

Myeloid leukemia vulnerabilities at CTCF-enriched long noncoding RNA loci

Michelle Ng

Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg

Lonneke Verboon

Goethe University Frankfurt

Hasan Issa

Goethe University Frankfurt

Raj Bhayadia

Goethe University Frankfurt

Oriol Alejo-Valle

Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0988-7416>

Dorit Borchert

Hannover Medical School

Konstantin Schuschel

Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg

Eniko Regenyi

Free University of Berlin

Dirk Reinhardt

University Hospital Essen

Marie-Laure Yaspo

Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics

Dirk Heckl

Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg

Jan-Henning Klusmann (✉ jan-henning.klusmann@kgu.de)

Goethe University Frankfurt <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1070-0727>

Genetics Article

Keywords: long noncoding RNA, C-LNC, MYNRL15

Posted Date: December 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-727909/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Myeloid leukemia vulnerabilities at CTCF-enriched long noncoding RNA loci

Michelle Ng¹, Lonneke Verboon², Hasan Issa², Raj Bhayadia², Oriol Alejo Valle¹, Dorit Borchert³, Konstantin Schuschel², Eniko Regenyi^{1,4}, Dirk Reinhardt⁵, Marie-Laure Yaspo⁴, Dirk Heckl^{1,*}, Jan-Henning Klusmann^{2,*}

¹ Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), Germany

² Department of Pediatrics, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt (Main), Germany

³ Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

⁴ Department of Vertebrate Genomics, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany

⁵ Clinic for Pediatrics III, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany

* Co-corresponding authors; these authors contributed equally

Contact information for correspondence:

Prof. Dr. Jan-Henning Klusmann

jan-henning.klusmann@kqu.de

+49 151 4072 7844

or

Prof. Dirk Heckl

dirk.heckl@uk-halle.de

+49 152 5352 0105

Abstract word count: 235 (max 250)

Text word count: 3960 (max 4000)

Figure count: 5 (max 7)

References: 69 (max 100)

Running title: *MYNRL15* in myeloid leukemia

Keywords: long noncoding RNA, myeloid leukemia, CRISPR, chromatin architecture

1 Key points

- 2 • CTCF-enriched lncRNA locus *MYNRL15* represents a novel myeloid leukemia de-
3 pendency involved in chromatin architecture
- 4 • Elevated CTCF density characterizes a set of lncRNA loci enriched in leukemia vul-
5 nerabilities

6 Abstract

7 The noncoding genome presents a largely untapped source of biological insights, including
8 thousands of long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) loci. While some produce *bona fide* lncRNAs,
9 others exert transcript-independent *cis*-regulatory effects, and a lack of predictive features
10 renders mechanistic dissection challenging. Here, we describe *MYNRL15*, a CTCF-enriched
11 lncRNA locus and pan-myeloid leukemia dependency initially identified by expression-guided
12 CRISPR interference screens. We show that accessibility and integrity of the *MYNRL15* lo-
13 cus is required for myeloid leukemia maintenance; its perturbation selectively impairs acute
14 myeloid leukemia (AML) cells compared to hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells *in vitro*,
15 and depletes AML xenografts *in vivo*. While the *MYNRL15* transcript and neighboring pro-
16 tein-coding genes appear dispensable, dense CRISPR tiling of the locus revealed two cru-
17 cial candidate *cis*-regulatory DNA elements which drive the perturbation phenotype. Disrup-
18 tion of these elements triggers the formation of a tumor-suppressive, long-range chromatin
19 interaction. By integrating transcriptome profiling with a CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen of
20 genes from the gained interaction region, we pinpointed two downregulated, potent cancer
21 dependency genes as effectors of *MYNRL15* disruption: *WDR61* and *IMP3*. Finally, guided
22 by distinctive features of the *MYNRL15* locus, we find that elevated CTCF density character-
23 izes a set of lncRNA loci enriched in leukemia vulnerabilities (22.6-24.2% essentiality rate).
24 A catalog of CTCF-enriched lncRNA loci (C-LNCs) in 18 cell types representing different
25 cancer entities and tissues is provided with this study, towards refining the search for
26 noncoding oncogenic vulnerabilities in leukemia and other malignancies.

27 Introduction

28 It becomes increasingly clear that the 98% of the human genome that does not encode pro-
29 tein nonetheless contains a wide range of functional elements that are vital for cellular home-
30 ostasis^{1,2}. These include *cis*-regulatory elements such as enhancers and promoters, insula-
31 tors and other determinants of genome topology, as well as a large number and variety of
32 non-protein-coding transcripts. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) in particular comprise a
33 substantial portion of the noncoding transcriptome³⁻⁵ and in recent years, have emerged as
34 important players in diverse cellular processes and contexts⁶⁻⁸. The hematopoietic system is
35 no exception, where lncRNAs have been described to regulate cell programming and fate⁹,
36 and where their dysregulation has been tied to malignancy¹⁰⁻¹⁶. LncRNAs present a signifi-
37 cant opportunity to extend our understanding of human health and disease; however, the
38 fact remains that the vast majority of lncRNA loci lack functional characterization, and may
39 regulate cellular behaviour in ways yet unknown. Indeed, characterization is often a difficult
40 process complicated by *cis*-regulatory mechanisms unrelated to the transcriptional product¹⁷⁻
41 ²³. Improved functional classification systems are imperative for expediting investigations into
42 lncRNA determinants of pathophysiology, including the search for noncoding oncogenic vul-
43 nerabilities.

44 Methods

45 Additional method details can be found in the Supplemental Material.

46 ***Lentiviral vectors***

47 Individual sgRNA and shRNA sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 7. The sgRNA se-
48 quences of the four CRISPR libraries in this study are provided in Supplemental Tables 1-3
49 and 5. Please refer to the Supplemental Material for details on CRISPR library design, clon-
50 ing, and screening, as well as for a complete list of expression plasmids and Addgene IDs.

51 Cells and cell culture

52 HEK293T cells and the human leukemia cell lines K562, ML-2, NOMO-1, KASUMI-1, SKNO-
53 1, and M-07E were obtained from the German National Resource Center for Biological Mate-
54 rial (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) and cultured according to their recommendations. Hu-
55 man CD34⁺ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) were isolated from mobilized
56 peripheral blood from anonymous healthy donors, and enriched using anti-CD34 immuno-
57 magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech). Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) samples were pro-
58 vided by the Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster Study Group (AML-BFM-SG, Essen, Germany), and
59 expanded via serial xenotransplantation in mice. Informed consent was obtained from all hu-
60 man participants or custodians. All investigations were approved by the local ethics commit-
61 tee of the Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg.

62 Hematopoietic assays

63 CD34⁺ HSPCs were thawed and expanded in StemSpan SFEM (STEMCELL Technologies)
64 containing 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco™), 100 ng/ml SCF, 100 ng/ml FLT3L, 20 ng/ml
65 IL6, 50 ng/ml TPO (cytokines from Peprotech), and 750nM SR1 (STEMCELL Technologies)
66 for 2 days prior to transduction. Cells were transduced in the presence of 4 µg/ml Polybrene
67 (Sigma-Aldrich) on RetroNectin®-coated plates (TaKaRa), using two consecutive rounds of
68 super-concentrated virus. Four days post-transduction, the cells were sorted and plated in
69 human methylcellulose complete medium HSC003 (R&D Systems) for colony-forming as-
70 says. Fifteen thousand cells were initially plated over two 6 mm dishes. The colonies were
71 counted once they had reached a sufficient size (10-14 days).

