
Once in a while	799(3.46)	913(3.89)	927(3.71)	1322(4.94)	1400(5.03)	
More than once a week	208(0.9)	217(0.92)	233(0.93)	406(1.52)	463(1.66)	
Every day	297(1.29)	286(1.22)	311(1.25)	453(1.69)	517(1.86)	

Characteristics	First Quintile	Second Quintile	Third Quintile	Fourth Quintile	Fifth Quintile	<i>P</i> for trend
Physical exercise,%						< 0.001
Never	14960(64.77)	14768(62.85)	15405(61.69)	15830(59.21)	16674(59.94)	
Once in a while	808(3.5)	835(3.55)	996(3.99)	998(3.73)	1045(3.76)	
More than once a week	1507(6.52)	1790(7.62)	1871(7.49)	1962(7.34)	1936(6.96)	
Every day	5823(25.21)	6105(25.98)	6699(26.83)	7946(29.72)	8165(29.35)	
Residence						< 0.001
Rural areas	20201(87.46)	20096(85.52)	20773(83.19)	21110(78.96)	21362(76.79)	
Urban areas	2897(12.54)	3402(14.48)	4198(16.81)	5626(21.04)	6458(23.21)	
Blood glucose, mmol/L	5.44 ± 1.71	5.64 ± 1.81	5.76 ± 1.88	5.9 ± 1.97	6.08 ± 2.01	< 0.001
Data are shown as mean ± SD or n (%).WC, waist circumference; Residence, area of residence; Blood glucose, Fasting plasma glucose.						

3.2 | OR and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for HYPERTENSION according to BMI and WC

Table 3 presents the results from the logistic regression that estimated the association between the levels of BMI, WC and hypertension. The multivariable adjusted OR (95% CI) per 1 kg/m² increase in BMI was 1.084 (1.08 to 1.087). In all three models, the ORs for hypertension increased significantly with increasing BMI quintiles (*P* for trend < 0.01). In Model 3, the multivariate-adjusted OR (95% CIs) for hypertension with the highest BMI quintile group compared with the lowest quintile group was 2.297 (2.214 to 2.383). The multivariable adjusted OR (95% CI) per 1 cm increase in WC was 1.025 (1.024 to 1.027). The highest quintile group had a greater hypertension prevalence than the other quintile group, and the crude ORs (95% CIs) for hypertension compared with the lowest quintile group was 1.879 (1.814 to 1.947). After adjustment for sex, age, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, place of residence and blood glucose, the ORs were enhanced, and the multivariate-adjusted ORs (95% CIs) for hypertension with the highest WC quintile group compared with the lowest quintile group was 1.974 (1.904 to 2.046).

Table 3
Association between BMI, WC and hypertension

Characteristics	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)
BMI,per1kg/m ² change	1.077(1.074,1.08)	1.085(1.081,1.088)	1.084(1.080,1.087)
BMI group			
Q1	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)
Q2	1.299(1.254,1.345)	1.361(1.313,1.41)	1.365(1.317,1.414)
Q3	1.482(1.431,1.535)	1.581(1.525,1.638)	1.579(1.524,1.637)
Q4	1.709(1.65,1.77)	1.844(1.779,1.911)	1.836(1.771,1.903)
Q5	2.126(2.051,2.203)	2.317(2.235,2.403)	2.297(2.214,2.383)
<i>P</i> for trend	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
WC,per1 cm change	1.022(1.021,1.024)	1.025(1.024,1.026)	1.025(1.024,1.027)
WC group			
Q1	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)
Q2	1.214(1.171,1.259)	1.239(1.195,1.286)	1.238(1.194,1.285)
Q3	1.408(1.358,1.459)	1.443(1.391,1.496)	1.445(1.393,1.499)
Q4	1.512(1.46,1.567)	1.577(1.522,1.635)	1.593(1.536,1.651)
Q4	1.879(1.814,1.947)	1.95(1.881,2.021)	1.974(1.904,2.046)
<i>P</i> for trend	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference. Model1: unadjusted. Model2: adjusted for sex and age. Model3 adjusted for Model2 and marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, place of residence and blood glucose. Linear trend test was performed by modeling the median value of each exposure category as a continuous variable in the models.			

