

Optimal Age Group Selection and Development of a Nomogram To Predict Complications in Patients Undergoing Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty

Kui-shuai XU

affiliated hospital of Qingdao university

Liang ZHANG

The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University

Zhongkai REN

The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University

Tianrui WANG

The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University

Xia ZHAO

The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University

Teng-bo YU (✉ ytb8912@hotmail.com)

The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University

Research Article

Keywords: Simultaneous bilateral, Total knee arthroplasty, Age, Risk factors, Nomogram

Posted Date: July 29th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-711461/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose

By comparing the occurrence of complications at 30 days after Simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty (SBTKA) for each age group, the optimal age range of patients receiving SBTKA was determined. An individualized histogram model was established to predict complications within 30 days after SBTKA.

Methods

The general data of 861 patients who received SBTKA in our hospital on January 1, 2012 and March 31, 2017 were retrospectively analyzed. According to the age of the patients, they were divided into four ages: less than 60 years old, 60 to 64 years old, 65 to 69 years old and over 70 years old. The incidence of complications in different ages was studied. According to the occurrence of complications, 96 cases were included in the complication group and 765 cases in the non-complication group. Univariate and multivariate Logistic regression analysis was conducted to determine and screen out the independent risk factors for complications after SBTKA, and then R software was used to construct the prediction model of the nomogram. The area under the curve (AUC), calibration curve, and decision curve analysis (DCA) were selected to evaluate the nomogram.

Results

Patients aged 60 to 64 years had the lowest overall postoperative complication rate (7.17%). Five independent predictors were identified by multivariate analysis and were used to establish the nomogram. The AUC of the nomogram was 0.851 (95% CI: 0.819–0.883). The calibration curve showed that the prediction of nomogram was highly consistent with the actual observation, and DCA showed that nomogram had good clinical usefulness.

Conclusion

The optimal age group for SBTKA is between 60 and 64 years of age, where the incidence of complications is lowest. Older age, lower preoperative hemoglobin levels, higher preoperative blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels, longer operation time, and ASA grade \geq III were identified as independent predictors of complications within 30 days after SBTKA. A nomogram containing the above five predictors could accurately predict the risk of complications within 30 days after SBTKA.

Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis is the most common degenerative disease of the synovial joint, and its incidence increases with age [1]. Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is an effective method for the treatment of advanced knee diseases [2]. One-third of patients have bilateral knee symptoms, and about 20% of patients need bilateral total knee replacement [3]. The safety and efficacy of SBTKA versus staged bilateral TKA remains controversial in current orthopedic practice for patients requiring bilateral total knee replacement.

Studies have found that after SBTKA, the infection rate and length of hospital stay of patients are reduced, but the incidence of 90-day mortality, venous thromboembolism and neurological complications is increased [4]. In addition, the risk of pulmonary embolism, cerebral embolism [5], blood transfusion rate [6, 7], cardiac complications, urinary retention, and deep infection are significantly increased [8]. At present, the comparative studies after SBTKA and staged bilateral TKA are relatively common, and many studies have provided a comprehensive assessment of complication incidence, clinical efficacy and mortality after SBTKA [4, 8–10]. Due to the lack of established indications for SBTKA, it is always controversial to determine the age of patients, and previous studies only confirmed that patients aged 75 and above are generally not recommended to undergo SBTKA [11–13]. Recent studies by scholars [14] also only confirmed that patients under 75 years of age and ASA1 grade or grade 2 received SBTKA, and the incidence of complications was no different from that of unilateral TKA. Regrettably, these studies did not provide further studies on the incidence of complications at various ages of SBTKA and the selection of the optimal age. For complications after TKA, some scholars used the nomograms prediction model to predict the probability of complications within 30 days after primary TKA [15]. Since the incidence of complications after bilateral TKA increased significantly during the same period, it was necessary to establish a nomogram prediction model for complications after SBTKA and identify high-risk patients as early as possible in order to reduce the risk of postoperative complications.

The purpose of this study is as follows: (1) By comparing the incidence of complications at 30 days after SBTKA in patients of various ages, the optimal age range for patients to receive SBTKA was determined. (2) Based on these complications information, risk factors for postoperative complications were determined and a nomogram model was established to predict complications within 30 days after SBTKA.