72 For assays using patient-derived AML blasts, *in vivo* expanded samples were thawed and
73 pre-cultured in StemSpan SFEM (STEMCELL Technologies) containing 1% penicillin/strep-
74 tomycin (Gibco™), 50 ng/ml SCF, 50 ng/ml FLT3L, 10 ng/ml IL6, 2.5 ng/ml IL3, 10 ng/ml
75 TPO (cytokines from Peprotech), and 750 nM SR1 and 35 nM UM171 (both from STEM-
76 CELL Technologies) for 24-48 hours. Transductions were conducted in the presence of 2

77 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were harvested 48 hours post-transduction for
78 xenotransplantation into mice or for colony-forming assays.

79 ***Animal experiments***

80 Two-color *in vivo* competition experiments were performed in murine xenograft models of
81 AML as previously described^{24,25}. In brief, stable dCas9-KRAB cell lines or *in vivo* expanded
82 patient-derived AML cells (PDXs) were transduced with E2Crimson or dTomato sgRNA vec-
83 tors, mixed 1:1, and injected via tail vein into irradiated (2.5 Gy), 8-10 week old NOD.Cg-Prk-
84 dc^{scid} Il2rgtm^{1Wjl}/SzJ (NSG) recipients. One to two million cells were injected per mouse, and
85 tracked via flow cytometry on peripheral blood samples every 4 weeks. The mice were sacri-
86 ficed upon leukemia onset, at which point cells were isolated from the bone marrow, spleen,
87 and liver, and analyzed by flow cytometry. All mice were housed in a pathogen-free environ-
88 ment at the Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg. All animal procedures were approved
89 by the local state authorities (Landesverwaltungsamt Sachsen-Anhalt).

90 ***RNA sequencing***

91 RNA was isolated from cells using the Quick-RNATM Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research) on days
92 3 and 6 or 7 post-transduction (for ML-2 and K562, respectively; the late time point was se-
93 lected based on depletion kinetics, see Fig. 2c). PolyA-enriched total cellular RNA sequenc-
94 ing was performed by Novogene Company, Ltd. Differential expression analysis was con-
95 ducted in R using DESeq2²⁶ (Bioconductor). Gene sets from MSigDB v7.2 (H1, C2, C3, C6),
96 custom hematopoietic¹⁶ and chromosome 15 gene sets, and PAF1c-knockout expression
97 signatures²⁷ were checked for enrichment in the Broad GSEA software²⁸. Custom positional
98 gene sets were generated by walking a 1 Mb or 5 Mb window along chromosome 15. Gene
99 ontology analysis was performed using the DAVID²⁹ online functional annotation tool
100 (<https://david.ncicrf.gov/summary.jsp>).

101 NG Capture-C

102 Chromatin conformation capture with selective enrichment for *MYNRL15*-interacting se-
103 quences was performed using next generation (NG) Capture-C as previously described³⁰,
104 with minor modifications. Transduced K562 or ML-2 cells (day 3 post-transduction) and *in*
105 *vitro* expanded CD34⁺ HSPCs (day 3) were used to evaluate the effect of *MYNRL15* pertur-
106 bation and the native conformation of the locus, respectively. We used biotinylated oligonu-
107 cleotides (sequences in Supplemental Table 7) corresponding to a viewpoint in the candi-
108 date *cis*-regulatory region C1 to enrich for interactions involving the locus. Two biological
109 replicates were prepared per sample and pooled for oligonucleotide capture. The raw se-
110 quencing data were processed with the capC-MAP package³¹.

111 C-LNC discovery

112 To identify CTCF-bound genic loci, we overlapped ENCODE CTCF ChIP-seq peaks with
113 gene annotations from GENCODE v23 (release 07/2015)³². CTCF density was determined
114 by counting the number of CTCF binding sites and normalizing by gene length. Log₁₀-trans-
115 formed values of this metric followed an approximately normal distribution; thus, we defined
116 elevated CTCF density as > 2 s.d. above the median. Our analysis focused on loci that pro-
117 duce long (>200 nt) coding or noncoding transcripts and included the following biotypes: pro-
118 tein coding, lncRNA, lincRNA, processed transcript, and pseudogene.

119 Statistical analyses

120 Statistical evaluations of experimental data were carried out in GraphPad Prism 9 using two-
121 tailed, unpaired t tests. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. or s.e.m. as indicated in the figure
122 legends. Statistical analyses of gene expression data (RNA-seq) were carried out in R using
123 DESeq2. Survival analyses were also performed in R using the Kaplan-Meier method and
124 two-sided log-rank tests as implemented in the survival and survminer packages. CRISPR-
125 Cas9 screening data were analyzed using MAGeCK to call essential genes, with the excep-
126 tion of the tiling screens, which were analyzed in R using DESeq2. *P*<0.05 was considered

127 significant. Sample sizes are indicated in the figure legends. No statistical methods were
128 used to predetermine sample size.

129 **Data availability**

130 All RNA-seq, Capture-C, CUT&RUN, and ATAC-seq data have been deposited in the Gene
131 Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the accession number GSE172240 (reviewer access to-
132 ken: alstwikmtdyvjst). Raw sequencing data from the CRISPR-Cas9 screens have been de-
133 posited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under the accession num-
134 bers PRJEB44308 and PRJEB44320. K562 ChIP-seq data from ENCODE were utilized in
135 this study: CTCF (ENCFF519CXF), SMC3 (ENCFF175UEE), H3K27Ac (ENCFF469JMR),
136 H3K4Me1 (ENCFF100FDI), H3K4Me3 (ENCFF767UON). In addition, CTCF ChIP-seq data
137 from 18 cell lines and primary cell types were also used (ENCODE accession numbers listed
138 in Supplemental Table 6). Micro-C³³ and Hi-C³⁴ data were accessed via the UCSC Genome
139 Browser. Gene expression data from adult and pediatric AML patients were obtained from
140 TCGA (<https://gdc.cancer.gov/access-data>) and NCI-TARGET ([https://ocg.cancer.gov/pro-
141 grams/target/data-matrix](https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/target/data-matrix)), respectively.