3.3| Dose response analysis

Multivariable adjusted restricted cubic spline analyses showed the nonlinear relationships of BMI with hypertension (all $P < 0.001$; Figure.2.A). The risk of hypertension increased with increasing BMI. As BMI increased, the ORs increased from 0.30 (0.27 to 0.34) to 2.03 (1.77 to 2.33) in the 15–42 kg/m² range. As a result, the ORs were inversely associated with hypertension when BMI was below 25 kg/m², but presented a significant risk effect above this value. Subgroup analyses on men-women did not show significant differences (Figure.2.B; Figure.2.C).

The results of the dose-response relationship analysis between WC and hypertension are shown in Figure.3.A. A nonlinear association (all $P < 0.001$) between WC and hypertension was detected. As WC increased, the ORs increased from 0.26 (0.20 to 0.37) to 2.57 (2.23 to 2.95) in the 40–130 cm range. When stratified by sex, the ORs

increased from 0.31 (0.25 to 0.38) to 3.23 (2.62 to 3.99) in the male population. With an increase in WC, when WC was over 88 cm and 86 cm for males and females, respectively, WC was more steeply positively associated with the risk of hypertension (Figure.3.B; Figure.3.C).

3.4| Additive interaction analysis

We observed a significant additive interaction between higher BMI and WC such that the prevalence of hypertension increased (RERI = 1.28, 95% CI: 1.13–1.43; AP = 0.43, 95% CI: 0.41–0.45; S = 2.88, 95% CI: 2.79–2.97). If BMI < 25.0 kg/m² and WC < 88 cm for males and WC < 86 cm for females were used as the reference, BMI ≥ 25 alone and WC ≥ 88 for males or WC ≥ 86 for females alone were both associated with increased risks of hypertension. The copresence of both factors greatly enhanced the adjusted ORs of higher BMI alone 1.477 (1.42 to 1.538) and higher WC alone 1.228 (1.184 to 1.274)) to 1.728 (1.683 to 1.773) for hypertension, with significant additive interactions. When BMI and WC were classified by the proposed cut-off points[18] on the waist circumference continuum (BMI: 25 kg/m², WC: 88 cm for females and 102 cm for males), there was still significant additive interaction (RERI = 1.68, 95% CI: 1.48–1.87; AP = 0.48, 95% CI: 0.46–0.50; S = 3.00, 95% CI: 2.91–3.10).

Table 4
Additive interaction analysis of BMI and WC for hypertension

Characteristics	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)
Additive interaction models1			
BMI < 25 and WC < 88/102	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)
BMI ≥ 25 and WC < 88/102	1.459(1.42,1.5)	1.546(1.503,1.589)	1.520(1.478,1.563)
BMI < 25 and WC ≥ 88/102	1.377(1.304,1.453)	1.286(1.216,1.36)	1.294(1.223,1.368)
BMI ≥ 25 and WC ≥ 88/102	1.749(1.699,1.799)	1.757(1.705,1.811)	1.763(1.71,1.817)
Additive interaction models2			
BMI < 25 and WC < 86/88	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)	1.000(ref)
BMI ≥ 25 and WC < 86/88	1.469(1.412,1.528)	1.512(1.453,1.574)	1.477(1.42,1.538)
BMI < 25 and WC ≥ 86/88	1.215(1.172,1.26)	1.214(1.171,1.259)	1.228(1.184,1.274)
BMI ≥ 25 and WC ≥ 86/88	1.662(1.62,1.705)	1.727(1.683,1.772)	1.728(1.683,1.773)
BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference. Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: adjusted for sex and age. Model 3 adjusted for Model 2 and marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, place of residence and blood glucose.			

