Assessing the Influence of Parental Anxiety on Child Anxiety During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United Arab Emirates

Basema Saddik

University of Sharjah College of Medicine <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4682-5927>

Amal Hussein

University of Sharjah College of Medicine

Ammar Albanna

Al Jalila Children's Specialty Hospital

Iffat Elbarazi

United Arab Emirates University College of Medicine and Health Sciences

Arwa Al-Shujairi

University of Sharjah

Fatemeh S. Sharif-Askari

University of Sharjah College of Medicine

Mohamad Hani Temsah

King Saud University College of Medicine

Emmanuel Stip

United Arab Emirates University College of Medicine and Health Sciences

Qutayba Hamid

University of Sharjah College of Medicine

Rabih Halwani (✉ rhalwani@sharjah.ac.ae)

University of Sharjah, Sharjah

Research article

Keywords: COVID-19, anxiety, children, United Arab Emirates

Posted Date: October 5th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-66841/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Studies have investigated the psychosocial impact of infectious disease outbreaks in adults; however, there is limited information on the mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on children and the general UAE community. The aim of this study was to explore anxiety levels among parents, teachers and the general community amid the COVID-19 pandemic in the UAE, as well as to identify emotional and anxiety disorders in children.

Methods: Using a web-based cross-sectional survey we collected data from 2,200 self-selected, assessed volunteers. Demographic information, knowledge and beliefs about COVID-19, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) using the (GAD-7) scale, emotional problems in children using the strengths and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ), worry and fear about COVID-19, coping mechanisms and general health information were collected.

Results: The overall prevalence of GAD in the general population was 71% with younger people (59.8%) and females (51.7%) reporting highest levels of anxiety. Parents who were teachers reported the highest percentage of emotional problems in children (26.7%). Multivariate logistic regression for GAD-7 scores showed that being female, reporting high levels of worry associated with COVID-19, intention to take the COVID-19 vaccine and smoking were associated with higher levels of anxiety. Multivariate logistic regression for SDQ showed that parents with severe anxiety were seven times more likely to report emotional problems in their children than less anxious parents.

Conclusions: This study is among the first to report the psychological impact of COVID-19 among adults and children in the UAE, and to highlight the significant association between parental and child anxiety. Findings suggest the urgency for policy makers to develop effective screening and coping strategies for parents and especially children.

1. Background

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in Wuhan, China, December 2019, and was declared a public health emergency on January 30th [1] and a global pandemic by the WHO on March 11th [2]. By June 16th, more than 8 million confirmed cases and over 440,000 deaths were reported worldwide, with 42,982 confirmed cases and 293 deaths in the UAE [3]. In the absence of an effective treatment or vaccine, unprecedented public health interventions were implemented across the UAE to curb transmission of the disease. These included international border closures, travel bans, lockdowns, closures of schools and universities, strict social distancing and quarantines. These measures, along with fear of the pandemic and disruption in people's lives have significant mental health implications [4].

Research on past infectious disease outbreaks, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), swine flu, and influenza revealed a wide range of psychosocial impacts at individual, community, and international levels. These included worries about infection and fear of dying [5], increase in anxiety, post-traumatic stress and depression [6], feelings of helplessness and panic and increased perception of risk [7–9]. Studies investigating the psychological impacts of COVID-19 in China reported moderate to severe stress, generalized anxiety, insomnia, and depression [10, 11].

Information about the mental health impact of COVID-19 on the general population, especially children, is limited, and there are no published reports on anxiety levels in the UAE. While severe COVID-19 in children is less frequent than in adults [12], the mental health of children may be disproportionately affected by changes in their routines, reduction in social contact and anxiety. With the recent evidence on how parental anxiety can cause anxiety disorders in children [13, 14] and reports on the psychological impact of COVID-19 on adults, the mental well-being of children should not be ignored. Parent and teacher observations are important in screening children for emotional disorders [15, 16]. As observers, parents and teachers can positively influence children's well-being [17, 18].

Here we explored anxiety among parents, teachers and the general community amid the COVID-19 pandemic in the UAE, as well as anxiety disorders in children as reported by parents and teachers. We assessed parents' and teachers' knowledge, beliefs and risk perceptions related to COVID-19, health-protection and hygiene, worries and fears and coping mechanisms to reduce children's anxiety. This is the first study in the UAE to discuss this issue.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Convenience sampling was used to recruit participants. We randomly selected and contacted 17 schools across the UAE to participate in the study. However, with closures and online learning, only four schools responded. Participants were invited to take an online survey using email announcements through participating schools and universities and posts on Facebook, Instagram and WhatsApp. Teachers, parents, and members of the public throughout the UAE, 18 years and older, participated and passed the survey link to friends. Data were collected from 24th March to 15th May, 2020. The survey was administered via the Survey Monkey platform [19], and each response came from a unique IP address to ensure unique entries. The first page explained the research objectives and assured confidentiality. The minimum sample size needed for this cross-sectional study was 385, calculated for an expected prevalence of 50%, margin of error of 5%, and 95% confidence.

2.2 Ethical approval and consent

The study was approved by the University of Sharjah Ethics Committee (approval number REC-20-03-12-01) and the United Arab Emirates University research ethics review board (ERS_2020_6098) and all research was performed in accordance with regulations of these committees. Participants gave written consent to participate in the study before beginning the survey.

2.3 Data collection

A structured questionnaire comprising 32 items was used. Questions were divided into eight domains: demographics, knowledge, beliefs and perceived risk related to COVID-19, health-protective and hygienic behaviors, precautionary measures, worry and fear associated with COVID-19, general health, validated self-reported anxiety screening scales (adults and children) and coping mechanisms. The questionnaire (**Appendix 1**) was translated into Arabic by a certified translator, and back-translated to English to ensure accuracy. The final version was piloted among ten members of the general community to ensure clarity and consistency. The questionnaire was sent to a group of ten experts consisting of faculty, teachers, parents, and a mental health expert who reviewed the survey for accuracy, length, clarity and comprehensiveness. Modifications were made to questions and response items based on expert recommendations. The questionnaire took ten minutes to complete.

Demographics

Information was collected on participants' age, gender, educational level, emirate or country of residence, marital status, number of children, ages and level of schooling, employment status, monthly income and health insurance. Participants indicated if they were parents, a parent and teacher, teachers only or neither parents nor teachers.

Knowledge, beliefs and perceived risks related to COVID-19

Participants were asked to answer "true", "false", or "don't know" on statements related to COVID-19, such as "*there is no specific treatment*" and "*I feel a sense of social responsibility by staying at home*". Perceived risk from COVID-19 was assessed on a 4-point Likert scale (very likely to not likely at all) where participants responded to the likelihood of contracting COVID-19, surviving COVID-19 or developing severe illness.

Health-protective practices and hygienic behaviors

Participants described how often they followed hygienic measures. Responses to seven questions (covering mouth when sneezing/coughing, using hand sanitizer, hand-washing, wearing facemasks, avoiding crowded areas, public transport and handshakes) were measured on a 4-point Likert scale (always to never). These questions were modified from versions used in studies during MERS-CoV, swine flu and SARS [7, 9, 20, 21]. to categorize hygienic behavior into dichotomous types a standard median split was performed [22] with a median cut-off of 25. A value of ≥ 25 indicated high exhibiting behaviors.

