The Efficacy of PI3Ky and EGFR Inhibitors on the Suppression of the Characteristics of Cancer Stem Cells

Yanning Xu

Tianjin Central Hospital of Gynecology Obstetrics, Nankai University Affiliated Maternity Hospital

Said M. Afify

Okayama University

Juan Du

Okayama University

Bingbing Liu

Tianjin Central Hospital of Gynecology Obstetrics, Nankai University Affiliated Maternity Hospital

Qing Wang

Nankai University

Hanbo Li

Tianjin Central Hospital of Gynecology Obstetrics, Nankai University Affiliated Maternity Hospital

Yixin Liu

Tianjin Central Hospital of Gynecology Obstetrics, Nankai University Affiliated Maternity Hospital

Xiaoying Fu

Okayama University

Zhengmao Zhu

Nankai University

Ling Chen

Tianjin Central Hospital of Gynecology Obstetrics, Nankai University Affiliated Maternity Hospital

Masaharu Seno (✉ mseno@okayama-u.ac.jp)

Okayama University

Research Article

Keywords: CSCs model, PI3K-Akt pathway, EGFR pathway, Inhibitor

Posted Date: July 27th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-685612/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on January 10th, 2022.

See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04265-w>.

Abstract

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are capable of continuous proliferation, self-renewal and are proposed to play significant roles in oncogenesis, tumor growth, metastasis and cancer recurrence. We have established a model of CSCs that was originally developed from mouse induced pluripotent stem cells (miPSCs) by proposing miPSCs to the conditioned medium (CM) of cancer derived cells, which is a mimic of carcinoma microenvironment. Further research found that not only PI3K-Akt but also EGFR signaling pathway was activated during converting miPSCs into CSCs. In this study, we tried to observe both of PI3K γ inhibitor Eganelisib and EGFR inhibitor Gefitinib antitumor effects on the models of CSCs derived from miPSCs (miPS-CSC) *in vitro* and *in vivo*. As the results, targeting these two pathways exhibited significant inhibition of cell proliferation, self-renewal, migration and invasion abilities *in vitro*. Both Eganelisib and Gefitinib showed antitumor effects *in vivo* while Eganelisib displayed more significant therapeutic efficacy and less side effects than Gefitinib on all miPS-CSC models. Thus, these data suggest that the inhibitions of PI3K and EGFR, especially PI3K γ , might be a promising therapeutic strategy against CSCs defeating cancer in the near future

Introduction

CSCs have the multilineage differentiation potential and the ability of self-renewal. Since the CSCs can differentiate and generate heterogeneous cell populations to constitute the tumor, they are considered as the tumor-initiating cells¹. Increasing evidences reveal that CSCs in tumors are responsible for the resistance to chemotherapy and for the tumor relapse^{2,3}. Therefore, CSC targeting should be more feasible strategy to treat cancers.

Based on the hypothesis of cancer-inducing⁴, our lab established CSC models from miPSCs through epigenetic regulations affected by the conditioned medium (CM) prepared from various cancer derived cells without any genetic manipulations. The CSC model developed by the treatment with the CM of Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) cells for 4 weeks was named miPS-LLCcm^{5,6}. Then we produced pancreatic CSC model by the exposure to CM of human pancreatic carcinoma cell lines PK8 cells named miPS-PK8cm⁷. We also got breast CSC model by the exposure to CM of Luminal A type human breast cancer cell line T47D cells named miPS-T47Dcm⁸. The converted CSC models exhibited the self-renewal, differentiation potential of some specific phenotypes and potential to form malignant tumors with metastasis. Furthermore, CSC models sustained undifferentiated state expressing genes associated with the stemness such as *Nanog*, *Sox2*, *Oct4*, *Klf-4* and *c-Myc*⁵. Simultaneously, the CSC markers such as *CD24a*, *EPCAM*, and *CD133* were found significantly elevated in miPS-CSC models but not in miPSCs.

The epigenetic changes play a critical role in the development of CSCs as tumor initiation⁹, which were likely to be mimicked by the conversion of miPSCs into CSCs. Our group demonstrated that DNA hypomethylation was the main cause in the conversion of miPSCs into CSCs. Further bioinformatics analysis of KEGG pathways identified the genes upregulated in the category of class IB PI3K¹⁰. We also

showed that class IA PI3Ks as the responsible gene in the obtained CSC models ¹¹. These findings were inconsistent with the reports describing that PI3K genes were critical effectors of carcinogenesis in many cancer types ^{12,13}. Therefore, the CSC model developed from miPSCs are conceivable to evaluate the anti-CSC strategy targeting PI3K-Akt as an important pathway in CSCs.

