Assessment of the Environmental Significance of Heavy Metals Pollution in Surficial Sediments of South Brittany Waters, France: An Ecological Risk Assessment Approach

MENG CHUAN ONG (✉ ong@umt.edu.my)

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0095-6849>

Pan Hui-Juan

National Taiwan Ocean University

Noor Azhar Mohamed Shazili

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

David Menier

Université de Bretagne-Sud: Universite de Bretagne-Sud

Virginie Dupont

Université de Bretagne-Sud: Universite de Bretagne-Sud

Sidonie Révillon

UMR6538: Laboratoire Geosciences Ocean

Alexandra Coynel

UMR5805: Environnements et Paleoenvironnements Oceaniques et Continentaux

Research article

Keywords: Heavy metals, Bay of Quiberon, Gulf of Morbihan, sediment, sediment quality guidelines

Posted Date: September 3rd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-68874/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Distribution patterns of selected heavy metals content in sediments from the Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan were studied to understand the current heavy metals contamination due to urbanization and mariculture activities in the coastal area. Therefore, a survey was conducted and 196 sediments collected were characterized for heavy metals content using Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (ICP–MS) after mix acid digestion process. The distribution maps of the concentrations of the heavy metals studied were produced as an isopleth map based on data interpolation by the ArcGIS software application. The association with the adverse effects on aquatic organisms was determined by the classification of the sediment according to the sediment quality guidelines. Therefore, two approaches were employed namely; direct comparison with Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) by USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) and comparison with other numerical SQGs, threshold effect level/probable effect level, and effect range low /effect range medium. In order to estimate the effect of multiple contaminations of heavy metals, the mean–ERM–quotient was calculated at each sampling point.

Introduction

Human pressures on the ocean are thought to be rising globally in diverse and profound ways (Butchart et al., 2010; Halpern et al., 2008; Halpern et al., 2019). The demand for marine space and resources is expanding as the population grows and migrates toward coastal areas, resulting in new challenges and risks for marine ecosystems (El Zrelli et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2018; Sany et al., 2013). Nowadays, estuary and coastal environments are contaminated through activities such as tourism, and areas such as harbors and industrial sites. These release elevated concentrations of heavy metals, nutrients, organic pollutants, and radionuclides into the marine environment. (Clark et al., 2000; Kennish, 2002). The pollution of coastal zones near metropolitan areas, caused by this anthropogenic waste is due to the large human population and the enormous amount of sewage discharged into coastal waters (Sadiq, 2002; Bay et al., 2003). The addition of waste products into rivers and estuaries, particularly those in industrial and populated centres, has led to a significant increase in contamination caused by heavy metals (Jayaprakash et al., 2005).

Heavy metals, such as copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb), have a great affinity for sediment in the aquatic environment. These pollutants are persistent contaminants that bioaccumulate throughout the food chain with potential toxicity for aquatic organisms and humans (Gupta, 2013; Goretti et al., 2016; Sobihah et al., 2018; Tengku Nur Alia et al., 2020). It is difficult to remove the toxic heavy metals once they enter the body of organisms (Storelli et al., 2011; Benali et al., 2017; Gu et al., 2018). Furthermore, heavy metals are enriched at consecutive trophic levels of food chains resulting biomagnification of these chemicals in the food web (Storelli et al., 2005; Gu et al., 2017; Rodríguez-Jorquera et al., 2017; Bonsignore et al., 2018). Top predators, such as marine mammals and humans, may be at a greater risk from heavy metals due to their top position in trophic chains, resulting in adverse health effects (Ali and Khan, 2019; Storelli et al., 2005; Gu et al., 2017; Rodríguez-Jorquera et al., 2017;