Worry and fear associated with COVID-19

To assess worry and fear of COVID-19, participants were asked to rate how worried they were on seven questions: *Worried about catching COVID-19 myself; Worried about parents catching COVID-19; Worried about child catching COVID-19; Worried about what COVID-19 can do to me health-wise; Worried about social isolation/quarantine; Worried about loss of income; and Worried about transmitting the virus to family and friends.* Participants responded on a 5-point Likert scale from extremely worried to not worried at all. Participants were also asked their opinion on public fear associated with COVID-19 on a 5-point Likert scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree) [23]. To categorize worry into dichotomous categories, a standard median split was carried out [22] with a median cutoff of 22. A value of ≥ 22 was signified very worried.

Anxiety

Anxiety among adults was measured using the generalized anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7) [24] which is a self-reported 7-item validated scale. Participants indicated how often they were bothered during the previous two weeks by symptoms of *feeling nervous, not being able to stop worrying, worrying about different things, trouble relaxing, restless, irritable and afraid that something awful might happen.* Response options were "not at all," "several days," "more than half the days," and "nearly every day," scored as 0, 1, 2, and 3. A score of ≥ 10 identified cases of anxiety with 89% sensitivity and 82% specificity, good internal consistency (Cronbach $\alpha = .92$) and test-retest reliability (intraclass correlation = 0.83) [24]. Other research established a cutoff of 8, (sensitivity 77%, specificity 82%) as a screener for panic disorder, social anxiety phobia and PTSD [25]. GAD-7 scores were totaled and classified as minimal (0–4), mild (5–9), moderate (10–14) and severe (15–21) [24], and stratified into two groups (< 8 or > 8) as a cut-off for panic disorder and social anxiety phobia [25].

Children's anxiety levels were measured using the emotional symptoms sub-scale from the strengths and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ) [26], which covers emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity-inattention, peer relationship problems, and prosocial behaviors. It was designed to screen for psychological disorders in children aged 3 to 16 years [16]. The emotional symptoms sub-scale [27] asks parents and teachers questions about symptoms they have witnessed in children: *Often complains of headaches, stomach-ache or sickness; Many worries, often seems worried; Often unhappy, down-hearted or tearful; Nervous or clingy in new situations, easily loses confidence; and, Many fears, easily scared.* Each item can be marked "not true" (0), "somewhat true" (1) or "certainly true" (2) thereby generating a score of 0–10. A cutoff score of 7 indicates generalized anxiety disorder (sensitivity 75%, specificity 80%) and depressive and generalized anxiety disorders (sensitivity 67%, specificity 81%) [28]. According to scoring guidelines [29], an abnormal emotional problems score completed by both parents and teachers ranged from 5–10 and $SDQ \geq 5$ was used as an indicator of abnormal emotional problems. Validated Arabic translations of both the GAD-7 and SDQ were used for the Arabic translation of the questionnaire [30, 31].

To determine the impact of measures to reduce anxiety, participants were asked whether they felt less anxious with the introduction of *online learning, airport screening, travel bans, availability of hand sanitizer in public places, cancellation of social events, temporary closure of public places and social isolation.* Responses were recorded on a 5-point Likert scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree). To categorize precautionary measures into dichotomous categories, a standard median split was carried out [22] with a median cutoff of 34. A score of ≥ 34 indicated high agreement with precautionary measures.

Coping mechanisms

Participants were asked to indicate on a 4-point Likert scale (always to never) which coping mechanisms they used to reduce anxiety in their children and family. Questions included: *openly discussing COVID-19 with children/family, educating children about proper hygiene, assuring children they are safe, limiting children's exposure to news coverage and social media, creating a schedule of learning and fun activities and maintaining regular routine.* To categorize coping strategies into dichotomous categories a standard median split was carried out [22] with a median cutoff of 18. A score of ≥ 18 indicated high coping strategies.

General health

Participants were asked whether they suffered from chronic disease or had flu-like symptoms over the previous two weeks, the treatments for such symptoms, the likelihood of taking a COVID-19 vaccine, whether their children were vaccinated, whether they smoked and if their smoking habits had changed since the outbreak.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics, including means, medians, frequencies and percentages were used to summarize data and to illustrate participants' demographics and characteristics. The normal distribution of data was verified visually using histograms, boxplots, and quantile-quantile plots, and statistically using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The equality of variances was checked using Levene's test. Bivariate analysis used Chi-squared (χ^2) tests to explore associations between participant demographics and anxiety levels. Statistically significant factors in the bivariate analysis were included in multivariate binary logistic regression models to determine predictors of anxiety levels (GAD-7 score ≥ 8) and emotional problems in children (SDQ score ≥ 5). The automatic selection of predictors in the model was performed by a stepwise backward method with an entry threshold of 0.05 and an exit threshold of 0.1. The adequacy of the model was verified by the Hosmer and Lemeshow test and the specificity of the model by Link Test. The estimates of the strengths of associations were demonstrated by the odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). A two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using statistical software SAS® 9.3 [32].

3. Results

In total, 2200 people completed the online participant sheet and consent form. Of these, 26 declined to participate and 381 completed only the demographic part of the questionnaire before discontinuing. Complete data were analyzed for 1469 participants (68%). Table 1 summarizes the demographics. Participants were primarily female (82.8%), 25 to 44 years of age (61.7%) and resided in the UAE (72.8%). Over half of our population held a bachelor's degree (50.8%) and were employed (63.1%). Seventy five percent of participants were married and had children (75.6%), with the majority having 1–2 children (35.2%). The most commonly reported medical conditions were high blood pressure (9.1%) and asthma (8.6%). Headaches (25.9%) were the most commonly reported COVID-19 symptom and almost half of participants indicated they used vitamin C for their symptoms. Whilst most participants reported they did not smoke, 13.7% stated they had changed their smoking habits since the COVID-19 outbreak. Most indicated they would get vaccinated (71.5%) and have their children vaccinated (59.4%) against COVID-19. The majority indicated their children were current with vaccinations (85%); however, we found a significant association between those who reported their children were not current with vaccinations (53%) and their intention to not vaccinate their children against COVID-19.

