Associations Between the Morphological Parameters of Proximal Tibiofibular Joint (PTFJ) and Changes in Tibiofemoral Joint Structures in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis

Jun Chang

Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6000-0358>

Tianyu Chen

The Third Affiliated Hospital of Southern Medical University

Yizhu Yan

Anhui Medical University

Zhaohua Zhu

Southern Medical University

Weiyu Han

Southern Medical University

Yi Zhao

Beijing Xuanwu Hospital: Xuanwu Hospital

Benny Antony

University of Tasmania Menzies Research Institute: University of Tasmania Menzies Institute for Medical Research

Anita Wluka

Monash University

Tania Winzenberg

University of Tasmania Menzies Research Institute: University of Tasmania Menzies Institute for Medical Research

Flavia Cicuttini

Monash University

Changhai Ding (✉ changhai.ding@utas.edu.au)

Southern Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9479-730X>

Research Article

Keywords: proximal tibiofibular joint, knee osteoarthritis (OA), bone marrow lesions, longitudinal analyses

Posted Date: August 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-725139/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Arthritis Research & Therapy on January 27th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-022-02719-8>.

Abstract

Background

To describe the longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of proximal tibiofibular joint (PTFJ) and joint structural changes in tibiofemoral compartments in patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods

The participants were selected from the Vitamin D Effects on Osteoarthritis (VIDEO) study. PTFJ morphological parameters were measured on coronal and sagittal MRI. The contacting area (S) of PTFJ, and its projection areas onto the horizontal (load-bearing area, S_T), sagittal (lateral stress-bolstering area, S_ϕ) and coronal plane (posterior stress-bolstering area, S_u) were assessed. Knee structural abnormalities, including cartilage defects, bone marrow lesions (BMLs) and cartilage volume, were evaluated at baseline and after 2 years. Log binominal regression models and linear regression models were used to assess the associations between PTFJ morphological parameters and osteoarthritic structural changes.

Results

In the longitudinal analyses, the S (RR: 1.45) and S_T (RR: 1.55) of PTFJ were significantly and positively associated with an increase in medial tibial (MT) cartilage defects. The S_T (β : -0.07), S_u (β : -0.07), and S (β : -0.06) of PTFJ were significantly and negatively associated with changes in MT cartilage volume. The S_T (RR: 1.55) of PTFJ was positively associated with an increase in MT BMLs, and S_ϕ (RR: 0.35) was negatively associated with an increase in medial femoral BMLs.

Conclusions

This longitudinal study suggests that higher load-bearing area of PTFJ could be a risk factor for structural changes in medial tibiofemoral (MTF) compartment in knee OA. This may provide a theoretical support for proximal fibular osteotomy in the treatment of MTF OA.

Trial registration:

Clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT01176344;

Anzctr.org.au Identifier: ACTRN12610000495022

Date of registration: 7 May 2010

Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) involves tibiofemoral and patellofemoral components[1]. However, little attention has been paid to the proximal tibiofibular joint (PTFJ) and its contribution to knee OA. The PTFJ is a

synovial sliding joint characterized by articular cartilage and performing a load-bearing function[2].

The medial tibiofemoral compartment of the knee joint is more often affected by OA than the lateral tibiofemoral compartment[3]. This may be because of the biomechanical characteristics of the joint. In physiological conditions, 60–80% of the total intrinsic compressive load transmitted across the knee is on the medial compartment[4]. This loading becomes greater in a knee with varus alignment[5], which may be the cause for or result of progressive knee OA[6]. In a varus knee, the loading-bearing axis is shifted to medial tibiofemoral compartment, so that the medial compartment bears great stress [7] . However, it is still not well understood why mechanical loading is greater in the medial than the lateral tibiofemoral compartment.

Many treatment options have been designed to reduce the load on the medial tibiofemoral compartment, including high tibial osteotomy (HTO)[8], distal femoral varus osteotomy[9] and valgus loading braces[10]. Interestingly, Yang *et al.*[11] reported that proximal fibular osteotomy could significantly improve the clinical outcomes in patients with medial compartment OA. The fibula is considered one of the most important supporting structures of the leg, which is assumed to bear one-sixth of the leg's static load in a biostatic mode. Furthermore, this force is mainly transmitted through the PTFJ[2].