To date, scientists have identified various PI3Ks and classified them into class I, II and III according to their cellular regulation, molecular structures and the specificities of substrate *in vivo* ^{14,15}. Class I PI3Ks are now the most extensively studied among three classes of PI3Ks and are further divided into class IA and class IB based on the differences of regulatory proteins and upstream signaling pathways. Class IA PI3Ks include three catalytic subunits, p110 α , p110 β and p110 δ , and they bind to the SH2-containing regulatory subunit p85 ¹⁵⁻¹⁷. PI3K γ is a single member of class IB PI3K and is primarily driven by the activation of Gai-coupled GPCRs. PI3K γ is involved in numerous intracellular signaling pathways, it has been considered as a promising drug target for the treatment of immune diseases and certain cancers recently. In contrast, class II and class III PI3Ks are less known ¹⁸.

Eganelisib is the first and only one PI3K γ inhibitor to enter the clinical trial NCT02637531 and is currently in Phase I clinical evaluation for mainly advanced solid tumors. Targeted inhibition of Eganelisib on PI3K γ can inhibit tumor growth and restore antitumor immune responses ¹⁹. But whether it is effective on CSCs is still uncertain. In this study, we intend to study the anti-tumor effect of Eganelisib on the stem cell level, in the hope of treating cancer more effectively, meanwhile breaking through the chemo-resistance and tumor relapse.

Gefitinib, which is one of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), is widely used for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR mutations ²⁰. Although the Gefitinib is the first-line agent for the treatment of lung cancer, the acquired resistance becomes the major obstacle after a period of treatment ²¹. CSCs were found enriched and the EGFR mutant cells acquired resistance during the treatment with EGFR inhibitors ²². However, the mechanism of acquiring resistance is complexed and still unclear. The significant inhibitory effect of Gefitinib on CSCs themselves has not yet been uncovered.

In the current study, we attempted to evaluate whether Eganelisib and/or Gefitinib exerted antitumor actions against CSC using our novel models *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Methods

Cell lines and cell culture. miPS cell (iPS-MEF-Ng-20D-17; Lot No. 012) were provided by the RIKEN Cell Bank, Japan. All cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. miPS-CSCs (miPS-LLCcm, miPS-T47Dcm and miPS-PK8cm) models were maintained in miPS cells media (15% FBS-Gibco, Ireland, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mM NEAA, 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 U/ml streptomycin, without LIF) on gelatin-coated dishes (0.1% gelatin solution at 37°C for 30 min). The

miPS cells under the control of the *Nanog* promoter expressed GFP were maintained in miPS cells media with LIF on feeder layers.

Cell proliferation and viability assays. miPS-CSCs were seeded with a density of 1×10^4 cells/well on 96-well plates coated with gelatin. The concentrations of Gefitinib (Selleck, ZD1839) and Eganelisib (Selleck, S8330) were formulated according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 24 h, test compounds were added at a concentration gradient of 3.125 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, 6.25 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, 12.5 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, 25 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ and 50 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. Cell viabilities at 48 h were determined as follows. After incubation, 3-(4,5-di-methylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, yellow tetrazole (MTT, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the wells with the final concentration of 0.6 mg/mL and the plate was incubated for 4 h. Formed formazan crystals were dissolved in 10% (w/v) SDS with 0.02 N HCl and incubated overnight. Finally, the absorbance of each well was measured at 570 nm using an MTP-800AFC microplate reader (Corona, Japan). Viable cells were evaluated by MTT assay as described above. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values were determined based on the survival curve obtained by MTT assay. The experiment was independently repeated three times.

Cell Apoptosis assay. *In vitro* cell apoptosis was analyzed by flow cytometry (Accuri™ C6 Plus flow cytometer, BD Biosciences) using a Annexin V-Phycoerythrin (PE) and 7-amino-actinomycin (7-AAD) Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD Biosciences, 559763) after three kinds of miPS-CSCs cells treated with inhibitors for 48 h, we analyzed proportions of apoptotic cells using FlowJo Software (Treestar Inc., San Carlos, CA), whereas *in vivo* apoptotic tumor cells were detected using a Colorimetric TUNEL Apoptosis Assay Kit (Beyotime, C1091).

Western blot analysis. The cells were collected and resuspended in cell lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 0.25% deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS. Lysates were electrophoresed using SDS-PAGE and blotted onto nitrocellulose (NC) membrane. Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk or 5% BSA solution for 2 h. Samples were probed with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies HRP conjugated donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (GE Healthcare NA934V) or goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (ZB2305) were diluted at 1:5000. Blots were photographed by the Image Quant LAS 4000 luminescent image analyzer (General Electric, Fairfield, CT). All Western blots were quantified using the Image J program (NIH, USA) ²³.