Table 1
Demographic characteristics by anxiety score (GAD-7) and children emotional SDQ score ($n = 1469$)

Demographics		Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)					Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	%	%(n)	Chi-square	p-value	%(n)	Chi-square	p-value
Gender	Female	1216	82.8	51.7 (629)	10.16	0.001*	16.2 (154)	2.83	0.093
	Male	253	17.2	40.7 (103)			11.5 (24)		
Relationship to child/ren	Parent	893	60.8	48.2 (430)	4.24	0.120	14.6 (130)	25.6	< 0.001*
	Teacher only	106	7.2	44.3 (47)			4.7 (5)		
	Parent & teacher	161	11.0	55.9 (90)			26.7 (43)		
	No children	299	20.4	54.2 (162)			0		
Age	18–24	169	11.5	59.8 (101)	22.27	< 0.001*	8.7 (2)	3.44	0.329
	25–44	907	61.7	51.0 (463)			14.7 (115)		
	45–64	381	25.9	43.6 (166)			17.4 (61)		
	65+	12	0.8	16.7 (2)			0		
Education	Primary	11	0.7	36.4 (4)	3.14	0.534	11.1 (1)	1.76	0.779
	Lower secondary	10	0.7	30.0 (3)			25.0 (2)		
	Higher secondary	137	9.3	53.3 (73)			18.2 (18)		
	Bachelor degree	746	50.8	50.1 (374)			14.4 (79)		
	Post-graduate	565	38.5	49.2 (278)			15.8 (78)		
Country of Residence	Outside the UAE	400	27.2	49.0 (196)	0.15	0.697	15.6 (51)	0.02	0.882
	Inside the UAE	1069	72.8	50.1 (536)			15.2 (127)		
Employment	Employed	927	63.1	48.8 (452)	2.00	0.372	15.6 (122)	1.08	0.583
	Not employed	319	21.7	53.3 (170)			12.9 (22)		
	Home duties	223	15.2	49.3 (110)			16.6 (34)		
Monthly Salary	Other	192	13.1	46.4 (89)	5.19	0.393	15.2 (25)	9.90	0.079
	less than 5,000	161	11.0	55.9 (90)			12.4 (13)		
	5,000–9,999	163	11.1	54.0 (88)			20.2 (23)		

*Significant at $p < 0.05$

Demographics			Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)				Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
	10,000–19,999	282	19.2	48.9 (138)			15.8 (35)		
	20,000–39,000	413	28.1	49.6 (205)			18.1 (60)		
	40,000+	258	17.6	47.3 (122)			9.9 (22)		
Insurance	Other	21	1.4	47.6 (10)	0.634	0.729	15.4 (2)	4.13	0.126
	No	226	15.4	52.2 (118)			10.1 (17)		
	Yes	1222	83.2	49.4 (604)			16.2 (159)		
Marital Status	Single	287	19.5	55.7 (160)	7.27	0.064	4.2 (3)	11.9	0.008*
	Married	1094	74.5	47.8 (523)			15.5 (157)		
	Divorced/ Separated	72	4.9	56.9 (41)			25.4 (16)		
	Widowed	16	1.1	50.0 (8)			14.3 (2)		
Children	Yes	1111	75.6	49.1 (545)	1.10	0.296			
	No	358	24.4	52.2 (187)					
Children Number	1–2 children	517	35.2	49.7 (257)	4.85	0.089	16.6(80)	0.070	0.966
	3–4 children	473	32.2	50.5 (239)			16.0(73)		
	4 + children	119	8.1	39.5 (47)			16.5(19)		
Age category of children	Infants and Toddlers	269	18.3	52.4 (141)	0.88	0.348	16.2 (154)	0.167	0.683
	Preschoolers	325	22.1	53.8 (175)	2.69	0.101	11.5 (24)	0.085	0.770
	School Age	713	48.5	50.5 (360)	0.24	0.623	14.6 (130)	5.93	0.015*
	Adolescents	432	29.4	48.6 (210)	0.36	0.547	17.5 (121)	8.83	0.003*
	Young Adults	254	17.3	42.5 (108)	6.56	0.010*	19.6 (81)	1.53	0.216
Children attending school	Children don't go to school	183	12.5	55.7 (102)	2.92	0.088	13.6(22)	0.45	0.502
	Childcare	205	14.0	50.2 (103)	0.01	0.898	16.4 (32)	0.20	0.651
	Primary	668	45.5	52.4 (350)	3.23	0.073	16.8 (109)	2.39	0.123
*Significant at $p < 0.05$									

Demographics			Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)				Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
	Lower secondary	400	27.2	49 (196)	0.15	0.697	21.4 (82)	16.2	< 0.001*
	Higher Secondary	320	21.8	45.6 (146)	2.89	0.089	20.5 (63)	8.61	0.003*
	University	258	17.6	42.6 (110)	6.48	0.011*	17.4 (40)	0.92	0.336
Likely to vaccinate self	No	383	26.1	41.3 (158)	20.05	< 0.001*			
	Yes	1050	71.5	53.2 (559)					
Likely to vaccinate children	No	314	21.4	41.7 (131)	20.71	0.001*			
	Yes	872	59.4	52.4 (457)					
Medical Conditions	Diabetes	75	5.1	53.3 (40)	0.39	0.533			
	Heart Problems	36	2.5	58.3 (21)	1.07	0.301			
	High Blood Pressure	133	9.1	51.9 (69)	0.25	0.620			
	Dyslipidemia	45	3.1	48.9 (22)	0.89	0.898			
	Asthma	127	8.6	62.2 (79)	8.52	0.004*			
	Respiratory problems	47	3.2	53.2 (25)	0.64	0.639			
	Cancer	9	0.6	44.4 (4)	0.75	0.746			
	Other medical conditions	128	8.7	50.8 (65)	0.82	0.822			
Symptoms	Headaches	381	25.9	56.7 (216)	9.69	0.002*			
	Fever	105	7.1	56.2 (59)	1.83	0.176			
	Cough	235	16.0	51.1 (120)	0.17	0.680			
	Difficulty breathing	37	2.5	51.4 (19)	0.03	0.851			
	Sore throat	256	17.4	59.8 (153)	12.2	< 0.001*			
	Myalgia	49	3.3	59.2 (29)	1.77	0.183			
	Dizziness	73	5.0	63 (46)	5.34	0.021*			
	Runny nose	225	15.3	53.8 (121)	1.66	0.198			
	Diarrhea	100	6.8	50 (50)	0.001	0.972			
	Other Symptoms	18	1.2	50 (9)	< 0.001	0.988			

*Significant at $p < 0.05$

Demographics			Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)				Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
Measures taken	Vitamin C	720	49.0	53.5 (385)	7.49	0.006*			
	Flu medications	92	6.3	53.3 (49)	0.46	0.497			
	Anti-inflammatory drugs	139	9.5	62.6 (87)	1.00	0.002*			
	Analgesics anti-pyretic	345	23.5	58.6 (202)	13.7	< 0.001*			
	Oral Steroids	17	1.2	58.8 (10)	0.55	0.456			
	Herbal remedies	443	30.2	51.2 (227)	0.51	0.477			
My child/ren are up to date with their vaccines	No	81	5.5	45.7 (37)	1.49	0.476			
	Yes	1029	70.0	49.5 (509)					
	I don't have children	334	22.7	52.4 (175)					
Smoking	No	1196	81.4	47.3 (566)	19.01	< 0.001*			
	Yes	195	13.3	62.1 (121)					
	I used to smoke but quit	53	3.6	64.2 (34)					
Smoke type	Cigarettes	111	7.6	58.6 (65)	3.66	0.056			
	Shisha	83	5.7	65.1 (54)	8.16	0.004*			
	Midwakh	8	0.5	50 (4)	0.01	0.992			
	Vaping	22	1.5	59.1 (13)	0.76	0.381			
Smoking changed	Yes	201	13.7	58.7 (118)	7.73	0.005*			
	No	1228	83.6	48.1 (591)					
Changes made to smoking	Stopped/Decreased	73	5	54.4 (49)	2.80	0.422			
	Started/Increased	18	1.2	14.7 (13)					
Anxiety (GAD-7) levels	Minimal	447	30.4	–			3.9 (14)	122.19	< 0.001*
	Mild	465	32.7	–			9.6 (35)		
	Moderate	296	20.2	–			24.5 (58)		
	Severe	261	17.8	–			35.3 (71)		
Difficulty getting things done	Not difficult at all	450	30.6	16.2(73)	392.41	< 0.001*	4.5 (16)	738.01	< 0.001*
	Somewhat	747	50.9	55.3(413)			14.6 (87)		
*Significant at p < 0.05									

