HLA Complex P5 Upregulation Is Correlated With Poor Prognosis and Tumor Progression in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Yaqin Wang

Huaian City Second People's Hospital

Zhijun Yu

Nantong City No 1 People's Hospital and Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University

Weidong Shi

Nantong City No 1 People's Hospital and Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University

Jian Shen

Nantong University

Yun Guan

Nantong University

Feng Ni (✉ feng_ni56@163.com)

Nantong University

Research

Keywords: ESCC, HCP5, warburg effect, m6A, biomarker

Posted Date: July 20th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-728799/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Bioengineered on April 1st, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2022.2051854>.

Abstract

Background: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is a deadly malignant tumor that threatens human health, especially in China. Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) is widely expressed in eukaryotes and is closely associated with human disease progression. However, its role in ESCC remains incompletely understood. Here, we tested the role of HLA complex P5 (HCP5) in ESCC.

Methods: Three GEO databases containing lncRNA expression data of ESCC and normal tissues were used to analyze the differentially expressed lncRNAs. The location of HCP5 was tested by qRT-PCR and FISH assays. The functional assay was detected by CCK-8, colony formation and Transwell assays. The HCP5/YTHDF1/HK2 axis was determined by RIP and RNA pull-down assays.

Results: HCP5 was significantly overexpressed in ESCC tissues, which was further verified in our collected ESCC samples. The functional study suggested that HCP5 knockdown inhibited ESCC cell proliferation and invasion. Regarding the mechanism, HCP5 was able to directly interact with YTHDF1, a N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) reader, enhancing the binding of YTHDF1 to m⁶A-modified HK2 mRNA, leading to increased HK2 stability, thereby promoting the Warburg effect (aerobic glycolysis) of ESCC cells. The nude mice model showed that knockdown of HCP5 *in vivo* remarkably reduced tumor size. Clinically, high HCP5 was positively correlated with larger tumor volume, higher TNM stage and lymph node metastasis. Moreover, ESCC patients with high HCP5 exerted shorter survival time than patients with low HCP5.

Conclusion: These findings uncover the importance of HCP5 in human ESCC progression, the turbulence of HCP5/YTHDF1/HK2 axis may be responsible for ESCC carcinogenicity.

Introduction

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is one of the most invasive and fatal cancers in digestive tract tumors, ranks seventh in terms of incidence (604,000 new cases) and sixth in mortality overall (544,000 deaths)[1]. Eastern Asia has the highest incidence, mainly due to the high burden in China[2]. Although some advances have been made in ESCC treatment in recent years, the 5-year overall survival in ESCC patients at stage III is only 10–15%, and the median survival in patients at stage IV is less than 1 year[3]. It is mainly due to the late onset of symptoms, the cost or inapplicability of endoscopy, insensitivity and non-specificity of biomarkers[4]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to find new sensitive and cost-effective biomarkers for diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of ESCC.

Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) refers to a class of RNA with a length of more than 200 nucleotides, which is mainly transcribed by RNA polymerase II, III or V in eukaryotes[5]. Mature lncRNA can interact with a variety of molecules to form supramolecular structures, such as RNA/RNA, RNA/DNA, RNA/protein, DNA/RNA /protein or DNA/RNA/RNA complex[6]. Although a large number of studies have shown that lncRNAs play a role at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and translation levels, the function of most lncRNAs remains unknown[7, 8]. lncRNA is differentially expressed in some pathological processes, such as inflammation, anoxia, and especially in cancer[9]. Emerging evidence suggests that the proliferation,

invasion, differentiation, senescence and death of cancer cells are tightly controlled by lncRNA[10]. For instance, SPRY4-IT1 activated by NF- κ B was a pro-metastatic lncRNA that enhanced TCEB1 mRNA decay via binding to STAU1[11]. linc00284 was significantly upregulated in human colorectal cancer, and promoted c-Met expression by sponging miR-27a[12]. Besides, ASMTL-AS1 was recently shown to be a tumor suppressor in breast cancer by regulating the miR-1228-3p/SOX17/ β -catenin axis.