Cell invasion and migration assay. According to the manufacturer's instructions for invasion/migration assays, 4×10^4 cells were suspended in the upper chambers of 24-well Transwell plates with/without pre-coated Matrigel (Corning, New York, NY) respectively. 200 μl serum-free medium were plated into the top chamber. The lower chambers were filled with 600 μl serum-containing (10%) medium in each well. Migrated and invaded cells (after incubation for 24 h and 48 h, respectively) on the bottom side of the chamber membrane were fixed and stained with crystal violet and counted under a microscope.

Soft agar colony formation assay. The miPS-LLCcm, miPS-T47Dcm, miPS-PK8cm cell were cultured in RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS in 6-well plates within a 0.35% agar layer, and 2×10^3 cells were seeded to the middle layer of the soft agar (Lonza, Rockland, USA)²³. The plates were incubated for 14 d, after which the cultures were inspected and photographed. Assays were conducted in triplicate in a single experiment, and then as three independent experiments.

In vivo study. All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Nankai University. All the study was carried out in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines.

Female 3 to 4-week-old BALB/c nu/nu athymic mice (Vitalriver Beijing, China). BALB/c nude mice were transplanted subcutaneously with 1×10^6 miPS-LLCcm cells. When the tumor volume reached approximately 100 mm³, 0.1% Tween 80, Gefitinib (200 mg/kg, QOD), Eganelisib (5 mg/kg, QOD), or Gefitinib and Eganelisib (n = 7 mice per group) were administrated and observed for 12 d. The mice were euthanized by isoflurane-euthanasia method (Laboratory Animal Anaesthesia (3rd Ed.) Paul A. Flecknell 2009). 5% of isoflurane was exposed to mice and the exposure was continued until one minute after their breathing stopped. Finally, euthanasia was confirmed by cervical dislocation. The tumor tissues and organs (heart, spleen, lung, liver and kidney) were dissected and weighed. Then the tumors were fixed with formalin and embedded in paraffin. The paraffin blocks were sectioned into 5 µm-thick slices and stained with 0.5% Hematoxylin (Sigma Aldrich) and Eosin Y (Sigma Aldrich).

IHC in xenograft tumors. Tissue sections of xenograft tumor (4 µm thick) were immunostained with anti-Ki-67 (1:500) antibodies overnight at 4°C. The peroxidase conjugated streptavidin complex method was performed, followed by the 3, 3' diaminobenzidine (DAB) procedure according to the manufacturer's protocols (Dako, Agilent pathology solutions)²³.

Statistical analysis. All in vitro experiments were repeated at least three times unless stated otherwise. All values are given as mean ± SD of not less than three measurements (unless otherwise stated). Statistical were drawn using Tukey's multiple comparisons test and performed using SPSS 21. All statistical tests were two-sided, and differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$ unless stated otherwise.

Results

Several signaling pathways were activated in miPS-CSCs mode. Previously, our group developed miPS-LLCcm cells⁵, miPS-T47Dcm⁸ and miPS-PK8cm cells⁷ as CSCs from miPSCs exposed to the CM of LLC, T47D cells and PK8 cells respectively (Fig. 1). These cells were evaluated for their potential of self-renewal and differentiation together with the stemness markers and confirmed as the CSC models. Allografts of these converted cells developed malignant tumors.

These three CSC models exhibited two different subpopulations of GFP-expressing colonies surrounded by the progenies of myofibroblast-like cells (Fig. 2a). These subpopulations were considered to be to be

responsible for the heterogeneity in tumors. In our previous studies PI3 kinases genes were found overexpressed constitutively activating the PI3K-Akt-mTOR signaling pathway in the CSC models ^{10,12}.

In the current study, we further assessed changes in the signaling pathways and found that the expression of EGFR, total STAT3, p-STAT3 (on Y705 and S727 site), which were important factors in EGFR signaling pathway ^{24,25}, were all obviously up-regulated in CSC.

PI3K-Akt-mTOR and EGFR Signaling pathways were inhibited by inhibitors in miPS-CSC model. We treated all three types of miPS-CSC models by inhibitors *in vitro*. After the treatment with Gefitinib, we found that the expression of EGFR was obviously down-regulated in all three types of miPS-CSC models, especially in miPS-LLCcm model. In addition, p-STAT3 (Y705 and S727 site) were slightly inhibited in three kinds of miPS-CSCs model. The total STAT3 was also slightly inhibited in miPS-LLCcm model, but there was no significant change in miPS-T47Dcm and miPS-PK8cm (Fig. 2b). We also observed that the Gefitinib not only significantly inhibited the expression of EGFR in all three types of CSC models, but also activated the phosphorylation of AKT at T308 in PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in miPS-T47Dcm and miPS-PK8cm cells while it was suppressed in miPS-LLCcm cells. The total AKT was no significant changes in all three kinds of miPS-CSC models. After treatment with Eganelisib, we found that the expression of PI3K γ , AKT and GSK3 β were obviously down-regulated together with p-AKT (on S308 and S473 site), p-GSK3 β (S9) in all three types of miPS-CSC models. The total AKT was slightly inhibited and there were no significant changes in PTEN and GSK3 β in all three kinds of miPS-CSC models (Fig. 2c).