4. Discussion

In this study, the prevalence of hypertension increased with rising BMI and WC in the older population of Xinzheng, China, in males and females and in the entire study population. These associations remained significant after adjustment for multiple factors and restricted cubic spline analysis showed clear dose-response

relationships. To our knowledge, this is the first study to research the association between BMI, WC and hypertension risk in an older Chinese population. At the same time, this study conducted an additive interaction analysis, which concluded a significant additive interaction between BMI and WC such that the prevalence of hypertension increased. To some extent, this study provides a better understanding of the association of anthropometric indicators of obesity with hypertension rather than focusing on individual indices, which would be more enlightening for hypertension prevention.

Our results are consistent with most previous studies that showed that the risk of hypertension increases with rising BMI [14, 19]. The “Look up 7+” epidemiological study showed that blood pressure increased significantly across BMI levels[20], which indicated that there was not only a strong relationship between BMI and hypertension, but also an association between the continuous variables of BMI and blood pressure. Our study confirmed that there was a nonlinear dose-response relationship between BMI and the risk of hypertension, which was similar to previous studies [21, 22]. However, a prospective study including 1412 subjects provided evidence that an increase in BMI is associated with a linearly increased adjusted risk of developing conditions with high hypertension risk[23], possibly because of estimating the relationship using categorical measures of BMI, masking the shape of the dose-response relationship. Furthermore, in our large sample ($n = 126123$), BMI below 25 kg/m^2 was regarded as a healthy weight for the elderly in terms of hypertension prevalence. However, our proposed appropriate level for hypertension were higher than those in some previous studies[24], which could be due to differences in the age range. The average age we sampled was much higher because BMI is greater in older populations, which might be a reason for the inconsistent findings, and when one of the studies stratified data by age (< 50 and ≥ 50 years), the appropriate level for the older group was $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for men and women[25]. Contrary to the three studies above, the NHANES study suggested 27 kg/m^2 as the value [26], but there may be innate or cultural differences between the U.S. and Asia, including dietary habits, macronutrient content, and physical activity habits. Given the marked variations in different world regions, countries and populations within countries, the use of unified range may underestimate or overestimate the health hazards[27]; thus, it is of great interest to determine the appropriate level for cardiovascular disease risks.

For WC, the relationship with hypertension was reported by most studies [28, 29]. Most studies have found a positive association between WC and hypertension[30], whereas a null association was found in a prospective study from European populations[29]. The low participation rate and the relatively high study drop-out rate may bias the result. We highlighted the increased risk of hypertension when WC was over 88 cm and 86 cm for males and females, respectively. Our proposed WC appropriate level is higher than those reported by M Gus et al[31]. As early as 2009, they suggested that the best range to predict hypertension were ≥ 87 cm in men and ≥ 80 cm in women, and increasing WC over time could be the cause of the difference. The worldwide upward trend in obesity has been dramatic; from 2013 to 2018, the mean WC increased from 82 cm to 86.3 cm for men and from 79.1 cm to 83.4 cm for older women [32]. However, lower appropriate level were suggested by previous studies[33, 34], and ethnic and racial differences might explain the discrepancy between different studies.

In China, rapid economic development and associated dramatic lifestyle changes have led to a substantial increase in the prevalence of obesity and related noncommunicable diseases, including cardiovascular diseases, which is concerning for a developing country with a population of 1.37 billion. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to report a synergistic effect of higher BMI and WC on the risk of hypertension in the aged. In other words, the copresence of higher BMI and WC greatly increased the risk of hypertension, more than the summation of the risks due to exposure to either of them. In a cohort of 17,803 pregnant Chinese women, the

copresence of a higher BMI and WC interacts to further increase the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus [35]. In addition, RISKESDAS research showed that only when general obesity or overweight coexisted with central obesity was the prevalence of hypertension significantly increased [13]. This finding supported the stable relation between excess body fat and blood pressure. It is generally believed that the increased body mass would raise blood volume and cardiac output and then lead to the inadequate vasodilatation while the increased activity of the sympathetic nervous system, abnormal rennin-angiotensin-aldosterone relation and insulin resistance would arouse defects in the control of vascular resistance. These adverse vascular responses may dominate the development of obesity-associated hypertension [36, 37].