HLA complex P5 (HCP5), a hybrid HLA Class I endogenous retroviral gene that codes for a lncRNA, was involved in human disease progression[13]. Nevertheless, its role in ESCC is still unclear. In the present study, we explored the expression, function and mechanism of HCP5 in human ESCC.

Materials And Methods

ESCC sample and cell culture

We collected a total of 86 fresh frozen ESCC and corresponding non-tumor normal tissues from Nantong University Affiliated Cancer Hospital, the clinicopathological data were statistically analyzed for correlation with HCP5. We obtained informed consent from each patient, and this study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Nantong University Affiliated Cancer Hospital. The normal HET-1A cells and ESCC cells (EC109 and TE10) were purchased from National Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (Shanghai, China), and were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (D-MEM) (GIBCO, USA) added with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO).

qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted by Trizol solution (Invitrogen, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Then, cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcription Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Absolute qPCR SYBR Green Mixes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used to amplify and quantify cDNA. Gene expression was calculated by using $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ formula. GAPDH was used as reference control.

Detection of HCP5 location

RNA was isolated from the nucleus and cytoplasm using Cytoplasmic & Nuclear RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek, Canada). GAPDH and U6 were used as cytoplasmic and nuclear references, respectively. Besides, HCP5 probe was designed (RiboBio, China) and Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) Kit (RiboBio) was used to analyze the subcellular localization of HCP5, the results were observed by confocal microscopy.

Lentiviral vector

Three shRNA sequences targeting HCP5 were synthesized and inserted into psi-LVRU6GP lentiviral vector (GeneCopoeia, USA). ESCC cells were infected with the lentivirus. The medium was replaced with fresh medium 24h after infection. 1.8 μ g/mL puromycin was used to screen stable HCP5-silenced cell clones, and the efficiency was detected by qRT-PCR.

Colony formation assay

Monolayer cultured ESCC cells in logarithmic growth phase were digested with 0.25% trypsin and beaten into single cells. 500 cells were plated onto 6-well plate, followed by two weeks of cultivation. Cells were washed by PBS and stained by crystal violet dye.

Cell invasion assay

Transwell chamber was used to test cell invasion assay, the upper chamber was coated with 50mg/L Matrigel at a dilution of 1:8. The lower chamber was added with DMEM with 10% FBS. 5×10^5 ESCC cell suspension was added into the upper chamber, and cultured for 24h. The invasive cells were stained with crystal violet dye.

Cell viability detection

1000 cells were plated onto 96-well plate, followed by incubation with CCK-8 reagent (Dojindo, Japan) for 1h. The absorption value at 450nm was tested using an automatic microplate spectrophotometer (SpectraMax, USA).

Glucose uptake, lactate production, ATP level and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR)

The glucose uptake rate, lactate production, ATP and ECAR levels were quantified using glucose assay kit (Sigma, USA), Lactate Assay kit (BioVision, USA), CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega, USA) and Seahorse XF 96 Extracellular Flux Analyzer, respectively, based on the supplier's instructions.

Western blot

Total protein was extracted by RIPA buffer, and centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 20min, the supernatant was taken for protein quantification. Then, 30 μ g protein was used for sample loading, and transferred onto PVDF membrane, followed by incubation with HK2 antibody (ab104836, Abcam), YTHDF1 (ab220162, Abcam), METTL3 (ab195352, Abcam) and GAPDH (ab2735, Abcam) overnight at 4°C. The second day, the membrane was incubated with HRP-conjugated IgG at 37°C for 1h. After washing with TBST, the blot was developed using ready-to-use ECL solution (Invitrogen).

RNA pull-down and RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays

The biotin-conjugated HCP5 probe was synthesized and incubated with ESCC cell lysates at 4°C for 5h, then the streptavidin-labeled magnetic beads (Invitrogen) were added into above lysates and incubated for 1h. The enriched proteins were analyzed by western blot assay. Besides, RIP assay was carried out using Magna RIP™ RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, Germany) as per the standard protocol, followed by qRT-PCR analysis.