Joint contacting areas are fundamental to the understanding of load transmission through the joint and its relationship to local structural damage in knee OA[12]. Contacting area measurements are useful because they provide information about where loads are transmitted on the cartilage surface[13]. Most studies have focused on the patellofemoral joint[13, 14] and tibiofemoral joint contacting areas[15, 16]. Little or no work has been conducted on the PTFJ contacting areas. Previous studies have measured the morphology of PTFJ from cadaveric knee specimens[17-20]. Eichenblat and Nattan[18] revealed seven PTFJ joint types when assessing PTFJ configuration, and reported a statistically significant correlation between the presence of PTFJ OA and knee OA, particularly in the medial tibiofemoral compartment. Recently, we reported that irregular PTFJ joint types were associated with osteoarthritic changes in the lateral compartment in older adults[21]. Based on previous findings, we hypothesized that PTFJ morphological measures were associated with increased risks of osteoarthritic changes in the medial rather than lateral tibiofemoral compartment, and this needs to be validated by further cohort studies.

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to describe the longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of the PTFJ and joint structural changes in the tibiofemoral compartments in patients with knee OA.

Methods

Patients

Participants with knee OA were recruited to the Vitamin D Effects on Osteoarthritis (VIDEO) study, a multi-center, randomized, and double-blind clinical trial to evaluate the effects of vitamin D supplementation in

patients with knee OA. Five knees lacked of readable MRI data and were excluded. Baseline data were collected for 408 participants (mean age: 63.2 years, 50% women, 149 from Melbourne and 259 from Tasmania), and 335 (82.1%) completed an approximately 2-years follow-up. In brief, eligible participants had symptomatic knee OA (assessed according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria)[22]. The exclusion criteria included grade 3 radiographic changes according to Altman and Gold's atlas[23], severe knee pain on standing (>80 mm on a 100-mm VAS), contraindication to MRI, rheumatoid or psoriatic arthritis, lupus, cancer, and history of significant knee trauma. The Tasmania Health and Human Medical Research Ethics Committee and Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. For the purpose of analysis, treatment and placebo groups were combined as a cohort.

MRI assessment

MRI scans of the knees were performed at baseline and follow-up. Image sequences included the following: (1) a coronal or sagittal T1-weighted, fat-saturated, three-dimensional (3D) spoiled gradient echo with flip angle 30°; repetition time: 40 ms; echo time: 7 ms; acquisition time: 5 min 58 s; slice number: 60; pixel matrix: 512×256; and slice thickness, 1.5 mm with no gap. (2) a T2-weighted, fat-saturated, fast spin echo with flip angle 90°; repetition time: 3060 ms; echo time: 94 ms; slice number: 46; pixel matrix: 256×224; and slice thickness: 2 mm with no gap.

The morphological parameters of PTFJ: The morphological status of PTFJ was assessed as follows (Figure 1), by using the software program Osiris. In coronal MRI, point *c* is the outermost point of the fibular articular surface, and *a* is the innermost point of the articular surface in PTFJ. *e* is the lateral vertex of the tibial plateau, and *f* is the medial vertex of the tibial plateau. Line *oa* is drawn through *a*, which is parallel to line *ef*. The length of *ac* and the angle *oac* (α) were measured at each slice of MRI. In sagittal MRI from the same participant, point *b* is the lowest point of the fibular articular surface, and *c* is the highest point of the fibular articular surface in PTFJ. *e* and *f* are points that connect the anterior and posterior horns of the lateral meniscus with the tibial plateau, respectively, and line *ef* runs parallel to the tibial plateau. Line *ob* is drawn through *b* and is parallel to *ef*. The length between *bc* and the angle of *obc* (β) was then measured at each slice of MRI. Next, we calculated the average angles of PTFJ in both coronal (Ave_COR_ang) and sagittal (Ave_SAG_ang) planes, contacting area of PTFJ based to the ideal model (marked as "S"), load-bearing area ("S τ "), lateral stress-bolstering area ("S ϕ "), and posterior stress-bolstering area ("S ψ ") of PTFJ (cm²). The intra- (ICC: 0.90–0.95) and inter-observer reproducibilities (ICC: 0.90–0.94) were excellent.

Cartilage defects: The cartilage defects (0–4) were assessed at the medial tibial, medial femoral, lateral tibial, and lateral femoral sites using T1-weighted images as previously described and were further confirmed using T2-weighted images as follows[24]: grade 0, normal cartilage; grade 1, focal blistering and intra-cartilaginous low-signal intensity area with an intact surface and bottom; grade 2, irregularities on the surface or bottom and loss of thickness <50%; grade 3, deep ulceration with loss of thickness >50%; and grade 4, full-thickness chondral wear with exposure of subchondral bone. The intra- (ICCs:

0.89–0.94) and inter-observer reliabilities (ICC: 0.85–0.93) were excellent[24]. Increase in cartilage defects was calculated as follows: cartilage defects change= follow-up cartilage defects–baseline cartilage defects.