Methods

This study was designed as a retrospective cohort study. Patients who underwent SBTKA at the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University on January 1, 2012 and March 31, 2017 were enrolled in this study. Inclusion criteria: (1) Simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty in the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University; (2) No history of knee joint infection; (3) The case data were complete, and all patients signed the informed consent. Exclusion criteria: (1) SBTKA performed at the same time as other operations; (2) Incomplete data or patients lost to follow-up. We determined 30-day postoperative complications and mortality using 30-day outpatient follow-up in an electronic clinical data system, as well as further information obtained from medical records and telephone follow-up. Consistent with the existing literature [16–18], we defined the 30-day postoperative complications as any of the

following: Hemorrhage requiring transfusion of ≥ 4 U red blood cells within 72 hours after surgery, delirium, pulmonary embolism, postoperative sepsis, septic shock, cerebral infarction, acute renal failure, cardiac disease (coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, valvular insufficiency, arrhythmias, cardiac arrest requiring CPR), Urinary tract infection, deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, deep wound infection/interorgan infection, peripheral nerve injury, superficial wound infection, gastrointestinal ulcer or bleeding. Finally, a total of 861 cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in this study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University (Approval No. :QYFYWZLL26408).

The variables collected in our study are shown in Table 2. All the data were collected from the electronic medical record system of our hospital, and a unified and standardized survey form was designed, which was collected by two joint surgeons independently, and the controversial data was discussed and determined by the third joint surgeon and the two data collecting physicians.

Table 1 Complications occurred within 30 days after simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty

Complication (n)	All (n=861)	Group 1 (n=223)	Group 2 (n=251)	Group 3 (n=225)	Group 4 (n=162)	χ^2	<i>p</i> ^a
Severe anemia	68 (7.90%)	10 (4.48%)	12 (4.78%)	27 (12%)	19 (11.73%)	15.398	0.002*
Delirium	4 (0.46%)	1 (0.45%)	1 (0.40%)	0	2 (1.23%)	3.152	0.369
Common peroneal nerve injury	1 (0.12%)	1 (0.45%)	0	0	0	2.864	0.413
Cardiac	7 (0.81%)	1 (0.45%)	2 (0.80%)	2 (0.89%)	2 (1.23%)	0.741	0.863
Pulmonary	5 (0.58%)	2 (0.90%)	1 (0.40%)	0	2 (1.23%)	3.044	0.385
Wound infection	2 (0.23%)	1 (0.45%)	0	0	1 (0.62%)	2.594	0.459
Digestive	3 (0.35%)	1 (0.45%)	1 (0.40%)	0	1 (0.62%)	1.206	0.752
Deep vein thrombosis	2 (0.23%)	0	0	1 (0.44%)	1 (0.62%)	2.577	0.462
Urinary	2 (0.23%)	0	1 (0.40%)	1 (0.44%)	0	1.632	0.62
Cerebral infarction	2 (0.23%)	0	0	1 (0.44%)	1 (0.62%)	2.577	0.462
Overall complications	96 (11.15%)	17 (7.62%)	18 (7.17%)	32 (14.22%)	29 (17.90%)	16.408	0.001*

Note: **p* < 0.05

Table 2
Univariate analysis of clinical data of the two groups

Variable	No complications (n = 765)	Complications (n = 96)	t/z/ χ^2	P
Gender			1.524	0.217
Male	134(17.52)	12(12.5)		
Female	631(82.48)	84(87.5)		
Age(years)	63.54 ± 6.60	65.88 ± 6.74	-3.212	0.002*
Course of disease (year)	9.13 ± 5.68	9.89 ± 7.83	-0.916	0.362
Priority site			0.435	0.509
On the left side	722(94.38)	89(92.71)		
On the right side	43(5.62)	7(7.29)		
BMI(kg/m ²)	27.62 ± 3.94	27.18 ± 4.19	1.016	0.310
Smoking	80(10.46)	10(10.42)	0.000	0.990
Alcohol	73(9.54)	8(8.33)	0.146	0.702
Operation History	274(35.82)	41(42.71)	1.746	0.186
Transfusion history	39(5.10)	8(8.33)	1.730	0.188
Allergies	92(12.03)	15(15.63)	1.015	0.314
Pulse	75.92 ± 8.64	75.23 ± 8.05	0.786	0.433
Body temperature	36.48 ± 0.32	36.44 ± 0.40	0.925	0.357
ASA status			6.680	0.010*
1 or 2	699(91.37)	80(83.33)		
3 or 4	66(8.63)	16(16.67)		
Anesthesia			0.037	0.848
General anesthesia	174(22.75)	21(21.88)		
Intraspinal anesthesia	591(77.25)	75(78.12)		
Duration of the operation	117.44 ± 33.98	127.02 ± 28.60	-2.647	0.008*
Comorbidities				