Methylated RNA immune-precipitation PCR (MeRIP-PCR)

The Magna MeRIP™ m⁶A Kit (Millipore, Germany) was used to assess m⁶A enrichment. In brief, total RNA was extracted and fragmented, followed by incubation with protein A/G magnetic beads in IP buffer, containing anti-m⁶A antibody overnight at 4°C. The enrichment of HK2 mRNA was tested by qRT-PCR analysis.

Xenograft tumor model

Six-week-old male nude BALB/C mice were randomly divided into two groups, NC and sh-HCP5#1 groups. 2×10⁶ EC109 cells were subcutaneously injected into nude mice and grown for 5 weeks. Then, the mice were sacrificed and tumor tissues were collected for qRT-PCR and western blot assays. This animal protocol was in line with the Animal Protection Ethics Committee of Nantong University Affiliated Cancer Hospital.

Statistical analysis

Comparison of continuous variables was performed by Student's t-test. The correlations between HCP5 and clinical features of ESCC patients were tested by Chi-square test. All statistical results were two-side, $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistical significance.

Results

HCP5 is overexpressed in human ESCC

We first analyzed the expression of HCP5 in GEO database, as shown in Fig. 1A, HCP5 was significantly increased in ESCC tissues as compared to normal tissues. The qRT-PCR results in our cohort also exerted the upregulation of HCP5 in ESCC tissues (Fig. 1B). High HCP5 was closely correlated with tumor size, TNM stage and lymph node metastasis (Table 1), but not with gender, age, differentiation smoking and drinking status (Table 1). Importantly, ESCC patients with high HCP5 had shorter survival time than patients with low HCP5 (Fig. 1C), and this was also verified in KM database (<http://kmplot.com/analysis>) (Fig. 1D). Next, we tested the location of HCP5 using qRT-PCR and FISH assays, and found that HCP5 was mainly located in the cytoplasm in normal HET-1A and two ESCC cell lines (Fig. 1E, F).

Table 1
Correlation between HCP5 expression and clinicopathological features in ESCC patients (n = 86)

Parameters	All cases	HCP5 expression		P value
		Low (n = 43)	High (n = 43)	
Gender				
Male	50	23	27	0.382
Female	36	20	16	
Age (years)				
≤ 65	44	25	19	0.196
> 65	42	18	24	
Tumor size				
≤ 3	34	23	11	0.008
> 3	52	20	32	
Differentiation				
Well/moderate	48	28	20	0.082
Poor	38	15	23	
TNM stage				
I-II	56	34	22	0.007
III-IV	30	9	21	
Lymph node metastasis				
No	53	35	18	< 0.001
Yes	33	8	25	
Smoking				
No	35	15	20	0.272
Yes	51	28	23	
Drinking				
No	47	25	22	0.516
Yes	39	18	21	

We designed three shRNA sequences against HCP5, and found that only HCP5#1 could effectively block HCP5 expression in both EC109 and TE10 cells (Fig. 2A). Knockdown of HCP5 significantly reduced the number of ESCC cell clones (Fig. 2B). Similarly, HCP5-silenced EC109 and TE10 cells were less aggressive than control cells (Fig. 2C). Then, we conducted CCK-8 assay, and found that HCP5 knockdown resulted in a significant decrease in cell viability (Fig. 2D).

HCP5 promotes Warburg effect of ESCC cells

Through analyzing the KEGG pathways of GSE53624 data, we found that HCP5 may be closely associated with metabolic pathways (Fig. 3A). As expected, glucose uptake, lactate production and ATP level in EC109 and TE10 cells were significantly decreased after knockdown of HCP5 (Fig. 3B-D). Likewise, ECAR assay showed that HCP5 silencing markedly promoted the glycolytic capacity of ESCC cells (Fig. 3E).