Several additional points warrant discussion. First, the findings of this cross-sectional study are not conclusive evidence of a causal relation of WC and BMI with hypertension. Thus, we must be cautious in interpreting the present results, and further cohort studies are needed to clarify our findings. Second, as the study data come from the Chinese middle area among the older population, our proposed appropriate level for the indices are only valid for this population. Third, selection of the appropriate levels for BMI and WC for hypertension was based on visual checking of the shapes of the OR curves. The true appropriate level of BMI and WC for hypertension remained arbitrary and might deviate slightly from the selected values. However, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to explore the association between anthropometric indicators of obesity and hypertension among older people with a large sample size in central China, and it is of practical significance to improve relevant research. Second, this study is based on a comprehensive health check-up program, which not only contains data related to physical measurements and disease, but also includes information on demographic characteristics, physical activity, daily living habits and some blood biochemical tests, therefore, we can make full use of this information for a more comprehensive and reliable analysis.

5. Conclusion

Understanding the association between BMI, WC and risks of hypertension is very important because various interpretations can lead to conflicting recommendations of ideal BMIs and WCs among the elderly. The results of this study revealed that being as lean as possible within the normal range may be a best suggestion in reducing the risks of hypertension. However, further cohort studies and replication studies in Chinese and other populations are needed before the results can be used in clinical practice to detect high-risk elderly individuals for early intervention.

Abbreviations

- BMI: Body mass index;
- WC: Waist Circumference;
- ANOVA: analysis of variance;
- OR: odds ratio;
- CI: confidence intervals;
- RCS: restricted cubic spline;
- CHS centers: community health service centers

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection. The research ethics committee of Zheng Zhou University approved the current study methodology, protocol, and procedures. (Reference Number: ZZUIRB2019-019)

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

None declared.

Funding

This study was supported by National Key Research and Development Program “Research on prevention and control of major chronic non-communicable diseases” of China (Grant NO: 2017YFC1307705).

Authors' contributions

Wenli Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft. *Kun He*: Validation, Data Curation, Investigation, Formal analysis. *Hao Zhao & Xueqi Hu*: Data Curation, Investigation. *Chunyu Yin & Xiaoyan Zhao*: Funding acquisition. *Songhe Shi*: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, final approval of the version to be submitted.

Acknowledgements

The investigators thank the dedicated participants and all research staff of the study.

References

1. Collaborators GBDRF: **Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017**. *Lancet* 2018, **392**(10159):1923-1994.
2. Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, Caulfield M: **Hypertension**. *Lancet* 2015, **386**(9995):801-812.
3. Mills KT, Bundy JD, Kelly TN, Reed JE, Kearney PM, Reynolds K, Chen J, He J: **Global Disparities of Hypertension Prevalence and Control: A Systematic Analysis of Population-Based Studies From 90 Countries**. *Circulation* 2016, **134**(6):441-450.
4. Wang Z, Chen Z, Zhang L, Wang X, Hao G, Zhang Z, Shao L, Tian Y, Dong Y, Zheng C *et al*: **Status of Hypertension in China: Results From the China Hypertension Survey, 2012-2015**. *Circulation* 2018, **137**(22):2344-2356.
5. Ortega FB, Lavie CJ, Blair SN: **Obesity and Cardiovascular Disease**. *Circ Res* 2016, **118**(11):1752-1770.

