Reproductive Behaviors of Wild Chinese Pangolin (*Manis Pentadactyla*): A Case Study Based on Long-Term Monitoring

Nick Ching-Min Sun (✉ nicksun99@gmail.com)

Graduate Institute of Bioresources, College of Agriculture, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Pingtung

Kurtis Jai-Chyi Pei

Institute of Wildlife Conservation, College of Veterinary Medicine, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Pingtung

Li-Yue Wu

Institute of Wildlife Conservation, College of Veterinary Medicine, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Pingtung

Research Article

Keywords: Camera trapping, delay implantation, critical endangered species, Formosan pangolin, gestation length, nursing period, post-partum estrus, radiotelemetry.

Posted Date: July 14th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-702541/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Observations of Chinese pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla*) in the wild are extremely rare and challenging because of their nocturnal and cryptic activity patterns and low population density. The present article reported the first field observation in eastern Taiwan, from October 4, 2012 to June 16, 2016, on the reproductive behavior of the Chinese pangolin based on the monitoring of a female (LF28) using radiotelemetry and camera traps. During this period, LF28 aged from 1 to 4.5-years old and gave two single-births, both took place in early December, at 3 and 4 years old, respectively. We recorded the entire 157 days of the first nursing period from parturition to maternal separation. For the second infant, the gestation period was estimated to be around 150 days based on the evidence that the pregnancy started in early Jul. 2015 and the offspring was born on Dec. 9, 2015. During the entire nursing period, LF28 frequently moved the offspring from one nursing burrow to another staying various durations ranging from 1 day to more than 35 days, and almost all (= 15/16) of these burrows were located in the core (MCP75) of LF28's home range. Started from the month of parturition and lasting throughout the whole nursing period, different adult males constantly visiting the nursing burrows were recorded. Mating behavior was recorded once outside the burrow in March, which provided evidence of the occurrence of post-partum estrus in this species. Delay implantation was proposed based on the observation of a several months lag between copulation and the estimated pregnancy initiation date. The present study demonstrated the advantage of using remote technologies to learn the life history of resting fossorial species.

1. Introduction

Field observations of the Chinese pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla*) are extremely rare and difficult due to their nocturnal and elusive behavioral patterns¹, as well as a very low population density due to their critical endangered status². Pangolins are fossorial and frequently use their powerful forelimbs to excavate ground burrows not only to search for ants or termites (i.e., the foraging burrows), but also to create shelters used for resting, giving birth and nursing offspring (i.e., the resting or nursing burrows)³⁻⁴.

It has been estimated that, in eastern Taiwan, the pangolin burrow density can be as high as 110/ha in a habitat with a density of 12.8 pangolins per 100ha⁵. However, very few (less than 2%) of these burrows were resting burrows³. Resident pangolins use the resting burrows within their home range in turn, but infrequently return to the same burrow several days in a row. In the wet (summer) season, they use the same resting burrow continuously for an average of 1.5 days, while in the dry (winter) season the average is 3.9 days³. Also, unlike foraging burrows, which are created mainly in the dry season, are rarely revisited, and eventually collapse or fill up with earth, these resting burrows are permanent, being repeatedly used and shared, but non-simultaneously by different individuals, and they are routinely maintained by the users³.

Due to the difficulty of locating pangolins in the wild, knowledge concerning their reproductive biology, in comparison with phylogenetically closely-related carnivorous species⁶, is extremely limited^{7–8}. Thus, almost all the present knowledge has come from captive observations. Records from captivity have shown that estrus and mating principally occur in the spring and summer (Feb.-Jul.) and the gestation period typically lasts six to seven months^{4,9–10}. However, longer gestation length, based on the observation of the duration between mating behavior and parturition in captivity, has also been reported to range from 10 to more than 12 months in some cases¹¹. Parturition in Chinese pangolins occurs seasonally between September and March, while there is a clear peak birth season from Dec. to Jan.¹⁰ (K. J.-C. Pei unpub. data).

We recently reported the first case of the growth and behavioral development of an infant pangolin raised by a radio-tagged young female (LF28) in their natural habitat⁸. It was found that pangolins exhibit maternal parental care. As infant pangolins require intensive maternal care during the nursing period¹², knowledge of the reproductive behaviors of females during the nursing period not only has biological significance but is also crucial for the conservation of this critical endangered species. According to Sun et al.⁸, the infant pangolin was kept in the same nursing burrow after birth for approximately 4 weeks, before LF28 moved it to another burrow to continue nursing. During the whole nursing period, this mother-offspring pair moved to several resting burrows before the infant eventually left the mother at approximately 5 months old⁸.

