Evidence on Respectful Maternity Care For Adolescents: A Systematic Review Protocol

Helen H Habib (✉ helenhhabib@gmail.com)

University of Ghana School of Public Health <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7767-9312>

Jefferson Mwaisaka

University of Ghana School of Public Health

Kwasi Torpey

University of Ghana School of Public Health

Ernest Tei Maya

University of Ghana School of Public Health

Augustine Ankomah

Population Council

Protocol

Keywords: Respectful Maternity Care, Adolescent sexual reproductive health and rights, Intra-partum mistreatment

Posted Date: September 1st, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-67728/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Intrapartum mistreatment of women is a globally rising public health and human rights phenomenon. The issue reportedly has severe maternal and neonatal outcomes including mortality, and generally leads to a decreased satisfaction with maternity care. Intrapartum mistreatment, despite being ubiquitous, indicates higher incidence among adolescent parturients who are simultaneously at a higher risk of maternal morbidity and mortality. Studies have suggested that Respectful Maternity Care (RMC) interventions reduce intrapartum mistreatment and improve clinical outcomes for women and neonates in general. However, evidence on the effect of RMC on adolescents is unclear. Hence the specific aim of this study is to synthesise the available evidence relating to the provision of RMC for adolescents during childbirth.

Methods

The methodology of the proposed systematic review follows the procedural guideline depicted in the preferred reporting items for systematic review protocol. The review will include all observational and intervention studies conducted between January 1, 1990 and April 30, 2020. Electronic databases including MEDLINE, PubMed, ScienceDirect, Cochrane, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Web of Science will be searched to retrieve available studies using the appropriate search strings. The search results will be appraised with Joanna Briggs Institute quality assessment tool. The selection of relevant studies, data extraction, and quality assessment of individual studies will be carried out by two independent authors.

Results

A systematic narrative synthesis of the resultant studies will be done, and the relevant themes extracted. Findings will also be summarised in tables.

Discussion

Respectful Maternity Care for adolescents holds great promise for improved maternal and neonatal care. However, there is a gap in knowledge on the interventions that work and the extent of their effectiveness. Findings from this study will be beneficial in improving Adolescents Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights (ASRHR) and reducing maternal mortality, especially for adolescents.

Systematic review registration:

Background

Intrapartum mistreatment during facility-based deliveries is a severe, albeit ubiquitous predicament faced by parturient women worldwide ^{1,2}. Mistreatment is classified as both a public health and human rights issue ³. It infringes on the rights to health of women and is strongly linked to health outcomes like maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality with some effects lasting chronically until further into the life course ⁴. Research has focused on investigating efficacious strategies which successfully alleviates this challenge. Efforts to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality and morbidity have identified and recommended facility-based births and as a corollary, increasing the proportion of births attended by skilled birth attendants (SBA) to enable early identification and immediate management of arising complications ⁵. Although trends in facility-based births across sub-Saharan Africa have generally showed upward trajectory over the past decade, uptake is still less than universal and many women have reported reluctance to use facilities due to a lack of respectful or compassionate care ^{6,7}. In some instances where women have opted for facility-based births, they have still reported dissatisfaction with their birth outcomes due to the way they were mis(treated) at the facilities ⁸. This is indicative that respectful care is essential not only to promote uptake of facility-based deliveries, but also to improve clinical birth outcomes and reduce complications. The WHO Human Reproductive Program (WHO-HRP) has prescribed recommendations on improving maternal health service delivery with respectful maternity care as an essential component of quality care ⁹. The WHO defines Respectful Maternity Care (RMC) as the organization and management of health systems in a manner that ensures respect for women's sexual and reproductive health and human rights ¹⁰. RMC, sometimes referred to as compassionate care refers to care that emphasizes the positive interpersonal interactions of parturients with health care providers and staff in a manner that maintains their dignity, privacy and confidentiality, ensures freedom from harm and mistreatment, and enables informed choice and continuous support during labour and childbirth. The concept recognizes that all women have the fundamental right to dignified and respectful care during childbirth and that mistreatment during childbirth is not only a blatant violation of women's reproductive rights, but is also a stringent disincentive for facility-based care and skilled birth attendance (SBA) even in the absence of several other barriers of access. Whilst this is the fundamental right of all women, available evidence seems to suggest that certain vulnerable sub-groups of women, specifically younger, poorer, less educated, physically challenged, HIV-positive and ethnic minority women often face a health inequity in the enjoyment of RMC ^{11,12}. For adolescents, this confers a higher chance of being denied RMC by reason of their characteristic young age, poorer and less educated status ¹³. Additionally, provider moral biases against adolescents for their indulgence in early/ pre-marital sex may also cause them to be treated with disrespect ^{8,13}. This is a worrying event as adolescent parturients are proven to bear an excessively higher risk of negative pregnancy health outcomes than their older counterparts. Ideally, they should be treated with the utmost care and professionalism ^{14,15}. Some interventions have sought to improve RMC in facilities using a variety of methods such as educating parturients on their