Bone marrow lesions (BMLs): BMLs were defined as discrete areas of increased signal adjacent to the subcortical bone. The areas were measured semi-quantitatively using a modified Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) method in 15 sub-regions[25]. The medial compartment was divided into three subregions (anterior, central, and posterior), and BMLs were scored categorically according to the maximal percentage of bone area that the lesion occupied within the total subregion. We scored grade 0 if there were no BMLs, grade 1 for lesions occupying <25% of the subregion, grade 2 for lesions occupying between 25 and 50% of the subregion, and grade 3 for lesions occupying >50% of the subregion. The final score was calculated as the total of subregional scores. The BMLs scores (0–9) were assessed at the medial tibial, medial femoral, lateral tibial, and lateral femoral sites. The intra- and inter-observer reliability of this BMLs scoring system has been shown to be excellent[26]. Increase in BMLs was calculated as follows: bone marrow lesions change= follow-up BMLs–baseline BMLs.

Cartilage volume: Knee cartilage volume was determined on T1-weighted MR images with image processing on an independent workstation, as previously described[24]. The tibial cartilage volumes were calculated by manually drawing disarticulation contours around the cartilage boundaries, section by section, which were then resampled for the final 3D rendering using OsiriX imaging software. The intra-class correlation coefficients ranged from 0.92 to 0.96 for intra-observer reliabilities. Changes in cartilage volume per annum in each site were calculated as shown: (follow-up cartilage volume–baseline cartilage volume)/(baseline cartilage volume × follow-up time); absolute change (mL)= follow-up volume–baseline volume.

Knee tibial plateau bone area: The areas of medial and lateral tibial plateau bone were measured manually on the three slices on axial T1-weighted MR images closest to the tibial cartilage. An average of these three areas was used as an estimate of the tibial plateau bone area.

Anthropometrics measures

Height (cm) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm (with shoes removed) using a stadiometer (Leicester Height Measure, Invicta Plastics Ltd, Leicester, UK). Weight (kg) was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using an electronic scale, with bulky clothing removed. Body mass index (BMI)[27] was calculated from these data as follows: weight (kg)/height² (m²).

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics were compared between participants with high and low load-bearing area of PTFJ using Student's *t*-tests for continuous data or Chi square tests for proportions.

Log binominal regression analyses were used to assess associations between PTFJ morphological parameters (independent variable) and increases in cartilage defects or BMLs (dependent variables) before and after adjustment for age, sex, height, weight, tibial plateau bone area, radiographic osteoarthritis (ROA) and intervention. Relative risks (RRs) were estimated using these analyses where the outcome was dichotomous.

The longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of PTFJ and the change of tibial cartilage volume were analyzed using linear regression analyses with adjustment for age, sex, height, weight, ROA, tibial plateau bone area, and intervention. Scatter plots were used to examine the associations between baseline load-bearing area of PTFJ and changes in cartilage volume (including medial and lateral tibial) per annum.

All statistical analyses were performed on Stata V.13.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, USA), and $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistical significance.

Results

Participants

A total of 408 subjects aged between 49 and 80 years (mean: 63.2 years) participated in our study (Table 1). Of these, 357 participants completed the longitudinal study. There were no significant differences in demographic factors (age, sex, and BMI) between these participants and those who were lost to follow-up ($n=51$; data are shown in Supplementary Table 1). The mean load-bearing area ($S\tau$) of PTFJ was 1.81 cm^2 (SD: 0.61, range: 0.53–4.08). Those with high load-bearing area had greater height, weight, medial tibiofemoral (MTF) joint space narrowing (JSN), MTF cartilage defect score, MTF BMLs score, and medial tibial cartilage volume than those with low load-bearing area of PTFJ. The proportion of male patients was higher among those with high load-bearing area of PTFJ. There were no significant differences in MTF osteophytes, LFT osteophytes, LTF cartilage defects, LTF BMLs, and lateral tibial cartilage volume at baseline between the two groups.

PTFJ morphology and increases in tibiofemoral cartilage defects

Longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of PTFJ and increases in the MTF cartilage defects are presented in Table 2. In the multivariable analyses, S and $S\tau$ of PTFJ were significantly and positively associated with an increase in medial tibial cartilage defects over 2 years, after adjustment for age, sex, height, weight, ROA, tibial plateau bone area, and intervention. Ave_COR_ang , Ave_SAG_ang , $S\phi$, and Su were not significantly associated with an increase in medial tibial cartilage defects over 2 years. There were no significant associations between PTFJ morphological parameters and an increase in medial femoral cartilage defects. In addition, PTFJ morphological parameters were not significantly associated with increases in cartilage defects in the lateral tibiofemoral compartments (Supplementary Table 2).