Demographics			Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)			Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
	Very	186	12.7	88.7(165)		36.2 (55)		
	Extremely	86	5.9	94.2(81)		35.7 (20)		
Anxiety GAD class(≥ 8)	Mild-Minimal	737	50.2	–		25.4(144)	86.20	< 0.001*
	High	732	49.8	–		5.7 (34)		
SDQ class (≥ 5)	Normal	982	84.7	43.1(423)	86.3	< 0.001*		
	Abnormal	178	15.3	80.9(144)				
*Significant at p < 0.05								

Anxiety levels (GAD-7 score and SDQ score)

Almost three quarters (71%) of our population reported anxiety, and 38% had moderate to severe anxiety. When we categorized anxiety by high and low based on the GAD-7 cutoff of 8, half of our participants (49.8%) reported higher levels of anxiety. Females (51.7%) and participants between the ages of 18 and 24 years (59.8%) reported greater anxiety. Higher anxiety levels were reported amongst participants with higher levels of education, but differences were not significant. More than half of participants who indicated they were likely to be vaccinated against COVID-19 were more anxious. More than half of parents who indicated they were likely to vaccinate their children with the COVID-19 vaccine had higher anxiety levels. Higher levels of anxiety were reported by asthmatics and those with headache, sore throat or dizziness. Highly anxious participants were more likely to take vitamin C (53.5%), anti-inflammatory drugs (62.6%) and analgesics (58.6%) Participants who had quit smoking had higher anxiety levels. (Table 1).

The highest percentage of reported emotional problems for children was in participants who were both parents and teachers (26.7%) compared to parents only (14.6%) or teachers only (4.7%). Participants who were divorced/separated reported higher SDQ scores in their children (25.4%), compared to those who were married (15.5%) and school-aged children or adolescents showed significant differences in emotional problems compared to children who were not (17.5%) and (19.6%) respectively). Emotional problems were also more common among children attending lower secondary and higher secondary schools. Parents reporting moderate to severe anxiety levels in the GAD-7, also reported higher SDQ scores in their children. A higher percentage of parents of children with emotional problems also reported they found it “Very or extremely” difficult to get things done (36.1%) (Table 1).

Knowledge, beliefs, hygienic behavior and anxiety

Overall, participants showed a good knowledge of COVID-19 and the majority were aware that there was no treatment. Participants (83%) perceived a likelihood of catching COVID-19 with almost half reporting higher levels of anxiety. More than half who believed they would develop severe illness upon contracting the virus reported higher levels of anxiety (Table 2). Almost all participants had made significant changes in their hygienic behavior since the pandemic and reported increased use of hand sanitizer (87%), washing hands (99%), wearing facemasks (47%), and avoiding crowds (96%), public transportation (98%) and handshaking (95%). Significantly higher levels of anxiety were reported amongst participants who always used hand sanitizers and face masks. When behavioral changes were further categorized into two groups, participants who always practiced hygienic behaviors, reported significantly higher levels of anxiety (Table 3).

Table 2
Prevalence of GAD-7 score ≥ 8 by knowledge and beliefs related to COVID-19 (n = 1469)

Characteristics	Category	Frequency (n)	%	Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8) % (n)	Chi Square	p-value
No Treatment Available for COVID-19	Don't know	187	12.7	54.5 (102)	2.68	0.262
	False	180	12.3	46.1 (83)		
	True	1102	75.0	49.6 (547)		
Sense of Social Responsibility	Don't know	19	1.3	36.8 (7)	1.36	0.505
	False	15	1.0	46.7 (7)		
	True	1435	97.7	50 (718)		
Likelihood of catching COVID-19	Don't know	100	6.8	40.0 (40)	7.26	0.026*
	Not likely	155	10.6	43.9 (68)		
	Likely	1214	82.6	51.4 (624)		
Likelihood of surviving COVID-19	Don't know	113	7.7	54.0 (61)	3.14	0.208
	Not likely	50	3.4	60.0 (30)		
	Likely	1306	88.9	49.1 (64)		
Likelihood I will develop severe illness	Don't know	199	13.5	48.2 (96)	13.56	0.001*
	Not likely	433	29.5	43.0 (186)		
	Likely	837	57.0	49.8 (732)		
*Significant at p < 0.05						

Table 3
Prevalence of GAD-7 score ≥ 8 by behavior changes taken ($n = 1469$)

Characteristics	Category	Frequency (n)	%	Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8) % (n)	Chi-Square	p-value*
Cover mouth	Never	13	0.9	46.2 (6)	3.03	0.219
	Occasionally	43	2.9	62.8 (27)		
	Most of the time /Always	1413	96.2	49.4 (699)		
Use hand sanitizer	Never	26	1.8	26.9 (7)	10.90	0.004*
	Occasionally	163	11.1	41.7 (68)		
	Most of the time /Always	1280	87.1	51.3 (657)		
Washing hands	Never	2	0.1	50.0 (1)	1.13	0.569
	Occasionally	14	1.0	35.7 (5)		
	Most of the time /Always	1453	98.9	50.0 (726)		
Face mask	Never	374	25.5	48.9 (183)	8.84	0.012*
	Occasionally	408	27.8	44.4 (181)		
	Most of the time /Always	687	46.8	53.6 (368)		
Avoid crowds	Never	6	0.4	50.0 (3)	0.25	0.882
	Occasionally	60	4.1	46.7 (28)		
	Most of the time /Always	1403	95.5	50 (701)		
Avoid public transport	Never	14	1.0	50.0 (7)	0.17	0.918
	Occasionally	22	1.5	45.5 (10)		
	Most of the time /Always	1433	97.5	49.9 (715)		
Avoid handshaking	Never	12	0.8	66.7 (8)	1.59	0.451
	Occasionally	62	4.2	46.8 (29)		
	Most of the time /Always	1395	94.9	49.8 (695)		
Behavioral changes total Category	Occasionally exhibiting behavior changes	604	41.1	45.4(274)	8.18	< 0.004*
	Always exhibiting behavior changes	865	58.9	53.0(458)		
*Significant at p < 0.05						

Precautionary measures and anxiety

Although most participants felt less anxious with the government's precautionary measures, participants who disagreed reported higher GAD-7 scores for online learning, cancellation of social events and social isolation. Participants who agreed with precautions showed less anxiety than those who disagreed (Table 4).

