Quorum Sensing Inhibitory Potential and Molecular Docking Studies of *Phyllanthus Emblica* Phytochemicals Against *Pseudomonas Aeruginosae*

Sharmila Baburam
Muthayammal College of Arts & Science Rasipuram
Srinivasan Ramasamy
Tamil Nadu State Council for Science & Technology
Gnanendra Shanmugam
Yeungnam University
Maghimaa Mathanmohun (mmaghimaa@gmail.com)
Muthayammal College of Arts & Science Rasipuram https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9043-435X

Research Article

Keywords: quorum sensing, Phyllanthus emblica, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, molecular docking

Posted Date: August 2nd, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-663878/v1

Abstract

Phyllanthus emblica a traditional medicinal plant that is endowed with curative properties including anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, anti-viral, and analgesic properties. Bacteria make use of cell–cell signaling system known as Quorum sensing (QS) and respond to their own population. In most gram-negative bacteria, the transcriptional regulators belonging to the Lux R protein play a crucial role in the QS mechanism by detecting the presence of signaling molecules known as N-acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs). In this present work, the anti-quorum sensing activity of *Phyllanthus emblica* was evaluated against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Anti-quorum sensing efficacy of *Phyllanthus emblica* was estimated with reference to QS Bio-monitoring strain *Chromobacterium violaceum*. The binding efficacy of the phytochemicals of *Phyllanthus emblica* against CviR Protein from *Chromobacterium violaceum* and LasR Protein from *Phyllanthus emblica* were studied.

Introduction

Globally, in the last few decades the emergence and widespread of antimicrobial-resistant, antimicrobial drug-resistant strains of *Pseudomonas spp.* and *Staphylococcus spp*. become the alarming situation of greater public health concern [1]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is mainly responsible for postoperative wound infections, and is more prevalent in most of the hospital-acquired infections [2].

In general, antibiotics are used to control these microbial infections by inhibiting their growth. However, the continuous usage and misuse of antibiotic therapy led to the emergence of multi-drug resistant strains to the tolerance against a broad spectrum of available antibiotics [3]. The development of these multiple drug-resistant bacteria has forced the scientists to search for new antibacterial agents have become the main concern [4]. Though the search for new antimicrobial substances has resulted in novel antimicrobial chemotherapeutic agents as synthetic drugs from various sources, the higher cost production and its adverse effects has limited its usages when compared to plant-derived drugs [5]. Thus the search for novel anti-pathogenic agents has increased the focus on the potential compounds from plant sources that are widespread across the globe. The increase in the search for therapeutic compounds from plants is based on a fact that plants continue to survive with high bacterial density in an environment and might possess protective means against infections. Thus in recent years, the extracts from plants and the knowledge of medicinal plants has gained the attentions of many pharmaceutical industries [6].

Cell-cell signaling systems known as Quorum sensing (QS) are used by bacteria to communicate with each other and respond to their own population. In gram-negative bacteria, the LuxR, a transcriptional regulator protein plays a central role in the QS mechanism to detect N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) as signalling molecules [7–8]

In this work, the anti-quorum sensing activity of *Phyllanthus emblica* was evaluated against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Even though the majority of the isolates were sensitive to most of the

antibiotics, the lactamase which means that they quickly become resistant to standard antibiotics during treatment, requiring a change in antibiotic to avoid worsening of the sepsis [9]. Anti-quorum sensing efficacy of *Phyllanthus emblica* was estimated with reference to QS bio-monitoring strain *Chromobacterium violaceum*. The binding efficacy of the phytochemicals against CviR Protein from *Chromobacterium violaceum* and LasR Protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were studied.

Methodology

The 3D models of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR (PDB ID: 2UV0) and CviR from *Chromobacterium violaceum* (PDB ID: 3QP5) were retrieved from the PDB database and the conserved residues were determined with other LuxR family protein by using ClustalW at the EBI server [9].

Ligands

The principle compounds of *Phyllanthus emblica* was retrieved from Duke Ethano botanical database and their respective structures were obtained from Pubchem Database. The structures were retrieved in SDF format.