142 **Results**

143 ***CRISPRi screens of HSPC/AML lncRNAs identify MYNRL15 as a leukemia dependency***

144 We previously developed a noncoding RNA expression atlas of the human blood system en-
145 compassing hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and their differentiated progeny, as well as pe-
146 diatric acute myeloid leukemia (AML) samples¹⁶. In addition to stem cell signatures reminis-
147 cent of those previously established for protein-coding genes³⁵⁻³⁸, we discovered progenitor-
148 and AML subtype-associated lncRNA profiles that could potentially serve as leukemia-spe-
149 cific targets, given their absence in HSCs (Fig. 1a). To probe this resource for functionality
150 and find novel AML vulnerabilities, we conducted a CRISPRi-based dropout screen of 480
151 lncRNA genes from 8 distinct signatures in 6 human leukemia cell lines (Fig. 1b). The cell
152 lines were selected to represent relevant cytogenetic subgroups of AML – ML-2, NOMO-1

153 (*KMT2A*-rearranged), SKNO-1, KASUMI-1 (standard risk with t[8:21]), M-07E (high risk with
154 inv[16]) – and we also included the well-studied erythroleukemia line K562. Cells stably ex-
155 pressing dCas9-KRAB were transduced with a sgRNA library targeted to lncRNA transcrip-
156 tion start sites (Supplemental Table 1), and the sgRNA abundances were quantified via next
157 generation sequencing at the start and end of 18 population doublings in order to identify es-
158 sential lncRNAs. One candidate emerged as crucial in all six tested cell lines – *AC068831.3*
159 (ID: ENSG00000224441 in Ensembl v85 [release 07/2016]), which we renamed *MYNRL15*
160 (myeloid leukemia noncoding regulatory locus on chromosome 15; Fig. 1c-d, Supplemental
161 Fig. 1, Supplemental Table 1).

162 *MYNRL15* is a low-abundance, nuclear-enriched transcript (Supplemental Fig. 2a-b) origi-
163 nating from chromosome 15, where it is flanked by two protein-coding genes: *UNC45A* and
164 *HDDC3* (Fig. 1c). Given the local effect of the CRISPRi system on neighboring genes (Sup-
165 plemental Fig. 2c), a range of gain- and loss-of-function approaches were necessary in order
166 to delineate the source of the *MYNRL15* knockdown phenotype (Fig. 1e-f, Supplemental Fig.
167 2d-g). While CRISPR mediated excision of *MYNRL15* using paired sgRNA vectors recapitu-
168 lated the effect produced by CRISPRi, repression of the transcript via shRNAs and LNA-gap-
169 meRs had little impact on cell viability (Fig. 1e, Supplemental Fig. 2d-f). Both protein-coding
170 neighbors were also dispensable, as determined by individual as well as combined CRISPR-
171 Cas9 mediated knockout of *UNC45A* and *HDDC3*, and by CRISPRi mediated knockdown of
172 *HDDC3* (Fig. 1e, Supplemental Fig. 2d-g). In addition, overexpression of *MYNRL15* cDNAs
173 failed to rescue the CRISPRi knockdown phenotype (Fig. 1f). Altogether, these data indicate
174 that neither of the flanking protein-coding genes, nor the *MYNRL15* transcript, is responsible
175 for the function of this locus in myeloid leukemia cells, and rather suggest *MYNRL15* as an
176 expressed noncoding regulatory locus.

177 ***Functional dissection of the MYNRL15 locus reveals crucial regulatory regions***

178 Given the apparent dispensability of *UNC45A*, *HDDC3*, and the *MYNRL15* transcript itself in
179 leukemia cells, we hypothesized that *MYNRL15* may harbor DNA regulatory elements which

180 drive its leukemia dependency phenotype. To test this hypothesis, we functionally dissected
181 the *MYNRL15* locus via complementary CRISPRi and CRISPR-Cas9 screens tiling a 15 kb
182 area centered on *MYNRL15*. Cell lines (K562, ML-2, M-07E, KASUMI-1) stably expressing
183 either dCas9-KRAB or Cas9 were transduced with a sgRNA library covering the region at a
184 mean density of 0.11 sgRNAs per bp (Supplemental Table 2), with the expectation that cru-
185 cial areas would be demarcated by hubs of depleting sgRNAs. Notably, the *MYNRL15* locus
186 contains several regions that exhibit features characteristic of *cis*-regulatory elements, such
187 as H3K4Me1 and H3K27Ac histone marks, DNase hypersensitivity, and transcription factor
188 occupancy including multiple CTCF and cohesin binding sites (Fig. 2a). The screens uncov-
189 ered two crucial DNA segments whose accessibility and integrity were required by leukemia
190 cells (Fig. 2b, Supplemental Fig. 3a). Both enhanced reporter gene expression in dual lucif-
191 erase assays (Supplemental Fig. 3b) – nominating these as functional sequences and candi-
192 date *cis*-regulatory elements (cCREs C1-2). We note that inclusion of the dCas9 variant in
193 the screening strategy alleviated concerns over potential off-target DNA damage-driven phe-
194 notypes³⁹ and increased our confidence in the results of the screen. The CRISPR-Cas9 mu-
195 tagenesis strategy also reiterated that leukemia cells do not seem particularly dependent on
196 the *UNC45A* and *HDDC3* coding sequences, arguing that local enhancer functions on these
197 genes are unlikely to underlie the anti-leukemic effect of *MYNRL15* perturbation.

198 Aiming to identify the target genes and pathways controlled by the *MYNRL15* locus, we next
199 performed RNA sequencing following the disruption of cCREs C1 and C2 via CRISPR-Cas9
200 mediated induction of DNA double-strand breaks (hereafter referred to simply as *MYNRL15*
201 perturbation). We opted for the CRISPR-Cas9 system in an effort to obtain a more targeted
202 perturbation of *MYNRL15* and mitigate effects on *UNC45A* and *HDDC3* caused by CRISPRi.
203 We selected two guides from each cCRE, all of which robustly depleted K562 and ML-2 leu-
204 kemia cells (Fig. 2c). This depletion phenotype was underpinned by global changes in gene
205 expression (Fig. 2d, Supplemental Fig. 4a-d), including the dramatic suppression of cancer
206 dependency signatures related to proliferation and metabolism across both cell lines and two

207 time points (Fig. 2d, Supplemental Fig. 4d). While these results corroborate *MYNRL15*'s leu-
208 kemia dependency phenotype – with the downregulated genes being enriched for members
209 of crucial oncogenic pathways (Fig. 2d, Supplemental Fig. 4c-d) – no obvious target genes
210 emerged, leading us to consider the alternative that *MYNRL15* may regulate multiple genes
211 in a genomic neighborhood⁴⁰ in a more subtle manner. To explore this possibility, we applied
212 a sliding window approach to gene set enrichment analysis using 1 Mb and 5 Mb sections of
213 chromosome 15. This approach revealed positional gene sets that were coordinately dereg-
214 ulated upon *MYNRL15* perturbation, including the local area around *MYNRL15* and a distal
215 upstream region (Fig. 2e), as well as others that were restricted to K562 or ML-2 cells (Sup-
216 plemental Fig. 4e).