Bonsignore et al., 2018). For example, lead can penetrate the body and cell membranes easily, and is associated with nervous system disorders and lead encephalopathy (Caito et al., 2017; Järup, 2003). Long-term exposure or intake of food with a high concentration of Cd may cause itai-itai disease and kidney damage (Aoshima, 2016; Bernhoft, 2013; Järup, 2003). It occurred in numerous residents of the Jinzu River basin in Toyama Prefecture, Japan, a region extremely polluted with Cd that originated from a zinc mine located upstream (Baba et al., 2013; Ogawa et al., 2004). Moreover, green-colored oysters as a result of Cu contamination have been found in several estuaries and harbors around the world, including USA (Roosenburg, 1969), Spain (Funes et al., 2006), China (Ji et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2011), and Taiwan (Fang and Dai, 2017; Lee et al., 1996). Chronic Cu toxicity can cause liver disease and critical neurological damage (Ali and Khan, 2019; Gao et al., 2013). Zn has been considered to be relatively nontoxic; however, taking high levels of Zn-contaminated food can damage the pancreas and disturb protein metabolism, causing health problems, such as stomach cramps, bloody urine, liver failure, nausea, and anemia (Duruibe et al., 2007; Girasole et al., 2007; Shimada et al., 2000). As a consequence, a systematic assessment of heavy metal pollutants is critical, especially for the coastal area.

because they bioaccumulate in which the accumulate in organisms and are higher than in the environment (Gupta, 2013; Goretti et al., 2016; Sobihah et al., 2018; Tengku Nur Alia et al., 2020).

Coastal areas are sensitive to both climate changes and human impacts, which make these areas ideal for studying environmental changes and the sequence of coastal sediments, and have become attractive targets for documenting paleoenvironmental changes. The Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan on the south coast of Brittany Region, France, are an excellent example of the coastal sea with high terrestrial influence, a semi-enclosed bay, surrounded by densely populated areas and mariculture activities. Such an investigation is required if the environmental impacts of the region are to be understood quantitatively and qualitatively.

Accumulation of heavy metals in surface sediments from industrial effluents and urban discharged into the river without proper cleaning is easily identified by heavy metals spatial variations in sediments (Dauvalter and Rognerud, 2001). In this exploration, surficial spatial distributions of selected heavy metals; Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb (identified as priority contaminants in aquatic systems by the European Community (EC) Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC; Tessier et al., 2011) were characterized in the surficial sediments which reflects the recent input to evaluate the contamination level resulting from anthropogenic inputs. This EC work recommends the monitoring of fluvial loads of heavy metals as a tool for sustainable management in the aquatic ecosystem (Masson et al., 2006).

Aim of Research

Information on contamination background is required for managers and policymakers to make strategic regulations, guidelines, and monitor progress towards management objectives. In order to establish such a knowledge, the present study investigates the spatial distribution of four heavy metals (Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn) at 196 sampling points in the Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan surficial sediments. The adverse

effects on aquatic organisms were determined by direct comparison with the Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) and the sediment toxicity and ecological risk indices, namely the effect low range (ERL) / effect range median (ERM), and the threshold effect level (TEL) / probable effect level (PEL) values. In order to estimate the effect of multiple contaminations of heavy metals in the study area, the mean-ERM-quotient was also calculated at each sampling point.

Methods/experimental

Study Area

The Bay of Quiberon is a large open-sea area on the south coast of Brittany, consisting of two additional areas with an equivalent surface area of approximately 120 km² (Fig. 1). The bay is roughly triangular, almost completely surrounded by land on the north and east sides; open to the south with the Gulf of Morbihan to the north–east, and the narrow peninsula of Quiberon providing protection from the Atlantic Ocean to the west. The Belle, Houat, and Hoëdic islands add to the protection of the bay (Proust et al., 2001; Chaumillon et al., 2008).

The tide is semi-diurnal with a mean spring tidal range of 4.5 m. the tidal were stronger in the vicinity of the coast and especially in the passage between the Quiberon peninsula and neighboring Houat and Hoëdic islands, and are implied in shallower water depths (Pinot, 1974; Vanney, 1977). Due to this water physical characteristic, the outer bay were much influence by the strong water current and tidal wave compared to the inner bay.

The Gulf of Morbihan (“Mor–bihan” = “little sea” in Breton) is a natural harbor located in the South Brittany, northwest France (Fig. 1). It is a close sea body (11 500 ha) and a shoreline of 250 km (Menier et al., 2011). It is a shallow basin with a maximum depth of 30 m and is connected to the sea by a 900 m wide and 30 m deep tidal inlet at Port Novalo (Rossi et al., 2011). Institut Géographique National recorded that there are 37 islands located in the gulf where the two largest islands are Moine and Arz Islands. The gulf is sheltered from the Atlantic Ocean (Biscay bay) by the peninsula of Quiberon, Houat, Hoëdic, and Belle Islands.

