The Contrast Agent Pooling (C.A.P.) Sign and Imminent Cardiac Arrest: A Retrospective Study

Yu-Husan Lee

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, department of emergency medicine <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6309-1478>

Jiashan Chen

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, department of emergency medicine

Po-An Chen

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Jen-Tang Sun

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Bo-Hwi Kang

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Sheng-En Chu

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Chieh-Min Fan

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Kuang-Chau Tsai

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Shyh-Shyong Sim (✉ vin_shyong@hotmail.com)

Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Department of emergency medicine

Original research

Keywords: Cardiac arrest, contrast agent, computed tomography

Posted Date: July 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-726837/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

The sign of contrast agent pooling (C.A.P.) in dependent part of the venous system were reported in some case reports, which happened in the patients before sudden cardiac arrest. Until now, there is no solid evidence enough to address the importance of the sign. This study aimed to assess the accuracy of the C.A.P. sign in predicting imminent cardiac arrest and the association of the C.A.P. sign with patient's survival.

Methods

This is a retrospective cohort study. The study included 128 patients who visited the emergency department of Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, who received contrast computed tomography (CT) scan and then experienced cardiac arrest at the emergency department (from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018). With positive C.A.P. sign, the primary outcome is whether in-hospital cardiac arrest happens within an hour; the secondary outcome is survival to discharge.

Results

In the study, 8.6% (N=11) patients had positive C.A.P. sign and 91.4% (N=117) patients did not. The accuracy of C.A.P. sign in predicting cardiac arrest within 1 hour is 85.94%. The C.A.P. sign had a positive association with IHCA within 1 hour after the CT scan (adjusted odds ratio 11.60, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.97 – 68.20). The odd ration of survival to discharge is 0.0081 with positive C.A.P. sign (95% CI 0.00697 – 2.188).

Conclusion

The C.A.P. sign can be considered as an alarm for imminent cardiac arrest and poor prognosis. The patients with positive C.A.P. sign were more likely to experience imminent cardiac arrest; in contrast, less likely to survive.

Trial registration

The study was approved by our institutional ethical committee (IRB No.108107-E).

Background

In-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) remains a largely unpredictable event, which can happen at any time, and has outcomes that are highly dependent on rapid diagnosis and treatment. Multiple studies suggest that healthcare providers often fail to detect changes or abnormalities in the vital signs of patients, hours before an IHCA event [1]. Currently, there are no evidence-based modalities or examinations for predicting cardiac arrest events. Computed tomography (CT) plays an important role in diagnosing diseases.

Dependent venous pooling of CT contrast agent was first described in 2002 [2]. CT features of impending cardiac arrest are characterised by pooling of contrast agent in the dependent parts of the right side of the body, including the venous system, right renal vein, and right lobe of the liver (Figure 1).

This sign was observed in patients with diverse conditions, including dissecting aorta [2,3], hypovolemic shock caused by trauma accident or internal bleeding [2-5], pulmonary embolism [3,6,7], myocardial infarction [8], constrictive pericarditis [8], bilateral pleural effusion and pericardial effusion [9], brain haemorrhage [8], and septic shock [2]. However, C.A.P. sign has only been described in case reports or series.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the accuracy of the C.A.P. sign in predicting imminent cardiac arrest.

We hypothesise that the risk of occurrence of cardiac arrest, within 1 hour, increases from the detection of the C.A.P. sign on the CT scan. Hence, the C.A.P. sign can be considered a new early warning sign to provide in-time interventions for critically ill patients, thereby preventing cardiac arrest incidents.

Methods

Study Design and Setting:

This retrospective cohort study was conducted in the Far Eastern Memorial Hospital (FEMH), New Taipei City, Taiwan between 1 January 2016 and 31 December 2018. The study has been approved by the Research Ethics Review Committee of the FEMH (IRB No.108107-E), which is organized under, and operates in accordance with, the Good Clinical Practice guidelines and government laws and regulations. Informed consent is waived as the study involved retrospective charts and images review. The study adheres to STARD statement whenever possible. FEMH has 1,300 inpatient beds, has 120,000 yearly visits in the Emergency Department (ED), and is the only medical centre in New Taipei City, which is the largest city in Taiwan with a population of 1,205,570 people.