Table 4
Prevalence of GAD-7 score ≥ 8 by precautionary measures taken ($n = 1469$)

Characteristics	Category	Frequency (n)	%	Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8) % (n)	Chi Square	p-value
Online learning at educational institutions	Strongly disagree/Disagree	220	15.0	65.0 (143)	36.55	< 0.001*
	Neutral	238	16.2	57.6 (137)		
	Strongly agree/Agree	1011	68.8	44.7 (452)		
Airport screening	Strongly disagree/Disagree	67	4.6	61.2 (41)	14.14	0.001*
	Neutral	136	9.3	62.5 (85)		
	Strongly agree/ Agree	1266	86.2	47.9 (606)		
Travel bans	Strongly disagree/Disagree	65	4.4	55.4 (36)	11.11	0.004*
	Neutral	79	5.4	67.1 (53)		
	Strongly agree/Agree	1325	90.2	48.5 (643)		
Hand sanitizers in public spaces	Strongly disagree/ Disagree	33	2.2	51.5 (17)	2.31	0.315
	Neutral	98	6.7	57.1 (56)		
	Strongly agree/ Agree	1338	91.1	49.3 (659)		
Cancellation of social events	Strongly disagree/Disagree	34	2.3	61.8 (21)	7.59	0.022*
	Neutral	50	3.4	66.0 (33)		
	Strongly agree /Agree	1385	94.3	49.0 (678)		
Temporary closure of public places	Strongly disagree/Disagree	47	3.2	51.1 (24)	1.76	0.415
	Neutral	51	3.5	58.8 (30)		
	Strongly agree/Agree	1371	93.3	49.5 (678)		
Social isolation	Strongly disagree /Disagree	49	3.3	61.2 (30)	7.26	0.026*
	Neutral	82	5.6	61.0 (50)		
	Strongly agree/Agree	1338	91.1	48.7 (652)		
Precautionary measures category	Disagree with precautionary measures	605	41.2	54.9(332)	10.48	0.001*
	Agree with precautionary measures	864	58.8	46.3(400)		
*Significant at p < 0.05						

Worry, fear and anxiety

The majority of participants felt public fear was justified. However, we found greater anxiety among those who believed that fear caused unnecessary absences from work and school. Whilst most participants worried about catching COVID-19, the majority were more worried about their parents (75%) or children (65.5%) catching COVID-19 or transmitting it to someone else if they caught it (64.5%). Significantly higher GAD-7 scores were found among all participants who agreed they were worried about catching COVID-19, their parents or children catching it, about what would happen if they caught it, about being in social isolation, loss of income and transmitting it to others. When we categorized worry into two groups, “not too worried” and “very worried”, we found significantly higher levels of anxiety among participants who reported being very worried (Table 5). Worry in

parents was associated with SDQ score, and parents with higher scores reported more emotional problems in their children. Parents who were very worried reported significantly higher SDQ scores for their children (Table 5).

Table 5
Worry about COVID-19 by GAD-7 score and SDQ score ($n = 1469$)

Characteristics	Category	Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)					Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
		Frequency (n)	%	%(n)	Chi-Square	p-value	%(n)	Chi-square	p-value
Public fear is justifiable	Strongly disagree/Disagree	85	5.8	31.8 (27)	29.08	< 0.001*	29.7 (11)	0.89	0.640
	Neutral	156	10.6	35.3 (55)			9.5(71)		
	Strongly agree /Agree	1228	83.6	52.9 (650)			29.2 (186)		
Public fear is dysfunctional	Strongly disagree/Disagree	759	51.7	51.5 (391)	10.86	0.004*	28.5 (107)	0.357	0.836
	Neutral	261	17.8	40.6 (106)			13.9(29)		
	Strongly agree/ Agree	448	30.6	52.3 (235)			30 (74)		
Worried catching COVID-19	Not worried at all	138	9.5	21.7 (30)	176.98	< 0.001*	6.6 (7)	55.25	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Little/Somewhat worried	801	55.2	40.6 (325)			10.3 (67)		
	Very/Extremely worried	511	35.2	72.6 (371)			26.3 (104)		
Worried parents catch COVID-19	Not worried at all	49	3.6	14.3 (7)	98.86	$\leq 0.001^*$	5.1 (2)	18.24	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Little/Somewhat worried	294	21.4	29.6 (87)			8.2(20)		
	Very/Extremely worried	1028	75.0	57.8(594)			18.4 (146)		
Worried children catch COVID-19	Not worried at all	62	5.3	19.4 (12)	110.44	$\leq 0.001^*$	4 (2)	23.14	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Little/Somewhat worried	342	29.2	29.8(102)			10 (32)		
	Very/Extremely worried	766	65.5	60.2(461)			20.4 (141)		
Worried what COVID-19 can do to me healthwise	Not worried at all	121	8.4	17.4 (21)	178.81	$\leq 0.001^*$	3.1 (3)	44.22	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Little/Somewhat worried	667	46.4	38.4 (256)			10.5 (56)		
	Very/Extremely worried	647	45.1	68.8 (445)			23.1 (117)		
Worried about social isolation	Not worried at all	375	26.1	34.4(129)	81.71	$\leq 0.001^*$	9.2 (27)	58.29	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Little/Somewhat worried	608	42.3	48.2(293)			10.6 (52)		
	Very/Extremely worried	454	31.6	65.6(298)			27.7 (99)		
Worried about loss of income if infected with COVID-19	Not worried at all	265	18.8	32.1 (85)	73.63	$\leq 0.001^*$	7.9 (16)	22.91	$\leq 0.001^*$
*Significant at $p < 0.05$									

					Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)		Reported Children Emotional SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
Worried I transmit COVID-19	Little/Somewhat worried	441	32.9	44.4 (196)			12.1 (44)		
	Very/Extremely worried	633	47.3	61.6 (390)			20.7(107)		
	Not worried at all	104	8.5	31.7 (33)	79.81	≤ 0.001*	8.5 (8)	32.48	≤ 0.001*
	Little/Somewhat worried	328	27.0	34.5 (113)			7.4 (20)		
	Very/Extremely worried	785	64.5	60.5 (475)			21.6 (131)		
Worried in total about COVID-19	Low levels of worry	394	26.8	27.4 (108)	148.7	≤ 0.001*	6.4 (18)	44.9	≤ 0.001*
	High levels of worry	1075	73.2	58 (624)			18.2 (160)		
*Significant at p < 0.05									

Among participants with children, most were utilizing effective coping strategies; however, higher anxiety was reported among participants who always openly discussed COVID-19 with their family (51.4%), compared to those who never did (33.3%). Participants who always educated their children about proper protective measures (50.3%) or limited news exposure (53.4%) had higher anxiety levels (23.1%) compared to those who never did these things (41%). When we categorized these strategies into two groups “occasionally” and “always”, we found no differences in anxiety levels based on GAD-7 score. For SDQ scores reported by parents, we found more emotional problems in children whose parents/teachers discussed COVID-19 with them (17.5%) and among those who educated their children about personal protective measures (20.9%). Parents who always utilized coping strategies for dealing with COVID-19 reported children with greater emotional problems than parents who used fewer coping strategies (Table 6).