SRH rights and seeking legal redress in some reported cases ^{16,17}. However, these interventions do not often address the peculiar needs and challenges of adolescents. For example, adolescents may not necessarily be able to assert their rights due to their vulnerability and may not have the financial access to legal redress. There is therefore a need to review literature on interventions that are designed with specific attention towards providing RMC for adolescents and their successes and challenges. This is to help inform the design of future interventions in the delivery of quality maternity care for adolescents.

Review Aim

The overall aim of this systematic review is to synthesise the available evidence on the provision of respectful maternity care for adolescents during childbirth.

Specific Objectives

The specific objectives of this review are to

1. To review evidence on the types of RMC interventions that have been specifically targeted at adolescents and other vulnerable women
2. To review evidence on the strategies, outcomes, gaps and challenges related to the implementation of RMC interventions for adolescents.

Methods

This study protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO). This study protocol is being reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) statement ¹⁸ and checklist (Additional File 1).

Eligibility criteria

Studies will be selected using the Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome and Study design (PICOS framework) ¹⁸.

Participants: Only studies that are focused on adolescent parturients as a main study population or sub-analyses population of interest will be included. Adolescent parturient refers to anyone between 10 and 19 years old who has delivered within the past 6 months.

Interventions: Any interventions aimed towards providing RMC for adolescents will be included. Studies that are not aimed at RMC and /or are not targeted at adolescent parturients will be excluded

Comparators: There will be no comparators included in this study

Outcome: The primary outcome of interest is the incidence of RMC. Secondary outcomes include reported satisfaction with care and maternal and neonatal physical and psychosocial health.

Study design: Studies eligible for inclusion include cross-sectional studies, randomised control trials, quasi-experimental studies and cohort studies. Only studies published in English and between January 1, 1990 and April 30, 2020 will be included.

Information Sources & Search strategy

The sources of information will be electronic databases including MEDLINE, PubMed, ScienceDirect, Cochrane, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Web of Science. A search strategy using medical subject headings (MeSH) on the terms “Intrapartum Mistreatment”, “Disrespect and Abuse”, “Respectful Maternity Care”, “Adolescents”, “Teenager”, “Pregnancy” and “Compassionate care” together with BOOLEAN operators (“AND” / “OR”) will be used. The searches will be conducted by HH.

Data Extraction and Management

All identified studies will be saved into the online-based Mendeley reference manager. This manager has been selected for this study as it allows orderly download and storage of the selected abstracts as well as any available full-text versions. It also allows shared access by all the reviewers. The relevant titles and abstracts will be independently screened by two reviewers HH and JM. Articles meeting the selection criteria will be retained for independent assessment against the selection criteria by HH and JM. A data extraction tool in Ms-Excel will be used to assess and extract the pertinent preliminary information from the available abstracts. Components of the tool will be used to extract the relevant data which include author (s) names, year of publication, study design and/or methodology, study population, intervention(s), study setting, geographic location and results. The final list of articles will be downloaded in full text for detailed review. A PRISMA flowchart (Additional File 2) will be used to demonstrate the process of screening and identification of articles to include in the systematic review, with reasons for exclusion noted. Any discrepancies that arise will be reassessed and resolved by the full team.

Reporting quality in individual studies

Studies will be individually assessed for quality using the relevant Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal tool. Quality indicators that will be assessed will include randomisation, allocation, blinding, identical treatment, and the analyses method used in the studies. Using the criteria from these tools, a quality assessment of low, medium or high will be made. The two authors will review the methodological robustness of the studies against the eligibility criteria and the checklist independently. Discrepancies will be resolved by discussion, with the involvement of a third reviewer when there is a disagreement.

Qualitative Synthesis

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines 18 will be followed during the review. A combination of narrative and thematic synthesis is proposed as most

suitable for achieving this review's objectives which aim to describe the existing literature as well as identify the strategies, outcomes, gaps and challenges in previous interventions. The narration will firstly summarise the methods, results and conclusions of the studies in prose. Subsequently, the running themes in the studies will be identified and grouped. The most prominent and recurrent themes will then be identified and analysed. The characteristics and themes will also be summarised in a tabular form in addition to the prose narratives. A quantitative analysis will be conducted if studies report prevalence of respectful maternity care before or after an intervention.