Across the gulf entrance, strong tidal currents affect the basin with water speeds that can reach 2.2 m/s during ebb tides and 1.8 m/s during flood tide. This gulf has a semidiurnal tidal regime with freshwater input from Auray, La Marle and Noyal rivers. The further from the entrance, the lower tidal range; 3 m at the eastern part and 5 m at the gulf entrance. Hence, tides are hypersynchronous, a characteristic feature of tidal dominated environments (Dalrymple and Choi, 2006).

Many areas along the coast are used by the public as recreation areas. A number of shipping ports for recreational purposes and fishing activities are located in this region. In addition, the surrounding coast is successfully used for commercial shellfish (oyster and mussel) mariculture activities with a significant market around the region.

Sample Collection

This oceanographic fieldwork was carried out by the SEPIOLA research vessel of the University of Rennes1 at the Gulf of Morbihan and vessel of the Regional Committee of Conchyliculture (CRC), South Brittany at the Bay of Quiberon. A total of 196 surficial sediments were collected randomly in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan with Orange Peel Grab sampler. In addition, in order to prevent metals contamination from the wall of the grab, the outermost layer of the sediment samples were removed and only the inner part was kept for further analysis. After collected, the samples were stored in plastic containers and kept at low temperatures until analysis was carried out.

Laboratory Analysis

Sediment samples were digested and analyses for total heavy metals following published methodologies with some modifications (Kamaruzzaman et al., 2010; 2011; Ong et al., 2012; 2015). The digestion method involved heating of 50 mg of a finely powdered sample in a sealed Teflon vessel in a mixture with a mixed acid solution of concentrated HF, HNO₃ and HCl. The Teflon vessel was kept in an oven at 150 °C for 6 hours. After cooling at room temperature, the solution of the vessel was transferred into a polypropylene test tube. An inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (Perkin Elmer Elan 9000) was used for the quick and precise determination of selected heavy metals in the digested sediment samples. The accuracy was also examined by analyzing duplicate Standard Reference Material 1646a Estuarine Sediment, the results of which were within $\pm 3\%$ of certified values.

Results And Discussion

All the analyzed data were visualized using ArcGIS software and presented in the form of a concentration isopleth map to identify the sediment concentration hotspot as shown in Fig. 2. Visualization by overlaying maps using a geographical information system makes this presentation even easier and more successful (Caeiro et al., 2005).

Tomlison et al. (1980) elaborated that the application of the pollution load index (PLI) provides a simple way to assess the quality of estuarine and coastal sediment. This assessment is a quick tool for comparing the status of pollution at different locations (Karbassi et al., 2006). PLI represents the number of times the concentration of heavy metals in the sediment exceeds the background concentration and provides a summary indication of the overall level of heavy metal toxicity in a particular sample or location (Rabee et al., 2011). The PLI can provide the public in the surrounding area with some understanding of the quality of the components in their environment, indicating the trend both spatially and temporarily (Harikumar and Jisha, 2010). In addition, it provides valuable information to decision-makers towards better management of pollution levels in the Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan regions.

PLI is obtained as contamination factors (CF). This CF is the quotient obtained by dividing the concentration of each metal with the background value of the metal. The PLI can be expressed in the

following calculation:

$$\text{Pollution load index} = (CF_1 \times CF_2 \times CF_3 \times CF_n)^{1/n}$$

where, n is the number of metals (4 in the present study) and CF is the contamination factor. The CF can be calculated from:

$$\text{Contamination factor} = \frac{\text{Element concentration in the sediment}}{\text{Background value of the element}}$$

Two approaches were used to assess sediment toxicity and ecological risk to benthic organisms for heavy metals studied in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan surficial sediments. The first approach was to compare the concentrations of heavy metals to the Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) which were reviewed by Giesy et al. (1990). This approach was used only to screen contaminants of concern in aquatic ecosystems. On the other hand, the second approach was to apply two sets of SQGs developed for marine and estuarine ecosystems (Long and McDonald, 1998) to the assessment the ecotoxicology of the concentration of heavy metals in sediments, namely, (a) the effect range low (ERL)/effect range median (ERM) and (b) the threshold effect level (TEL)/probable effect level (PEL).