WBC: White blood cells; PLT: Platelets; BMI: Body mass index; Hb: Hemoglobin; Hct: Hematocrit; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists. *p < 0.05.

Variable	No complications (n = 765)	Complications (n = 96)	t/z/χ²	P
Hypertension	363(47.45)	49(51.04)	0.441	0.507
Diabetes mellitus	88(11.50)	13(13.54)	0.342	0.559
Coronary heart disease	79(10.33)	11(11.46)	0.117	0.733
Cerebrovascular disease	57(7.45)	5(5.21)	0.642	0.423
Other spinal diseases	45(5.88)	3(3.13)	1.232	0.267
Digestive system disease	52(6.80)	3(3.13)	1.924	0.165
Pulmonary disease	19(2.48)	4(4.17)	0.929	0.335
Urinary system diseases	17(2.22)	3(3.13)	0.306	0.580
Thyroid disease	14(1.83)	2(2.08)	0.030	0.862
Laboratory tests				
WBC(10 ⁹ /L)	6.23 ± 1.87	5.73 ± 1.38	1.845	0.066
PLT(10 ⁹ /L)	234.15 ± 63.32	225.69 ± 65.27	0.889	0.375
Hb(g/L)	133.99 ± 10.89	125.75 ± 20.38	3.893	0.000*
Hct(%)	40.40 ± 3.79	38.47 ± 5.86	3.155	0.002*
Fasting plasma glucose(mmol/L)	7.62 ± 30.95	10.72 ± 36.65	-0.647	0.518
Triglycerides(mmol/L)	2.43 ± 12.55	1.27 ± 0.63	0.678	0.498
Total cholesterol(mmol/L)	5.44 ± 3.45	5.11 ± 1.16	0.690	0.490
BUN(mg/dl)	9.17 ± 6.24	16.65 ± 4.74	-14.022	0.000*
Creatinine(umol/L)	81.65 ± 22.70	80.07 ± 19.21	0.476	0.635
Uric acid(umol/L)	313.18 ± 3.41	300.82 ± 90.98	1.355	0.176
Potassium(mmol/L)	4.10 ± 0.35	4.08 ± 0.30	0.544	0.587
NNNote: WBC: White blood cells; PLT: Platelet; BMI: Body mass index; Hb: Hemoglobin; Hct: Hematocrit; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists. *p < 0.05.				

All the operations are performed by experienced surgeons in our hospital. Both knees were done by the same surgeon. All patients underwent a median incision with a medial parapatellar approach. 412 patients received medial pivot prosthesis and 449 patients received posterior knee stabilization prosthesis without conventional patella trimming. After completing the surgery on one side, the

contralateral tourniquet was pumped and the contralateral operation continued, as above. Negative pressure drainage tubes were placed in each knee joint of each patient after surgery, and all drainage tubes were removed within 24 hours. TXA was injected intravenously half an hour before surgery to prevent bleeding. All patients received anti-thrombotic elastic socks combined with low molecular weight heparin for the prevention of deep vein thrombosis, and all patients received daily rehabilitation training with the help of professional therapists.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 24, IBM, USA) and R software (version 3.6.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria). Measurement data were expressed as mean and standard deviation ($\bar{x} \pm s$), while classification data were expressed as quantity (percentage). Chi-square test or Fisher's exact probability method was used to analyze the differences of classification data in different age groups. The independent sample t test was used to analyze the differences in the measurement data between the complication group and the non-complication group, and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact probability method was used to analyze the differences in the classification data between the two groups. Subsequently, the indicators with statistical significance in univariate analysis were included in multivariate Logistic regression analysis, and the indicators with statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) were finally screened out as predictors for inclusion in the model. A nomogram was established based on the independent predictors in R software. The area under the curve (AUC) based on the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to evaluate the discrimination of the nomogram. Furthermore, the calibration curve was used to evaluate the calibration of the nomogram, and decision curve analysis (DCA) was used to estimate the clinical usefulness of the nomogram in the training and testing sets. A P value < 0.05 (two-sided) was considered significant.