Docking studies

The retrieved compounds in SDF file format from Pubchem database were docked with the amino acids in the binding site of CviR and LasR using the default parameters. The interactions of principle compounds with LasR in the docked complex were analyzed by the pose-view of LeadIT [10]. Pose-view tools [11] were used to study the interactions of compounds with CviR and LasR in the docked complex.

Results

The 3D models of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR (PDB ID: 2UV0) and CviR from *Chromobacterium violaceum* (PDB ID: 3QP5) retrieved from PDB database were shown in Fig. 1. The homologies between the proteins belonging to the LuxR family (quorum sensing enhanced transcriptional regulators) were analyzed by multiple sequence alignment (Fig. 2). The binding site were determined by using the co-crystallized structures.

Docking

The Docking program FlexX, from LeadIT was used to dock *Phyllanthus emblica* compounds with the binding pocket of the LasR, CviR, and the developed model, LasR. The docking was carried out with a radius of 6.5 A^0 at the site of docking.

Docking Analysis

The interactions between the binding site residues of CviR and the modeled protein LasR with the compounds as ligand molecules in the docked complexes were given in Table 1. A keen observation of

these interacting residues of the LuxR family proteins, the modeled LasR, and the ligand molecules revealed the most important functional groups of the ligand molecules and the amino acids LuxR family proteins favoring the interactions (Table 2). The best-docked ligand molecules and their interactions with the amino acids in the active site of CviR and the modeled protein LasR is given in Figs. 3 and 4.

Table 1
Docking Interactions of plant compounds with the active site amino acids of CviR from *Chromobacterium Violaceum* and their binding scores.

S.No.	Compound ID	Type of Interaction with active site residues		Docking Score (kJ/mol)
		Bonded	Non bonded	
1	57124935	Asp86,Trp84	Trp84,Asp86,Leu85	-2.8686
2	6437979	-	Pro98,Asp97,Trp84,Tyr88	-0.4569
3	5481240	Trp84,Asp97	Trp84,Ile99.Asp97,Leu100,Tyr88	-8.2647
4	5366074	Trp84,Leu85,Asp86	Asp86,Leu85	-8.3853
5	5281126	Trp84,Leu85,Asp86	Leu85,Tyr88,Trp84,Leu100	-0.0456
6	5280934	Leu85,Trp84,Asp86	Trp84,Asp86,Tyr88,Leu85	-1.4330
7	5280442	Gln87,Asp97	Gln87,Tyr88,Trp84,Leu100,Asp97	-9.7346
8	641785	Asp97,Tyr88	Asp97,Gln87,Tyr88,Leu100	-12.1467
9	444539	Trp84,Leu85,Asp86	Trp84,Leu85	-11.5130
10	348962	-	Asp97,Trp84,Tyr88,Pro98	-4.5239
11	301798	Asp97,Gln87	Gln87,Tyr88,Pro98,Trp84,Asp97	-7.7922
12	10465	Asp86,Trp84,Leu85	Leu85,Tyr88,Asp86	-4.4680
13	10416	Trp84,Asp86,Leu85	Leu85,Asp86	-3.4816
14	10212	Trp84	Trp84,Asp97,Tyr88,Ile99	-6.9059
15	1135	Trp84,Leu85,Asp86	Leu85	-8.0549
16	985	Asp86,Leu85,Trp84	Leu85,Asp86,Tyr88	-3.1656
17	323	Asp86,Trp84,Leu85	Trp84,Leu85	-8.6772
Reference Ligand 3-oxo-C6-HSL		Asp 97, Trp 84	Tyr 88, Trp 84, Asp 97	-8.3776

Table 2
Docking Interactions of plant compounds with the active site amino acids of LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and their binding scores.