Growth inhibition of miPS-CSC model by Gefitinib and Eganelisib. miPS-CSC models were assessed for the sensitivity to Gefitinib and Eganelisib. As a result, these compounds suppressed the proliferation ability and the number of the surviving cells were decreased gradually with the increasing concentration gradient of (Fig. 3a). The IC₅₀ of Eganelisib was 14.6 μ M in miPS-LLCcm, 18.1 μ M in miPS-PK8cm, 16.38 μ M in miPS-T47Dcm. IC₅₀ of Gefitinib was 11.0 μ M in miPS-LLCcm, 6.5 μ M in miPS-PK8cm, 34.7 μ M in miPS-T47Dcm (Supplementary Table S1 online). The IC₅₀ of Gefitinib to miPS-T47Dcm was about 6-fold higher than the miPS-PK8cm, 3-fold higher than the miPS-LLCcm. These results indicated Gefitinib was more effective on miPS-PK8cm cells and miPS-LLCcm cells than on miPS-T47Dcm cells whereas miPS-PK8cm cells were the most sensitive to Gefitinib. The sensitivity of all types of miPS-CSC models to Eganelisib were quite similar with that to Gefitinib. We also compared the morphological change of miPS-CSC models with or without inhibitors. For example, we found miPS-LLCcm cells turned round, lusterless and fluorescence also disappeared according to the increasing concentration of inhibitors (Supplementary Fig. S1 online). In our previous experiments, GFP expression was controlled under *Nanog* promoter to stably express only when the cells were undifferentiated and to be silenced in differentiated or dead cells ²⁶. These results indicated that Gefitinib and Eganelisib inhibited the proliferation of miPS-CSC models in a dose dependent manner.

Self-renewal of miPS-CSC models was weakened by Gefitinib and Eganelisib. Since the inhibitors was found to reduce the proliferation ability, the effects of Gefitinib and Eganelisib on the potential of self-renewal and/or tumorigenesis of miPS-CSC models were further assessed. miPS-CSC models treated

with the inhibitors exhibited significant reduction of the sphere numbers with a diameter greater than 75 μm when compared with the no inhibitor group (Fig. 3b). As the results, Gefitinib and Eganelisib could significantly inhibited the self-renewal potential of miPS-CSCs model.

Invasion and migration capacities of miPS-CSC models were weakened by Gefitinib and Eganelisib. In the case of migration, Eganelisib showed higher suppression effect compared to Gefitinib. Cell invasion through the matrigel basement membrane in the cell invasion assay and cell migration directly through the membrane in the cell migration assay were assessed in transwell plate. Cells that invaded to the lower surface of the membrane in these assays were fixed and stained (Fig. 3c). As a result, Gefitinib and Eganelisib significantly decreased the migration and invasion capacity in miPS-CSC models.

Apoptosis levels of miPS-CSC models were significantly increased by Gefitinib and Eganelisib. The proportions of apoptotic cells were estimated by flow cytometer by the treatment of three kinds of miPS-CSC models with the inhibitors at respective IC_{50}s . Both of Gefitinib and Eganelisib exhibited the significant increases of apoptotic cells in miPS-LLCcm cells by 43% with Gefitinib and by 20% with Eganelisib, in miPS-T47Dcm cells by 22% with Gefitinib and by 15% with Eganelisib, in miPS-PK8cm cells by 14% with Gefitinib and 8% with Eganelisib while the cells without treatment exhibited 8, 1 and 8% in miPS-LLCcm, miPS-PK8cm and miPS-T47Dcm cells respectively (Fig. 3d).

Gefitinib and Eganelisib inhibited the tumorigenicity in vivo. Since Eganelisib and Gefitinib were found the most effective on miPS-LLCcm cells among the three CSC models, we evaluated the antitumor effects of Eganelisib and Gefitinib on miPS-LLCcm cells in vivo. Injecting miPS-LLCcm cells, tumor xenografts in s.c. were established with athymic nude mice. The mice were randomized to receive Eganelisib and/or Gefitinib when tumors reached an approximate volume of 100 mm^3 . The growth of tumor xenografts were not only suppressed by Eganelisib or Gefitinib but by the combination of both (Fig. 4a). As the result, both the volume and the weight of tumors were significantly decreased in three groups of treatments with $P < 0.001$ (Fig. 4b, c). All the tumors 12 days after the treatments with the inhibitors exhibited extensive necrosis (Fig. 4d), decreased proliferation, which was confirmed by immunostaining with ki-67 (Fig. 4e) and increased apoptosis, which was assessed by TUNEL assay (Fig. 4f). The apoptosis induced by either Gefitinib or Eganelisib appeared remarkable indicating the therapeutic effects much better than that by the combination.