Results

A total of 861 patients underwent SBTKA in the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University on March 31, 2017, including 146 males and 715 females. The mean age of patients was 63.8 ± 6.65 years (range, 31–82 years), and the mean body mass index (BMI) was 27.53 ± 4.11 kg/m² (range, 17.57–56.16 kg/m²).

The incidence of complications within 30 days after SBTKA in patients of different age groups

In this study, 223 patients (25.9%) were younger than 60 years old (Group 1), 251 patients (29.2%) were between 60 and 64 years old (Group 2), and 225 patients (26.1%) were between 65 and 69 years old (Group 3). 162 patients (18.8%) were over 69 years old (Group 4) (Table 1). A total of 96 complications were reported within 30 days after SBTKA, with the lowest overall postoperative complication rate (7.17%) in patients aged 60 to 64 years. There was significant difference in the total complications among all age groups ($\chi^2 = 15.398$, $P = 0.002$). There was statistically significant difference in the incidence of severe anemia among all age groups ($\chi^2 = 16.408$, $P = 0.001$).

Independent risk factors for complications within 30 days after SBTKA

Baseline data of 765 patients in the non-complication group and 96 patients in the complication group were analyzed by univariate analysis. The results indicated that the differences in age, hemoglobin, hematocrit, urea nitrogen, operation time and ASA grade were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), other variables had no statistical significance ($P \geq 0.05$) (Table 2). The occurrence of complications was taken as the dependent variable, and the significant indicators in the baseline data were included in the multivariate Logistic regression analysis. The results indicated that older age, lower preoperative hemoglobin levels, higher preoperative BUN levels, longer operation time, and ASA grade \geq III were independent risk factors for predicting complications after SBTKA (Table 3).

Table 3
Multivariate Logistic regression analysis of early complications after SBTKA

	B	SE	Wald	OR	95%CI	P
Hb	-0.057	0.017	11.478	0.944	0.913–0.976	0.001*
Hct	0.099	0.057	2.964	1.104	0.986–1.235	0.085
BUN	0.139	0.017	67.667	1.149	1.111–1.187	0.000*
Age	0.046	0.018	6.472	1.047	1.011–1.086	0.011*
Duration of the operation	0.008	0.003	5.257	1.008	1.001–1.014	0.022*
ASA	-1.309	0.368	12.689	0.270	0.131–0.555	0.000*

Note: Hb: Hemoglobin; Hct: Hematocrit; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists. * $p < 0.05$.

Development of a nomogram to predict complications within 30 days after SBTKA

According to the results of multivariate Logistic regression analysis, independent risk factors predicting early complications after SBTKA were incorporated into R software to construct a nomogram model (Fig. 1). The ROC of the model was plotted (Fig. 2), and the AUC was 0.851 (95%CI: 0.819–0.883), indicating that the model had a good discriminative ability. The 1000 Bootstrap internal validation method was used to test the nomogram. Through the calibration curve, it could be seen that the nomogram predicted the risk of complications within 30 days after SBTKA and the observed probability had a good consistency (Fig. 3). Decision analysis and DCA were performed (Fig. 4). The results of the DCA show that nomogram has good clinical usefulness when predicting the probability of complications within 30 days after the initial SBTKA.

Discussion

TKA has become an effective measure for the treatment of end-stage knee diseases, which can effectively improve joint function and relieve pain [1–3, 4–7, 19, 23, 26]. Previous studies focused on the analysis of postoperative efficacy and perioperative complications in patients of different ages after TKA[19, 20]. Regardless of age, the satisfaction rate of knee surgery is more than 85%, and advanced age does not affect the efficacy of TKA[19].Other scholars have found that 70 years old is the best age for TKA [20]. There was no difference in the incidence of complications among patients younger than 75 years of age who received unilateral TKA or staged bilateral TKA [14].Different from previous studies, this study divided all patients undergoing SBTKA into age groups to study the incidence of complications in each group. It was found that patients aged between 60 and 64 had the lowest postoperative complication rate and blood transfusion rate.