Discussion

Some studies have demonstrated that RMC can be improved with beneficial outcomes to parturients, neonates and entire communities using a variety of interventions. A study in Kenya demonstrated an increase in respectful maternity care provision after implementing interventions that teach and encourage women to know and assert their SRH rights ^{19,20}. Some studies have also encouraged women to seek legal redress against their abusers ¹⁶. Again, other studies have sought to educate communities on how to be custodians and support women against mistreatment and demand respectful care as a health right ²¹. Despite this available evidence on the success of RMC interventions in reducing mistreatment and improving the quality of maternal care, little is known about interventions that work, or do not work especially for adolescents and vulnerable sub-groups of women who may not necessarily be able to benefit from these existing interventions. Adolescents for instance may be aware of their own SRH rights but may not be able to assert them due to their generally younger age. Additionally, they may not be able to seek legal redress due to financial constraints and may also not have support from the wider community due to widespread moral judgement against their indulgence in early sex ²². This gap exists and evidently creates a health inequity for adolescent parturients. In order to overcome this there is a need to investigate any available evidence on strategies that work best in promoting RMC for adolescents and other vulnerable sub-groups of women. In a group of women such as adolescents who bear an elevated risk to maternal mortality and morbidity ^{23,24}, it is essential that the highest level of quality peripartum care be provided to encourage facility-based based and also, improve clinical and psychosocial maternal and neonatal outcomes. This review therefore contributes to efforts in the reduction of maternal mortality and morbidity especially among adolescents who are a key risk group. The review will provide a much-needed insight of what interventions have been put into place for adolescents, the challenges in their implementation, as well as the strategies that have led to their success. It will additionally help to identify the existing research and programmatic gaps as well as recommendations for any future research, interventions, policy and programs.

Dissemination

The results of this review will be submitted for open access publication. The results will also be submitted as part of a doctoral thesis and presented at conferences

Abbreviations

ASRHR

Adolescent Sexual Reproductive Health & Rights

DHIMS

District Health Information Management Systems

HRP

Human Reproduction Programme

RMC

Respectful Maternity Care

SBA

Skilled Birth Attendance

SRHR

Sexual Reproductive Health & Rights

WHO

World Health Organization

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for a broader study within which this systematic review is nested has been obtained from Ghana Health Service Ethics Review Committee with registration code (GHS-ERC009/03/20).

Consent for publication

N/A

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests

Funding

This proposed study will be funded by the HRP Alliance, part of the UNDP-UNFPA-UNICEF-WHO-World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction (HRP), a cosponsored programme executed by the World Health Organization (WHO). This article

represents the views of the named authors only and does not represent the views of the World Health Organization

Authors' contributions

HH*, JM, KT, EM, and AA conceived and designed the review. HH* and JM carried out activities from inception to the draft of the manuscript. KT, EM and AA rigorously reviewed the manuscript. HH* is the guarantor of the review. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of the WHO/HRP Alliance and its Regional hub at the University of Ghana School of Public Health.

References

1. Rosen HE, Lynam PF, Carr C, Reis V, Ricca J, Bazant ES, et al. Direct observation of respectful maternity care in five countries: a cross-sectional study of health facilities in East and Southern Africa. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 23 [cited 2019 Apr 15];15(1):306. Available from: <http://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-015-0728-4>
2. Bohren MA, Mehrtash H, Fawole B, Maung TM, Balde MD, Maya E, et al. How women are treated during facility-based childbirth in four countries: a cross-sectional study with labour observations and community-based surveys. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10210):1750–63.
3. WHO. How women are treated during facility-based childbirth. 2015;12(March):1–54.
4. Solnes Miltenburg A, van Pelt S, Meguid T, Sundby J. Disrespect and abuse in maternity care: individual consequences of structural violence. *Reprod Health Matters* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 27 [cited 2019 Jun 25];26(53):88–106. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09688080.2018.1502023>
5. Miller S, Abalos E, Chamillard M, Ciapponi A, Colaci D, Comandé D, et al. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2016 Oct 29 [cited 2019 Jun 14];388(10056):2176–92. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673616314726>
6. Ganle JK, Parker M, Fitzpatrick R, Otupiri E. A qualitative study of health system barriers to accessibility and utilization of maternal and newborn healthcare services in Ghana after user-fee abolition. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2014 Dec 21 [cited 2019 Jun 13];14(1):425. Available from: <http://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-014-0425-8>
7. Mselle LT, Moland KM, Mvungi A, Evjen-Olsen B, Kohi TW. Why give birth in health facility? Users' and providers' accounts of poor quality of birth care in Tanzania. *BMC Health Serv Res* [Internet]. 2013