Based on the SQGs proposed by USEPA from Giesy et al. (1990), sediment contamination is defined as three classes, non-polluted, moderately polluted and heavily polluted (Table 1). This classification of SQGs does consider the natural background or multiple elements (Luo et al., 2010). By comparing the mean concentrations of heavy metals in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan surficial sediments with SQGs, sediments in both areas were classified as non-polluted for Zn, and Pb, and moderately polluted by Cu and Cd where these metals exceed SQGs. Surficial sediments from Trinité-sur-Mer zone in Bay of Quiberon, Auray, Marle, Noyal river zones, and the eastern in Gulf of Morbihan catchment area were moderately enriched with these metals. However, no surficial sediments from both sampling areas were classified as heavily polluted with the heavy metals studied according to SQGs classification.

Table 1
Classification of sediment samples ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) based on the proposed SQGs by USEPA

	Bay of Quiberon		Gulf of Morbihan		SQGs		
	Mean	Min – Max	Mean	Min – Max	Non Polluted	Moderately Polluted	Heavily polluted
Cu	14.4	2.56–40.9	21.9	1.20–48.6	< 25	25–50	> 50
Zn	40.7	4.60–98.9	38.4	4.78–89.9	< 90	90–200	> 200
Cd	0.07	0.01–0.19	0.11	0.01–0.30	–	–	> 6
Pb	15.1	3.61–28.0	23.8	2.43–42.7	< 40	40–60	> 60

The concentration of heavy metals were evaluated in a screening–level ecological risk assessment by comparing them to another numerical SQGs, namely the threshold effect level (TEL)/probable effect level (PEL), and the effect range low (ERL)/effect range medium (ERM) which were proposed by Long and McDonald (1998). Low range values (i.e., ERL and TEL) are less than expected to have adverse effects on sediment dwelling fauna. In contrast, ERM and PEL represent chemical concentrations above which adverse effects are likely to occur in the aquatic ecosystem (Long and MacDonald, 1998).

The SQG values for heavy metals studied in the surficial sediments from the Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan and the sediment classification based on these guidelines are shown in Table 2. Results of SQGs classification suggest that all heavy metals in sediments would rarely be expected to cause adverse effects on biota that living in the area.

Table 2
Classification of sediment samples based on the SQGs

	SQGs ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)				Percentage of the samples exceeding SQGs (%)			
	ERL	ERM	TEL	PEL	ERL	ERL–ERM	TEL	TEL–PEL
Bay of Quiberon								
Cu	34	270	18.7	108.2	97	3	75	25
Zn	150	410	124	271	100	0	100	0
Cd	1.2	9.6	0.68	4.2	100	0	100	0
Pb	46.7	218	30.2	112.2	100	0	100	0
Gulf of Morbihan								
Cu	34	270	18.7	108.2	85.1	14.9	38.3	61.7
Zn	150	410	124	271	100	0	100	0
Cd	1.2	9.6	0.68	4.2	100	0	100	0
Pb	46.7	218	30.2	112.2	100	0	78.4	21.6

The majority of heavy metals studied did not exceed the corresponding ERL and TEL guideline values and therefore do not pose a risk to marine organisms living in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan. Only a small percentage of surficial sediments would be classified as possibly posing an occasional threat to the organism due to concentrations of Cu. However, Cr, Cu, and Pb in a certain location exceeded the TEL value, particularly from the Gulf of Morbihan surficial sediments. Thus, at a concentration greater than the TEL, the toxic effect of long-term exposure to these heavy metals would be predicted to occur in the station sampled.

In order to estimate the effect of multiple contaminations on heavy metals, mean-ERM-quotient (M-ERM-Q) was calculated for each surficial sediment in the Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan according to Long et al. (1998), Larrose et al. (2010) and Tessier et al. (2011) as follows:

$$M - ERM - Q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (C_i / ERM_i)}{n}$$

Where C_i is the surficial sediment concentrations of element (i), ERM_i is the ERM for element (i) and n is the number of elements, e.g. four heavy metals in this study.

Long et al. (1998) have defined several classes of toxicity probability for biota organisms in their study from US coast based on amphipod survival test: $m-ERM-Q < 0.1$ has a 9% probability of toxicity); $0.1 <$

$m-ERM-Q < 0.5$ has a 21% probability of being toxic; $0.5 < m-ERM-Q < 1.5$ has a 49% of being toxic; and $m-ERM-Q > 1.5$ has a 76% probability of toxicity. Using the concentrations of the heavy metals studied, the $m-ERM-Q$ value was calculated, and the obtained distribution visualized by ArcGIS software in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan is shown in Fig. 4.