The incidence of complications in patients younger than 60 years of age has not been reduced by younger age. Previous studies have only found that patients younger than 50 years of age have a significantly increased risk of revision due to periprosthetic infection or aseptic mechanical failure within 1 year after TKA[22].Based on the above studies, we can find that there is a significant increase in complications in elderly patients, which is also an indisputable fact, especially for patients after SBTKA. Therefore, it is of great guiding significance for clinical orthopedic surgeons to select patients of the best age for surgery to reduce the incidence of postoperative complications.

In recent years, the nomogram is a widely used prediction tool in the field of clinical medicine, which has the ability to predict the occurrence risk of adverse events individually.This study developed a comprehensive postoperative complication prediction tool based on preoperative and intraoperative variables that accurately assessed the risk of complications within 30 days after surgery, as well as internal validation and evaluation of the model. Although some predictive models have been established in previous studies, we believe that our study improves on previous work.In the field of knee replacement, the nomogram has been used to predict the survival rate of prosthesis after knee tumor prosthesis replacement [23] and the periprosthetic infection rate [24].In addition, nomogram is used to predict the occurrence of major complications afterTKA [25] and blood transfusion [26].In the above prediction tools, only patients who received unilateral TKA were included, and such studies could not be fully applicable to patients with SBTKA. On the contrary, in our study, all the subjects were patients with SBTKA.

We collected a large number of candidate predictors, and for the screening of predictive indicators, this study comprehensively considered the statistical significance and professional significance. In the risk factor analysis, older age, lower preoperative hemoglobin levels, higher preoperative BUN levels, longer operation time, and ASA grade \geq III were significantly associated with the incidence of complications within 30 days after surgery. These indicators were included in the prediction model of the nomogram.More importantly, four of these indicators were identifiable preoperatively, and their association with post-TKA complications has been widely reported in previous studies. Therefore, clinicians can accurately predict the risk of complications within 30 days after SBTKA preoperatively, which is crucial for early management.

The effect of advanced age on post-TKA complications has also been confirmed in previous studies [11–15]. The complication rate after TKA increases with age [27–29]. According to the survey, the hospital stay of elderly patients after TKA increased by 0.6 to 3.1 days, and the perioperative mortality rate ranged from 1.09–1.54% [27]. Recently, however, different findings have been reported that in studies evaluating the effect of comorbidities and age on the incidence of postoperative complications after TKA, comorbidities themselves, rather than age, are the cause of increased postoperative morbidity [30]. In addition, we found that preoperative lower hemoglobin was an independent risk factor for complications in patients with SBTKA within 30 days after surgery. Interestingly, a recent study found that lower preoperative hemoglobin levels were identified as an independent predictor of blood transfusion in patients after TKA [26]. In this study, severe postoperative anemia was the most common complication, which well explained the influence of preoperative hemoglobin level on postoperative complications.

The results of this study suggest that longer operative time is an independent risk factor for complications within 30 days after SBTKA. Prolonged operative time can lead to increased intraoperative bleeding. In addition, prolonged exposure to the air in the operative area increases the risk of infection. At the same time, prolonged use of tourniquets increases the risk of vascular and nerve damage. It has been proved that prolonged operation time increases the incidence of postoperative complications in patients with TKA [31, 32]. It has been reported in the literature that the risk of requiring blood transfusion and hospital readmission increased by 9% and 5% for each 15 min increase in the duration of TKA [33]. In percutaneous kyphoplasty, operative time is an independent risk factor for hidden blood loss [34], and the findings of the above studies are consistent with the results of this study. It has been reported that the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification has a good correlation with the incidence of complications after TKA surgery [35–38]. This study also found that ASA grade \geq III was an independent risk factor for complications within 30 days after SBTKA. The findings were consistent with previous literature that increased risk of complications after surgery was associated with an ASA score of 3 or 4 [39, 40]. Interestingly, in a rigorous statistical analysis, the results showed that higher preoperative BUN levels were a risk factor for complications within 30 days after SBTKA, a finding that has rarely been reported in previous studies. The underlying mechanism between BUN levels and complications remains unclear. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that kidney is an important organ for maintaining water, electrolytes and acid-base balance in the body, and renal insufficiency and dialysis are risk factors for infection and revision after artificial joint replacement [41, 42].