Table 6
Coping strategies used with children by GAD-7 score and SDQ score (n = 1469)

Characteristics	Category	Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)					SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)		
		Frequency (n)	%	%(n)	Chi-square	p-value	%(n)	Chi-square	p-value
Openly discuss COVID-19 with family	No children	178	12.1	53.9 (96)	10.79	0.013*	2.7 (2)	13.42	0.004*
	Never	27	1.8	33.3 (9)			4.2 (1)		
	Occasionally	259	17.6	42.5 (110)			14.1 (30)		
	Most of the time/Always	1005	68.4	51.4 (517)			17.1 (145)		
Educate children PPE	No children	316	21.5	52.2 (165)	10.19	0.017*	2.4 (3)	21.27	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Never	13	0.9	23.1 (3)			0 (0)		
	Occasionally	56	3.8	33.9 (19)			10.9 (5)		
	Most of the time/Always	1084	73.8	50.3 (545)			17.3 (170)		
Reassure children they are safe	No children	331	22.5	52.6 (174)	1.89	0.595	2.3 (3)	23.80	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Never	20	1.4	45 (9)			0 (0)		
	Occasionally	92	6.3	45.7 (42)			20.3 (16)		
	Most of the time/Always	1026	69.8	49.4 (507)			17 (159)		
Limit news exposure	No children	407	27.7	50.9 (207)	11.20	0.011*	3 (6)	37.10	$\leq 0.001^*$
	Never	205	14.0	41 (84)			11.2 (21)		
	Occasionally	216	14.7	45.8 (99)			22.1 (43)		
	Most of the time/Always	641	43.6	53.4 (342)			18.6 (108)		
Create schedule learning	No children	335	22.8	48.4 (162)	0.51	0.916	6.6 (10)	10.40	0.015*
	Never	104	7.1	51 (53)			17.4 (16)		
	Occasionally	288	19.6	51 (147)			16.4 (42)		
	Most of the time/Always	742	50.5	49.9 (370)			16.7 (111)		
Maintain regular routine	No children	225	15.3	50.7 (114)	2.26	0.521	4.4 (4)	8.99	0.029*
*Significant at $p < 0.05$									

				Anxiety GAD-7 Score (≥ 8)			SDQ Score (SDQ ≥ 5)			
	Never	47	3.2	46.8 (22)			14.7 (5)			
	Occasionally	185	12.6	54.6 (101)			16.2 (25)			
	Most of the time/Always	1012	68.9	48.9 (495)			16.3 (144)			
Coping strategies total Category	Low use of coping strategies	705	48.0	49.7(366)			1.65	0.199	5.6 (10)	9.01 < 0.001*
	High use of coping strategies	765	52.0	50.3(371)					17.1 (168)	
*Significant at p < 0.05										

To estimate the probability of anxiety levels among participants in our study, two multivariate logistic regressions were conducted—one with the GAD-7 score ≥ 8 as a measure of adult anxiety and the other with the SDQ score ≥ 5 for anxiety and emotional problems in children. In the first model, the effects of gender, age, age of children, perception of fear, perception of likelihood to contract COVID-19 and to develop severe disease, headaches, sore throat, asthma, measures taken for symptoms, smoking, and changed smoking habits, likelihood of vaccination for self and children, hygienic behavior category, precautionary measures category and worry category were modelled. The omnibus model for logistic regression analysis was statistically significant. The model explained 28% of the variance in anxiety levels. Hosmer and Lemeshow test results confirmed the model was a good fit for the data (Table 7). Females had 1.91 times higher odds of reporting anxiety than males, and participants who believed that fear was justified were six times more anxious than those who disagreed. Higher levels of worry were also associated with increased anxiety levels. Participants who said they would take the COVID-19 vaccine were 1.57 times more likely to report higher anxiety, however, vaccinating children did not influence anxiety. The odds of higher anxiety were larger among participants who smoked, took vitamin C for symptoms and reported sore throat (Table 7).

Table 7

Multivariate analysis for variables predicting anxiety (GAD-7 score ≥ 8) in sample population and variables predicting parent/teacher reported emotional problems in children (SDQ score) ($n = 1469$)

Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) score					
Variable		b	SE(b)	P-value	OR [95% CI]
Gender	Female	0.649	0.178	< 0.001	1.91 [1.35–2.71]
	Male ^a	–	–	–	1
Precautionary Measures	Agree	-0.740	0.146	< 0.001	0.48 [0.36–0.63]
	Disagree ^a	–	–	–	1
Public fear Justifiable	Agree	1.811	1.082	0.094	6.11 [0.73–51.0]
	Disagree ^a	–	–	–	1
Worry associated with COVID-19	High levels of worry	1.336	0.139	< 0.001	3.80 [2.90–5.00]
	Low levels of worry ^a	–	–	–	1
Will take COVID-19 Vaccine	Yes	0.446	0.1478	0.003	1.57 [1.17–2.09]
	No ^a	–	–	–	1
Symptoms- Sore throat	Yes	0.447	0.173	0.010	1.56 [1.17–2.09]
	No ^a	–	–	–	1
Taking Vitamin C as measure	Yes	0.344	0.134	0.010	1.41 [1.09–1.83]
	No ^a	–	–	–	1
Smoker	Yes	0.435	0.194	0.025	1.55 [1.06–2.26]
	No ^a	–	–	–	1
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 276.2 (df = 40, p-value ≤ 0.001)					
Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) score					
Variable		b	SE(b)	P-value	OR [95% CI]
Relationship to child/ren	Parent only	0.854	0.493	0.884	2.35 [0.89–6.17]
	Parent & Teacher	1.626	0.519	< 0.001	5.08 [1.84–14.0]
^a reference group, <i>b</i> parameter estimate, <i>SE</i> Std Error, <i>OR</i> Odds Ratio, <i>CI</i> Confidence Interval					

<i>Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) score</i>					
	Teacher only ^a	–	–	–	1
Child/ren in lower secondary education	Yes	0.522	0.189	0.006	1.69 [1.16–2.44]
	No ^a	–	–	–	1
Child/ren in higher secondary education	Yes	0.460	0.199	0.021	1.59 [1.07–2.34]
	No ^a	–	–	–	1
Anxiety level (GAD-7)	Severe	1.94	0.355	< 0.001	7.00 [3.45–14.0]
	Moderate	1.505	0.340	0.013	4.51 [2.31–8.80]
	Mild	0.582	0.344	0.011	1.79 [0.91–3.50]
	Minimal ^a	–	–	–	1
Difficulty of parent/teacher to get things done	Extremely	1.299	0.439	0.003	3.70 [1.55–8.66]
	Very	1.403	0.348	< 0.001	4.07 [2.10–8.05]
	Somewhat	0.805	0.306	0.009	2.24 [1.23–4.08]
	Not difficult at all ^a	–	–	–	1
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 185.90 (df = 17, p-value ≤ 0.001)					
^a reference group, <i>b</i> parameter estimate, <i>SE</i> Std Error, <i>OR</i> Odds Ratio, <i>CI</i> Confidence Interval					

In the second model, with $SDG \geq 5$ as a measure of anxiety in children, the effects of relationship, age (school-aged or adolescent), marital status, educational level of child (lower secondary and higher secondary), coping strategies, worry, parental anxiety level and difficulty getting things done were modelled. The omnibus model for logistic regression analysis was significant and explained 26% of the variance in children's anxiety levels. Hosmer and Lemeshow test results confirmed the model was a good fit, (Table 7). Participants who were both parents and teachers were five times more likely to report emotional problems in children mostly in adolescents in lower and secondary school. Parents with severe anxiety levels were seven times more likely to report emotional problems in their children. Parental reports of "finding it very difficult to do work, to do things at home and to get along with other people" were a strong predictor of emotional problems in children (Table 7).