217 ***Altered chromosome 15 architecture underlies the MYNRL15 perturbation phenotype***

218 Given the deregulation of chromosome 15 neighborhoods upon *MYNRL15* perturbation, we
219 explored whether *MYNRL15* may be involved in chromatin conformation via next generation
220 Capture-C (NG Capture-C)³⁰, using probes complementary to *MYNRL15* cCRE C1 to enrich
221 for interactions involving the locus. We elected to focus on cCRE C1 because of the strong
222 divergent H3K27Ac / H3K4me1 signal and CTCF binding site overlapping the element, as
223 well as the potency of its perturbation phenotype (Fig. 2b-c). Capture-C revealed extensive
224 chromatin contacts between *MYNRL15* and sequences within a 500 kb radius, with weaker
225 contacts occurring up to 2 Mb away – a profile that remained consistent between K562 and
226 ML-2 cells (Fig. 3a-c). The local interaction peaks demarcate nearby contact domains (Fig.
227 3c, Supplemental Fig. 5a-b), implicating *MYNRL15* in the 3D organization of this region of
228 chromosome 15. Interestingly, *MYNRL15* perturbation had little impact on this local interac-
229 tion profile, instead causing cells to gain two long-range interactions 12 Mb and 15 Mb up-
230 stream of the locus at the anchor of a hierarchical loop (Fig. 3b, Supplemental Fig. 5a), indi-
231 cating to 3D chromatin reorganization upon *MYNRL15* perturbation that brings the locus into
232 contact with this structure. We further note the presence of distal interactions in this region in
233 CD34⁺ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs; Supplemental Fig. 6a), suggesting

234 that *MYNRL15* perturbation in leukemic cells may restore the long-range connectivity of nor-
235 mal blood cells. Consistent with *MYNRL15*'s involvement in chromosome 15 conformation,
236 CTCF – a fundamental determinant of genome topology, which occupies three sites in the
237 *MYNRL15* locus (Fig. 2a) – showed diminished binding at the locus and gained interaction
238 sites following *MYNRL15* perturbation, among other subtle changes (Fig. 3d, Supplemental
239 Fig. 6b). This was accompanied by diffuse gains in chromatin accessibility across the gained
240 interaction sites (Fig. 3e, Supplemental Fig. 6c).

241 Having narrowed down the source of the *MYNRL15* perturbation effect to the gained chro-
242 matin interaction regions, we lastly conducted a small CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen of the
243 29 protein-coding genes located in this region (Supplemental Table 3). Thus, by integrating
244 the chromatin conformation and transcriptomic changes with leukemia dependency data, we
245 finally pinpointed two putative downstream effector genes of *MYNRL15* perturbation: *IMP3*
246 and *WDR61* (Fig. 3f, Supplemental Fig. 6d-e). Both are located in the gained chromatin in-
247 teraction region and are downregulated upon *MYNRL15* perturbation, in addition to scoring
248 as leukemia dependencies. *WDR61* is a component of the PAF1 complex (PAF1c), which is
249 involved in key transcriptional programs during hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis^{41,42}. Ex-
250 pression profiles induced by Paf1c inactivation²⁷ were also detected upon *MYNRL15* pertur-
251 bation (Supplemental Fig. 6f). *IMP3* encodes a component of the 60-80S U3 small nucleolar
252 ribonucleoprotein, which is required for early cleavages during pre-18S ribosomal RNA pro-
253 cessing⁴³. It is a homolog of the yeast Imp3 protein and has yet to be comprehensively stud-
254 ied in human cells to date. CRISPR-Cas9 mediated knockout of *WDR61* and *IMP3* robustly
255 depleted K562 and ML-2 cells (Supplemental Fig. 6g), recapitulating the *MYNRL15* perturba-
256 tion phenotype and positioning these genes as its effectors (Fig. 3g).

257 ***AML specificity and potential therapeutic applicability of MYNRL15***

258 To evaluate whether *MYNRL15* dependency is specific to leukemic cells, we leveraged all-
259 in-one lentiviral CRISPR-Cas9 constructs in primary human CD34⁺ HSPCs and blasts de-
260 rived from two AML patients (see Supplemental Table 4 for patient characteristics). The

261 transduced cells were sorted and seeded in methylcellulose-based colony-forming assays.
262 While *MYNRL15* perturbation moderately attenuated colony formation in CD34⁺ HSPCs, it
263 had little effect on replating capacity and differentiation (Supplemental Fig. 7a). In contrast,
264 AML colony-forming units were virtually eradicated (Supplemental Fig. 7b) – implying that
265 *MYNRL15* perturbation selectively impacts AML cells, and outlining a possible therapeutic
266 window (Fig. 4a).

267 To assess the therapeutic potential of *MYNRL15* perturbation, we applied CRISPRi-based
268 two-color competitive xenotransplantation assays using AML cell lines and patient-derived
269 xenografts (PDXs; Fig. 4b, Supplemental Table 4 for patient characteristics). Importantly,
270 *MYNRL15* perturbation impaired the propagation of two AML cell lines and two PDXs in re-
271 cipient mice (Fig. 4b, Supplemental Fig. 7c-d), confirming its capacity to deplete leukemic
272 cells *in vivo*. Combined with the selective impairment of AML cells via *MYNRL15* perturba-
273 tion, these results provide a proof-of-principle of how *MYNRL15* perturbation may be lever-
274 aged as a therapeutic strategy.

275 ***CTCF density metrics predict other myeloid leukemia dependency lncRNA loci***

276 Having implicated *MYNRL15* in 3D genome organization and demonstrated its therapeutic
277 potential, we explored whether features of *MYNRL15* could be used to identify other biologi-
278 cally relevant lncRNA loci that have thus far been overlooked due to their lack of transcript-
279 specific functions. Given the effect of *MYNRL15* on chromatin architecture and the multiple
280 CTCF binding sites harbored in the locus, we explored CTCF density as a predictive metric
281 for uncovering loci like *MYNRL15* (Fig. 5a, Supplemental Fig. 8a-c). Log₁₀-transformed val-
282 ues of this metric followed a near-normal distribution, and a cut-off of two standard devia-
283 tions from the median identified 654 genes with elevated CTCF density which were highly
284 enriched for lncRNAs (>80%, n=531 using K562 ChIP-seq data) (Fig. 5a, Supplemental Fig.
285 8a-b). The remaining loci comprised mainly of protein-coding genes (Fig. 5a, Supplemental
286 Fig. 8b), with *bona fide* lncRNAs such as *PVT1* and *XIST* also featuring in the lower part of
287 the ranked list; others do not feature at all, due to an absence of CTCF binding sites. These

288 observations support CTCF density as a relevant metric for distinguishing a putative subset
289 of lncRNA loci with transcript-independent functions, hereafter referred to as CTCF-enriched
290 lncRNA loci, or C-LNCs. In addition to elevated CTCF density, these loci also tend to display
291 low gene expression and short genomic length (median 1 kb; Supplemental Fig. 8c) – mirror-
292 ing *MYNRL15*, which produces a low-abundance transcript and spans 2544 bp on chromo-
293 some 15, and providing additional predictive features.