PTFJ morphology and change in tibial cartilage volume

Figure 2 and Table 3 show the longitudinal relationships of PTFJ morphology with tibial cartilage volume. The $S\tau$ of PTFJ was negatively associated with the absolute change in medial tibial cartilage volume over time (Figure 2A, 2B). In linear regression analyses, the $S\tau$, Su , and S of PTFJ were significantly and negatively associated with change in medial tibial cartilage volume. In contrast, PTFJ morphological parameters were not significantly associated with change in lateral tibial cartilage volume (Supplementary Table 3, Figure 2C, 2D).

PTFJ morphology and increases in tibiofemoral BMLs

Table 4 shows the longitudinal associations between PTFJ morphological parameters and increases in the MTF BMLs. In multivariable analyses, the $S\tau$ of PTFJ was positively associated with an increase in medial tibial BMLs, and $S\phi$ was negatively associated with an increase in medial femoral BMLs. No other morphological parameter of PTFJ was associated with an increase in lateral, medial, tibial, or femoral BMLs (Supplementary Table 4).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study to demonstrate the associations between PTFJ morphology and changes in tibiofemoral compartment structures in patients with knee OA. Our major findings were that higher load-bearing area of PTFJ at baseline was associated with increasing medial tibial cartilage defects, BMLs, and cartilage volume loss over 24 months after adjustment for potential confounding factors. These associations were statistically significant in the medial, but not lateral tibiofemoral compartment. These results suggest that PTFJ morphology may have effects on disease progression in medial tibiofemoral compartment in patients with knee OA, and higher load-bearing area of PTFJ could be a risk factor for the progression of medial tibiofemoral OA.

There is a paucity of literature concerning the clinical significance of the PTFJ in knee OA. PTFJ is composed of the tibial facet joint located at the posterolateral tibial condyle and the fibular facet joint on the medial and upper surface of the fibular head. The fibula is considered to be a supporting structure during weight-bearing process. Therefore, the PTFJ was believed to have compressive function[2], but Ogden[17] suggests that the PTFJ mainly bears a tensional force rather than a compressive one. In addition to rotational movement of the fibula relative to the tibia, the fibular head moves in the anterior-posterior plane as a function of knee flexion. Scott et al.[28] demonstrated that the anatomical variations of PTFJ had effects on PTFJ translation, further affecting tibiofemoral loading in human specimens. Burkhart et al.[20] measured PTFJ morphology including inclination angle, surface areas, articular surface concavity and shape from embalmed specimens. Regression analyses were performed to determine the relationships between PTFJ morphology and PTFJ kinematics, which showed that PTFJ morphology likely had an effect on tibiofemoral loading. In a knee with varus malalignment, this load-bearing line passes medial to the center of the knee, and an abduction moment arm is created, which increases compressive force across the medial compartment. In the presence of existing knee OA, varus

malalignment has been shown to lead to a 4-fold increase in medial tibiofemoral knee OA progression[6]. Therefore, PTFJ morphology might influence joint structures in MTF compartment in patients with knee OA.

In the current study, we measured the inclination angle of PTFJ from coronal and sagittal MRI. The inclination angle was not statistically correlated with changes in the tibiofemoral structures in the longitudinal analysis. We further measured the PTFJ articular surface surface (S)[29]. The S of PTFJ was positively correlated with the increasing medial tibial cartilage defects, and negatively correlated with the medial tibial cartilage volume. To analyze the biomechanics of PTFJ, we conducted stress analysis of PTFJ in the static load, and calculated posterior stress-bolstering area (S_u), lateral stress-bolstering area (S_ϕ) and load-bearing area (S_τ) of PTFJ. With every 1 cm² increase in S_τ of PTFJ, tibial cartilage volume decreased by 2.0% per annum (or loss 2.0% per annum) in the medial tibial site. S_ϕ was the projection area of S onto the sagittal plane that was perpendicular to the coronal plane and was proportional to the lateral force. S_ϕ bears lateral stress-bolstering force of the fibula from the tibia in inward direction; with inward force increasing, the alignment axis moves from the centre to the lateral side, decreasing the force across the medial tibiofemoral compartment. With the upward and forward force increasing, the greater load is shifted to the medial tibia plateau, increasing the force across medial tibiofemoral compartment. Based on these facts, we proposed that the role of S_ϕ might be opposite to that of S_τ and S_u in the knee joint[29]. Indeed, we found S_ϕ was negatively associated with an increase in medial femoral BMLs in this sample suggesting a beneficial effect. BMLs changes could be detected in the short term in knee OA[30, 31]and this could explain why we only found a significant association of S_ϕ with BMLs. Further studies are required to confirm these findings.