6. González-Muniesa P, Martínez-González MA, Hu FB, Després JP, Matsuzawa Y, Loos RJF, Moreno LA, Bray GA, Martínez JA: **Obesity**. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2017, **3**:17034.
7. Lu J, Bi Y, Ning G: **Curbing the obesity epidemic in China**. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2016, **4**(6):470-471.
8. Flegal KM, Ioannidis JPA, Doehner W: **Flawed methods and inappropriate conclusions for health policy on overweight and obesity: the Global BMI Mortality Collaboration meta-analysis**. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2019, **10**(1):9-13.
9. Geserick M, Vogel M, Gausche R, Lipek T, Spielau U, Keller E, Pfäffle R, Kiess W, Körner A: **Acceleration of BMI in Early Childhood and Risk of Sustained Obesity**. *N Engl J Med* 2018, **379**(14):1303-1312.
10. Adab P, Pallan M, Whincup PH: **Is BMI the best measure of obesity?** *BMJ* 2018, **360**:k1274.
11. Seo DC, Choe S, Torabi MR: **Is waist circumference $\geq 102/88$ cm better than body mass index ≥ 30 to predict hypertension and diabetes development regardless of gender, age group, and race/ethnicity? Meta-analysis**. *Prev Med* 2017, **97**:100-108.
12. Chen Y, Liang X, Zheng S, Wang Y, Lu W: **Association of Body Fat Mass and Fat Distribution With the Incidence of Hypertension in a Population-Based Chinese Cohort: A 22-Year Follow-Up**. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018, **7**(6).
13. Nurdiantami Y, Watanabe K, Tanaka E, Pradono J, Anme T: **Association of general and central obesity with hypertension**. *Clin Nutr* 2018, **37**(4):1259-1263.
14. Xu RY, Zhou YQ, Zhang XM, Wan YP, Gao X: **Body mass index, waist circumference, body fat mass, and risk of developing hypertension in normal-weight children and adolescents**. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2018, **28**(10):1061-1066.
15. **Geographical variation in the major risk factors of coronary heart disease in men and women aged 35-64 years. The WHO MONICA Project**. *World Health Stat Q* 1988, **41**(3-4):115-140.
16. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jr., Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT, Jr. *et al*: **Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure**. *Hypertension* 2003, **42**(6):1206-1252.
17. Tomar SL, Asma S: **Smoking-attributable periodontitis in the United States: findings from NHANES III. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey**. *J Periodontol* 2000, **71**(5):743-751.
18. Kopelman PG: **Obesity as a medical problem**. *Nature* 2000, **404**(6778):635-643.
19. Bundy JD, He J: **Hypertension and Related Cardiovascular Disease Burden in China**. *Ann Glob Health* 2016, **82**(2):227-233.
20. Landi F, Calvani R, Picca A, Tosato M, Martone AM, Ortolani E, Sisto A, D'Angelo E, Serafini E, Desideri G *et al*: **Body Mass Index is Strongly Associated with Hypertension: Results from the Longevity Check-up 7+ Study**. *Nutrients* 2018, **10**(12).
21. Qu Y, Niu H, Li L, Li M, Yan S, Li M, Jiang S, Ma X, Li B, Wu H: **Analysis of Dose-response Relationship between BMI and Hypertension in Northeastern China Using Restricted Cubic Spline Functions**. *Sci Rep* 2019, **9**(1):18208.
22. Jayedi A, Rashidy-Pour A, Khorshidi M, Shab-Bidar S: **Body mass index, abdominal adiposity, weight gain and risk of developing hypertension: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of more than 2.3 million participants**. *Obes Rev* 2018, **19**(5):654-667.