The prediction model established in this study has certain potential in clinical application, because the model has good discriminative ability and calibration degree, and the indicators included in the prediction model can be easily obtained through clinical examination at the early stage of admission, and the acquisition cost is relatively low. In this study, for the convenience of clinical application, a nomogram was developed based on the constructed prediction model, through which clinicians could quickly predict the occurrence of complications within 30 days of SBTKA and provide reference for identifying high-risk patients.

There are some limitations in this study. First of all, all the cases included in this study were from the same hospital, and it was a single-center study, so there might be case selection bias. Secondly, the model lacks external validation of independent queues, which requires strict validation of the model by queues in different centers. Therefore, more external evidence is needed to promote the clinical application of the model.

Conclusion

The optimal age group with the least complications within 30 days after SBTKA is between 60 and 64 years of age. Older age, lower preoperative hemoglobin levels, higher preoperative BUN levels, longer operation time, and ASA grade \geq III were independent risk factors for complications within 30 days after SBTKA. At the same time, a nomogram based on the above five risk factors was also developed in the study.

List Of Abbreviations

Simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty [SBTKA] total knee arthroplasty [TKA] White blood cells [WBC] Platele [PLT] Body mass index [BMI] Hemoglobin [Hb] Hematocrit [Hct] Blood urea nitrogen [BUN] American Society of Anesthesiologists [ASA]

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the affiliated hospital of Qingdao University. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. No children (under 16 years old) were included in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

We declare that we have no Conflict of Interest.

Funding

We received no external funding for this study.

Authors' contributions

Authors Kui-shuai XU , Liang ZHANG and Xia ZHAO designed the study; Zhongkai REN and Tianrui WANG analyzed the data; Kui-shuai XU , Liang ZHANG wrote the manuscript; Xia ZHAO and Teng-bo YU supervised the study.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the staff in Department of Orthopedic, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University for their contribution on our research.

References

1. Cubukcu D, Sarsan A, Alkan H, et al. Relationships between pain, function and radiographic findings in osteoarthritis of the knee: a cross-sectional study[J]. *J Arthritis*, 2012; 2012. DOI: 10.1155/2012/984060
2. Haddad B, Khan W, Mehta V, et al. Bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty: a patient-matched retrospective observational study[J]. *Open Orthop J*, 2015; 9(1):499e503. DOI: 10.2174/1874325001509010499
3. McInnis DP, Devane PA, Horne G, et al. Bilateral total knee arthroplasty: indications and complications[J]. *Curr Opin Orthop*, 2003; 14(1):52e57.
4. Makaram NS, Roberts SB, Macpherson GJ. Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty Is Associated With Shorter Length of Stay but Increased Mortality Compared With Staged Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2021 Jun; 36(6):2227-2238. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.01.045.
5. Mardani Kivi Mohsen, Leili Ehsan Kazemnejad, et al. Bilateral total knee arthroplasty: Simultaneous versus staging in the same or in twice hospitalization.[J]. *Journal of clinical orthopaedics and trauma*, 2021, 14. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcot.2020.09.023
6. Hou JF, Hu C, Zhang Y, et al. Cost analysis of staged versus simultaneous bilateral total knee and hip arthroplasty using a propensity score matching[J]. *BMJ Open*, 2021 Mar 2; 11(3):e041147. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041147.
7. Chalmers BP, Mishu M, Chiu YF, et al. Simultaneous Bilateral Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty With TXA and Restrictive Transfusion Protocols: Still a 1 in 5 Risk of Allogeneic Transfusion[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2021 Apr; 36(4):1318-1321. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.10.042.
8. Agarwala S, Menon A. Safety and efficacy of sequential simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty: A single centre retrospective cohort study[J]. *J Clin Orthop Trauma*, 2020 Jul; 11(Suppl 4):S636-S644. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcot.2020.05.015.
9. Uzer G, Aliyev O, Yıldız F, et al. Safety of one-stage bilateral total knee arthroplasty -one surgeon sequential vs. two surgeons simultaneous: a randomized controlled study[J]. *Int Orthop*, 2020 Oct; 44(10):2009-2015. DOI: 10.1007/s00264-020-04704-9