Selection of Participants

The study included all patients who visited the FEMH ED during the study period, underwent intravenous contrast computed tomography (CT), and then experienced cardiac arrest in the ED. Optiray® 320 ioversol injection 68% was used as an intravenous contrast agent in our hospital. Its specific gravity is 1.371; the average specific gravity of adult blood is approximately 1.0506 at 37 °C [10].

Intervention

The patients' CT scans were evaluated by two senior physicians (with Cohen's kappa coefficient 0.82), with the patient's history blinded. We also collected other covariates including age (older than or equal to 65 years old, younger than 65 years old), sex (male and female), the latest record of heart rate and

systolic blood pressure before the CT scan, and the underlying comorbidities (history of hypertension diabetes mellitus, end stage renal disease, coronary artery disease, and heart failure).

Measurements

This study evaluates the occurrence of the C.A.P. sign on the chest or abdominal CT scan. It was defined as positive either when the contrast agent accumulated in the renal or hepatic vein or dependent part of liver, or when the contrast agent layering over vena cava was detected.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the accuracy of the C.A.P. sign in predicting imminent cardiac arrest (denoted as IHCA within 1 hour after the CT scan). The secondary outcome was whether a patient survived up to his or her discharge from the hospital (denoted as survival to discharge) with the presence of C.A.P. sign.

Statistical Analysis

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated by standard formulas for a binominal proportion. The corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated by the Wilson interval method. The accuracy of the test was determined. The distributions of the selected covariates were compared by the presence of C.A.P. sign. Categorical and binary variables were reported as percentages and analysed using chi-squared tests. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using Fisher's test to evaluate outcomes. Multivariate logistic regression models were used to estimate the adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A two-tailed p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using R software, version 3.5.3.

Results

Characteristics of the study subjects

In the study period, 726 patients experienced IHCA during their stay in the ED of FEMH. Among them, 138 patients underwent CT before cardiac arrest. Ten patients who received ECMO therapy before the CT scan were excluded, and therefore, 128 patients were included in the study.

Main results

The CT reports of 8.6% (N=11) of the patients were positive for the C.A.P. sign, whereas the CT reports of 91.4% (N=117) of the patients were negative for the sign (Figure 2).

Demographic characteristics of the study population and outcomes are summarised in Table 1. Most patients were male (60.2%) and were older than 65 years (57%). The profiles of underlying diseases were as follows: history of heart failure (8.6%, N=11), history of coronary artery disease (11.7%, N=15), history of chronic kidney disease (8.6%, N=11), history of diabetes mellitus (22.7%, N= 29), history of

hypertension (44.5%, N=57), and history of malignant diseases (17.2%, N=22). Before the IHCA occurred, heart rates higher than 100 bpm and systolic blood pressure lower than 90 mmHg were detected in 43.8% (N=56) and 39.1% (N=50) of the included patients, respectively. A total of 14.1% (N=18) of the included patients survived to discharge. No patient with a positive C.A.P. sign was diagnosed with diabetes mellitus previously. The heart rates of patients in the group with a negative C.A.P. sign were higher than those of patients in the group with a positive C.A.P. sign (46.2% vs. 18.2%); although, the difference was not statistically significant.

The clinical and imaging findings, as well as the outcome of the patients with positive C.A.P. sign are summarized in Table 2. Among 11 patients, there were 10 patients (90.9%) with contrast agent pooling at IVC, 6 patients (54.5%) with contrast agent pooling at hepatic veins, 2 patients (18.2%) at renal veins and 1 patient (9.1%) at SVC. No patient in this group was discharged alive.