Uneven lateral support of tibial plateau from fibula is a key factor that leads to the non-uniform settlement of the bilateral plateau and the shift of the mechanical axis to the MTF compartment, resulting in osteoarthritic degradation in MTF compartment[11]. We did not find significant associations between baseline PTFJ morphology and changes in lateral tibial cartilage volume or defects and BMLs. This suggests that PTFJ has no significant effects on structural changes in lateral tibiofemoral compartment in patients with knee OA.

The relationship between load-bearing area of PTFJ and the natural progression of primary knee OA has not previously been demonstrated. Theories regarding this relationship have been based on biomechanical models and surgical outcome studies. Two recent orthopedic reports showed that proximal fibular osteotomy could relieve knee pain and improve medial joint space and function in patients with medial knee OA[11, 32]. Commonly used methods of proximal fibular osteotomy in clinic was resect a 2 cm segment of the fibula 6–10 cm below the fibular head. A recent study reported that after proximal fibular osteotomy, joint space improvement was observed in MTF compartment and there was a statistically significant reduction of pressure on the medial condyle. The aim of this procedure is to shift the mechanical axis of the lower limb from the medial to the lateral compartment, thereby reducing the load and contact area over the medial compartment. This suggests that targeting PTFJ would have beneficial effects on the medial tibiofemoral OA.

Our study has several potential limitations. First, we measured the load-bearing area of PTFJ, which is a virtually invisible surface. However, to analyze the biomechanics of PTFJ, the load-bearing area of PTFJ is the projection of the PTFJ contacting surface onto the horizontal plane, which is proportional to the load bearing, and the method we used is validated and its reproducibility was high, suggesting this was not a major issue. Second, the VIDEO study was originally designed as an RCT to examine the effect of vitamin D supplementation rather than as a cohort study in patients with knee OA; therefore, the results could be affected by the intervention. However, all associations from longitudinal analyses remained significant after adjustment for the intervention. Finally, these findings are from studies of participants with preexisting knee OA. Although the load-bearing area of PTFJ may be a potent risk factor for medial knee OA progression and/or a useful clinical marker of increasing disease severity, it has not yet been shown to be a risk factor for incident medial knee OA.

Conclusions

This longitudinal study suggests that higher load-bearing area of PTFJ could be a risk factor for the progression of medial tibiofemoral OA. This may provide a theoretical support for proximal fibular osteotomy in the treatment of medial knee OA.

Abbreviations

PTFJ, proximal tibiofibular joint; MTF, medial tibiofemoral; LTF, lateral tibiofemoral; BMLs, bone marrow lesions; MT, medial tibial; LT, lateral tibial; OA, osteoarthritis; S, contacting area of PTFJ; S τ , load-bearing area of PTFJ; S ϕ , lateral stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; S ψ , posterior stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; ROA, radiographic osteoarthritis.; Ave_COR_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in coronal plane; Ave_SAG_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in sagittal plane; VIDEO, Vitamin D Effects on Osteoarthritis; HTO, high tibial osteotomy; WORMS, Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score; BMI, Body mass index; RRs, Relative risks; JSN, joint space narrowing.

Declarations

- Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

The Tasmania Health and Human Medical Research Ethics Committee and Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee approved this study.

- Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

-Availability of supporting data

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

- Competing interests

None of the authors have any competing interest to declare.

- Funding

This study was supported by grants from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (605501) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (81773532).

- Author Contributions

All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. JC, TC, and CD designed the study, collected data, carried out data analyses, interpreted the results, and drafted the manuscript. ZZ, WH, YZ, BA, AW, TW and FC were involved in collecting the data, helping with data analyses, interpreting the results, and revising the manuscript.

- Acknowledgments

We wish to specially thank the participants who made this study possible, and we gratefully acknowledge the role of the VIDEO study staff and volunteers in collecting the data. We thank Prof Jones G for helping with the study design, the research assistants Jodi Barling, Kay Nguo, Judy Hankin, and Alice Noon who were involved in the coordination of this study.

References

1. Cushnaghan J, Cooper C, Dieppe P, Kirwan J, McAlindon T, McCrae F: **Clinical assessment of osteoarthritis of the knee.** *Ann Rheum Dis* 1990, **49**(10):768-770.
2. Lambert KL: **The weight-bearing function of the fibula. A strain gauge study.** *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1971, **53**(3):507-513.
3. Ledingham J, Regan M, Jones A, Doherty M: **Radiographic patterns and associations of osteoarthritis of the knee in patients referred to hospital.** *Ann Rheum Dis* 1993, **52**(7):520-526.
4. Schipplein OD, Andriacchi TP: **Interaction between active and passive knee stabilizers during level walking.** *J Orthop Res* 1991, **9**(1):113-119.
5. Tetsworth K, Paley D: **Malalignment and degenerative arthropathy.** *Orthop Clin North Am* 1994, **25**(3):367-377.
6. Sharma L, Song J, Felson DT, Cahue S, Shamiyeh E, Dunlop DD: **The role of knee alignment in disease progression and functional decline in knee osteoarthritis.** *Jama* 2001, **286**(2):188-195.