As for the side-effects, the mice in the groups treated with Eganelisib showed no obvious weight loss in the major organs when compared to those without treatment (Fig. 5a). No obvious pathological change in these organs treated with Eganelisib as compared to untreated groups (Fig. 5b). On the other hand, treatment with Gefitinib and the combination of Eganelisib with Gefitinib exhibited weight of major organs relatively lower than that of untreated groups as well as hyperemia and hemorrhage were observed in the lung, liver and kidney. The periglomerular space widened was further found in Gefitinib treatment group. Collectively, Eganelisib had less side effects than Gefitinib and Eganelisib combined with Gefitinib.

Discussion

CSCs are considered as the tumor-initiating cells not only in charge of continuous and uncontrollable growth of malignant tumors but also playing important roles in tumor metastasis, recurrence and acquisition of chemo- and radiation-resistance. Therefore, targeting the CSCs should be more effective strategy to treat the cancer than targeting cancer cells, which are generally heterogeneous and most of all are the progenies of CSCs. Until now the definite origin of CSCs is still obscure although CSCs have been hypothesized to be developed from several origins such as inflammatory microenvironment, genomic instability, cell fusion and lateral gene transfer. Recent studies have revealed that the cancer-inducing microenvironment will be the most important key to establish the logic of CSCs developing from normal stem cells⁴. According to this idea, our group had successfully obtained several CSC models from miPS with the CM prepared from various cancer cell lines. The miPS-CSC models exhibited the basic characteristics of CSCs forming spheroids in suspension culture, differentiating into heterogeneous phenotypes of cells, generating and constituting malignant tumors, and so on. The CSC models expressed genes associated with the stemness such as *Nanog*, *Sox2*, *Oct4*, and *c-Myc*, as well as those of molecular markers of CSC such as *CD44*, *CD24a*, *EPCAM* and *CD133*^{4,5,7,8}. With these CSC models, we tried to find several effective anti-CSC drugs.

Generally, the activation of EGFR is known to lead to the phosphorylation of PI3K and the subsequent generation of phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3), which in turn triggers the AKT. The activated AKT can promote cell growth and inhibit apoptosis²⁷⁻³¹. There is a particularly intimate relationship between the PI3K-AKT and EGFR pathways, where inhibitors of one pathway will often activate the other^{32,33}. In the current study, we chose Eganelisib and Gefitinib as the inhibitors of PI3K and EGFR, respectively, to evaluate their therapeutic effects and crosstalk on our CSC models.

The CSC models obviously exhibited different sensitivity to Gefitinib with different IC₅₀s, which was approximately 6-fold higher in miPS-T47Dcm cells than in miPS-PK8cm cells, and 3-fold higher than in miPS-LLCcm cells. Accordingly, Gefitinib could inhibit the growth of miPS-PK8cm cells in the most efficient manner among the three models.. This result was inconsistent with that by Yang's group³⁴. They assessed the effect of Gefitinib on CSCs and non-CSCs, which were separated by CD133 antibody from NSCLC cell line PC9 cells expressing the EGFR exon 19 deletion mutation and sensitive to Gefitinib. And PC9-CSCs were obviously resistant to Gefitinib. Simultaneously, Gefitinib was found to inhibit the entire EGFR/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in the treatment of PC9-non-CSCs. In their experiments Gefitinib failed to suppress the activation of PI3K/Akt pathway in the PC9-CSCs while the treatment significantly inhibited the phosphorylation of EGFR. In contrast, we found that Gefitinib not only significantly inhibited EGFR signaling pathway in all three CSC models, but also activated phosphorylation of AKT at T308 on PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in miPS-T47Dcm cells while the phosphorylation of AKT at T308 was suppressed in miPS-LLCcm cells and no obvious change in miPS-PK8cm cells. These differences may explain diversity between the CSC models.

Taking the PC9-CSCs as CSCs selected by a biological marker CD133 from a NSCLC cell line into consideration, there appeared some difficulties to assess all the different effects in a single selected CSCs as demonstrated by Yang ³⁴. Feedback activation PI3K/AKT by the repression of EGFR signaling induced in miPS-T47Dcm cells showed resistance to Gefitinib. Therefore, our models are conceivable and have advantage to evaluate the effects of drugs on CSCs with inconsistent experimental results.