The test characteristics of C.A.P. sign in predicting cardiac arrest are presented in Table 3. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of C.A.P. sign are listed in the table. The overall accuracy of C.A.P. sign in predicting cardiac arrest was 85.94% (95% CI: 78.69-91.45%). After adjusting for potential confounders, the C.A.P. sign was found to be positively associated with IHCA within 1 hour after the CT scan (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 11.60, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.97-68.20). However, the presence of the C.A.P. sign was negatively correlated with survival to discharge (unadjusted odds ratio [OR] 0.0081, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.00697 – 2.188). The results are presented in Table 3.

Changes in IHCA incidence percentage with respect to time are presented in Figure 3. About 45.5% of the patients with a positive C.A.P. sign collapsed within 30 minutes after the CT scan. A total of 7 out of the 11 patients (63.7%) with a positive C.A.P. sign collapsed within 1 hour after the CT scan. In contrast, only 4.3% of the patients with a negative C.A.P. sign were reported as having IHCA within 30 minutes after the CT scan, and the incidence percentages increased with time.

Discussion

The results of this study reveal that the presence of the C.A.P. sign on the CT scan strongly correlates with cardiac arrest and patients' prognosis. The patients with positive C.A.P. sign were more likely to experience cardiac arrest within 1 hour after the CT scan; on the other hand, less likely to survive.

C.A.P. sign occurs owing to the effect of gravity. The density of contrast agent is much higher than that of blood; thus, during normal physiological blood flow, specific gravity has little effect on the contrast agent dynamics. In patients with cardiogenic shock or impending circulatory collapse, both the arterial and venous blood flow dramatically decreases, and the contrast agent tends to accumulate in the dependent parts of the venous system [11]. Additionally, a lack of sufficient blood pressure during shock also inhibits penetration into the organ parenchyma and contributes to the pooling of contrast agent in major vessels [8]. About 52% of the cases demonstrate this effect of gravity after cardiac arrest [12].

In a retrospective study that reviewed 127 patients who underwent contrast-enhanced CT of the chest or abdomen and echocardiography, high injection rate ($>3\text{ml/sec}$), tricuspid regurgitation, pulmonary hypertension, and right ventricular systolic dysfunction were found to be an independent predictor of retrograde contrast agent pooling over inferior vena cava or hepatic vein ($p < 0.001$, < 0.01 , $= 0.05$, and < 0.005 , respectively) [13]. This study further demonstrated that the phenomenon of contrast agent pooling is caused by weak venous blood flow and may be influenced by contrast agent injection rate.

We found 25 articles, reporting 59 cases with the sign of dependent contrast agent pooling [2-29]. Age ranges from 6 to 87-year-old. 36 of 59 are male, 21 are female and 2 patients without mentioning of gender. The CT scans with contrast of chest and abdomen were arranged for the survey of trauma (18 cases), aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, sepsis and abdominal mass [Supplement table].

The site of contrast agent pooling is mostly found over inferior vena cava (IVC). Contrast agent pooling at IVC was described in 55 cases (93.2%). In 4 cases without contrast agent pooling at IVC, 3 cases are traumatic patients with massive hemoperitoneum, one of them with ruptured diaphragm and herniation of liver, the increased intraperitoneal pressure may suppress contrast agent regurgitation in IVC. In second patient without contrast agent pooling was with Type A aortic dissection, contrast agent refluxed at hepatic and lumbar vein. Besides of IVC, contrast agent pooling was also observed in sporadic cases over right hepatic vein, left hepatic vein, dependent liver parenchyma, right renal parenchyma, lumbar vein, splenic vein, superior mesenteric vein, superior vena cava, right atrium, right ventricle, coronary sinus, great cardiac vein, azygos vein and hemiazygos vein.