S.No.	Compound ID	Type of Interaction with active site residues		Docking Score (kJ/mol)
		Bonded	Non bonded	
1	57124935	Trp67	Tyr71,Val82,Asp80,Tyr63,Trp67	-2.6933
2	6437979	Asp80	Tyr63,Asp80,Trp67,Tyr71	-1.2080
3	5481240	Asp80,Trp67	Trp67,Tyr63,Tyr71,Val82,Asp80	-13.5553
4	5366074	Trp67	Trp67,Val82,Tyr71,Tyr63	-7.9594
5	5281126	Trp67	Trp67,Tyr63,Tyr70	-4.1555
6	5280934	Trp67	Tyr70,Asp80,Tyr71,Trp67	-5.1023
7	5280442	Trp67	Trp67,Val82,Tyr63,Tyr71	-12.9562
8	641785	Trp67,Asp80	Asp80,Val82,Trp67,Tyr71,Tyr63	-14.8740
9	444539	Asp80	Tyr71,Tyr70,Trp67	-9.3555
10	348962	Trp67	Tyr63,Tyr71,Val82,Trp67,Asp80	-9.6899
11	301798	Asp80	Tyr63,Tyr71,Trp67	-9.9831
12	10465	Trp67	Tyr63,Tyr70,Trp67	-7.7286
13	10416	Trp67	Tyr71,Asp80,Tyr70,Trp67	-7.3933
14	10212	Trp67	Tyr71,Val82,Tyr63,Trp67	-13.2575
15	1135	Asp80,Tyr63	Trp67	-8.3390
16	985	-	Tyr63,Tyr71,Val82,Trp67	-8.1672
17	323	Trp67	Trp67,Tyr71,Val82,Tyr63	-9.7149
Reference Ligand 3-oxo-octanoic acid		Trp 67, Asp 80	Tyr 71, Tyr 63, Trp 67, Asp 80	-8.3989

Discussion

The LuxI homologs in most of the gram-negative bacteria generate the signal molecules, AHL. Usually, these signals were detected by the LuxR homologs present in them. Whereas in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* the LuxI homolog is not been found, which makes the organism not to generate the signals of their own. Hence these bacteria cannot sense the signals from the same species. Instead, it responds to the signals produced by the other pathogenic bacteria. However, it encodes a LuxR homolog, LasR which

can sense the signal molecules produced by the mixed community genera [12, 13]. Thus LasR a transcriptional regulator was considered as a potential drug target.

The 3D structure of the target protein LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was not available in any of the structural database, it was developed by using homology modeling method. The most homologous sequence in the Protein Data Bank was searched by using the BLASTP program. The BLASTP results showed that the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* transcriptional regulator LasR is homologous with the structure CviR, LuxR- type transcriptional factor from *Chromobacterium violaceum* (PDB ID: 3QP5) over 40%. As all these sequences belong to the same family, the structure of 3QP5 was considered as a template structure for comparative modeling. The model was generated by using Swiss model webserver.

The multiple sequence alignment (Fig. 2) of LuxR family proteins LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, CviR from *Chromobacterium violaceum*, and LasR from *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter aerogens* showed that amino acids are conserved in LuxR family proteins. These alignments enlighten that LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is almost conserved. Hence, the structure of LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was considered for further docking studies.

Docking studies

A total of 19 compounds were found as the principle compounds of the *Euphorbia hirta*. The 3D structures of these compounds were retrieved as SD files from the Pubchem database and were docked with the amino acids in the binding site of CviR from *Chromobacterium violaceum and* LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by using FlexX. Out of these 19 compounds, 17 compounds formed docking complex with all both CviR and LasR and its binding energies were analyzed by LeadIT (Table 1 and 2). Considering the binding energy score, the 3 best-docked compounds for each protein CviR and LasR were selected (Figs. 3 and 4) and their docking interaction with the active site residues were analyzed by using the pose view of LeadIT.

The binding interactions in the docking studies of *Chromobacterium violaceum* CviR and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR with the 3 best-docked compounds of the *Phyllanthus emblica* exposed the similar binding of AHL residues, that are responsible for Quorum sensing activity. This result indicates that in *Chromobacterium violaceum* CviR, it is found that Tryptophan (Trp84) and Aspartic acid (Asp86 & Asp97) plays a crucial role in exhibiting stronger interactions with ligands and these interactions were further supported by means of hydrophobic interactions by the contribution of Tyrosine (Try88). Similarly in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR, it is observed that Tryptophan (Trp67) and Aspartic acid (Asp80) are responsible for the bonded interactions with the ligands, and the non-bonded interaction, hydrophobic is facilitated by Tyrosine (Tyr 71 and Tyr 63).