The $m-ERM-Q$ distribution pattern in the Bay of Quiberon surficial sediments showed that the inner bay zone had values below 0.1, which indicated a 9% probability of being toxic to the benthic organisms in that area. In the outer bay, the $m-ERM-Q$ values slightly exceed 0.1, but not more than 0.2 indicate that this zone is between 9% and 21% probability to be toxic to benthic organisms. However, in Trinité-sur-Mer area, $m-ERM-Q$ ranged from 0.2 and 0.3; therefore, this area can be categorized as 21% probability of toxicity to the benthic organisms according to Long et al. (1998) classification.

Meanwhile, in the gulf of Morbihan sediments, the $m-ERM-Q$ values at the western zone of the gulf were lower than 0.1 m, and therefore this zone can be categorized as 9% probability of being toxic to the benthic organisms. Towards the inner gulf of eastern zone, the values of $m-ERM-Q$ were increased but do not exceed the 21% probability of toxicity to the benthic organisms, 0.2. Generally, some sampling locations located at the rivers zone (Auray, Marle and Noyal) had the $m-ERM-Q$ value higher than 0.3, which meant a 21% probability of toxicity to the benthic organisms. The impact of contaminated sediment probably on aquatic ecosystems is likely to be due to re-suspension and remobilization processes (Tankere-Muller et al., 2007; Kalnejais et al., 2010).

Conclusion

This work represents a significant database on the quality of surficial sediments. It will be useful for managers of the marine environment by allowing them to apprehend better the future maintenance required for the correct functioning of the harbor and mariculture activities. This study shows that the eastern part of the Gulf of Morbihan, which at the innermost region shows the highest PLI value. This region is associated with naval activities and domestic runoff from the nearby urban area. The area with a strong water exchange process such as the entrance of the Gulf of Morbihan and Bay of Quiberon recorded lower PLI value. The multiple approaches for potential toxicity were applied for the sediment quality assessment, namely direct screening with the sediment quality guidelines (ERL/ERM and TEL/PEL) for each element. Whereas, mean-ERM-quotient was calculated in surficial sediment to estimate the effect of multiple elements to the marine organisms.

In comparison with the sediment quality guidelines for the ecological risk assessment, generally, all metals studied were not exceeding the ERL and PEL classification. Hence, these metals were considered not toxic to the marine organisms. The multiple contamination evaluation by mean-ERM-quotient shows that the sediments have not more than 21% probability of being toxic to the aquatic organism. Therefore, it is suggested that continuous monitoring should be implemented as the site is important for the recreation area, fishing and mariculture activities.

Abbreviations

Cd: Cadmium; CF: contamination factors; CRC: Regional Committee of Conchyliculture; Cu: Copper; EC: European Community; ERL: effect low range; ERM: effect range median; HCl : Hydrochloric acid; HF: Hydrofluoric acid; HNO₃: Nitric acid; ICP-MS: Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry, M–ERM–Q: mean–ERM–quotient; Pb: Lead; PEL: probable effect level; PLI: pollution load index; SQGs: Sediment Quality Guidelines; TEL: threshold effect level; USEPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency; Zn: Zinc

Declarations

Availability of data and material

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study. Please contact author for data requests.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Funding

This work was supported by Laboratoire Géosciences Marines et Géomorphologie du Littoral, Université de Bretagne Sud, France and Institute of Oceanography (INOS) under Higher Institution Centre of Excellence (HICoE 66928), Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.

Authors' contributions

Meng-Chuan Ong proposed the topic, carried out the experimental study, analyzed the data and writing the original draft. Hui-Juan Pan review and editing the manuscript. Noor Azhar Mohamed Shazili, David Menier and Virginie Dupont supervised Meng-Chuan Ong and review and editing the manuscript. Sidonie Révillon and Alexandra Connell help in commenting the manuscript and interpret the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge their gratitude to the anonymous reviewers who gave freely time and effort, constructive recommendations that enhanced the value of this manuscript. The authors also

would like to thank to Mr. Joseph Bidai for his assistance in the detection of heavy metals using ICPMS at Institute of Oceanography and Environment.