4. Discussion

This study revealed that the pandemic has had a significant impact on the mental health and well-being of the UAE population with the majority of study participants reporting mild to severe anxiety. This was most prevalent among women suggesting that they suffered psychologically more from the pandemic than males [33, 34]. Their anxiety may be exacerbated by the added responsibility of home schooling, work commitments, social isolation and increased concern for family and loved ones. Our study found that government measures to contain the virus largely decreased anxiety. Many reported anxiety about online learning disrupting their children's education and examinations. Airport closures and travel bans are major anxiety producers because the UAE is a popular travel hub. The potential loss of tourism jobs, inability to travel to family and loved ones and overall loss of connection with the world causes worry[35–37]. Greater worry was correlated with higher GAD-7 scores for

concerns over parents' and children's health, fears of bringing infection home from the workplace and loss of income. Parental worry seemed associated with emotional problems in children, but further analysis showed lower correlation.

Perceptions of greater risk corresponded to increased anxiety. These findings contradicted research conducted in China during the early stages of the pandemic where participants reported lower perceived likelihood of contracting COVID-19, which was associated with lower stress [11]. High-risk perception tends to increase protective and hygienic behaviors such as handwashing and social-distancing [38, 39]. In our study, the majority who had higher GAD-7 scores reported wearing masks and using hand sanitizers. As demonstrated in our study, those with health conditions like asthma were more likely to feel concern because of probability of infection [40]. Sore throat, taking vitamin C and smoking remained significant predictors of anxiety levels among participants in our study upon further analysis. Smokers are at greater risk of developing severe COVID-19 [41–43].

The majority of our study population intended to take the COVID-19 vaccine when available and vaccinate their children, although a relatively large percentage said they would not take the vaccine. This is similar to a recent study in France [44] and a local study showing 12% vaccine hesitancy among the UAE population [45]. Hesitancy about the vaccine was mainly related to safety and political concerns [44, 46]. Governments need to publicize the measures taken to ensure vaccine safety.

The psychological impact of COVID-19 on children was assessed for the first time in our study. COVID-19 adversely affects the mental health of children, particularly those in lower grades. Social isolation, prolonged school closure, challenges with online learning and uncertainty over assessments and examinations all cause mental stress, especially among adolescents [47]. Although we did not interview children directly, we used parent/teacher questionnaires, which were validated against structured diagnostic interviews. Parents and teachers, and especially parents who are teachers, were the best informants of emotional problems in children. Parents who regularly utilized coping strategies with their children reported higher SDQ scores than those who did not. Further research should measure the effectiveness of these strategies in addressing anxiety disorders in children. We found that higher parental anxiety was a significant predictor of children's SDQ score, suggesting a significant association between parental and child anxiety. This is consistent with research where mental health service utilization among adolescents was associated with parental anxiety and depression [13]. Our study suggests that parental anxiety might be a unique factor in explaining anxiety disorders in children and should be included in future research on the psychological impact of public health emergencies in this population.

Limitations

The use of convenience sampling and its descriptive nature through an online survey may not allow the generalization of results. However, considering the need for a quick method to assess the psychological impact on a population during a rapidly evolving infectious disease outbreak, the online survey proved best [48]. Responses were collected from all over the UAE in addition to countries outside the UAE (due to online and social media use) with a good response rate allowing for some degree of representativeness. The self-reported data in the survey may lead to response biases specifically for reported behavioral changes, coping strategies and measures taken where participants provide socially desirable results. Self-reported levels of anxiety among adults and emotional disorders in children may not be as accurate as those assessed by healthcare professionals.

Conclusion

This is the first study to provide information on the psychological impact of COVID-19 on parents and children, with association between parental and child anxiety. Worry and fear are significant predictors of growing anxiety in the UAE. Policymakers should use the findings to develop effective screening methods and interventions to improve mental health, especially for children. Such strategies can reduce the psychological impact of COVID-19 and other public health emergencies in the future.

Declarations

[Ethics approval and consent to participate](#)

All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study protocol was evaluated and approved by the University of Sharjah and the United Arab Emirates University ethical review boards. Written consent was obtained prior to individual participation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

BS, RH, FS, and MT conceived, designed and initiated the study. AA, IE, AH, ES contributed to the planning and implementation of the study. AAS analyzed survey data. BS, AAS interpreted the results. BS drafted the manuscript with input from RH, IE, AA, FS, AH, MT, ES and QH. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank all the individuals who generously shared their time and took part in the study.

Supplementary Material

The survey used to collect data for this study is provided as supplementary material.

References

1. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak 2020 [Available from: <https://covid19.who.int/>].
2. WHO. Director General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19, 11th March 2020 [updated 30-3-2020]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19—11-march-2020>.
3. Worldometers.info. COVID-19 CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC. Dover, Delaware, U.S.A. 2020 [Available from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>].
4. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet* (London, England). 2020;395(10223):470-3.
5. Rubin GJ, Wessely S. The psychological effects of quarantining a city. *British Medical Journal*. 2020;368:m313.
6. Sim K, Huak Chan Y, Chong PN, Chua HC, Wen Soon S. Psychosocial and coping responses within the community health care setting towards a national outbreak of an infectious disease. *Journal of psychosomatic research*. 2010;68(2):195-202.
7. Balkhy HH, Abolfotouh MA, Al-Hathloul RH, Al-Jumah MA. Awareness, attitudes, and practices related to the swine influenza pandemic among the Saudi public. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2010;10:42.
8. Lau JT, Yang X, Tsui HY, Pang E. SARS related preventive and risk behaviours practised by Hong Kong-mainland China cross border travellers during the outbreak of the SARS epidemic in Hong Kong. *Journal of epidemiology and community health*. 2004;58(12):988-96.