It has been proposed that while mammalian females spend more energy on parental care than males, males often invest more energy into seeking and displaying for mates¹³. For solitary and fossorial mammals, such as the pangolin, a male's mobility and mate-finding tactics can be critical for mating success, especially due to the low population density^{14–15}.

In this article, we present further reports of resting burrow use patterns of the female pangolin LF28 and multiple male visitations during the nursing period based on intensive monitoring by radio-tracking and camera trapping from late 2012 to mid 2016. Two occasions of births, in 2014 and 2015, respectively, were also observed during the monitoring period. The body mass of this female pangolin was also recorded periodically. To our knowledge this is the first study to report such reproductive behavioral findings for any pangolin species.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1. Ethics statement

Ethics approval was granted by the Laboratory Animal Center, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology (NPUST). Pangolins were live captured for radio-tracking with permission granted by the Taiwan Forestry Bureau (permit numbers 1011701139, 1031700176, and 1050143346) as required by the Wildlife Conservation Act, 2013. All clinical examinations were carried out by experienced veterinarians

following procedures described in Khatri-Chhetri et al.¹⁶ and all methods were carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. This research is part of the “Pangolin biology and ecology project”, a long-term study conducted by the Institute of Wildlife Conservation, NPUST since 2009.

2.2. Study area

This study was conducted in Taitung County, eastern Taiwan (22°90'N, 121°18'E), located at the southern end of the Coastal Mountain Range⁸. It is one of the areas in Taiwan where a stable pangolin population can be found¹⁷. Due to the long history of human encroachment, there is no primary forest in this area.

2.3. Radio tracking

Researchers encountered the female pangolin LF28 in the field on Oct. 4, 2012. She was brought to the Pingtung Rescue Center for Endangered Wild Animals (PTRC), NPUST, for clinical inspection and sample collection, including body weight and length measurements, blood sample collection for biochemical analyses, abdominal ultrasonography to check for pregnancy, microchip implantation and VHF radio-transmitter attachment. The transmitter was attached on a scale of the pangolin's tail near the hip following the protocol suggested by Sun et al.¹⁸. Since LF28 was still growing when we started tracking in late 2012, two models of radio transmitter (Model R2020 12g and R2030 24g, Advanced Telemetry Systems, Inc, Isanti, MN, USA) with active mode of 16h on/ 8h off had to be used in the present study.

On Oct. 4, 2012, LF28 had a body weight of 1.85 kg and total body length of 60.2 cm, and was estimated to be 9–10 months old. Her birth month was estimated to be in either Dec. 2011 or Jan. 2012 (i.e., 2011/2012 birth season). After LF28 was released where she was encountered, her subsequent locations were determined using a TR4 telemetry receiver (Telonics, Inc., 932 E. Impala Avenue, Mesa, AZ, 85204 – 6699 USA) with a directional antenna (RA-2AK or RA-23 K; Telonics, Inc.). Triangulation was normally undertaken once a day for 7 consecutive days, and for 2 separate weeks per month. Other than tracking her in the nighttime when she was active, we also tracked the radio signals as frequently as possible in the daytime to locate her resting burrows.

The radio signal was un-detectable on Jan. 22, 2013, and LF28 was unable to be tracked until 1 year later, when she was approximately 2 years old. On Jan. 10, 2014, LF28 was in sight again in her home range. She carried a non-functioning transmitter with a detached antenna, and her identity was confirmed by microchip scanning. LF28's transmitter was replaced on the same day and she was tracked without issue till June 16, 2016. The lighter transmitter was replaced by the heavier one when LF28' reached a body weight of 3,500 g. Home range and core activity area of LF28 were calculated using Minimum Convex Polygons (MCP)¹⁹.

During the whole monitoring period, LF28 was recaptured and brought to the field station, except during the nursing period, from time to time to check the transmitter condition¹⁸, milking status, and to measure her body weight. LF28 was sent to PTRC for a detailed clinical examination and sample collection three more times, which were in Jan. 2014, Aug. 2015 and May 2016, respectively, as described above.