- Dec 10 [cited 2019 Oct 11];13(1):174. Available from:
<https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6963-13-174>
8. Amroussia N, Hernandez A, Vives-Cases C, Goicolea I. "Is the doctor God to punish me?!" An intersectional examination of disrespectful and abusive care during childbirth against single mothers in Tunisia. *Reprod Health* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 4 [cited 2019 Mar 25];14(1):32. Available from:<http://reproductive-health.journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12978-017-0290-9>
 9. WHO. The prevention and elimination of disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth WHO statement. 2018;
 10. World Health Organization. Intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience [Internet]. 2018. 212 p. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/260178/1/9789241550215-eng.pdf?ua=1%0Ahttp://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/intrapartum-care-guidelines/en/>
 11. Warren C, Njue R, Ndwiga C, Abuya T. Manifestations and drivers of mistreatment of women during childbirth in Kenya: Implications for measurement and developing interventions. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 28 [cited 2019 Jun 25];17(1):102. Available from:
<http://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-017-1288-6>
 12. Akasreku B Dela, Habib H, Ankomah A. Pregnancy in Disability: Community Perceptions and Personal Experiences in a Rural Setting in Ghana. *J Pregnancy* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 16 [cited 2019 Jul 19];2018:1–12. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jp/2018/8096839/>
 13. Jewkes R, Abrahams N, Mvo Z. Why do nurses abuse patients? Reflections from South African obstetric services. 1998;47(11):1781–95.
 14. Erasmus MO. The barriers to access for maternal health care amongst pregnant adolescents in the Mitchells Plain Sub-district. 2017 [cited 2019 Jun 18]; Available from:
<http://etd.uwc.ac.za/handle/11394/5685>
 15. Jonas K, Crutzen R, van den Borne B, Reddy P. Healthcare workers' behaviors and personal determinants associated with providing adequate sexual and reproductive healthcare services in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 13 [cited 2019 Jun 18];17(1):86. Available from:
<http://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-017-1268-x>
 16. Pickles C. Eliminating abusive 'care': A criminal law response to obstetric violence in South Africa. *South African Crime Q* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 18 [cited 2019 Jul 9];54(0):5. Available from:
<http://www.ajol.info/index.php/sacq/article/view/127746>
 17. Kujawski SA, Freedman LP, Ramsey K, Mbaruku G, Mbuyita S, Moyo W, et al. Community and health system intervention to reduce disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Tanga Region, Tanzania: A comparative before-and-after study. *PLoS Med*. 2017;14(7):1–16.
 18. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. Vol. 349, *BMJ* (Online). BMJ Publishing Group; 2015.

19. Ratcliffe HL, Sando D, Lyatuu GW, Emil F, Mwanyika-Sando M, Chalamilla G, et al. Mitigating disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Tanzania: an exploratory study of the effects of two facility-based interventions in a large public hospital. *Reprod Health* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 18 [cited 2019 May 7];13(1):79. Available from: <http://reproductive-health-journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12978-016-0187-z>
20. Abuya T, Ndwiga C, Ritter J, Kanya L, Bellows B, Binkin N, et al. The effect of a multi-component intervention on disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Kenya. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 22 [cited 2019 Jun 14];15(1):224. Available from: <http://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-015-0645-6>
21. Abuya T, Ndwiga C, Ritter J, Kanya L, Bellows B, Binkin N, et al. The effect of a multi-component intervention on disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Kenya. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* [Internet]. 2015 Sep 22 [cited 2019 Mar 25];15:224. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26394616>
22. Harrison et al. Treat Me But Don't Judge Me: A Qualitative Examination of Health Care Experiences of Pregnant and Parenting Youth. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2017 Apr 1;30(2):209–14.
23. November L, Sandall J. “Just because she’s young, it doesn’t mean she has to die”: Exploring the contributing factors to high maternal mortality in adolescents in Eastern Freetown; A qualitative study. *Reprod Health* [Internet]. 2018 Feb 21 [cited 2020 Apr 23];15(1):31. Available from: <https://reproductive-health-journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12978-018-0475-x>
24. Jonas K, Reddy P, Van Den Borne B, Sewpaul R, Nyembezi A, Naidoo P, et al. Predictors of nurses’ and midwives’ intentions to provide maternal and child healthcare services to adolescents in South Africa. *BMC Health Serv Res* [Internet]. 2016 Nov 15 [cited 2020 Apr 22];16(1):1–10. Available from: <http://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-016-1901-9>

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [AdditionalFile3SearchTerms.docx](#)
- [AdditionalFile2PRISMAFlowchart.docx](#)
- [AdditionalFile1PRISMAChecklist.docx](#)