HCP5 increases HK2 mRNA stability via YTHDF1

Next, we detected some key enzymes responsible for Warburg effect. As shown in Fig. 4A, only HK2 expression was notably altered in both EC109 and TE10 cells, and western blot assay confirmed the decrease of HK2 protein levels in HCP5-silenced cells (Fig. 4B). To reveal how HCP5 affected HK2 mRNA level, we first analyzed the promoter activity of HK2, the luciferase reporter assay showed that HK2 promoter activity did not change after HCP5 knockdown (Fig. 4C). But the half-time of HK2 mRNA was markedly shortened in HCP5-silenced cells (Fig. 4D). A recent study suggests that HK2 mRNA stability is affected by m⁶A modification via METTL3/YTHDF1 axis[14], we then overexpressed METTL3 in ESCC cells, and found that the reduced HK2 level caused by HCP5 knockdown was entirely rescued by METTL3 (Fig. 4E). Further, RNA pull-down assay showed that HCP5 could directly bind to YTHDF1 protein, but not METTL3 protein (Fig. 4F), which was verified by RIP assay (Fig. 4G). Moreover, knockdown of HCP5 reduced the binding of YTHDF1 to HK2 mRNA (Fig. 4H), accompanied by a decrease in m⁶A level (Fig. 4I).

Identification of HCP5/HK2 axis *in vivo*

Lastly, we subcutaneously injected EC109 cells with HCP5 knockdown into nude mice. The results showed that tumor volume and weight were significantly less in HCP5-depleted group than those in control group (Fig. 5A). Consistently, HK2 mRNA and protein levels were both dramatically reduced in HCP5-depleted tissues in comparison to control tissues (Fig. 5B-C).

Discussion

In this study, we characterized the role of HCP5 in human ESCC, and found that it was significantly upregulated in ESCC, linking to aggressive behaviors and clinical features. The stable HCP5-silenced cell lines were established and found that HCP5 knockdown notably inhibited ESCC cell proliferation and invasion. Further investigation revealed that HCP5 promoted aerobic glycolysis via regulating HK2, in detail, HCP5 could bind to YTHDF1 and increase the interaction between YTHDF1 and m⁶A-modified HK2

mRNA, resulting in strengthening HK2 mRNA stability. Moreover, the nude mice model showed that obstruct of HCP5 delayed tumor growth by reducing HK2 level. Therefore, our study highlights the importance of HCP5 in ESCC and provides new evidence that lncRNA and protein cross-linking patterns are functional in human cells.

The role of lncRNA depends on its subcellular localization, in which cytoplasmic lncRNA plays an important role in a variety of molecular mechanisms, including mRNA stability and translation regulation, protein modification, as a precursor of miRNA or as a competitive endogenous RNA sponging miRNA[15]. The interaction mode of lncRNA and protein is critical for cancer initiation, development and progression[16]. For example, AC073352.1 was reported as a binding partner of YBX1, and increased YBX1 protein stability, promoting breast cancer metastasis and angiogenesis[17]. BGL3 was shown to be a stabilizer of PTEN protein via binding to PTEN and inhibited papillary thyroid carcinoma growth and metastasis[18]. Herein, by qRT-PCR and FISH assays, we found that HCP5 was mainly located in the cytoplasm. And further exploration revealed that HCP5 could physically interact with YTHDF1, a m⁶A “reader”, and enhance the recognition of YTHDF1 to m⁶A-modified HK2 mRNA caused by METTL3, a m⁶A “writer”. m⁶A is a widely present methylation modification in mRNA, which is dynamic and reversible, involving in m⁶A methyltransferase, demethylase and recognition protein[19]. m⁶A participates in the regulation of mRNA nuclear export, splicing, stability, translation and degradation[20]. YTHDF1, belongs to YT521-B homology (YTH) domain family, is reported as a mRNA or translation regulator, some targets such as Myc[21], HINT2[22], EIF3C[23] and TRAF6[24]. Recently, HK2, a well-known driver of aerobic glycolysis in human cancer[25], has also been shown to be a target of YTHDF1[14], YTHDF1 recognized the m⁶A site on HK2 mRNA 3'-UTR, and prevented it from decay. In this study, we found that HCP5 functioned as a scaffold for YTHDF1 binding to HK2 mRNA 3'-UTR, knockdown of HCP5 significantly reduced their interaction, resulting in increased HK2 mRNA stability. Hence, our results reveal a previously unappreciated lncRNA linking m⁶A modification and mRNA fate, further research is needed to clarify the specific binding regions or sites between them.