39 of 59 cases (66.1%) were reported to experience cardiac arrest within 30 minutes after CT. 35 of 39 cases developed cardiac arrest immediately after CT. Among 59 cases with contrast agent pooling sign, there were 10 cases (16.9%) with cardiac tamponade, 5 cases (8.5%) with cardiogenic shock. In 54 cases which mentioned about clinical outcome in literature, 44 cases (81.5%) expired eventually.

There was one case (1.7%) who did not experience cardiogenic shock or cardiac arrest despite of positive contrast agent pooling sign; however, extreme low ejection fraction was noted at follow up echocardiography [20].

Four pediatric cases were found in literature review, three of them were collapsed soon after CT scan [17,29]. One case with history of chronic constrictive pericarditis developed neither cardiac arrest nor cardiogenic shock was proven with severe right ventricular failure at follow up echocardiography.

Summarized from literature reviews and our cases, the feature of C.A.P. sign includes contrast agent pooling in IVC, right hepatic vein, left hepatic vein, dependent liver parenchyma, right renal parenchyma, lumbar vein, splenic vein, superior mesenteric vein, superior vena cava, right atrium, right ventricle, coronary sinus, great cardiac vein, azygos vein and hemiazygos vein. The most common site of contrast agent pooling is IVC (93.2% from the reported cases, 90.9% from our case series).

In our study, 63.7% of the patients with a positive C.A.P. sign experienced cardiac arrest within 1 hour, and all patients with a positive C.A.P. sign experienced cardiac arrest within 8 hours. Our result coincides with those of the previous studies, indicating that C.A.P. sign may be used as a predictor for imminent cardiac arrest.

Contrast-enhanced computed tomography may not be a diagnostic tool for impending cardiac arrest and severe cardiogenic shock. Nevertheless, if the patients underwent CT to survey critical illnesses, the physician has an opportunity to inspect for the C.A.P. sign. Recognition of the sign can effortlessly alert primary care physicians, and rapidly indicate the possibility of extremely low cardiac output or impending circulatory failure. Hence, C.A.P. sign can fetch physician's attention to activate timely evaluation and earlier intervention to save the patient's life. In some cases, earlier termination of CT may be necessary to provide immediate cardiopulmonary resuscitation and prevent end-organ ischemia or death.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the presentation of dependent venous pooling is not well defined. In some cases, contrast agent pooling was noted to be confined to the right hepatic lobe, right renal vein, inferior or superior vena cava, and even subclavian vein. This condition may imply specific haemodynamic disturbances in these cases.

Second, there are no statistical data on the incidence rate of C.A.P. sign in the normal population or the population with low cardiac output. Further studies are needed to investigate the incidence rate of C.A.P. sign in the normal population and in populations with extremely low cardiac output. Measurement of cardiac output during contrast-enhanced CT may provide more information about the development of the sign.

Third, selection bias. Our study focuses on the CT findings of the patients experienced cardiac arrest in emergency department of single medical centre. The statistic values of C.A.P. sign may not apply to all population. However, as the occurrence of C.A.P. sign in normal population is extremely rare, we believe the accuracy of C.A.P. sign in predicting cardiac arrest would be much higher if the study could include more patients.

Conclusion

The C.A.P. sign could be addressed as an imaging feature of circulatory failure, it could be used to predict imminent cardiac arrest and should be considered a warning sign for clinical physicians to provide in-time interventions for critically ill patients.

Abbreviations

C.A.P.: contrast agent pooling; CT: computed tomography; IHCA: in-hospital cardiac arrest; ED: emergency department; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; CIs: confidence intervals; ORs:

odds ratios; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; IVC: inferior vena cava; SVC: superior vena cava

Declarations

Acknowledgements

To ensure the language is clear and free of errors, English editing was performed by Editage, a division of Cactus Communication.