9. Leung GM, Lam TH, Ho LM, Ho SY, Chan BH, Wong IO, et al. The impact of community psychological responses on outbreak control for severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. *Journal of epidemiology and community health*. 2003;57(11):857-63.
10. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry research*. 2020;288:112954.
11. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2020;17(5).
12. Shekerdemian LS, Mahmood NR, Wolfe KK, Riggs BJ, Ross CE, McKiernan CA, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of Children With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection Admitted to US and Canadian Pediatric Intensive Care Units. *JAMA pediatrics*. 2020.
13. Essau CA. Frequency and patterns of mental health services utilization among adolescents with anxiety and depressive disorders. *Depression and anxiety*. 2005;22(3):130-7.
14. Jongerden L, Simon E, Bodden DH, Dirksen CD, Bogels SM. Factors associated with the referral of anxious children to mental health care: the influence of family functioning, parenting, parental anxiety and child impairment. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*. 2015;24(1):46-57.
15. Boman F, Stafström M, Lundin N, Moghadassi M, Törnhage CJ, Östergren PO. Comparing parent and teacher assessments of mental health in elementary school children. *Scandinavian journal of public health*. 2016;44(2):168-76.
16. Goodman R, Ford T, Simmons H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. Using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to screen for child psychiatric disorders in a community sample. *International Review of Psychiatry*. 2003;15(1-2):166-72.
17. Aelterman A, Engels N, Van Petegem K, Pierre Verhaeghe J. The well-being of teachers in Flanders: the importance of a supportive school culture. *Educational Studies*. 2007;33(3):285-97.
18. Telles S, Gupta RK, Bhardwaj AK, Singh N, Mishra P, Pal DK, et al. Increased Mental Well-Being and Reduced State Anxiety in Teachers After Participation in a Residential Yoga Program. *Medical science monitor basic research*. 2018;24:105-12.
19. SurveyMonkey. San Mateo [Available from: www.surveymonkey.com].
20. Al-Rabiaah A, Tamsah MH, Al-Eyadhy AA, Hasan GM, Al-Zamil F, Al-Subaie S, et al. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Corona Virus (MERS-CoV) associated stress among medical students at a university teaching hospital in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of infection and public health*. 2020.
21. Bults M, Beaujean DJ, Richardus JH, Voeten HA. Perceptions and behavioral responses of the general public during the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic: a systematic review. *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness*. 2015;9(2):207-19.
22. DeCoster J, Gallucci M, Iselin A-MR. Best Practices for Using Median Splits, Artificial Categorization, and their Continuous Alternatives. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*. 2011;2(2):197-209.
23. Leung GM, Ho LM, Chan SK, Ho SY, Bacon-Shone J, Choy RY, et al. Longitudinal assessment of community psychobehavioral responses during and after the 2003 outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2005;40(12):1713-20.
24. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2006;166(10):1092-7.
25. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Monahan PO, Lowe B. Anxiety disorders in primary care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2007;146(5):317-25.
26. Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 1997;38(5):581-6.
27. Goodman A, Goodman R. Strengths and difficulties questionnaire as a dimensional measure of child mental health. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2009;48(4):400-3.
28. Silva TBF, Osório FL, Loureiro SR. SDQ: discriminative validity and diagnostic potential. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2015;6(811).

29. Goodman A. Scoring the Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire for age 4-17 [Available from: <https://www.ehcap.co.uk/content/sites/ehcap/uploads/NewsDocuments/236/SDQEnglishUK4-17scoring-1.PDF>.
30. Alyahri A, Goodman R. Validation of the Arabic Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire and the Development and Well-Being Assessment. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*. 2006;12 Suppl 2:S138-46.
31. Sawaya H, Atoui M, Hamadeh A, Zeinoun P, Nahas Z. Adaptation and initial validation of the Patient Health Questionnaire - 9 (PHQ-9) and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder - 7 Questionnaire (GAD-7) in an Arabic speaking Lebanese psychiatric outpatient sample. *Psychiatry research*. 2016;239:245-52.
32. SAS Institute Inc. 2011. Base SAS® 9.3 Procedures Guide. Cary NSII.
33. Lim GY, Tam WW, Lu Y, Ho CS, Zhang MW, Ho RC. Prevalence of Depression in the Community from 30 Countries between 1994 and 2014. *Scientific reports*. 2018;8(1):2861.
34. Löwe B, Decker O, Müller S, Brähler E, Schellberg D, Herzog W, et al. Validation and standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population. *Medical care*. 2008;46(3):266-74.
35. Borkovec TD, Ray WJ, Stöber J. Worry: A Cognitive Phenomenon Intimately Linked to Affective, Physiological and Interpersonal Behavioral Processes. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*. 1998;22(6):561-76.
36. Paulesu E, Sambugaro E, Torti T, Danelli L, Ferri F, Scialfa G, et al. Neural correlates of worry in generalized anxiety disorder and in normal controls: a functional MRI study. *Psychological medicine*. 2010;40(1):117-24.
37. Sun X, So SH, Chan RCK, Chiu CD, Leung PWL. Worry and metacognitions as predictors of the development of anxiety and paranoia. *Scientific reports*. 2019;9(1):14723.
38. Harper CA, Satchell LP, Fido D, Latzman RD. Functional Fear Predicts Public Health Compliance in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International journal of mental health and addiction*. 2020:1-14.
39. Motta Zanin G, Gentile E, Parisi A, Spasiano D. A Preliminary Evaluation of the Public Risk Perception Related to the COVID-19 Health Emergency in Italy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2020;17(9).
40. Liao Q, Cowling BJ, Lam WW, Ng DM, Fielding R. Anxiety, worry and cognitive risk estimate in relation to protective behaviors during the 2009 influenza A/H1N1 pandemic in Hong Kong: ten cross-sectional surveys. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2014;14:169.
41. Eisenberg SL, Eisenberg MJ. Smoking Cessation During the COVID-19 Epidemic. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2020.
42. Heerfordt C, Heerfordt IM. Has there been an increased interest in smoking cessation during the first months of the COVID-19 pandemic? A Google Trends study. *Public health*. 2020;183:6-7.
43. Vardavas CI, Nikitara K. COVID-19 and smoking: A systematic review of the evidence. *Tobacco induced diseases*. 2020;18:20.
44. de Girolamo G, Cerveri G, Clerici M, Monzani E, Spinogatti F, Starace F, et al. Mental Health in the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Emergency-The Italian Response. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2020.
45. Alsuwaidi AR, Elbarazi I, Al-Hamad S, Aldaheri R, Sheek-Hussein M, Narchi H. Vaccine hesitancy and its determinants among Arab parents: a cross-sectional survey in the United Arab Emirates. *Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics*. 2020:1-7.
46. Harrison EA, Wu JW. Vaccine confidence in the time of COVID-19. *European journal of epidemiology*. 2020;35(4):325-30.
47. Al-Yateem N, Bani Issa W, Rossiter RC, Al-Shujairi A, Radwan H, Awad M, et al. Anxiety related disorders in adolescents in the United Arab Emirates: a population based cross-sectional study. *BMC pediatrics*. 2020;20(1):245.
48. Geldsetzer P. Use of Rapid Online Surveys to Assess People's Perceptions During Infectious Disease Outbreaks: A Cross-sectional Survey on COVID-19. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2020;22(4):e18790.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [ParentsAnxietySurveySubmission.pdf](#)