294 As a first step in determining the relevance of C-LNCs to myeloid leukemia, we tested their
295 association with clinical aspects in two AML patient cohorts^{44,45}. This revealed that 43% and
296 54% of the identified C-LNCs associated with genetically-defined AML subgroups or patient
297 survival in the two AML cohorts, respectively (Fig. 5a-b, Supplemental Fig. 8d-e), suggesting
298 that activity at these loci could underpin aberrant transcription factor programs and/or influ-
299 ence patient outcomes. Further, 22.6-24.2% functionally validated as essential for leukemia
300 maintenance in CRISPR-Cas9 screens tiling CTCF sites in the loci (Fig. 5c, Supplemental
301 Fig 8f, Supplemental Table 5) – a hit identification rate that is 4-10-fold higher than what is
302 typically reported for lncRNA essentiality screens⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ (range: 2-6%), including our own initial
303 screen of HSPC/AML lncRNA signatures (4.6%; Fig. 5c, Supplemental Fig. 8f). We validated
304 one top hit from the C-LNC screen: *RP11-69L16.5* (ID: ENSG00000220472 in Ensembl v85
305 [release 07/2016]). CRISPR-Cas9 mediated perturbation produced a robust anti-leukemic
306 phenotype accompanied by strong upregulation of the transcript (Supplemental Fig. 9a-b).
307 High *RP11-69L16.5* expression accordingly associated with improved event-free survival in
308 the NCI-TARGET⁴⁴ AML cohort (Supplemental Fig. 9c-d). These data illustrate the effective-
309 ness of CTCF density metrics in refining functional lncRNA candidate lists, and underline the
310 relevance such loci could hold for AML and cancer pathophysiology in general. We provide a
311 catalog of C-LNCs spanning 18 cell lines and primary cell types (Fig. 5d, Supplemental Fig.
312 10, Supplemental Table 6) – www.C-LNC.org – as a basis for advancing the discovery of
313 both novel oncogenic vulnerabilities and functional lncRNA loci in other contexts.

314 Discussion

315 There is general agreement that the current lncRNA classification system leaves much to be
316 desired, necessitating extensive experimental labor in order to discern between the possible
317 modes of action for any given lncRNA²². Through the functional and molecular dissection of
318 *MYNRL15*, we provide evidence for myeloid leukemia vulnerabilities at noncoding regulatory
319 loci involved in chromatin architecture, and demonstrate pathophysiological as well as poten-
320 tial therapeutic relevance. We moreover present predictive metrics based on distinct features
321 of *MYNRL15* – namely, elevated CTCF density, low expression, and short span – and estab-
322 lish their utility in distinguishing a set of lncRNA loci (CTCF-enriched lncRNA loci, or C-LNC)
323 that is 4-10-fold enriched for myeloid leukemia dependencies compared to other lncRNA es-
324 sentiality screens⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸. Extending these findings, we provide a catalog of C-LNCs across 18
325 cell lines and primary cell types at www.C-LNC.org or in Supplemental Table 6, as a basis
326 for investigating C-LNCs in other cellular contexts. These and other efforts aimed at improv-
327 ing the functional classification of lncRNAs^{19,22,49,50} will expedite the development of accurate
328 and comprehensive annotations, and facilitate the process of delineating transcript-depend-
329 ent from -independent functions.

330 In our study, *MYNRL15* perturbation resulted in the formation of a long-range chromatin in-
331 teraction, leading to the downregulation of *WDR61* and *IMP3* and tumor suppression. Given
332 the accompanying reduction of CTCF occupancy, we expect this to occur through a mecha-
333 nism similar to topologically associating domain (TAD) fusion⁵¹⁻⁵³, although on a larger scale
334 than typically observed for TADs⁵⁴. Alternatively, or perhaps concurrently, the attenuation of
335 CTCF binding upon *MYNRL15* perturbation may strengthen compartmentalization⁵⁵ and pro-
336 mote longer-range, higher-order architecture. We note that, while there is substantial overlap
337 between enhancer RNA (eRNA) and lncRNA annotations⁵⁶, and while some of our data sup-
338 port a local enhancer-like function for *MYNRL15*, we did not find evidence for locally-driven
339 phenotypes or RNA function, and instead demonstrate long-range architectural changes fol-

340 lowing perturbation of the *MYNRL15* locus, thereby separating *MYNRL15* from classical eR-
341 NAs. Unlike *MYNRL15*, eRNAs also tend to be unspliced and are predicted to be less than
342 150 nt in length; however, these definitions are far from binding⁵⁶.

343 Given the attenuated impact of *MYNRL15* perturbation on normal HSPCs compared to AML
344 cells, we surmise that distal connectivity may be the native conformation of the locus that is
345 lost during leukemic transformation; thus re-introducing it would selectively impair leukemic
346 cells. The oncogenic rewiring of 3D chromatin architecture through mutations and structural
347 variants has been reported in cancer^{53,57-60}. However, it is unlikely that genetic alteration un-
348 derlies *MYNRL15*'s role in leukemia, since the locus is required by cells from varied cyto-
349 genetic and mutational backgrounds, and its perturbation drives matching chromatin changes
350 in two divergent cell lines. We speculate instead that *MYNRL15* may be involved in unifying
351 leukemic genome organization signatures – a phenomenon that has long been established
352 for stemness-related expression and epigenetic signatures^{35,36,48}. Recent works have begun
353 to implicate aspects of chromatin architecture in cell state transitions during hematopoiesis⁶¹⁻
354 ⁶⁴ and in the maintenance of leukemic transcription programs⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷. We expect future studies
355 will further reveal leukemic 3D genome organization signatures that underpin general onco-
356 genic behaviors, irrespective of mutational drivers.

357 With our preliminary catalog of C-LNCs spanning various human cell types and tissue con-
358 texts (www.C-LNC.org), we provide a resource that we hope will catalyze future research
359 and lay a foundation for unravelling principles of C-LNC function in healthy and malignant
360 cells. Based on their elevated CTCF densities and other shared features, we hypothesize
361 that many may function through similar mechanisms as *MYNRL15*. Given the high essential-
362 ity rate we observed in myeloid leukemia, C-LNCs could represent a major refinement in the
363 search for both functional lncRNA loci and noncoding oncogenic vulnerabilities.

364 Acknowledgements

365 We thank D. Downes and J. R. Hughes (MRC Weatherall Institute, Oxford) for providing the
366 NG Capture-C protocol and consulting on experimental design. We also thank A. Schwarzer
367 (Hannover Medical School), V. Amstislavskiy (Centogene), F. F. Adams (Roche), and J. L.
368 Mateo (University of Oviedo) for bioinformatics guidance, and A. Kovacsovics (MPI for Mo-
369 lecular Genetics, Berlin), A. Navarrete Santos, L. Gack, K. Huke, K. Menge, C. Beyer, and
370 R. Winkler (Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg) for technical assistance. This work
371 was supported by the following funding: J.H.K. – ERC Horizon 2020 program (714226), St.
372 Baldrick’s Robert J. Arceci Innovation Award; D.H. – German Cancer Aid (111743); M.N. –
373 Hannover Biomedical Research School.

374 Author contributions

375 M.N., L.V., H.I., R.B., O.A.V., and D.B. performed experiments and analyzed the results.
376 M.N., K.S., E.R., and M.L.Y. conducted bioinformatic analyses. D.R. provided patient sam-
377 ples. D.H. and J.H.K. designed and supervised the study. M.N., D.H., and J.H.K. crafted the
378 manuscript and figures. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

379 Conflict of interest

380 D.R. has advisory roles for Celgene Corporation, Novartis, Bluebird Bio, Janssen, and re-
381 ceives research funding from CLS Behring and Roche. J.H.K. has advisory roles for Bluebird
382 Bio, Novartis, Roche and Jazz Pharmaceuticals.