Authors' Contributions

SSS, CMF and KCT conceived the study. YSL, PAC, SSS, JSC, and JTS designed the study, and SSS prepared the IRB. SSS, YSL, PAC and JSC conducted data collection. JSC and JTS provided statistical advice on [study design](#) and analyzed the data. SSS drafted the article. JTS, BHK, SEC and YSL contributed to article review and revision. CMF and KCT supervised the conduct of the trial and provided quality control. SSS takes responsibility for the paper. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

We received no external funding for this publication.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study has been approved by the Research Ethics Review Committee of the FEMH (IRB No.108107-E). Since the study is retrospective charts and images review, informed consent is waived by IRB.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

Department of Emergency Medicine, Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan

References

1. Graham R, McCoy M, Schultz A. Strategies to improve cardiac arrest survival. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press; 2015. p.258
2. Tsai PP, Chen JH, Huang JL, Shen WC. Dependent Pooling. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol* 2002;178(5):1095–1099.
3. Hong SH, Kang EY, Huh S, Yong HS, Kim YK, Woo OH, et al. Emergent CT findings of impending cardiac arrest: a report of 4 cases. *Am J Emerg Med* 2013;31(3):637.e3-637.e6.
4. Kao HW, Wu CJ, Lo CP, Chang WC, Chen CY. Computed Tomographic Features of Circulatory Arrest. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2006;105(4):359–362.
5. Bagheri S, Taheri M, Pourghorban R, Shabani M. Computed Tomographic Imaging Features of Sudden Cardiac Arrest and Impending Cardiogenic Shock. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2012;36(3):291–4.
6. Meiner E, Rosioreanu A, Katz D. CT findings of impending cardiac arrest. *Am J Emerg Med* 2004;22(6):504–5.
7. Pua U. Computed Tomographic Features of Impending Cardiac Arrest. *J Emerg Med* 2011;41(5):534–5.
8. Roth C, Sneider M, Bogot N, Todd M, Cronin P. Dependent Venous Contrast Pooling and Layering: A Sign of Imminent Cardiogenic Shock. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol* 2006;186(4):1116–1119.
9. Jana M, Gamanagatti S, Kumar A. Case series: CT scan in cardiac arrest and imminent cardiogenic shock. *Indian J Radiol Imaging* 2010;20(2):150.
10. Trudnowski R, Rico R. Specific Gravity of Blood and Plasma at 4 and 37 °C. *Clin Chem* 1974;20(5):615–616.
11. Lin T, Lin C, Hsu H, Liou JT. Contrast Pooling and Layering in a Patient with Left Main Coronary Artery Occlusion and Cardiogenic Shock. *Acta Cardiol Sin* 2015;31(5):461–463.
12. Shiotani S, Kohno M, Ohashi N, et al. Postmortem Intravascular High-density Fluid Level (Hypostasis): CT Findings. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2002;26(6):892–893.
13. Yeh BM, Kurzman P, Foster E, Qayyum A, Joe B, Coakley F. Clinical relevance of retrograde inferior vena cava or hepatic vein opacification during contrast-enhanced CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2004;183(5):1227-1232.
14. Duddy M. Venous reflux in body computed tomography. *Clin Radiol* 1999;54(5):340.
15. Ko S, Ng S, Chen M, Lee T, Huang C, Wan Y. Sudden Cardiac Arrest During Computed Tomography Examination: Clinical Findings and “Dense Abdominal Veins” on Computed Tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2003;27(1):93–97.
16. Wu C, Lee R, Wu M, Chang C, Wu C. A Blood-contrast Level: A Sign of Cardiac Arrest. *Journal of Radiological Science* 2015; 40(4):133–5.