References

1. Ali H, Khan E (2019) Trophic transfer, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification of non-essential hazardous heavy metals and metalloids in food chains/webs—Concepts and implications for wildlife and human health. *Human Ecol Risk Assess* 25(6):1353–1376
2. Aoshima K (2016) Itai-itai disease: Renal tubular *osteomalacia* induced by environmental exposure to cadmium—historical review and perspectives. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 62(4):319–326
3. Baba H, Tsuneyama K, Yazaki M, Nagata K, Minamisaka T, Tsuda T, Nomota K, Hayashi S, Miwa S, Nakajima T, Nakanishi Y, Aoshima K, Imura J (2013) The liver in itai-itai disease (chronic cadmium poisoning): pathological features and metallothionein expression. *Modern Pathol* 26(9):1228–1234
4. Bay SM, Zeng EY, Lorenson TD, Tran K, Alexander C (2003) Temporal and spatial distributions of contaminants in sediments of Santa Monica Bay, California. *Mar Environ Res* 56(1–2):255–276
5. Bernhoft RA (2013) Cadmium toxicity and treatment. *Sci World J* 2013:394652
6. Butchart SH, Walpole M, Collen B, Van Strien A, Scharlemann JP, Almond RE et al (2010) Global biodiversity: indicators of recent declines. *Science* 328(5982):1164–1168
7. Caeiro S, Costa MH, Ramos TB, Fernandes F, Silveira N, Coimbra A, Medeiros G, Painho M (2005) Assessing heavy metal contamination in Sado Estuary sediment: An index analysis approach. *Ecol Indic* 5(2):151–169
8. Caito S, Aschner M (2017) "Developmental neurotoxicity of lead," in *Neurotoxicity of Metals*. Springer), 3–12
9. Chakravarty M, Patgiri AD (2009) Metal pollution assessment in sediments of the Dikrong River, NE India. *J Human Ecol* 27(1):63–67
10. Chaumillon E, Bertin X, Falchetto H, Allard J, Weber N, Walker P, Pouvreau N, Woppelmann G (2008) Multi time–scale evolution of a wide estuary linear sandbank, the Longe de Boyard, on the French Atlantic coast. *Mar Geol* 251(3–4):209–223
11. Clark MW, Davies–McConchie F, McConchie D, Birch GF (2000) Selective chemical extraction and grain size normalisation for environmental assessment of anoxic sediments: Validation of an integrated procedure. *Sci Total Environ* 258(3):149–170
12. Dalrymple RW, Choi K (2006) Morphologic and facies trends through the fluvial–marine transition in tide–dominated depositional systems: A schematic framework for environmental and sequence stratigraphic interpretation. *Earth–Sci Rev* 81(3–4):135–174
13. Dauvalter V, Rognerud S (2001) Heavy metal pollution in sediments of the Pasvik River drainage. *Chemosphere* 42(1):9–18
14. Duruibe JO, Ogwuegbu M, Ekwurugwu J (2007) Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. *Int J Phys Sci* 2(5):112–118