References

- 1 Encode Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* **489**, 57-74, doi:10.1038/nature11247 (2012).
- 2 Encode Project Consortium *et al.* Expanded encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. *Nature* **583**, 699-710, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2493-4 (2020).
- 3 Fang, S. *et al.* NONCODEV5: a comprehensive annotation database for long non-coding RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, D308-D314, doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1107 (2018).
- 4 Iyer, M. K. *et al.* The landscape of long noncoding RNAs in the human transcriptome. *Nat Genet* **47**, 199-208, doi:10.1038/ng.3192 (2015).
- 5 Uszczyńska-Ratajczak, B., Lagarde, J., Frankish, A., Guigo, R. & Johnson, R. Towards a complete map of the human long non-coding RNA transcriptome. *Nat Rev Genet* **19**, 535-548, doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0017-y (2018).
- 6 Yao, R. W., Wang, Y. & Chen, L. L. Cellular functions of long noncoding RNAs. *Nat Cell Biol* **21**, 542-551, doi:10.1038/s41556-019-0311-8 (2019).
- 7 Slack, F. J. & Chinnaiyan, A. M. The Role of Non-coding RNAs in Oncology. *Cell* **179**, 1033-1055, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.017 (2019).
- 8 Statello, L., Guo, C. J., Chen, L. L. & Huarte, M. Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **22**, 96-118, doi:10.1038/s41580-020-00315-9 (2021).
- 9 Alvarez-Dominguez, J. R. & Lodish, H. F. Emerging mechanisms of long noncoding RNA function during normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *Blood* **130**, 1965-1975, doi:10.1182/blood-2017-06-788695 (2017).
- 10 Beck, D. *et al.* A four-gene LincRNA expression signature predicts risk in multiple cohorts of acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Leukemia* **32**, 263-272, doi:10.1038/leu.2017.210 (2018).
- 11 Bill, M. *et al.* Expression and functional relevance of long non-coding RNAs in acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. *Leukemia* **33**, 2169-2182, doi:10.1038/s41375-019-0429-5 (2019).
- 12 De Clara, E. *et al.* Long non-coding RNA expression profile in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia identifies a distinct signature and a new biomarker in NPM1-mutated patients. *Haematologica* **102**, 1718-1726, doi:10.3324/haematol.2017.171645 (2017).
- 13 Delas, M. J. *et al.* lncRNA requirements for mouse acute myeloid leukemia and normal differentiation. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.25607 (2017).
- 14 Garzon, R. *et al.* Expression and prognostic impact of lncRNAs in acute myeloid leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 18679-18684, doi:10.1073/pnas.1422050112 (2014).
- 15 Papaioannou, D. *et al.* Prognostic and biologic significance of long non-coding RNA profiling in younger adults with cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica* **102**, 1391-1400, doi:10.3324/haematol.2017.166215 (2017).
- 16 Schwarzer, A. *et al.* The non-coding RNA landscape of human hematopoiesis and leukemia. *Nat Commun* **8**, 218, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00212-4 (2017).

- 17 Anderson, K. M. *et al.* Transcription of the non-coding RNA upperhand controls Hand2 expression and heart development. *Nature* **539**, 433-436, doi:10.1038/nature20128 (2016).
- 18 Cho, S. W. *et al.* Promoter of lncRNA Gene PVT1 Is a Tumor-Suppressor DNA Boundary Element. *Cell* **173**, 1398-1412 e1322, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.068 (2018).
- 19 Engreitz, J. M. *et al.* Local regulation of gene expression by lncRNA promoters, transcription and splicing. *Nature* **539**, 452-455, doi:10.1038/nature20149 (2016).
- 20 Groff, A. F. *et al.* In Vivo Characterization of Linc-p21 Reveals Functional cis-Regulatory DNA Elements. *Cell Rep* **16**, 2178-2186, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.07.050 (2016).
- 21 Paralkar, V. R. *et al.* Unlinking an lncRNA from Its Associated cis Element. *Mol Cell* **62**, 104-110, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.02.029 (2016).
- 22 Kopp, F. & Mendell, J. T. Functional Classification and Experimental Dissection of Long Noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **172**, 393-407, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.011 (2018).
- 23 Gil, N. & Ulitsky, I. Regulation of gene expression by cis-acting long non-coding RNAs. *Nat Rev Genet* **21**, 102-117, doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0184-5 (2020).
- 24 Al-Kersh, S. *et al.* The stem cell-specific long noncoding RNA HOXA10-AS in the pathogenesis of KMT2A-rearranged leukemia. *Blood Adv* **3**, 4252-4263, doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2019032029 (2019).
- 25 Bhayadia, R. *et al.* Endogenous Tumor Suppressor microRNA-193b: Therapeutic and Prognostic Value in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* **36**, 1007-1016, doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.75.2204 (2018).
- 26 Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550, doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8 (2014).
- 27 Saha, N. *et al.* The PAF1c Subunit CDC73 Is Required for Mouse Hematopoietic Stem Cell Maintenance but Displays Leukemia-Specific Gene Regulation. *Stem Cell Reports* **12**, 1069-1083, doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.03.010 (2019).
- 28 Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545-15550, doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102 (2005).
- 29 Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 44-57, doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.211 (2009).
- 30 Davies, J. O. *et al.* Multiplexed analysis of chromosome conformation at vastly improved sensitivity. *Nat Methods* **13**, 74-80, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3664 (2016).
- 31 Buckle, A., Gilbert, N., Marenduzzo, D. & Brackley, C. A. capC-MAP: software for analysis of Capture-C data. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 4773-4775, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz480 (2019).
- 32 Harrow, J. *et al.* GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. *Genome Res* **22**, 1760-1774, doi:10.1101/gr.135350.111 (2012).
- 33 Hsieh, T. S., Fudenberg, G., Goloborodko, A. & Rando, O. J. Micro-C XL: assaying chromosome conformation from the nucleosome to the entire genome. *Nat Methods* **13**, 1009-1011, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4025 (2016).