In sum, our findings for the first time suggest that HCP5 is an oncogenic lncRNA in human ESCC, implying a promising prognostic indicator and druggable target of ESCC.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This work was authorized by the Ethics Committee of Nantong University Affiliated Cancer Hospital and was carried out according to the guidelines of Declaration of Helsinki. Animal experimental protocols were permitted by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Nantong University Affiliated Cancer Hospital in accordance with the guidelines of the National Animal Care and Ethics Institution.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

FN designed and supervised the study. YQW, ZJY and WDS conducted the experiments and drafted the manuscript. JS and YG collected and analyzed the data. YQW contributed the methodology and analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

None.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2021
2. Abnet CC, Arnold M, Wei WQ. Epidemiology of Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(2):360-73
3. Domper AM, Ferrandez AA, Lanas AA. Esophageal cancer: Risk factors, screening and endoscopic treatment in Western and Eastern countries. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21(26):7933-43
4. Wang C, Wang J, Chen Z, Gao Y, He J. Immunohistochemical prognostic markers of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review. *Chin J Cancer*. 2017;36(1):65
5. Bohmdorfer G, Sethuraman S, Rowley MJ, Krzyszton M, Rothi MH, Bouzit L, et al. Long non-coding RNA produced by RNA polymerase V determines boundaries of heterochromatin. *Elife*. 2016;5(
6. Kopp F, Mendell JT. Functional Classification and Experimental Dissection of Long Noncoding RNAs. *Cell*. 2018;172(3):393-407
7. Ali T, Grote P. Beyond the RNA-dependent function of LncRNA genes. *Elife*. 2020;9(
8. Statello L, Guo CJ, Chen LL, Huarte M. Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2021;22(2):96-118
9. Fang Y, Fullwood MJ. Roles, Functions, and Mechanisms of Long Non-coding RNAs in Cancer. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics*. 2016;14(1):42-54