15. El Zrelli R, Courjault-Radé P, Rabaoui L, Castet S, Michel S, Bejaoui N (2015) Heavy metal contamination and ecological risk assessment in the surface sediments of the coastal area surrounding the industrial complex of Gabes city, Gulf of Gabes, SE Tunisia. *Mar Pollut Bull* 101(2):922–929
16. Fang TH, Dai SY (2017) Green oysters occurring in an industrial harbor in Central Taiwan. *Mar Pollut Bull* 124(2):1006–1013
17. Funes V, Alhama J, Navas JI, López-Barea J, Peinado J (2006) Ecotoxicological effects of metal pollution in two mollusc species from the Spanish South Atlantic littoral. *Environ Pollut* 139(2):214–223
18. Gao H, Bai J, Xiao R, Liu P, Jiang W, Wang J (2013) Levels, sources and risk assessment of trace elements in wetland soils of a typical shallow freshwater lake, China. *Stoch Env Res Risk A* 27(1):275–284
19. Giesy JP, Hoke RA, in Baudo R, Giesy JP, Muntau H (eds), *Sediments: Chemistry and Toxicity of In-place Pollutants*. Lewis, Chelsea, 1990: pp 265–348
20. Girasole M, Cricenti A, Generosi R, Longo G, Pompeo G, Cotesta S, Congiu-Castellano A (2007) Different membrane modifications revealed by atomic force/lateral force microscopy after doping of human pancreatic cells with Cd, Zn, or Pb. *Microsc Res Techniq* 70(10):912–917
21. Halpern BS, Frazier M, Afflerbach J, Lowndes JS, Micheli F, O'Hara C, Scarborough C, Selkoe KA (2019) Recent pace of change in human impact on the world's ocean. *Sci Rep* 9(1):11609
22. Halpern BS, Walbridge S, Selkoe KA, Kappel CV, Micheli F, D'Agrosa C et al (2008) A Global Map of Human Impact on Marine Ecosystems. *Science* 319(5865):948–952
23. Harikumar PS, Jisha TS (2010) Distribution pattern of trace metal pollutants in the sediments of an urban wetland in the southwest coast of India. *Int J Eng Sci Technol* 2(5):840–850
24. Järup L (2003) Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *Br Med Bull* 68(1):167–182
25. Jayaprakash M, Srinivasalu S, Jonathan MP, Ram–Mohan V (2005) A baseline study of physico–chemical parameters and trace metals in waters of Ennore Creek, Chennai, India. *Mar Pollut Bull* 50(5):583–589
26. Ji C, Wang Q, Wu H, Tan Q, Wang WX (2016) A metabolomic study on the biological effects of metal pollutions in oysters *Crassostrea sikamea*. *Mar Pollut Bull* 102(1):216–222
27. Jones KR, Klein CJ, Halpern BS, Venter O, Grantham H, Kuempel CD, Shumway N, Friedlander AM, Possingham HP, Watson JEM (2018) The Location and Protection Status of Earth's Diminishing Marine Wilderness. *Curr Biol* 28(15):2506–2512.e2503
28. Kalnejais LH, Martin WR, Bothner MH (2010) The release of dissolved nutrients and metals from coastal sediments due to resuspension. *Mar Chem* 121(1–4):224–235
29. Kamaruzzaman BY, Nurulnadia MY, Shazili NAM, Ong MC, Shahbudin S, Jalal KCA, Joseph B (2011) Heavy metal concentration in the surface sediment of Tanjung Lumpur mangrove forest, Kuantan, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* 40(2):89–92

30. Kamaruzzaman BY, Siti Waznah A, Ong MC, Joseph B (2010) Spatial distribution of lead and copper in the bottom sediments of Pahang river estuary, Pahang, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* 39(4):543–547
31. Karbassi AR, Bayati I, Moatta F (2006) Origin and chemical partitioning of heavy metals in riverbed sediments. *Int J Environ Sci Technol* 3(1):35–42
32. Kennish MJ (2002) Environmental threats and environmental future of estuaries. *Environ Conserv* 29(1):78–107
33. Larrose A, Coynel A, Schäfer J, Blanc G, Massé L, Maneux E (2010) Assessing the current state of the Gironde estuary by mapping priority contaminant distribution and risk potential in surface sediment. *J Appl Geochem* 25(12):1912–1923
34. Lee CL, Chen HY, Chuang MY (1996) Use of oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, and ambient water to assess metal pollution status of the charting coastal area, Taiwan, after the 1986 green oyster incident. *Chemosphere* 33(12):2505–2532
35. Long ER, Field LJ, MacDonald DD (1998a) Predicting toxicity in marine sediments with numerical sediment quality guidelines. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 17(4):714–727
36. Long ER, MacDonald DD (1998b) Recommended uses of empirically derived, sediment quality guidelines for marine and estuarine ecosystems. *Humam Ecol Risk Assess* 4(5):1019–1039
37. Luo W, Lu YL, Wang TY, Hu WY, Jiao WT, Naile JE, Khim JS, Giesy JP (2010) Ecological risk assessment of arsenic and metals in sediments of coastal areas of northern Bohai and Yellow Seas, China. *AMBIO* 39(5–6):367–375
38. Masson M, Blanc G, Schäfer J (2006) Geochemical signals and sources contributions to heavy metal (Cd, Zn, Pb, Cu) fluxes into the Gironde estuary via its major tributaries. *Sci Total Environ* 370(1):133–146
39. Menier D, Tessier B, Dubois A, Goubert E, Sedrati M (2011) Geomorphological and hydrodynamic forcing of sedimentary bedforms – Example of gulf of Morbihan (South Brittany, Bay of Biscay). *J Coast Res* 64:1530–1534
40. Ogawa T, Kobayashi E, Okubo Y, Suwazono Y, Kido T, Nogawa K (2004) Relationship among prevalence of patients with Itai-itai disease, prevalence of abnormal urinary findings, and cadmium concentrations in rice of individual hamlets in the Jinzu River basin, Toyama prefecture of Japan. *Int J Environ Health Res* 14(4):243–252
41. Ong MC, Menier D, Shazili NAM, Dupont V (2012) Geochemistry of metallic trace elements in surficial sediments of the gulf of Morbihan, Brittany, France. *J Appl Sci* 12(21):2215–2224
42. Ong MC, Joseph B, Shazili NAM, Ghazali A, Mohamad MN (2015) Heavy metals concentration in surficial sediments of bidong Island, South China sea off the East coast of peninsular Malaysia. *Asian J Earth Sci* 8(3):74–82
43. Pinot JP (1974) Le prtcontinent breton entre Penmarc'h, Belle-Ile et l'escarpement continental, ttude geomorphologi-que. Lannion. Imfram: 256p