10. Malahias MA, Gu A, Adriani M, et al. Comparing the Safety and Outcome of Simultaneous and Staged Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty in Contemporary Practice: A Systematic Review of the Literature[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2019 Jul; 34(7):1531-1537. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2019.03.046.
11. Kurata K, Chino Y, Shinagawa A, et al. Surgical Apgar Score predicts 30-day morbidity in elderly patients who undergo non-laparoscopic gynecologic surgery: a retrospective analysis[J]. *Int J Surg* 2017 Dec; 48:215-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijso.2017.11.002
12. Ohlsson H, Winsö O. Assessment of the surgical Apgar score in a Swedish setting[J]. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*, 2011; 55(5):524. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-6576.2011.02424.x
13. Hsu SY, Ou CY, Ho YN, et al. Application of surgical Apgar score in intracranial meningioma surgery[J]. *PLoS One*, 2017 Apr 6; 12(4):e0174328. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174328
14. Koh IJ, Kim GH, Kong CG, et al. The Patient's Age and American Society of Anesthesiologists Status Are Reasonable Criteria for Deciding Whether to Perform Same-Day Bilateral TKA[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2015 May; 30(5):770-5. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2014.12.004.
15. Xie C, Li Q. A simple nomogram for predicting early complications in patients after primary knee arthroplasty[J]. *Knee*, 2020 Mar; 27(2):518-526. DOI: 10.1016/j.knee.2019.11.015.
16. Healy WL, Della Valle CJ, Iorio R, et al. Complications of total knee arthroplasty standardized list and definitions of the knee society[J]. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2013 Jan; 471(1):215–20. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-012-2489-y.
17. Kreder HJ, McLeod RS. The National Veterans Administration Surgical Risk Study: risk adjustment for the comparative assessment of the quality of surgical care[J]. *J Am Coll Surg*, 1995 May; 180(5):519–31.
18. Higuera CA, Elsharkawy K, Klika AK, et al. 2010 MidAmerica Orthopaedic Association Physician in Training Award: predictors of early adverse outcomes after knee and hip arthroplasty in geriatric patients[J]. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2011 May; 469(5):1391–400. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-011-1804-3
19. Lange JK, Lee YY, Spiro SK, et al. Satisfaction Rates and Quality of Life Changes Following Total Knee Arthroplasty in Age-Differentiated Cohorts[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2018 May; 33(5):1373-1378. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2017.12.031.
20. Lee SH, Kim DH, Lee YS. Is there an optimal age for total knee arthroplasty?: A systematic review[J]. *Knee Surg Relat Res*, 2020 Nov 16; 32(1):60. DOI: 10.1186/s43019-020-00080-1.
21. Koh IJ, Kim GH, Kong CG, et al. The Patient's Age and American Society of Anesthesiologists Status Are Reasonable Criteria for Deciding Whether to Perform Same-Day Bilateral TKA[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2015 May; 30(5):770-5. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2014.12.004.
22. Meehan JP, Danielsen B, Kim SH, et al. Younger age is associated with a higher risk of early periprosthetic joint infection and aseptic mechanical failure after total knee arthroplasty[J]. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 2014 Apr 2; 96(7):529-35. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.M.00545.
23. Zhang HR, Zhang JY, Yang XG, et al. Predictive Value of the Nomogram Model in Patients With Megaprosthesis Failure Around the Knee: A Retrospective Analysis[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2020