- 34 Rao, S. S. *et al.* A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell* **159**, 1665-1680, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.021 (2014).
- 35 Eppert, K. *et al.* Stem cell gene expression programs influence clinical outcome in human leukemia. *Nat Med* **17**, 1086-1093, doi:10.1038/nm.2415 (2011).
- 36 Jung, N., Dai, B., Gentles, A. J., Majeti, R. & Feinberg, A. P. An LSC epigenetic signature is largely mutation independent and implicates the HOXA cluster in AML pathogenesis. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8489, doi:10.1038/ncomms9489 (2015).
- 37 Krivtsov, A. V. *et al.* Cell of origin determines clinically relevant subtypes of MLL-rearranged AML. *Leukemia* **27**, 852-860, doi:10.1038/leu.2012.363 (2013).
- 38 Diffner, E. *et al.* Activity of a heptad of transcription factors is associated with stem cell programs and clinical outcome in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **121**, 2289-2300, doi:10.1182/blood-2012-07-446120 (2013).
- 39 Aguirre, A. J. *et al.* Genomic Copy Number Dictates a Gene-Independent Cell Response to CRISPR/Cas9 Targeting. *Cancer Discov* **6**, 914-929, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-16-0154 (2016).
- 40 Joung, J. *et al.* Genome-scale activation screen identifies a lncRNA locus regulating a gene neighbourhood. *Nature* **548**, 343-346, doi:10.1038/nature23451 (2017).
- 41 Milne, T. A. *et al.* Multiple interactions recruit MLL1 and MLL1 fusion proteins to the HOXA9 locus in leukemogenesis. *Mol Cell* **38**, 853-863, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.011 (2010).
- 42 Muntean, A. G. *et al.* The PAF complex synergizes with MLL fusion proteins at HOX loci to promote leukemogenesis. *Cancer Cell* **17**, 609-621, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.04.012 (2010).
- 43 Granneman, S. *et al.* The human Imp3 and Imp4 proteins form a ternary complex with hMpp10, which only interacts with the U3 snoRNA in 60-80S ribonucleoprotein complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**, 1877-1887, doi:10.1093/nar/gkg300 (2003).
- 44 Bolouri, H. *et al.* The molecular landscape of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia reveals recurrent structural alterations and age-specific mutational interactions. *Nat Med* **24**, 103-112, doi:10.1038/nm.4439 (2018).
- 45 The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network *et al.* Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 2059-2074, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1301689 (2013).
- 46 Liu, S. J. *et al.* CRISPRi-based genome-scale identification of functional long noncoding RNA loci in human cells. *Science* **355**, doi:10.1126/science.aah7111 (2017).
- 47 Liu, Y. *et al.* Genome-wide screening for functional long noncoding RNAs in human cells by Cas9 targeting of splice sites. *Nat Biotechnol*, doi:10.1038/nbt.4283 (2018).
- 48 Zhu, S. *et al.* Genome-scale deletion screening of human long non-coding RNAs using a paired-guide RNA CRISPR-Cas9 library. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 1279-1286, doi:10.1038/nbt.3715 (2016).
- 49 Kirk, J. M. *et al.* Functional classification of long non-coding RNAs by k-mer content. *Nat Genet* **50**, 1474-1482, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0207-8 (2018).
- 50 Ulitsky, I. Evolution to the rescue: using comparative genomics to understand long non-coding RNAs. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**, 601-614, doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.85 (2016).

- 51 Lupianez, D. G. *et al.* Disruptions of topological chromatin domains cause pathogenic rewiring of gene-enhancer interactions. *Cell* **161**, 1012-1025, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.004 (2015).
- 52 Despang, A. *et al.* Functional dissection of the Sox9-Kcnj2 locus identifies nonessential and instructive roles of TAD architecture. *Nat Genet* **51**, 1263-1271, doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0466-z (2019).
- 53 Flavahan, W. A. *et al.* Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas. *Nature* **529**, 110-114, doi:10.1038/nature16490 (2016).
- 54 Oudelaar, A. M. & Higgs, D. R. The relationship between genome structure and function. *Nat Rev Genet* **22**, 154-168, doi:10.1038/s41576-020-00303-x (2021).
- 55 Nora, E. P. *et al.* Targeted Degradation of CTCF Decouples Local Insulation of Chromosome Domains from Genomic Compartmentalization. *Cell* **169**, 930-944 e922, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.004 (2017).
- 56 Sartorelli, V. & Lauberth, S. M. Enhancer RNAs are an important regulatory layer of the epigenome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **27**, 521-528, doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0446-0 (2020).
- 57 Hnisz, D. *et al.* Activation of proto-oncogenes by disruption of chromosome neighborhoods. *Science* **351**, 1454-1458, doi:10.1126/science.aad9024 (2016).
- 58 Akdemir, K. C. *et al.* Disruption of chromatin folding domains by somatic genomic rearrangements in human cancer. *Nat Genet* **52**, 294-305, doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0564-y (2020).
- 59 Katainen, R. *et al.* CTCF/cohesin-binding sites are frequently mutated in cancer. *Nat Genet* **47**, 818-821, doi:10.1038/ng.3335 (2015).
- 60 Groschel, S. *et al.* A single oncogenic enhancer rearrangement causes concomitant EVI1 and GATA2 deregulation in leukemia. *Cell* **157**, 369-381, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.019 (2014).
- 61 Chen, C. *et al.* Spatial Genome Re-organization between Fetal and Adult Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Cell Rep* **29**, 4200-4211 e4207, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.065 (2019).
- 62 Qi, Q. *et al.* Dynamic CTCF binding directly mediates interactions among cis-regulatory elements essential for hematopoiesis. *Blood* **137**, 1327-1339, doi:10.1182/blood.2020005780 (2021).
- 63 Takayama, N. *et al.* The Transition from Quiescent to Activated States in Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells Is Governed by Dynamic 3D Genome Reorganization. *Cell Stem Cell* **28**, 488-501 e410, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2020.11.001 (2021).
- 64 Hu, G. *et al.* Transformation of Accessible Chromatin and 3D Nucleome Underlies Lineage Commitment of Early T Cells. *Immunity* **48**, 227-242 e228, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.01.013 (2018).
- 65 Kloetgen, A. *et al.* Three-dimensional chromatin landscapes in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Genet* **52**, 388-400, doi:10.1038/s41588-020-0602-9 (2020).
- 66 Luo, H. *et al.* CTCF boundary remodels chromatin domain and drives aberrant HOX gene transcription in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **132**, 837-848, doi:10.1182/blood-2017-11-814319 (2018).
- 67 Vilarrasa-Blasi, R. *et al.* Dynamics of genome architecture and chromatin function during human B cell differentiation and neoplastic transformation. *Nat Commun* **12**, 651, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20849-y (2021).

Figure legends

Fig. 1: CRISPRi screen of HSPC/AML lncRNA signatures identifies *MYNRL15* as a myeloid leukemia dependency.

a, Expression of HSPC/AML lncRNAs across 12 normal blood cell populations and 46 pediatric AML samples¹⁶. Signatures of particular therapeutic interest are outlined. Natural killer cell (NK), hematopoietic stem cell (HSC), common myeloid progenitor (CMP), granulocyte-monocyte progenitor (GMP), granulocyte (GC), monocyte (Mo), erythroid precursor (Ery), megakaryocyte (Mk), Down syndrome myeloid leukemia (DS), non-DS megakaryoblastic leukemia (AMKL), promyelocytic leukemia (PML), *KMT2A*-rearranged leukemia (*KMT2A-r*). **b**, Workflow for screening HSPC/AML lncRNAs. Stable dCas9-RKAB myeloid leukemia cell lines were transduced with a lentiviral sgRNA library and maintained for 18 population doublings, before and after which samples were sequenced to determine sgRNA abundances and call essential genes. **c**, Schematic of the *MYNRL15* locus, including target sites of the different perturbation constructs (not to scale). Target gene: *MYNRL15* (orange), *UNC45A* (black), *HDDC3* (grey), *UNC45A* and *HDDC3* (light grey). Perturbation strategy: CRISPRi (filled circle), dual sgRNA mediated excision (filled square), RNAi (empty diamond), LNA-gapmeRs (empty triangle), CRISPR-Cas9 mediated knockout (filled triangle). **d**, Gene essentiality scores from pan-cell line MAGeCK analysis of the CRISPRi screen (6 cell lines, n=2 biological replicates per cell line). *MYNRL15* is highlighted as the top hit behind *MYC* and *MYB*, the positive controls. **e**, Endpoint depletion values from fluorescence-based proliferation assays using different perturbation strategies. Each point represents one vector used for perturbation (mean of n=3 biological replicates shown). **f**, Fluorescence-based proliferation assays using *MYNRL15* cDNAs to rescue the CRISPRi depletion phenotype (n=2 biological replicates, mean \pm s.e.m.; double positive sgRNA+cDNA cells are shown). ** $P < 0.01$ (two-tailed, unpaired t-test); all conditions shared the same P -value. Colors denote sgRNA vectors, shapes denote cDNA vectors. Long isoform (L), short isoform (S). **e-f**, The data are normalized to day 0 and to the non-targeting control (sgLUC). These experiments were performed in ML-2 cells.