Activation of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways was studied in an established paclitaxel (PTX)-resistant gastric cancer (GC) cell subline ³⁵. The dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor BEZ235 indicated the therapeutic efficacy in PTX-resistant GC cells both in vitro and in vivo. Activated PI3K/Akt pathways have also been documented in other PTX-resistant cancers in prostate and breast ^{36,37}. In our study, Eganelisib, a small molecular PI3K γ inhibitor, displayed significant therapeutic efficiency in all models of CSCs including miPS-T47Dcm cells, which exhibited resistance to Gefitinib. This finding could be a promising instruction to overcome induced chemoresistance in cancer treatment because Eganelisib eradicated the resistance to Gefitinib while further investigation is required.

Kaneda et al ³⁸ and other researchers ³⁹ have shown that highly expressed PI3K γ promotes the migration of tumor cells and reduces the production of inflammatory mediators in myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). Eganelisib has shown not only selective inhibition of PI3K γ *in vivo* ⁴⁰, but also orally administrative with low clearance and good biosafety in animal studies. Since severe side effects have not been reported the clinical trial phase I, Eganelisib was further pushed forward to the phase II (NCT03795610) ⁴¹. These results were consistent with those in our experiments.

From another perspective, selective inhibition of PI3K γ reshaped tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) by switching macrophages from the immuno-suppressive M2-like phenotype to the pro-inflammatory M1-like state, restoring sensitivity to immune check-point blocking (ICB) and promoting tumor regression via synergistic activity with cytotoxic T cells. Therefore, Eganelisib have great potential in favor of immunotherapy on cancer.

Conclusions

Since the CSC models appeared to acquire significance in the signaling pathways as the signature of tumor initiation, they sound feasible to be targeted in cancer treatment. In this paper, a novel approach to target CSCs was proposed effectively reducing self-renewal and tumorigenicity. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway would be a featured candidate to be effectively targeted to defeat cancer ⁹. Especially PI3K γ will be the main but not the only factor that scientists take into account designing PI3K-related inhibitors ⁴². From the overall point of view considering the interaction of PI3K γ with other related targets and signaling pathways such as EGFR comprehensively, providing precise therapeutic strategies is our ultimate goal. Our work sheds a light upon the improvement of tumor chemotherapy providing evidences for further investigation on the tumors with acquired resistance. The development of agents, which can target the molecules potentially reducing drug-resistance and tumor recurrence rate, is very important in order to save patients.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin, China(No. 16JCYBJC27000) , National Natural Science Foundation of China (81402320) and the Open Fund of Tianjin Central Hospital of Gynecology Obstetrics / Tianjin Key Laboratory of human development and reproductive regulation (2019XH16,2019XH18).

Author contributions

M.S. directed the whole study. L.C. and Y.X. designed the experiments. Y.X., SMA., J.D.,Q.W. and H.L conducted the experiments. B.L. analyzed the data. Z.Z. contributed supplying reagents and materials and supported analysis.Y.L. S.M.A and X.F. participated in the discussion. All authors were involved in the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Data Availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its Supplementary File.

References

1. Clarke, M.F., Fuller, M. Stem cells and cancer: two faces of eve.J. Cell. **124**,1111–1115(2006)
2. Chen, Y. et al.IL-6 signaling promotes DNA repair and prevents apoptosis in CD133+ stem-like cells of lung cancer after radiation.J. Radiat Oncol. **10**,227(2015).
3. Trump, A.&Wiestler, O.D.Mechanisms of Disease: cancer stem cells–targeting the evil twin.J.Nat Clin Pract Oncol. **5**,337–347(2008).
4. Afify, S.M.&Seno, M.Conversion of Stem Cells to Cancer Stem Cells: Undercurrent of Cancer Initiation.J.Cancers(Basel). **11**,345(2019).
5. Chen, L. et al. A model of cancer stem cells derived from mouse induced pluripotent stem cells. PLoS ONE.**7**, e33544(2012).
6. Yan, T.et al.Characterization of cancer stem-like cells derived from mouse induced pluripotent stem cells transformed by tumor-derived extracellular vesicles. Journal of Cancer. **5**, 572–584(2014).
7. Calle, A.S. et al. A new PDAC mouse model originated from iPSCs-converted pancreatic cancer stem cells (CSCcm).Am.J.Cancer Res. **6**, 2799–2815(2016).
8. Nair, N.et al. A cancer stem cell model as the point of origin of cancer-associated fibroblasts in tumor microenvironment.Sci Rep.**7**,6838(2017).