2.4. Intensive monitoring of LF28 during the nursing period

Once parturition was detected intensive monitoring was initiated to follow LF28 even more closely. The radio-tracking frequency was further increased to almost every day. We also increased our monitoring effort on the resting burrow used by LF28 by installing camera traps (Bushnell Trophy Cam, Reconyx HC500 or Reconyx UltraFire) at 1 to 1.5m in front of the burrow entrance. Once LF28 had moved to another burrow, which was detected by radio-tracking, we relocated the camera trap immediately to the new site. Other pangolins that visited the burrows were also recorded. As the camera traps were set in video mode, we were able to identify the gender of almost every pangolin that approached the burrow based on the appearance of the genitals.

3. Results

LF28 was radio-tracked regularly from Oct. 4, 2012 to June 16, 2016, except between Jan. 21, 2013 and Jan. 10, 2014 when the radio-transmitter was not functioning properly (Fig. 1). Two intensive monitoring sessions were undertaken: (1) from Dec. 10, 2014 to May 20, 2015, and (2) from Nov. 25, 2015 to Mar. 17, 2016 (Fig. 1).

3.1. LF28's body weight growth and two cases of birth

The body weight of LF28 increased from 1.85 kg in Oct. 4, 2012 to 4.00 kg in July 3, 2014 and then leveled off (Fig. 1). No sign of pregnancy or lactation was detected in LF28 during both 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 birth seasons. LF28 was estimated to have given birth to her first offspring on Dec. 1, 2014⁸, when she was roughly 3-years old (Fig. 1). The nursing period ended on May 6, 2015 when LF28 left the nursing burrow alone and after this date LF28 was not recorded with the offspring. The total length of this nursing period was 157 days.

On August 15, 2015, approximately 3 months after the maternal separation of the first offspring LF28 was found to be pregnant again during abdominal ultrasonography, the crown-rump length of the embryo was 25mm with heartbeat and vertebrae observed (Fig. 2). Our intensive monitoring resumed on Nov. 25, 2015 due to the expectation of delivery of the second offspring.

Photos taken at midnight on Dec. 6, 2015 indicated LF28 was still pregnant (Fig. 3a), however photos taken 16 hours later revealed she had delivered her offspring (Fig. 3b). Therefore, the parturition of this second offspring took place from Dec. 6 ~ 7, 2015, which was almost exactly 1 year after the previous birth.

The second infant was captured for the first time on camera on Dec. 29, 2015, when it was 3 weeks old and carried by LF28 to relocate to another nursing burrow (Fig. 3e). However, despite LF28 being recorded 22 times during the next 2.5 months, this infant was never sighted again. We therefore believed the second birth was un-successful and intensive monitoring concluded on Mar. 17, 2016. Furthermore, the radio signal of LF28 was permanently lost due to unknown reasons on June 16, 2016 (Fig. 1).

3.2 Resting burrow usages during the nursing period

A total of 147 locations, including 122 nighttime locations and 25 resting burrows, were obtained by radio-tracking during the entire period of this study. The home range (MCP100) and core area (MCP75) sizes were 34.0 ha and 14.9 ha, respectively (Fig. 4). The core area of LF28 was located toward the southern edge of the home range, which was close to human settlements. (Fig. 4). Among the 25 resting burrows, at least 16 (64%) were used as nursing burrows, including parturition, by LF28 during the two nursing periods. Fifteen of these 16 nursing burrows were located in the core area (Fig. 4). The majority of the nursing burrows were located in secondary forest (9), and the other habitats included bamboo forest (3), grassland (2) and farmland (2). Interestingly, one of the farmland burrows was found under a seriously damaged concrete floor in a small, abandoned aviary.

The duration that LF28 used the same nursing burrow ranged from 1 day to over 35 days. The longest durations occurred when the parturitions took place (> 32 days and > 35 days respectively), and these two parturition burrows were located in bamboo forest and farmland habitat, respectively (Fig. 3c and 3d). LF28 was frequently observed to pull hay into or out of the burrows during the parturition and nursing period. The hay-pulling behavior lasted as long as 46 mins. LF28 left the nursing burrow every or every other day for foraging during the nursing period.