Figure 2: Functional dissection of *MYNRL15* locus reveals crucial regulatory regions.

a, Tracks from the UCSC Genome Browser showing from top to bottom: gene annotations, CpG islands, histone marks, and CTCF and cohesin occupancy (K562 ChIP-seq data from ENCODE). **b**, Tiling screen results using complementary CRISPRi (top) and CRISPR-Cas9 based (bottom) strategies to interrogate the *MYNRL15* locus (mean of 4 cell lines, n=2 biological replicates per cell line). Previously tested sgRNAs are depicted in color. A smoothed fit curve is shown in blue. The two identified leukemia-essential cCREs, C1 and C2, are outlined. **c**, Fluorescence-based proliferation assays using classical CRISPR-Cas9 and individual sgRNAs from C1 and C2 to achieve perturbation of the *MYNRL15* locus (n=3 biological replicates, mean \pm s.e.m.; 2 guides per cCRE, 4 in total). The data are normalized to day 0 and to the non-targeting control (sgLUC). * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, **** $P < 0.0001$ (two-tailed, unpaired t-tests); where only one set of asterisks is shown, all conditions share the same P -value. **d-e**, GSEA comparing the *MYNRL15* perturbation group (using all 4 guides from **c**; “sgMYNRL15”) to the non-targeting control (“sgLUC”) in a combined analysis across early (day 3) and late (day 6 7, respectively) time points of ML-2 and K562 cells (n=2 biological replicates per guide). **d**, Normalized enrichment scores (NES) of cancer dependency gene

sets downregulated upon *MYNRL15* perturbation. Colors correspond to MSigDB collections: H1 hallmark (purple), C2 KEGG pathways (blue). **** $P=0$ (nominal P values from GSEA). **e**, Two chromosome 15 gene sets deregulated by *MYNRL15* perturbation.

Figure 3: *MYNRL15* perturbation alters genome architecture on chromosome 15, leading to downregulation of *WDR61* and *IMP3*.

a, NG Capture-C interaction profiles on chromosome 15 in K562 and ML-2 cells, using one guide targeting *MYNRL15* (sgC1.1) and a non-targeting control (sgLUC) (n=2 biological replicates; viewpoint in C1; smoothing window 2 pixels). **b-c**, Close-ups of the gained interaction region and the region around *MYNRL15*, alongside K562 CTCF ChIP-Seq and H1-hESC Micro-C³³ tracks from the UCSC Genome Browser. **d**, CTCF occupancy around the *MYNRL15* locus and gained interaction sites, as determined via CUT&RUN. Regions of reduced occupancy are shaded in blue. Note the track discontinuity in the left and center views. **e**, Chromatin accessibility around the gained interaction sites, as determined via ATAC-seq. Regions of increased accessibility are shaded in red. **d-e**, Tracks show K562 and ML-2 cells with *MYNRL15* perturbation (sgC1.1) compared to a non-targeting control (sgLUC) (n=1). **f**, Integrative analysis of CRISPR-Cas9 screening scores (MAGeCK; n=2 per cell line) and differential expression following *MYNRL15* perturbation (DESeq2; comparison as in Fig. 2d-e) for the 29 coding genes in the gained interaction region. A combined analysis of K562 and ML-2 cells is shown. **g**, Model of chromosome 15 reorganization upon *MYNRL15* perturbation.

Figure 4: AML specificity and therapeutic potential of *MYNRL15* perturbation.

a, Comparison of the impact of *MYNRL15* perturbation on colony-forming capacity in CD34⁺ HSPCs (n=3 biological replicates) and two AML PDXs (n=4 biological replicates each). The data are normalized to the non-targeting control (mean \pm range). **b**, Setup (left) and results (right) of direct two-color *in vivo* competition assays involving CRISPRi mediated perturbation of *MYNRL15* in AML PDXs. The data are presented as ratios of dTomato⁺ (dTom) to E2Crimson⁺ (E2C) cells in the bone marrow (bm), spleens (spl), and livers (li) of recipient mice (n=4 in the AML PDX #2 control group, otherwise n=5 mice per group; mean \pm s.e.m.). **a-b**, P values were calculated using two-tailed, unpaired t-tests.

Figure 5: Elevated CTCF density characterizes a set of clinically relevant, functionally validated lncRNA loci.

a, Ranked list of protein-coding and lncRNA loci ordered by CTCF density in K562 cells. The positions of *MYNRL15*, and the *bona fide* lncRNAs *PVT1* and *XIST* are marked. Non-CTCF-bound loci are not shown. The dashed line indicates the cut-off defined for elevated CTCF binding (i.e. median + 2 s.d. of log₁₀-transformed values). Inset: breakdown of CTCF-enriched loci based on their association with clinical characteristics like cytogenetics, mutations, and survival in the TCGA AML cohort⁴⁵. **b**, Kaplan-Meier survival curves of patients with high (n=10) vs low (n=171) expression of *MYNRL15* in the TCGA AML cohort⁴⁵ (survival probability \pm 95% C.I.). Five-year event-free survival: 0.0% vs 23.2%. The depicted P value

was calculated using a two-sided log-rank test. **c**, Gene essentiality scores for CTCF-enriched lncRNA loci (C-LNCs), as determined via CRISPR-Cas9 screening and MAGeCK analysis of K562 and ML-2 cells (orange). Our initial CRISPRi lncRNA screen is shown alongside (grey; combined analysis of K562 and ML-2) for comparison. In both cases, the scores are derived from n=2 biological replicates per cell line. Gene ranks are normalized to library size. The positive control genes *MYC* and *MYB* score similarly across CRISPR-Cas9 and CRISPRi based screens. **d**, Numbers of C-LNCs in 18 other cell lines and primary cell types.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryTable1CRISPRiIncRNALibraryscreen.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable2MYNRL15tilinglibraryscreen.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable3CaptureCgainedlibraryscreen.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable4patientsampleinformation.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable5CLNCLibraryscreen.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable6CLNCcatalog.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable7individualoligos.xlsx](#)
- [LNC666supplementBloodV1.pdf](#)