9. Afify, S.M., Oo, A.K.K., Hassan, G., Seno, A.&Seno, M. How can we turn the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway down? Insights into inhibition and treatment of cancer. *J.Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* **26**,1-15(2021).
10. Oo, A.K.K., et al. Up-Regulation of PI 3-Kinases and the Activation of PI3K-Akt Signaling Pathway in Cancer Stem-Like Cells Through DNA Hypomethylation Mediated by the Cancer Microenvironment. *Transl Oncol.* **11**,653-663(2018).
11. Li, X.et al. Efficacy of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors for the treatment of advanced solid cancers: A literature-based meta-analysis of 46 randomised control trials. *PLoS ONE.* **13**, e0192464(2018).
12. Du,J.et al. Signaling Inhibitors Accelerate the Conversion of mouse iPS Cells into Cancer Stem Cells in the Tumor Microenvironment. *J.Scientific reports.* **10**,9955(2020).
13. Thorpe, L.M., Yuzugullu, H.&Zhao, J.J. PI3K in cancer: divergent roles of isoforms, modes of activation and therapeutic targeting. *Nature reviews. J.Cancer.* **15**,7–24 (2015).
14. Cantley, L.C. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. *J. Science.* **296**,1655–1657(2002).
15. Foster, F.M., Traer, C.J., Abraham, S.M.& Fry, M.J. The phosphoinositide (PI) 3-kinase family. *J. Cell. Sci.* **116**,3037–3040(2003).
16. Vanhaesebroeck, B. Synthesis and function of 3-phosphorylated inositol lipids. *J.Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **70**,535–602(2001).
17. Stephens, L.R.et al. The G beta gamma sensitivity of a PI3K is dependent upon a tightly associated adaptor, p101. *J.Cell.* **89**,105–114(1997).
18. Qiu, X.Q.et al. Recent discovery of phosphoinositide 3-kinase γ inhibitors for the treatment of immune diseases and cancers. *J.Future medicinal chemistry.* **11**,2151-2169(2019).
19. Kutok, J., Ali, J., Brophy, E., Castro, A.&Palombella, V. The potent and selective phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K)- γ inhibitor, IPI-549, inhibits tumor growth in murine syngeneic solid tumor models through alterations in the immune suppressive microenvironment. *J.Cancer Immunology Research*, **4(1 Supplement)**,B029-B029(2015).
20. Oxnard, G.R.&Miller, V.A. Use of erlotinib or gefitinib as initial therapy in advanced NSCLC. *J. Oncology.* **24**,392–399(2010).
21. Nguyen, K.S., Kobayashi, S.&Costa, D.B. Acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancers dependent on the epidermal growth factor receptor pathway. *J.Clin Lung Cancer.* **10**,281–289(2009).
22. Corominas-Faja, B. et al. Stem cell-like ALDH(bright) cellular states in EGFR-mutant non-small cell lung cancer: a novel mechanism of acquired resistance to erlotinib targetable with the natural polyphenol silibinin. *J. Cell Cycle.* **12**,3390-3404(2013).
23. Gao, A., et al. LEM4 confers tamoxifen resistance to breast cancer cells by activating cyclin D-CDK4/6-Rb and ER α *J.Nature Communications.* **9**,4180(2018).
24. Chung, S.S., Giehl, N., Wu, Y.&Vadgama, J.V. STAT3 activation in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancer stem cell traits. *J.International Journal of*

- Oncology.**44**,403-411(2014).
25. Gough, D.J., Koetz, L.&Levy, D.E. The MEK-ERK pathway is necessary for serine phosphorylation of mitochondrial STAT3 and Ras-mediated transformation.J.PLoS One. **8**,e83395(2013).
 26. Okita, K., Ichisaka, T.&Yamanaka S.Generation of germline-competent induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 2007, 448: 313–317.
 27. Kurosu, H.et al. Heterodimeric phosphoinositide 3-kinase consisting of p85 and p110beta is synergistically activated by the betagamma subunits of G proteins and phosphotyrosyl peptide.J. Biol. Chem. **272**,24252–24256(1997).
 28. Roche, S., Downward, J., Raynal, P.&Courtneidge, S.A. A function for phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase β (p85 α -p110 β) in fibroblasts during mitogenesis: requirement for insulin- and lysophosphatidic acid-mediated signal transduction.J.Mol. Cell. Biol. **18**,7119–7129(1998).
 29. Vanhaesebroeck, B.&Waterfield, M.D. Signaling by distinct classes of phosphoinositide 3-kinases.J.Exp. Cell Res. **253**,239–254(1999).
 30. Cantley, L.C. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. J.Science. **296**,1655–1657(2002).
 31. Zito, C.R.et al. Multi-level targeting of the phosphatidylinositol-3- kinase pathway in non-small cell lung cancer cells. J.PLoS ONE. **7**, e31331(2012).
 32. Mendoza, M.C., Er, E.E.& Blenis, J. The Ras-ERK and PI3K-mTOR pathways: cross-talk and compensation. J.Trends Biochem. Sci. **36**,320–328(2011).
 33. Manning, B.D.&Toker, A. AKT/PKB Signaling: Navigating the Network.J.Cell. **169**,381-405(2017).
 34. Jiang, J.J., Feng, X.N., Zhou, W.J., Wu,Y.&Yang, Y.M.MiR-128 reverses the gefitinib resistance of the lung cancer stem cells by inhibiting the c-met/PI3K/AKT pathway.J.Oncotarget. **7**,73188-73199(2016).
 35. Chen, D. et al. Dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor BEZ235 as a promising therapeutic strategy against paclitaxel-resistant gastric cancer via targeting PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. J.Cell Death and Disease. **9**,123(2018).
 36. Liu, Z., Zhu, G., Getzenberg, R.H. &Veltri, R.W. The upregulation of PI3K/Akt and MAP kinase pathways is associated with resistance of microtubule- targeting drugs in prostate cancer. J. Cell. Biochem.**116**,1341–1349(2015).
 37. Zhang, W. et al. SET protein overexpression contributes to paclitaxel resistance in MCF-7/S cells through PI3K/Akt pathway. J. Drug. Target. **25**, 255–263(2017).
 38. Kaneda, M.M.et al. PI3Ky is a molecular switch that controls immune suppression.J.Nature. **539**,437-442(2016).
 39. Li, Z. et al. Roles of PLC-beta2 and -beta3 and PI3Kgamma in chemoattractant-mediated signal transduction.J.Science (New York, N.Y.).**287**,1046-1049 (2000).
 40. Henau, O.D.et al.Overcoming resistance to checkpoint blockade therapy by targeting PI3Ky in myeloid cells.J.Nature. **539**,443-447(2016).