LF28 used at least 14 resting burrows a total of 17 times during the first nursing period, with burrow F, H and K used twice (Fig. 5). On April 11, 2015, LF28 left burrow K with her offspring at 00:57, but her radio signal could not be tracked despite the efforts by the researchers during the following days. Intensive monitoring was therefore suspended until LF28 was observed back in burrow K again on April 22 (Fig. 5).

3.3 Presence of other pangolins and small carnivores

During our intensive monitoring we were also able to record other pangolins and carnivores that approached or even entered the nursing burrow when it was occupied by LF28 and the infant. There were 7 cases where other pangolins approached and entered the burrow, which took place between mid-Dec 2014 and early May 2015 (Fig. 5). With the exception of one subadult whose gender could not be discriminated from the video footage, adult males were responsible for all other visits (Fig. 6a and 6b). The adult male that visited on Dec. 13, 2014, not only entered the burrow but also showed soil excavation behavior. Moreover, the adult male observed on Feb. 26, 2015 was identified as an individual (LM15) that was once radio tagged by a research team in Oct. 2011 (Fig. 6c). Therefore at least two different adult males were recorded visiting the burrows during this nursing period. Of these 7 visitations, 5 lasted less than 10 mins, whereas the other two lasted for 30 mins and 1h, respectively. Mating behavior was recorded outside of the nursing burrow between an unidentified adult male and LF28 on March 20, 2015 (Fig. 5; Fig. 6d), when the infant pangolin was close to 4 months old.

During the second nursing period of LF28, four visitations from unmarked adult males were observed. Two of these visits were recorded at the parturition burrow, which included one 2-min long event at 01:28 on Dec. 6, right before the parturition, and one event 7 days later at 18:38 on Dec. 13. During the latter

event, the male exhibited soil excavating behavior. We were not able to confirm the duration of the other two visitations performed by unmarked adult males, on Jan. 16 and Jan. 19, 2016, respectively, at the second burrow that LF28 used.

In addition to pangolins, small carnivores approached the nursing burrow on five separate occasions during the nursing period of LF28, comprised of three visitations of crab-eating mongoose *Herpestes urva* and two visitations of ferret-badgers *Melogale moschata*. Among the five visitations, two resulted in a carnivore entering the burrow and leaving after 2 mins, which were each performed by a crab-eating mongoose and ferret-badger, respectively.

4. Discussion

Despite only focusing on one female Chinese pangolin, LF28, our study, to our knowledge, is the first to provide highly detailed records on the nursing behavior of this poorly studied but critically endangered species. During the entire tracking period, the body weight of LF28 increased from 2 kg at 1-year-old to 3 kg at 2-year-old, and LF28 reached her maximum body weight of 4 kg at age 3. Based on the uninterrupted monitoring between Dec 2014 and June 2016, LF28 gave birth to her first offspring when she was 3-years old and another one at 4-years old (Fig. 1). Both infants were born in early December, which were in accordance with the peak birth season of the species¹⁰. Our observations confirmed that the Chinese pangolin is a seasonal breeder in the wild, and they give birth once a year^{11–10}. Also, they can give birth in consecutive years with a litter size of one²⁰.

Other studies (n = 4) have found that the lightest weight, or youngest age, a female Chinese pangolin can give birth is 3 kg or at 2-years old^{11, 20–21}, which indicated that they can conceive at an age of 1 to 2 years. Therefore, the first birth of LF28, which took place when she was 3-years old, might suggest a delay in pregnancy or sex maturation. However, information concerning the average primipara age for this species is not available to date, more research, especially in the wild, is necessary.

Our results indicate that female Chinese pangolins will carry their offspring frequently from one nursing burrow to another during the entire nursing period. In the case of LF28, nursing burrows were only some of the resting burrows utilized and were predominantly located within the core area (MCP75%) of her home range (Fig. 4), despite the close proximity to human settlements. This suggests that familiarity of the environment or food resource availability should be important considerations in nursing burrow selection.

Nursing burrows were normally used only once during the same nursing period, with durations varying from 1 day to more than 1 month (Fig. 5). This frequent relocation behavior should be important to avoid predation of the newborn. Our monitoring showed that small carnivores, such as ferret-badgers or crab-eating mongoose, will enter the nursing burrow, which may suggest they are searching for prey. Therefore, this could reflect a potential threat to the infant pangolin, especially when the mother is absent for foraging⁸.