The compounds CID_641785 (Cardamonin), CID_444539 (Cinnamic acid), and CID_5280442 (acacetin) exhibited the best docking scoring of -12.1467 kJ/mol, -11.5130 kJ/mol, and – 9.7346 kJ/mol respectively within the active site of CviR transcriptional regulator from *Chromobacterium violaceum.* It is observed that natural ligand 3-oxo-C6-HSL exhibited the docking score of -8.3776kJ/mol. Thus among

the docked compounds, it is revealed that the compound of all the three compounds CID_641785, CID_444539, and CID_5280442 is having the highest docking score when compared to that of the natural ligand. Thus these compounds can be used to inhibit the quorum sensing mechanism in *Chromobacterium violaceum.*

The compounds CID_641785 (Cardamonin), CID_5481240 (Retusin), and CID_10212 (Imperatorin) exhibited the best docking scoring of -14.8740 kJ/mol, -13.5553 kJ/mol, and – 13.2575 kJ/mol respectively within the active site of LasR transcriptional regulator from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* It is observed that natural ligand 3-oxo-octanoic acid exhibited a docking score of -8.3989 kJ/mol. Thus among the docked compounds, it is revealed that the compound CID_641785 is having the highest docking score when compared to that of the natural ligand. Thus this compound can be used to inhibit the quorum sensing mechanism in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.*

The overall docking results of principle compounds with CviR and LasR proteins disclose the importance of the interacting amino acids Tryptophan, Aspartic acid, and Tyrosine (Y71). The docking studies revealed the necessary crucial hydrogen bond interactions with the critical amino acids and that of the compound Cardamonin (CID_641785) from *Euphorbia hirta*, with the highest binding score and might have a better inhibition activity against the quorum-sensing regulation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Conclusion

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, an opportunistic pathogenic bacterium causing nosocomial infections, has quickly become resistant to standard antibiotics. The ability of antibiotics resistance is due to the effective communication among the bacterial cell. This communication is enhanced by transcriptional regulators belonging to LuxR protein that plays a crucial role in the QS mechanism by detecting the presence of signaling molecules known as N-acylhomoserine lactones (AHLs) and regulates the pathogenicity. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* harbors a transcriptional regulator LasR (Suppressor of cell division inhibition), that can recognize the AHLs to enhance the pathogenicity. Hence, LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is considered as a valid drug target. Thus in the present study, the anti-quorum sensing activity of *Phyllanthus emblica* was evaluated against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Anti-quorum sensing efficacy of *Phyllanthus emblica* was estimated with reference to QS Bio-monitoring strain Chromobacterium violaceum. The binding efficacy of the phytochemicals of *Phyllanthus emblica* was docked with the LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and also with CviR Protein from Chromobacterium violaceum. This work discloses that amino acids Tryptophan, Aspartic acid, and Tyrosine (Y71) were important for the interactions. The docking studies also revealed the necessary crucial hydrogen bond interactions with the critical amino acids and that of the compound Cardamonin (CID_641785) with the highest binding score might be an effective inhibitor of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis.

Declarations

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful for the financial support from Tamilnadu State Council for Science & Technology (TNSCST), DOTE Campus, Chennai (Science & Technology Project: TNSCST/STP-PRG/AR/2018-2019), and DST–FIST Centralized laboratory, Muthayammal College of Arts & Science, Rasipuram, Namakkal DT. Tamilnadu, India for executing this work.

Funding

Tamilnadu State Council for Science & Technology (TNSCST), DOTE Campus, Chennai (Science & Technology Project: TNSCST/STP-PRG/AR/2018-2019).

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

There is no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

Code availability (software application or custom code)

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Sharmila Baburam[1], Executed the research work.

*Gnanendra Shanmugam[3] and Srinivasan Ramasamy [2]- performed the methodology

*Maghimaa Mathanmohun[1] –Supervised the work

Ethics approval

Not applicable.

Consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

References

1. Brusselaers, N., Vogelaers, D., & Blot, S. (2011). The rising problem of antimicrobial resistance in the intensive care unit. *Annals of Intensive Care*, 1, 47

2. Najar, M. S., Saldanha, C. L., & Banday, K. A. (2009). Approach to urinary tract infections. *Indian Journal of Nephrology*, 19(4), 129–139
3. Ventola, C. L. (2015). The Antibiotic Resistance Crisis: Part 1: Causes and Threats. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 40(4), 277–283
4. Kabeerdass, V. R., Ramasam, N. S. R. S. G., & Mathanmohun, M. S., & (2021). Invitro and insilico studies on antibacterial potentials of phytochemical extracts. Materials Today: Proceedings. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.05.017
5. Choudhary, K., Singh, M., & Pillai, U. (2008). Ethnobotanical Survey of Rajasthan - An Update. *American-Eurasian Journal of Botany*, Vol.1(2), 38–45
6. El-Mahmood Muhammad Abubakar. (2010). Antibacterial potential of crude leaf extracts of Eucalyptus camaldulensis against some pathogenic bacteria. *African Journal of Plant Science*, Vol. 4(6), 202–209
7. Gnanendra, T. S., Geethu, G., Arjunan, S., Sharmila, B., Zahir Hussain, M. I., Moorthy, K., & Jeyakumar, N. (2010). Theoretical Models of Quorum Sensing Dependent Regulation of Transcriptional Activators of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *International Journal of Biological Technology*, 1, 43–49
8. Gnanendra Shanmugam, Mohamed, S., & Natarajan, J. (2013). Identification of potent inhibitors for Salmonella typhimurium quorum sensing via virtual screening and pharmacophore modeling. *Combinatorial Chemistry & High Throughput Screening*, 16, 826–83914
9. Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., & Gibson, T. J. (1994). Clustal W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting position- specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucl Acids Res*, 22, 4673–4680
10. Stierand, K., Maab, P., & Rarey, M. (2006). Molecular Complexes at a Glance: Automated Generation of two-dimensional Complex Diagrams. *Bioinformatics*, 22, 1710–1716
11. Rarey, M., Kramer, B., Lengauer, T., & Klebe, G. (1996). A fast flexible docking method using an incremental construction algorithm. *J Mol Biol*, 261, 470–489
12. Kyoko Kanamaru, K., Kanamaru, Tatsuno, T., Tobe, C., & Sasakawa (2000). SdiA, an Escherichia coli homologue of quorum-sensing regulators, controls the expression of virulence factors in enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, Molecular Microbiology, 38:805–816.
13. Tavío, M. M., Aquili, V. D., Poveda, J. B., Antunes, N. T., Sánchez-Céspedes, J., & Vila, J. (2010). Quorum-sensing regulator sdiA and marA overexpression is involved in in vitro-selected multidrug resistance of Escherichia coli. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 65, 1178–1186

Figures



Figure 1: 3D Structure of quorum-sensing transcriptional activators shown in cartoons representation and the active site is highlighted as spheres. A. CviR Protein from *Chromobacterium violaceum* B. Active site of CviR Protein from *Chromobacterium violaceum* C. LasR Protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* D. Active site of LasR Protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Figure 1

See image above for figure legend.



Figure 2: The pair-wise sequence alignment between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Chromobacterium violaceum*. The conserved regions were shown in clustal X color format and the conserved active site is highlighted with rectangle box and also marked with Astrik (*)

Figure 2

See image above for figure legend.



Docking complex and interaction of CID_641785



Docking complex and interaction of CID_444539



Docking complex and interaction of CID_5280442

Figure 3

Docking complex and the interactions of the best three compounds with the active site amino acids of CviR from Chromobacterium Violaceum



Docking complex and interaction of CID_641785



Docking complex and interaction of CID_5481240



Docking complex and interaction of CID_10212

Figure 4

Docking complex and the interactions of the best three compounds with the active site amino acids of LasR from Pseudomonas aeruginosa