- Oct;35(10):2944-2951. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.05.016.
24. Zhang HR, Zhao YL, Wang F, et al. Establishment and validation of a nomogram model for periprosthetic infection after megaprosthesis replacement around the knee following bone tumor resection: A retrospective analysis[J]. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*, 2020 May;106(3):421-427. DOI: 10.1016/j.otsr.2019.10.023..
 25. Wuerz TH, Kent DM, Malchau H, et al. A nomogram to predict major complications after hip and knee arthroplasty[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2014 Jul;29(7):1457-62. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2013.09.007..
 26. Hu C, Wang YH, Shen R, et al. Development and validation of a nomogram to predict perioperative blood transfusion in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty[J]. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2020 May 20;21(1):315. DOI: 10.1186/s12891-020-03328-9.
 27. Murphy BPD, Dowsey MM, Spelman T, et al. The impact of older age on patient outcomes following primary total knee arthroplasty[J]. *Bone Joint J*, 2018 Nov;100-B(11):1463-1470. DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.100B11.BJJ-2017-0753.R6.
 28. Murphy BPD, Dowsey MM, Choong PFM. The Impact of Advanced Age on the Outcomes of Primary Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty for Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review[J]. *JBJS Rev*, 2018 Feb;6(2):e6. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.17.00077.
 29. Kennedy JW, Johnston L, Cochrane L, et al. Total knee arthroplasty in the elderly: does age affect pain, function or complications?[J]. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2013;471N6:1964-9. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-013-2803-3
 30. Andreozzi V, Conteduca F, Iorio R, et al. Comorbidities rather than age affect medium-term outcome in octogenarian patients after total knee arthroplasty[J]. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*, 2020 Oct;28(10):3142-3148. DOI: 10.1007/s00167-019-05788-6.
 31. Ross D, Erkocak O, Rasouli MR, et al. Operative time directly correlates with blood loss and need for blood transfusion in total joint arthroplasty[J]. *Arch Bone Jt Surg* 2019;7(3) : 229-234
 32. Sodhi N, Anis HK, Gold PA, et al. Operative Times Can Predict and Are Correlated With Lengths-of-Stay in Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Nationwide Database Study[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2019 Jul;34(7):1328-1332. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2019.03.024
 33. Bohl DD, Ondeck NT, Darrith B, et al. Impact of operative time on adverse events following primary total joint arthroplasty[J]. *J Arthroplasty*, 2018;33(7):2256-2262. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.037
 34. Cao DG, Zhang SL, Yang FB, et al. Hidden blood loss and its influencing factors after percutaneous kyphoplasty surgery A retrospective study[J]. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2018, 97(15): e0435 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000010435
 35. Pavone V, Johnson T, Saulog PS, et al. Perioperative morbidity in bilateral one-stage total knee replacements[J]. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2004;421:155. DOI: 10.1097/01.blo.0000126309.72205.f7
 36. Stubbs G, Pryke SE, Tewari S, et al. Safety and cost benefits of bilateral total knee replacement in an acute hospital[J]. *ANZ J Surg*, 2005;75:739. DOI: 10.1111/j.1445-2197.2005.03516.x
 37. Memtsoudis SG, Hargett M, Russell LA, et al. Consensus Conference on Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty G. Consensus statement from the consensus conference on bilateral total knee

- arthroplasty group[J]. Clin Orthop Relat Res,2013;471:2649. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-013-2976-9
38. Meehan JP, Danielsen B, Tancredi DJ, et al. A population-based comparison of the incidence of adverse outcomes after simultaneous-bilateral and staged-bilateral total knee arthroplasty[J]. J Bone Joint Surg Am,2011;93:2203. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.J.01350
39. Berend KR, Morris MJ, Skeels MD, et al. Perioperative complications of simultaneous versus staged unicompartmental knee arthroplasty[J]. Clin Orthop Relat Res,2011;469:168. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-010-1492-4
40. Memtsoudis SG. Have bilateral total knee arthroplasties become safer? A population-based trend analysis. Interview by Seth S Leopold[J]. Clin Orthop Relat Res,2013;471:13 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-012-2682-z
41. Kildow BJ, Agaba P, Moore BF, et al. Postoperative Impact of Diabetes, Chronic Kidney Disease, Hemodialysis, and Renal Transplant After Total Hip Arthroplasty[J]. J Arthroplasty, 2017, 32(9S): S135-S140. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2017.01.018
42. Tan TL, Kheir MM, Tan DD, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease Linearly Predicts Outcomes After Elective Total Joint Arthroplasty[J]. J Arthroplasty, 2016, 31(9 Suppl): 175-179.e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.03.019

Figures




Figure 1

A nomogram for predicting complications within 30 days after SBTKA based on independent risk factors. BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists.

AUC= 0.851




Figure 2

The receiver operating characteristic curve.




Figure 3

The calibration curve.




Figure 4

The decision curve analysis.