Burrows where LF28 gave birth were not only used for the longest duration after birth, they were also used before parturition. Similar to our findings, a previous study reported that both males and females will collect and pull hay into the resting burrow in the wintertime³. Therefore, in addition to providing insulation, the hay could also serve as necessary bedding for the delivery and nursing of offspring. Other functions of hay that have been proposed include false barriers that can act as predator deterrent structures²².

Our records revealed at least two different adult male pangolins approaching and entering the nursing burrows multiple times throughout the nursing period. Most of these visits only lasted minutes, whereas a few lasted longer. During one long visit, in March, mating behavior was observed, therefore the occurrence of post-partum estrus, or even ovulation, may be likely for this species. In captivity, mating behavior was also observed between February and July^{10,23}. Although there is no direct evidence yet, these adult male visits suggest that at least some of them were for mate-searching. Male pangolins most likely depend on olfactory cues to locate females in heat. In mammals, female chemical signals have important roles in sexual attraction and facilitating sexual receptivity^{24–26}. Female Chinese pangolins tend to defecate close to the burrow during the nursing period (N.C.M. Sun unpubl. data), therefore, despite the frequent relocation behavior expressed by the mother, it was likely to generate sufficient olfactory information for male pangolins.

It is also possible that female pangolins will mate more than once, even with different males, during the same nursing period. Sun et al.²⁰ have reported that certain female Chinese pangolins exhibited a lack of mate fidelity based on microsatellite marker assessments. Our observation provides additional support for this phenomenon. Multiple mating with the same or different males has been observed in several solitary carnivores^{28–31}. For males, frequent pre-copulatory encounters with females may offer advantages that increase opportunities for mating compared to males that are less familiar with females^{32–33}. Hypotheses concerning the advantages of females exhibiting promiscuity have also been widely proposed, including direct benefits (e.g., stimulation of reproduction, fertilization assurance, mate retention etc.) and genetic benefits (e.g., choice of paternity, sperm competition, inbreeding avoidance etc.)^{34–35}.

Interestingly, during two separate visitations adult males exhibited excavation behavior, and both events took place shortly after parturition. This excavation behavior at a parturition burrow has never been reported before for male pangolins, therefore, further research is needed to better understand the role male pangolins play in parental care.

The fetus of LF28's second offspring detected in the ultrasonographic image in Aug. 15 provided additional information on the gestation length of the species. Following the fetal and extra-fetal structure development of small-sized (3-8kg) dogs described in Luvoni and Grioni³⁶ and Kim and Son³⁷, this fetus might have reached a maturity of 30–40 days or less. The implantation of this fetus most likely occurred in early July. This infant pangolin was born on Dec. 8 later that year, and the gestation length was

estimated to be around 150 days, which was shorter than previous reports^{4,9–10}. This was the first estimation of gestation length of the Chinese pangolin based on physiological evidence under natural conditions.

Our findings of the gestation period, which took place later in the year (July–December), coupled together with the occurrence of post-partum estrus and mating earlier in the year (December–May), suggests that delayed implantation likely takes place in this species, as proposed by Chin et al.¹¹. This also explains why there was such an extensive variation in the gestation length, from 180 to more than 372 days, determined based on the observation of mating behavior and parturition in captivity^{10–11,21}. More studies on the reproductive physiology for this species are necessary.

Lastly, the present study also demonstrated that the difficulties associated with researching the life history and behaviors of the elusive pangolin could be alleviated with the use of technologies (e.g., camera trapping, radio tracking, etc.). This is especially true for non-migratory fossorial species if one has an appropriate knowledge of their home range or residential environment. There are more and more new technologies and devices that have been developed and applied to wildlife research in the field, which should greatly improve our understanding and promote conservation efforts of endangered species such as the pangolin.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

We thank Pingtung Rescue Center for Endangered Wildlife for assisting the medical and ultrasonic examinations. We deeply appreciate the assistance and volunteers Man-Rong Yu, Xiang-Hua Hu, Jia-Hui Lin, and Ya-Wen Leu. We thank Dr. Ian Best for improvements on the English of this manuscript. We also thank Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund (Grant number: 13256024).

Author contributions

N.C.M.S. and L.Y.W. designed the study and collected the data. N.C.M.S. and K.J.C.P. analyzed the data and wrote the main of manuscript text. All authors reviewed it prior to submission.