10. Peng WX, Koirala P, Mo YY. LncRNA-mediated regulation of cell signaling in cancer. *Oncogene*. 2017;36(41):5661-7
11. Zhao L, Jiang L, Zhang M, Zhang Q, Guan Q, Li Y, et al. NF-kappaB-activated SPRY4-IT1 promotes cancer cell metastasis by downregulating TCEB1 mRNA via Staufen1-mediated mRNA decay. *Oncogene*. 2021
12. You J, Li J, Ke C, Xiao Y, Lu C, Huang F, et al. Oncogenic long intervening noncoding RNA Linc00284 promotes c-Met expression by sponging miR-27a in colorectal cancer. *Oncogene*. 2021;40(24):4151-66
13. Kulski JK. Long Noncoding RNA HCP5, a Hybrid HLA Class I Endogenous Retroviral Gene: Structure, Expression, and Disease Associations. *Cells-Basel*. 2019;8(5)
14. Wang Q, Guo X, Li L, Gao Z, Su X, Ji M, et al. N(6)-methyladenosine METTL3 promotes cervical cancer tumorigenesis and Warburg effect through YTHDF1/HK2 modification. *Cell Death Dis*. 2020;11(10):911
15. Noh JH, Kim KM, McClusky WG, Abdelmohsen K, Gorospe M. Cytoplasmic functions of long noncoding RNAs. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA*. 2018;9(3):e1471
16. Philip M, Chen T, Tyagi S. A Survey of Current Resources to Study lncRNA-Protein Interactions. *Noncoding RNA*. 2021;7(2)
17. Kong X, Li J, Li Y, Duan W, Qi Q, Wang T, et al. A novel long non-coding RNA AC073352.1 promotes metastasis and angiogenesis via interacting with YBX1 in breast cancer. *Cell Death Dis*. 2021;12(7):670
18. Zhao M, Yang F, Sang C, Yan C, Wang Z. BGL3 inhibits papillary thyroid carcinoma progression via regulating PTEN stability. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2021
19. Lan Q, Liu PY, Bell JL, Wang JY, Huttelmaier S, Zhang XD, et al. The Emerging Roles of RNA m(6)A Methylation and Demethylation as Critical Regulators of Tumorigenesis, Drug Sensitivity, and Resistance. *Cancer Res*. 2021;81(13):3431-40
20. Zhao Y, Chen Y, Jin M, Wang J. The crosstalk between m(6)A RNA methylation and other epigenetic regulators: a novel perspective in epigenetic remodeling. *Theranostics*. 2021;11(9):4549-66
21. Nishizawa Y, Konno M, Asai A, Koseki J, Kawamoto K, Miyoshi N, et al. Oncogene c-Myc promotes epitranscriptome m(6)A reader YTHDF1 expression in colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9(7):7476-86
22. Jia R, Chai P, Wang S, Sun B, Xu Y, Yang Y, et al. m(6)A modification suppresses ocular melanoma through modulating HINT2 mRNA translation. *Mol Cancer*. 2019;18(1):161
23. Liu T, Wei Q, Jin J, Luo Q, Liu Y, Yang Y, et al. The m6A reader YTHDF1 promotes ovarian cancer progression via augmenting EIF3C translation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2020;48(7):3816-31
24. Zong X, Xiao X, Shen B, Jiang Q, Wang H, Lu Z, et al. The N6-methyladenosine RNA-binding protein YTHDF1 modulates the translation of TRAF6 to mediate the intestinal immune response. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2021;49(10):5537-52

Figures

Figure 1

HCP5 is significantly upregulated in ESCC linking to poor prognosis. A. The expression of HCP5 in three GEO databases. B. qRT-PCR analysis of HCP5 level in 86 pairs of ESCC and normal tissues. C, D. The survival curve of ESCC patients based on HCP5 expression in our cohort and KM plotter database. E, F. qRT-PCR and FISH testing the location of HCP5 in normal and ESCC cells. ***P<0.001.

Figure 2

HCP5 is a promoter of ESCC. A. qRT-PCR verifying the knockdown of HCP5 by these three shRNAs. B-D. Colony formation, cell invasion and CCK-8 assays in EC109 and TE10 cells after HCP5 silencing. *P<0.05.

Figure 3

HCP5 affects aerobic glycolysis. A. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis based on HCP5 expression in GSE53624. B-E. Glucose uptake, lactate production, ATP level and ECAR analysis in EC109 and TE10 cells after HCP5 silencing. * $P < 0.05$.

Figure 4

HCP5 regulates HK2 mRNA stability via m6A. A, B. qRT-PCR and western blot analyzing the indicated RNA and protein levels in ESCC cells with HCP5 knockdown. C. Luciferase reporter assay testing the effect of HCP5 knockdown on HK2 promoter. D. qRT-PCR testing the half-life of HK2 mRNA after HCP5 knockdown. E. qRT-PCR testing HK2 mRNA level in HCP5-silenced ESCC cells overexpressed METTL3. F. RNA pull-

down assay testing the binding of HCP5 to METTL3 and YTHDF1. G, H. RIP assay testing the binding of YTHDF1 to HCP5 and HK2 mRNA. I. MeRIP assay testing the m6A level on HK2 mRNA. *P<0.05.

Figure 5

The effect of HCP5 in vivo. A. Tumor image, volume and weight in HCP5-silenced group. B, C. qRT-PCR and western blot testing HK2 mRNA and protein levels in control and HCP5-silenced tissues. *P<0.05.