41. Yu, M., Duan, X., Cai, Y., Zhang, F&Shuai, X. Multifunctional Nanoregulator Reshapes Immune Microenvironment and Enhances Immune Memory for Tumor Immunotherapy.J.Advanced science. **6**,1900037(2019).
42. Qiu, X., Tian, Y.,Liang, Z.,Sun, Y.&Bian, J.Recent discovery of phosphoinositide 3-kinase γ inhibitors for the treatment of immune diseases and cancers.J.Future medicinal chemistry. **11**,2151-2169(2019).

Figures

Figure 1

Schematic overview of the preparation of CSCs subjected to this study.

Figure 2

The expression of EGFR and PI3K/AKT pathway related proteins in CSCs derived from iPSCs. (a) Representative images for adherent culture of miPS-T47Dcm cells, miPS-LLCcm cells and miPS-PK8cm cells. Scale bars represent 100 μ m. (b) Western blot analysis of EGFR and partial PI3K/Akt signaling pathway-related protein expressions in the three kinds of miPS-CSC models after treated with Gefitinib. (c) Western blot analysis of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway-related protein expressions in the three kinds of miPS-CSC models after treated with Eganelisib.

Figure 3

The effects of inhibitors on proliferation, apoptosis, stemness, invasion and metastasis of miPS-CSC models in vitro. (a) The proliferation of miPS-CSC models after treated with Eganelisib and Gefitinib was measured by MTT assay. (b) The number of spheres with a diameter greater than 75 mm after miPS-CSC models treated with Eganelisib and Gefitinib. The data were presented as the number of defined mammospheres per 2,000 seeded cells. Representative bright field images for spheres were shown for each group, the cell was miPS-LLCcm. Scale bar: 100 μ m. (c) miPS-CSC models were subjected to migration and invasion assays, 100X. Mean $\pm$ s.d. for three independent replicates. (d) The apoptosis was analyzed by flow cytometry assay after treated with Eganelisib and Gefitinib for 48 h. * P <0.05, *** P <0.001, Tukey's multiple comparisons test for b and c.

Figure 4

Antitumor effect of inhibitors on miPS-CSC xenografts. (a) Isolated xenograft tumors from different groups of mice after treated with inhibitors. BALB/c nude mice were challenged subcutaneously with 1×10^6 mips-LLCcm cells for 9 days, followed by administration 0.1% Tween 80, Gefitinib (200 mg/kg, QOD), Eganelisib (5 mg/kg, QOD), or combination of Gefitinib plus Eganelisib (7 mice per group) for another 12 days. Ruler scale is in cm. (b) Measurement of tumor volume and (c) weight from different groups of

mice after treated with inhibitors. (d) Representative H&E staining of developed tumors treated with or without inhibitors. (e) Immunostaining against Ki-67 in tumors from mice treated with or without inhibitors. (f) TUNEL assay of tumor from mice treated with or without inhibitors. Original magnification for H&E, Ki-67 and TUNEL staining is 100x. (B, C) Data were statistically analyzed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test and expressed as mean $\pm$ s.d., where ***P < 0.001.

Figure 5

The changes of weight and morphological in important organs from different groups of mice after treated with inhibitors. (a) The weight of major organs from mice receiving different treatments of inhibitors. (b) Representative H&E picture about morphological changes in the lung, liver and kidney from mice receiving different treatments of inhibitors. Original magnification for H&E is 100X.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplement.pdf](#)