References

1. Morin, D. J. et al. Developing robust ecological monitoring methodologies for pangolin conservation. In: Challender, D.W., Nash, H., Waterman, C. (Ed), Pangolin: Science, Society and Conservation (Biodiversity of the World: Conservation from Genes to Landscapes). Academic Press Inc, pp. 545–558 (2019).
2. Challender, D. *et al.* *Manis pentadactyla*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: eT12764A123585318 (2019).

3. Lin, J. S. Home Range and Burrow Utilization in Formosan Pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla pentadactyla*) at Luanshan, Taitung. MS thesis, National Pingtung university of science and technology, Pingtung, Taiwan(2011).
4. Wu, S. B. et al. Chinese pangolin *Manis pentadactyla* (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Challender, D.W., Nash, H., Waterman, C. (Ed), Pangolin: Science, Society and Conservation (Biodiversity of the World: Conservation from Genes to Landscapes).Academic Press Inc,pp. 49–70(2019).
5. Pei, K. J. C. *Ecological Study and Population Monitoring for the Taiwanese Pangolin (Manis pentadactyla pentadactyla) in Luanshan Area, Taitung* (Taitung Forest District Office Conservation Research, 2010).
6. Murphy, W. J. et al. Molecular phylogenetics and the origins of placental mammals. *Nature*, **409**, 614–618 (2001).
7. Nash, H. C., Wong, M. H. & Turvey, S. T. Using local ecological knowledge to determine status and threats of the Critically Endangered Chinese pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla*) in Hainan, China. *Biol. Conserv*, **196**, 189195 (2016).
8. Sun, N. C. M., Sompud, J. & Pei, K. J. C. Nursing period, behavior development, and growth pattern of a newborn Formosan pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla pentadactyla*) in the wild. *Trop. Conserv. Sci*, **11**, 1–6 (2018).
9. Hua, L. et al. Captive breeding of pangolins: Current status, problems and future prospects. *ZooKeys*, **507**, 99–114 (2015).
10. Zhang, F. et al. A note on captive breeding and reproductive parameters of the Chinese pangolin, *Manis pentadactyla*, Linnaeus, 1758. *ZooKeys* 618, 129–144(2016).
11. Chin, S. C. et al. Monitoring the gestation period of rescued Formosan pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla pentadactyla*) with progesterone radioimmunoassay. *Zoo Biol*, **31**, 479–489 (2011).
12. Lim, N. T. L. & Ng, P. K. L. Home range, activity cycle and natal den usage of a female Sunda pangolin *Manis javanica* (Mammalia: Pholidata) in Singapore. *Endanger. Species Res.* 3, 1 – 8(2007).
13. Clutton-Brock, T. H. & Vincent A.C.J. Sexual selection and the potential reproductive rates of males and females. *Nature*, **351**, 58–60 (1991).
14. Schwagmeyer, P. L. Scramble competition polygyny in an asocial mammal: male mobility and mating success. *Am. Nat*, **131**, 885–892 (1988).
15. Stockley, P., Searle, J., MacDonald, D. & Jones, C. Alternative reproductive tactics in male common shrews: Relationships between mate-searching behaviour, sperm production, and reproductive success as revealed by DNA fingerprinting. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol*, **34**, 71–78 (1994).
16. Khatri-Chhetri, R., Sun, C. M., Wu, H. Y. & Pei, K. J. C. Reference intervals for hematology, serum biochemistry, and basic clinical findings in free-ranging Chinese Pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla*) from Taiwan. *Vet.Clin. Path*, **44**, 380–390 (2015).
17. Sun, N. C. M. et al. Mortality and morbidity in wild Taiwanese pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla pentadactyla*). *PLOS ONE*, **14**, e0198230 (2019).