17. Verma M, Bhatia V, Singh L, Debi U, Sandhu M. 'IVC contrast level: a sign of cardiovascular dysfunction'. *Oxford Medical Case Reports*. 2019;2019(7).
18. Sueyoshi E, Imamura T, Sakamoto I, Uetani M, Matsuoka Y. Contrast-fluid level in the inferior vena cava (IVC niveau sign) in patients with acute type A aortic dissection: computed tomography findings during acute cardiac tamponade. *Jpn J Radiol* 2010; 28(4):278-282.
19. Hanafi MG, Sametzadeh M, Sahraeizadeh A. Impending cardiogenic shock due to tricyclic antidepressant toxicity, computed tomography findings; a case report. *International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences* 2016; 5(8):264-266.
20. Wagner WL, Spira D, André F, Kantharajah A, Kauczor H-U, Mokry T. Case report of dependent venous contrast pooling and layering in a patient without acute cardiogenic shock. *Medicine* 2018; 97(47).
21. El Hasbani G, Lopez EO, Castro ARR, Abouzeid B, Assaker R, Gamarra JV, et al. Cardiac arrest identified by a chest CT scan in a patient with normal telemetry findings. *Radiol Case Rep* 2019; 14(6):652-655.
22. Sullivan IW, Hota P, Dako F, Hajdinaj S, Davila B. Dependent layering of venous refluxed contrast: a sign of critically low cardiac output. *Radiol Case Rep* 2018; 14(2):230-234.
23. Reddy Ravikanth C, Hoisala R, Philip B. Dependent contrast venous pooling in cardiogenic shock on computed tomography imaging. *Saudi J Anaesth* 2008; 12(3):494-496.
24. Clark TJ, Suby-Long T. Computed tomography of acute heart failure: A novel case and literature review. *Radiol Case Rep* 2015; 10(1):1065.
25. Escoda AP, Gañan JH. Cardiac arrest: an infrequent radiologic diagnosis. *Emerg Med J* 2015; 32(4):340.
26. Millán S, Cistaré XG, Martín-Loeches I. Contrast-enhanced signs of cardiac arrest during CT. *Med intensiva* 2015; 39(4):261.
27. Kansagra AP, John-Paul JY. Cardiac arrest with impending circulatory collapse. *Emerg Med J* 2013; 30(9):753.
28. Singh K, Gervais D, Mueller P, Shirkhoda A, Sagar P, McCarroll K. Cardiac arrest: abdominal CT imaging features. *Abdominal imaging* 2004; 29(2):177-179.
29. Sinha A, Bhatia V, Debi U, Singh L, Bhalla A, Sandhu M. Imaging in Circulatory Arrest: Lessons to be Learned. *J Clin Imaging Sci* 2019; 24;9:44.

Tables

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study population

Variables	All	C.A.P. Sign (+)	C.A.P. Sign (-)	p-value
	N= 128	N= 11	N= 117	
Age				0.643
<65	55 (43.0%)	4 (36.4%)	51 (43.6%)	
≥65	73 (57.0%)	7 (63.6%)	66 (56.4%)	
Gender				0.373
Male	77 (60.2%)	8 (72.7%)	69 (59.0%)	
Female	51 (39.8%)	3 (37.5%)	48 (41.0%)	
Underlying diseases				
Heart failure	11 (8.6%)	1 (9.1%)	10 (8.5%)	0.950
Coronary artery disease	15 (11.7%)	1 (9.1%)	14 (12.0%)	0.776
Chronic kidney disease	11 (8.6%)	1 (9.1%)	10 (8.5%)	0.950
Diabetes mellitus	29 (22.7%)	0 (0.0%)	29 (24.8%)	0.060
Hypertension	57 (44.5%)	3 (27.3%)	54 (46.2%)	0.228
Malignancy	22 (17.2%)	1 (9.1%)	21 (17.9%)	0.456
Vital signs before IHCA				
HR > 100 bpm	56 (43.8%)	2 (18.2%)	54 (46.2%)	0.073
BP < 90 mmHg	50 (39.1%)	7 (63.6%)	43 (36.8%)	0.080
Others				
IHCA within 1 hr after CT scans	21 (16.4%)	7 (63.6%)	14 (12.0%)	<0.0001

survival to discharge	18 (14.1%)	0 (0%)	18 (15.4%)	0.160
-----------------------	---------------	--------	------------	-------

Table 2. The clinical and imaging findings of the patients with positive contrast agent pooling sign.