23. Bombelli M, Facchetti R, Sega R, Carugo S, Fodri D, Brambilla G, Giannattasio C, Grassi G, Mancia G: **Impact of body mass index and waist circumference on the long-term risk of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and cardiac organ damage.** *Hypertension* 2011, **58**(6):1029-1035.
24. Hossain FB, Adhikary G, Chowdhury AB, Shawon MSR: **Association between body mass index (BMI) and hypertension in south Asian population: evidence from nationally-representative surveys.** *Clin Hypertens* 2019, **25**:28.
25. Feng RN, Zhao C, Wang C, Niu YC, Li K, Guo FC, Li ST, Sun CH, Li Y: **BMI is strongly associated with hypertension, and waist circumference is strongly associated with type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia, in northern Chinese adults.** *J Epidemiol* 2012, **22**(4):317-323.
26. Kuwabara M, Kuwabara R, Niwa K, Hisatome I, Smits G, Roncal-Jimenez CA, MacLean PS, Yracheta JM, Ohno M, Lanaspas MA *et al*: **Different Risk for Hypertension, Diabetes, Dyslipidemia, and Hyperuricemia According to Level of Body Mass Index in Japanese and American Subjects.** *Nutrients* 2018, **10**(8).
27. Jiang M, Zou Y, Xin Q, Cai Y, Wang Y, Qin X, Ma D: **Dose-response relationship between body mass index and risks of all-cause mortality and disability among the elderly: A systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Clin Nutr* 2019, **38**(4):1511-1523.
28. Luz RH, Barbosa AR, d'Orsi E: **Waist circumference, body mass index and waist-height ratio: Are two indices better than one for identifying hypertension risk in older adults?** *Prev Med* 2016, **93**:76-81.
29. Seven E, Thuesen BH, Linneberg A, Jeppesen JL: **Abdominal Adiposity Distribution Quantified by Ultrasound Imaging and Incident Hypertension in a General Population.** *Hypertension* 2016, **68**(5):1115-1122.
30. Garrison RJ, Kannel WB, Stokes J, 3rd, Castelli WP: **Incidence and precursors of hypertension in young adults: the Framingham Offspring Study.** *Prev Med* 1987, **16**(2):235-251.
31. Gus M, Cicheler FT, Moreira CM, Escobar GF, Moreira LB, Wiehe M, Fuchs SC, Fuchs FD: **Waist circumference cut-off values to predict the incidence of hypertension: an estimation from a Brazilian population-based cohort.** *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2009, **19**(1):15-19.
32. Bragg F, Tang K, Guo Y, Iona A, Du H, Holmes MV, Bian Z, Kartsonaki C, Chen Y, Yang L *et al*: **Associations of General and Central Adiposity With Incident Diabetes in Chinese Men and Women.** *Diabetes Care* 2018, **41**(3):494-502.
33. Khader Y, Batieha A, Jaddou H, El-Khateeb M, Ajlouni K: **The performance of anthropometric measures to predict diabetes mellitus and hypertension among adults in Jordan.** *BMC Public Health* 2019, **19**(1):1416.
34. Ramezankhani A, Ehteshami-Afshar S, Hasheminia M, Hajebrahimi MA, Azizi F, Hadaegh F: **Optimum cutoff values of anthropometric indices of obesity for predicting hypertension: more than one decades of follow-up in an Iranian population.** *J Hum Hypertens* 2018, **32**(12):838-848.
35. Han Q, Shao P, Leng J, Zhang C, Li W, Liu G, Zhang Y, Li Y, Li Z, Ren Y *et al*: **Interactions between general and central obesity in predicting gestational diabetes mellitus in Chinese pregnant women: A prospective population-based study in Tianjin, China.** *J Diabetes* 2018, **10**(1):59-67.
36. Aroor AR, Jia G, Sowers JR: **Cellular mechanisms underlying obesity-induced arterial stiffness.** *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2018, **314**(3):R387-R398.
37. Asghar A, Sheikh N: **Role of immune cells in obesity induced low grade inflammation and insulin resistance.** *Cell Immunol* 2017, **315**:18-26.

Figures

Figure 1

Flow diagram of the selection of eligible participants

Figure 2

Dose-response relationship between BMI and hypertension Figure 2 BMI and hypertension outcomes in the total study population (A), male study population (B) and female study population (C). The associations were adjusted for age, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, area and blood glucose. The solid lines

and gray areas represent the estimated ORs and their 95% CIs. The gray dashed lines represent the corresponding BMI when the OR is 1, and the black dashed lines represent the point where the slope suddenly decreases the most, corresponding to the BMI.

Figure 3

Dose-response relationship between WC and hypertension Figure 3 WC and hypertension outcomes in the total study population (A), male study population (B) and female study population (C). The associations were adjusted for age, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, area and blood glucose (serum levels of glucose). The solid lines and gray areas represent the estimated ORs and their 95% CIs. The gray dashed lines represent the corresponding WC when the OR is 1, and the black dashed lines represent the WC corresponding to the point where the slope changes the most.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementary1.docx](#)