44. Proust JN, Menier D, Guillocheau F, Guennoc P, Bonnet S, Rouby D, Le Corre C (2001) Les vallées fossiles de la baie de la vilaine: nature et évolution du prisme sédimentaire côtier du pleistocène armoricain. *Bull Soc Géol Fr* 172(6):737–749
45. Rabee AM, Al-Fatlawy YF, Najim AA, Nameer M (2011) Using pollution load index (PLI) and geoaccumulation index (I-geo) for the assessment of heavy metals pollution in Tigris river sediment in Baghdad region. *Journal of Al-Nahrain University* 14(4):108–114
46. Roosenburg WH (1969) Greening and copper accumulation in the American oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, in the vicinity of a steam electric generating station. *Chesapeake Sci* 10(3):241–252
47. Sadiq M (2002) Metal contamination in sediments from a desalination plant effluent outfall area. *Sci Total Environ* 287(1–2):37–44
48. Sany SBT, Salleh A, Sulaiman AH, Sasekumar A, Rezayi M, Tehrani GM (2013) Heavy metal contamination in water and sediment of the Port Klang coastal area, Selangor, Malaysia. *Environ Earth Sci* 69(6):2013–2025
49. Seshan BRR, Natesan U, Deepthi K (2010) Geochemical and statistical approach for evaluation of heavy metal pollution in core sediments in southeast coast of India. *Int J Environ Sci Technol* 7(2):291–306
50. Shimada H, Funakoshi T, Waalkes MP (2000) Acute, Nontoxic Cadmium Exposure Inhibits Pancreatic Protease Activities in the Mouse. *Toxicol Sci* 53(2):474–480
51. Tankere-Muller S, Zhang H, Davison W, Finke N, Larsen O, Stahl H, Glud RN (2007) Fine scale remobilization of Fe, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu and Cd in contaminated marine sediment. *Mar Chem* 106(1–2):192–207
52. Tessier E, Garnier C, Mullot JU, Lenoble V, Arnaud M, Raynaud M, Mounier S (2011) Study of the spatial and historical distribution of sediment inorganic contamination in the Toulon bay (France). *Mar Pollut Bull* 62(10):2075–2086
53. Tomlinson DL, Wilson CR, Harris CR, Jeffrey DW (1980) Problems in the assessment of heavy-metal levels in the estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. *Hergoland Mar Res* 33(1–4):566–575
54. Vanney JR (1977) Géomorphologie de la marge continentale sudarmoricaire. Société d'édition d'enseignement supérieur, Paris: 473 p
55. Wang WX, Yang Y, Guo X, He M, Guo F, Ke C (2011) Copper and zinc contamination in oysters: subcellular distribution and detoxification. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 30(8):1767–1774
56. Wedepohl KH (1995) The composition of the continental crust. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 59(7):1217–1232

Figures

Figure 1

Map showing surficial sediment sampling points in South Brittany waters

Figure 2

Concentration map for heavy metals distribution in South Brittany coastal waters surficial sediment

Figure 3

Contamination category based on PLI values in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan surficial sediments

Figure 4

Contamination category based on m-ERM-Q values in Bay of Quiberon and Gulf of Morbihan surficial sediments

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [GraphicAbstract.jpg](#)