7. Cerejo R, Dunlop DD, Cahue S, Channin D, Song J, Sharma L: **The influence of alignment on risk of knee osteoarthritis progression according to baseline stage of disease.** *Arthritis Rheum* 2002, **46**(10):2632-2636.
8. Duivenvoorden T, Brouwer RW, Baan A, Bos PK, Reijman M, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Verhaar JA: **Comparison of closing-wedge and opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy for medial compartment osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled trial with a six-year follow-up.** *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2014, **96**(17):1425-1432.
9. Saithna A, Kundra R, Getgood A, Spalding T: **Opening wedge distal femoral varus osteotomy for lateral compartment osteoarthritis in the valgus knee.** *Knee* 2014, **21**(1):172-175.
10. Self BP, Greenwald RM, Pflaster DS: **A biomechanical analysis of a medial unloading brace for osteoarthritis in the knee.** *Arthritis Care Res* 2000, **13**(4):191-197.
11. Yang ZY, Chen W, Li CX, Wang J, Shao DC, Hou ZY, Gao SJ, Wang F, Li JD, Hao JD *et al*: **Medial Compartment Decompression by Fibular Osteotomy to Treat Medial Compartment Knee Osteoarthritis: A Pilot Study.** *Orthopedics* 2015, **38**(12):e1110-1114.
12. Wilson DR, McWalter EJ, Johnston JD: **The measurement of joint mechanics and their role in osteoarthritis genesis and progression.** *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2013, **39**(1):21-44.
13. von Eisenhart-Rothe R, Siebert M, Bringmann C, Vogl T, Englmeier KH, Graichen H: **A new in vivo technique for determination of 3D kinematics and contact areas of the patello-femoral and tibio-femoral joint.** *J Biomech* 2004, **37**(6):927-934.
14. Besier TF, Draper CE, Gold GE, Beaupre GS, Delp SL: **Patellofemoral joint contact area increases with knee flexion and weight-bearing.** *J Orthop Res* 2005, **23**(2):345-350.
15. Shin CS, Souza RB, Kumar D, Link TM, Wyman BT, Majumdar S: **In vivo tibiofemoral cartilage-to-cartilage contact area of females with medial osteoarthritis under acute loading using MRI.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2011, **34**(6):1405-1413.
16. Yao J, Lancianese SL, Hovinga KR, Lee J, Lerner AL: **Magnetic resonance image analysis of meniscal translation and tibio-menisco-femoral contact in deep knee flexion.** *J Orthop Res* 2008, **26**(5):673-684.
17. Ogden JA: **The anatomy and function of the proximal tibiofibular joint.** *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1974(101):186-191.
18. Eichenblat M, Nathan H: **The proximal tibio fibular joint. An anatomical study with clinical and pathological considerations.** *Int Orthop* 1983, **7**(1):31-39.
19. Bozkurt M, Yilmaz E, Atlihan D, Tekdemir I, Havitçioğlu H, Günal I: **The proximal tibiofibular joint: an anatomic study.** *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2003(406):136-140.
20. Burkhart TA, Asa B, Payne MW, Johnson M, Dunning CE, Wilson TD: **Anatomy of the proximal tibiofibular joint and interosseous membrane, and their contributions to joint kinematics in below-knee amputations.** *J Anat* 2015, **226**(2):143-149.
21. Lu M, Han W, Wang K, Zhu Z, Antony B, Cicuttini F, Yin Z, Jones G, Ding C: **Associations between proximal tibiofibular joint (PTFJ) types and knee osteoarthritic changes in older adults.** *Osteoarthritis*

Cartilage 2017, **25**(9):1452-1458.

22. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, Christy W, Cooke TD, Greenwald R, Hochberg M *et al*: **Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association.** *Arthritis Rheum* 1986, **29**(8):1039-1049.
23. Altman RD, Gold GE: **Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis, revised.** *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2007, **15 Suppl A**:A1-56.
24. Ding C, Garner P, Cicuttini F, Scott F, Cooley H, Jones G: **Knee cartilage defects: association with early radiographic osteoarthritis, decreased cartilage volume, increased joint surface area and type II collagen breakdown.** *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2005, **13**(3):198-205.
25. Peterfy CG, Guermazi A, Zaim S, Tirman PF, Miaux Y, White D, Kothari M, Lu Y, Fye K, Zhao S *et al*: **Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) of the knee in osteoarthritis.** *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004, **12**(3):177-190.
26. Raynauld JP, Martel-Pelletier J, Berthiaume MJ, Abram F, Choquette D, Haraoui B, Beary JF, Cline GA, Meyer JM, Pelletier JP: **Correlation between bone lesion changes and cartilage volume loss in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee as assessed by quantitative magnetic resonance imaging over a 24-month period.** *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008, **67**(5):683-688.
27. Cao Y, Jones G, Cicuttini F, Winzenberg T, Wluka A, Sharman J, Nguo K, Ding C: **Vitamin D supplementation in the management of knee osteoarthritis: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial.** *Trials* 2012, **13**:131.
28. Scott J, Lee H, Barsoum W, van den Bogert AJ: **The effect of tibiofemoral loading on proximal tibiofibular joint motion.** *J Anat* 2007, **211**(5):647-653.
29. Chang J, Zhu Z, Liao Z, Meng T, Zheng S, Cicuttini F, Winzenberg T, Wluka A, Jiang D, Han W *et al*: **A novel method for assessing proximal tibiofibular joint on MR images in patients with knee osteoarthritis.** *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2018, **26**(12):1675-1682.
30. Callaghan MJ, Parkes MJ, Hutchinson CE, Gait AD, Forsythe LM, Marjanovic EJ, Lunt M, Felson DT: **A randomised trial of a brace for patellofemoral osteoarthritis targeting knee pain and bone marrow lesions.** *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015, **74**(6):1164-1170.
31. Felson DT, Parkes MJ, Marjanovic EJ, Callaghan M, Gait A, Cootes T, Lunt M, Oldham J, Hutchinson CE: **Bone marrow lesions in knee osteoarthritis change in 6-12 weeks.** *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2012, **20**(12):1514-1518.
32. Wang X, Wei L, Lv Z, Zhao B, Duan Z, Wu W, Zhang B, Wei X: **Proximal fibular osteotomy: a new surgery for pain relief and improvement of joint function in patients with knee osteoarthritis.** *J Int Med Res* 2017, **45**(1):282-289.

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of participants

Characteristics	Low load-bearing area n=204	High load-bearing area n=204	<i>p</i> Value
Age, years	62.9±7.0	63.5±7.2	0.37
Female (%)	138(67.6%)	67(32.8%)	
Height, cm	165.3±9.0	171.7±9.2	
Weight, kg	81.8±16.4	86.5±14.9	0.003
Tibial bone area, cm ²	30.6±4.6	34.8±5.2	
ROA (0–30)	7.2±5.0	7.4±5.1	0.74
MTF cartilage defects (0–8)	4.3±1.8	5.2±2.3	
LTF cartilage defects (0–8)	4.3±1.7	4.2±1.9	0.33
MTF BMLs (0–18)	0.8±1.6	1.9±2.6	
LTF BMLs (0–18)	0.9±1.4	0.9±1.3	0.97
MT cartilage volume	1.4±0.4	1.6±0.4	
LT cartilage volume	2.0±0.7	2.1±0.7	0.08

Participants were grouped into two groups (high or low load-bearing area) based on the median of the load-bearing area (1.68 cm²). Results are shown as frequency (%) or mean (SD).

Abbreviations:

ROA, radiographic OA; MTF, medial tibiofemoral; LTF, lateral tibiofemoral; BMLs, bone marrow lesion; MT, medial tibial; LT, lateral tibial.

Table 2. Longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of PTFJ and increases in medial tibiofemoral cartilage defects

	Univariable	Multivariable*
	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)
<i>Increase in medial tibial cartilage defects</i>		
Ave_COR_ang	0.997(0.992, 1.002)	0.998 (0.991, 1.005)
Ave_SAG_ang	1.002 (0.994,1.010)	1.001 (0.993, 1.009)
S	1.242 (0.950, 1.626)	1.445 (1.025, 2.037)
Sτ	1.329 (0.926, 1.836)	1.554 (1.053, 2.294)
Sϕ	0.953 (0.804, 1.130)	0.909 (0.767, 1.107)
Su	1.056 (0.965, 1.153)	1.008 (0.886, 1.147)
<i>Increase in medial femoral cartilage defects</i>		
Ave_COR_ang	0.998(0.993,1.004)	0.999(0.993,1.005)
Ave_SAG_ang	0.992(0.983,1.001)	0.994 (0.986,1.003)
S	1.005(0.934, 1.082)	0.993 (0.902,1.092)
Sτ	1.108 (1.027,1.216)	1.020(0.918,1.132)
Sϕ	1.005(0.855,1.181)	0.991(0.821, 1.196)
Su	0.970 (0.865, 1.087)	1.016(0.918, 1.194)

*Adjusted for age, sex, height, weight, tibial plateau bone area, ROA, and intervention.