Figures

Figure 1

(A) Contrast agent pooling and layering over the inferior vena cava (arrow) (B) Contrast agent pooling over the right renal vein (arrow) (C) Retrograde pooling of the contrast agent over the dependent part of the hepatic veins and parenchyma (star) (D) Contrast agent layering over the superior vena cava (arrows) and pooling over the arch of the azygos vein (star)

Case	Gender	Age	Diagnosis	CT Findings	Timing of Cardiac arrest (after CT scan)	Survival to Discharge
1	F	66	Lymphoma with leukostasis	Contrast agent layering in hepatic vein; poor perfusion of spleen and kidneys	1-hour 40-minute	N
2	M	76	Cardiac tamponade, pneumonia	Contrast agent layering in IVC; massive pericardial effusion; bilateral lung consolidations and pleural effusion	5-hour 15-minute	N
3	M	81	Abdominal aortic aneurysm, rupture	Contrast agent pooling in IVC and renal veins; infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture with moderate hemoperitoneum	immediate	N
4	F	85	Ribs fracture with hemopneumothorax, pelvic fracture	Contrast agent pooling in IVC and hepatic veins; multiple ribs fracture with effusion; pelvic fracture with retroperitoneal bleeding	7-minute	N
5	M	66	Spleen laceration with internal bleeding	Contrast agent pooling in IVC and hepatic veins; massive hemoperitoneum	53-minute	N
6	M	77	Traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, subdural hematoma, lung contusion	Contrast agent pooling and layering in IVC; ground glass opacities over bilateral lungs	8-hour	N
7	M	85	Aortic dissection with cardiac tamponade	Contrast agent pooling in IVC, right renal veins and right dependent part of liver and hepatic veins; Contrast agent layering in SVC; type A aortic dissection with hemopericardium	12-minute	N
8	M	59	Acute myocardial infarction	Contrast agent layering in IVC; poor contrast enhancement of left ventricular wall	25-minute	N
9	M	63	Acute myocardial	Contrast agent pooling	12-minute	N

			infarction	in IVC; poor contrast enhancement of left ventricular wall		
10	M	50	Severe metabolic acidosis	Contrast agent pooling and layering in IVC; contrast agent pooling in hepatic veins	56-minute	N
11	F	57	Corrosive injury of upper gastrointestinal tract	Contrast agent pooling in IVC and hepatic veins; extensive wall swelling of esophagus, stomach, duodenum with poor contrast enhancement	1-hour 20-minute	N

Table 3. Test characteristics of Contrast Agent Pooling sign in predicting imminent cardiac arrest

hour	Cardiac arrest within 1-hour	Cardiac arrest after 1-hour
C.A.P. sign (positive)	7	4
C.A.P. sign (negative)	14	103

Sensitivity: 33.33% (95% CI: 14.59% to 56.97%)

Specificity: 96.26% (95% CI: 90.70% to 98.97%)

Positive Predictive Value: 63.64% (95% CI: 35.98% to 84.50%)

Negative Predictive Value: 88.03% (95% CI: 84.43% to 90.89%)

Accuracy: 85.94% (95% CI: 78.69% to 91.45%)

Multivariable logistic regression analysis on primary and secondary outcome by contrast pooling sign

Primary outcome: IHCA within 1 hour	unadjusted OR [95% CI]	Adjusted* OR [95% CI]
contrast pooling sign (-)	1.00	1.00
contrast pooling sign (+)	1.75 [0.51 – 5.97]	11.60 [1.97 – 68.20]
Secondary outcome: survival to discharge		
contrast pooling sign (-)	1.00	1.00
contrast pooling sign (+)	0.0081 [0.00697 – 2.188]	N/A

***Adjusted for age, sex, underlying diseases, latest vital signs**

Figure 2

The flow chart of the study population

Figure 3

Timing of cardiac arrest after the CT scan

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementTable.xlsx](#)