18. Sun, N. C. M., Pei, K. J. C. & Lin, J. S. Attaching tracking devices to pangolins: A comprehensive case study of Chinese pangolin *Manis pentadactyla* from southeastern Taiwan. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv*, **20**, e00700 (2019).
19. Mohr, C. O. Table of equivalent populations of North American small mammals. *Am. Midl. Nat*, **37**, 223–249 (1947).
20. Sun, N. C. M. *et al.* The genetic structure and mating system of a recovered Chinese pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla* Linnaeus, 1758) population as inferred by microsatellite markers. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv*, **23**, e01195 (2020).
21. Heath, M. E. & Vanderlip, S. L. Biology, husbandry, and veterinary care of captive Chinese pangolins. *Zoo Biol*, **7**, 293–312 (1988).
22. Trageser, S. J. *et al.* Pangolin distribution and conservation status in Bangladesh. *PLOS ONE*, **12**, e0175450 (2017).
23. Yang, C. W. *et al.* History and dietary husbandry of pangolins in captivity. *Zoo Biol*, **26**, 223–230 (2007).
24. Johnson, R. P. Scent marking in mammals. *Anim. Behav*, **21**, 521–535 (1973).
25. Blaustein, A. R. Sexual selection and mammalian olfaction. *Am. Nat*, **117**, 1006–1010 (1981).
26. Randall, J. A. Mating strategies of a nocturnal, desert rodent (*Dipodomys spectabilis*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol*, **28**, 215–220 (1991).
27. Clutton-Brock, T. H. & Huchard, E. Social competition and selection in males and females. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*, **368**, 20130074 (2013).
28. Schenk, A. & Kovacs, K. M. Multiple mating between black bears revealed by DNA fingerprinting. *Anim. Behav*, **50**, 1483–1490 (1995).
29. Gehrt, S. D. & Fritzell, E. K. Behavioural aspects of the raccoon mating system: determinants of consortship success. *Anim. Behav*, **57**, 593–601 (1999).
30. Hawkins, C. E. & Racey, P. A. A novel mating system in a solitary carnivore: the fossa. *J. Zool*, **277**, 16–204 (2009).
31. Rogers, L. L. Effects of food supply and kinship on social behaviour, movements, and population growth of black bears in northeastern Minnesota. *Wildl. Monogr*, **91**, 3–72 (1987).
32. Huck, U. W. & Banks, E. M. Behavioural components of individual recognition in the collared lemming (*Dicrostonyx groenlandicus*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol*, **6**, 85–90 (1979).
33. Schwagmeyer, P. L. & Parker, G. A. Queuing for mates in thirteen linedground squirrels. *Anim. Behav*, **35**, 1015–1025 (1987).
34. Reynolds, J. D. Animal breeding systems. *Trends Ecol. Evol*, **11**, 68–72 (1996).
35. Jennions, M. D. & Petrie, M. Why do females mate multiply? A review of the genetic benefits. *Biol. Rev*, **75**, 21–64 (2000).
36. Luvoni, G. C. & Grioni, A. Determination of gestational age in medium and small size bitch using ultrasonographic fetal measurements. *J. Small Anim. Pract*, **41**, 292–294 (2000).

37. Kim, B. S. & Son, C. H. Time of initial detection of fetal and extra-fetal structures by ultrasonographic examination in Miniature Schnauzer bitches. *J. Vet. Sci*, 8, 289–293 (2007).

Figures

Figure 1

The tracking timeline, body mass, and reproduction records of a wild Chinese pangolin *Manis pentadactyla* (LF28) recorded from October 2012 to June 2016 in eastern Taiwan.

Figure 2

Ultrasonic image and embryo measurements of a female Chinese pangolin *Manis pentadactyla* (LF28) on 15 August 2015. LF28 then gave birth on 8 December 2015.

Figure 3

Determination of date of delivery and the parturition burrows used by a female Chinese pangolin *Manis pentadactyla* (code: LF28). LF28 was still pregnant on Dec. 6, 2015 (a), and already delivered on Dec. 7 (b). The parturition burrows for the first birth (c) and the second birth (d). LF28 carried the second infant pangolin on Dec. 29, 2015 (e).

Figure 4

Study area showing the landscape, vegetation, burrow sites and home range of a female Chinese pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla*) LF28 monitored from 2012-2016. The nursing burrows (black circle dot) were listed alphabetically in order of usage during the entire nursing period for her first offspring. The arrows by the natal burrows indicated the burrows where the male pangolins visited.

Figure 6

Male Chinese pangolins *Manis pentadactyla* visit the nursing burrows of a female pangolin. Two unknown individuals (a and b) were identified as males by the genitals, and one known male LM15 was identified by the scale mark (c). The female mated with an unknown male on 20 March 2015. The female was nursing a 4-month-old infant pangolin at the time (d).