Abbreviations:

PTFJ, proximal tibiofibular joint; Ave_COR_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in coronal plane; Ave_SAG_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in sagittal plane; S, contacting area of PTFJ; S τ , load-bearing area of PTFJ; S ϕ , lateral stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; Su, posterior stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; ROA, radiographic osteoarthritis.

Table 3. Longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of PTFJ and changes in medial tibial cartilage volume

	Univariable	Multivariable*
	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)
<i>Change of medial tibial cartilage volume</i>		
Ave_COR_ang	0.001 (-0.001, 0.004)	0.001 (-0.001, 0.003)
Ave_SAG_ang	0.001 (-0.002, 0.004)	0.002(-0.001, 0.005)
S	-0.061(-0.089, -0.033)	-0.062(-0.097, -0.027)
S τ	-0.070 (-0.104, -0.037)	-0.070(-0.108, -0.029)
S ϕ	0.030(-0.037, 0.103)	0.050(-0.021,0.121)
Su	-0.076(-0.119, -0.034)	-0.066(-0.119, -0.014)

*Adjusted for age, sex, height, weight, tibial plateau bone area, ROA, and intervention.

Abbreviations:

PTFJ, proximal tibiofibular joint; Ave_COR_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in coronal plane; Ave_SAG_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in sagittal plane; S, contacting area of PTFJ; S τ , load-bearing area of PTFJ; S ϕ , lateral stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; Su, posterior stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; ROA, radiographic osteoarthritis.

Table 4. Longitudinal associations between the morphological parameters of PTFJ and increases in medial tibiofemoral BMLs

	Univariable	Multivariable*
	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)
<i>Increase in medial tibial BMLs</i>		
Ave_COR_ang	0.994 (0.914, 1.028)	0.997 (0.923,1.030)
Ave_SAG_ang	0.989 (0.949, 1.032)	0.985 (0.945, 1.028)
S	1.539 (1.172, 2.021)	1.400 (0.979, 2.003)
S_τ	1.705 (1.232, 2.358)	1.547 (1.029, 2.328)
S_φ	0.808 (0.429, 1.709)	0.803 (0.480, 1.392)
S_υ	1.184 (0.728, 1.927)	0.945 (0.519,1.722)
<i>Increase in medial femoral BMLs</i>		
Ave_COR_ang	0.975 (0.949, 1.002)	0.974 (0.947, 1.002)
Ave_SAG_ang	0.987 (0.949, 1.026)	0.985 (0.947, 1.026)
S	1.015 (0.738, 1.396)	1.040(0.697, 1.552)
S_τ	1.091(0.751, 1.586)	1.152 (0.736, 1.804)
S_φ	0.381 (0.159, 0.913)	0.352(0.144, 0.864)
S_υ	1.073(0.599, 1.508)	1.069 (0.529, 1.766)

*Adjusted for age, sex, height, weight, tibial plateau bone area, ROA, and intervention.

Abbreviations:

PTFJ, proximal tibiofibular joint; BMLs, bone marrow lesions; Ave_COR_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in coronal plane; Ave_SAG_ang, the average angles of PTFJ in sagittal plane; S, contacting area of PTFJ; S_τ, load-bearing area of PTFJ; S_φ, lateral stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; S_υ, posterior stress-bolstering area of PTFJ; ROA, radiographic osteoarthritis.

Figures

Figure 1

Measures of proximal tibiofibular joint (PTFJ) morphological parameters. (A) T1-weighted coronal MRI slices of the knee. Point c is the outermost point of the fibular articular surface, and a is the innermost point of the articular surface in PTFJ. e is the lateral vertex of the tibial plateau, and f is the medial vertex of the tibial plateau. Line oa is drawn through a, which is parallel to line ef. The length of ac and the angle oac (α) are measured in each slice of MRI. (B) Sagittal fat-suppressed T1-weighted 3D gradient-echo MRI. Point b is the lowest point of the fibular articular surface, and c is the highest point of the fibular articular surface in PTFJ. e and f are points that connect the anterior and posterior horns of the lateral meniscus with the tibial plateau, respectively, and line ef is parallel to the tibial plateau. Line ob is drawn through b and is parallel to ef. The length between bc and the angle of obc (β) are then measured in each slice of MRI.

Figure 2

Associations between the areas of PTFJ and change in tibial cartilage volume per annum. The contacting area and load-bearing area of PTFJ were significantly associated with increased loss of cartilage volume at the medial (A, B) but not the lateral (C, D) tibial site. Partial r and p values were obtained after adjustment for age, sex, height, weight, and total tibial bone size.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryTables.docx](#)