Fixation Failure in Patients With Traumatic Diastasis of Pubic Symphysis: Impact of Loss of Reduction on Early Functional Outcomes.

Claudio Rojas Ponce

Hospital del Trabajador de Santiago

ERNESTO EWERTZ (✉ EFETMT@GMAIL.COM)

Clínica Dávila: Clínica Davila <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3968-3502>

Jose Miguel Hormazabal Tropa

Hospital del Trabajador de Santiago

Research Article

Keywords: Symphyseal disruption, pubic diastasis, functional outcomes, fixation failure, loss of reduction, Majeed's, APC pelvic fracture

Posted Date: August 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-733032/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Failure of fixation (FF) in pubic symphysis diastasis (SD) ranges between 12 to 75%, though whether it influences functional outcomes is still debated. The objective of this study is to evaluate the impact of anterior pelvic plate failure and loss of reduction on Majeed's functional scores.

Methods

Single center retrospective review of consecutive patients with acute SD treated by means of anterior pubic plating. Thirty seven patients with a mean age 45.7+/-14.4 years, were included. Demographics, AO classification, pelvic fixation and secondary procedures were recorded. Majeed's functional scores at minimum 6 months followup were compared according to the presence of FF and loss of reduction.

Results

Fifteen patients presented FF. Eight presented an additional loss of symphyseal reduction. Mean Majeed's score (MMS) in patients with and without FF was 64,4+/-13,04 and 81.8+/-15.65 respectively ($p=0.0012$). Differences in MMS in patients without FF and those with FF and maintained or loss of anterior reduction were 11,3 [70,5vs81,8] ($p=0,092$) and 22.7 [59,1vs81,8] ($p=0,001$) respectively. Significant association of FF with AO classification was noted. (OR 12.6; $p=0.002$).

Conclusions

Differences in MMS in the analysed groups suggest that loss of reduction might be more relevant than failure of the anterior osteosynthesis in functional outcomes.

Introduction

The pubic symphysis is a fibrocartilaginous joint composed of four strong ligaments that allows discrete multidirectional movement [1]. High energy mechanisms are required to injure these ligaments, and damage to surrounding structures can result in relatively high rates of hemodynamic instability and mortality in the acute setting [2–5].

Diverse fixation methods have been used to restore and maintain symphyseal reduction, though the most frequently utilized are external fixators and pubic plates [6–10]. As ligamentous structures heal and normal joint motion resumes, rigid fixation methods can result in metalwork loosening, plate breakage or loss of anatomical reduction. Failure rates of pubic plates ranging from 12 to 75% and significant loss of symphyseal reduction in 7 to 88% have been previously reported [11–15]. Furthermore, early failures within the first 30 days after surgery can present in as many as 11.6% of APC pelvic fractures [15]. Despite this, only 1.6 to 9% of patients will eventually require secondary surgical procedures [12,14–19]. Therefore, whether plate loosening, breakage or loss of symphyseal reduction have an impact on functional outcomes, is still a matter of debate [11,20–22]. Given the paucity of literature regarding the relevance of anterior metalwork failure and loss of reduction we sought to determine their influence in clinical outcomes.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the impact of anterior pelvic plate failure and loss of reduction on early Majeed's functional scores.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort review at a single level I trauma center, of consecutive patients admitted with the diagnosis of pelvic fracture, between January 2016 and December 2018. Patients with acute symphyseal diastasis that were surgically treated using 4 to 6 hole 4.5 anterior symphyseal plates (Matta Pelvic System®; Stryker, Mahwah, NJ, USA) were included. Patients with incomplete radiological studies or unregistered Majeed functional scores were excluded. Population data regarding demographics, mechanism of injury, associated injuries, 2018 AO pelvic fracture classification [23] and osteosynthesis characteristics were recorded using a predefined data gathering spreadsheet. Patients were followed for a minimum of 6 months. Majeed's functional scores [24] at 6 months were recorded. Digital radiological images (AGFA Xero viewer 8.1.2 system) after surgery were evaluated by two trained team members. Fixation failure (FF) was defined as presence of plate or screw breakage and screw loosening. Additional loss of symphyseal reduction was defined as an increase ≥ 5 mm in the distance between pubic bones in radiological follow up.

Patients were categorized in three groups according to the presence of FF and loss of symphyseal reduction. Group A, patients without radiological failure, group B patients with FF and maintained reduction and Group C, patients with FF and additional loss of symphyseal reduction. Majeed's functional scores between groups were compared. The rates of FF and severity of pelvic injury were also analyzed.

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata Statistical Software (Release 13. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). Data is presented in means, ranges, and standard deviation. Normal distribution of the population was determined using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences were analyzed using t-student and Fisher's exact test. A 95% confidence interval was used and statistical significance was set at p value <0.05 with IC 95%.

Results

After inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied, 37 patients were eligible for final analysis. Thirty male and seven female patients, with a mean age of 45.7 years (SD: ± 14.4 , range: 20–77 years) were included. The mechanism of injury was motor vehicle accidents in 23, fall from height in 9 and crushing injuries in 6 patients. Additional sacroiliac screw fixation was performed in thirty-five (94.6%) patients.

No signs of FF were observed in 22 patients (Group A). Fifteen patients presented FF; 7 patients maintained postoperative symphyseal reduction (Group B) and 8 patients presented an additional loss of the anterior reduction (Group C). No cases of plate breakage were recorded. Two patients presented early failures that required secondary interventions; a catastrophic failure 17 days after the initial surgery that was revised using a double plating fixation and one deep wound infection that required surgical debridement, metalwork removal and revised to an external fixator as definitive surgery. No late secondary surgeries were recorded.

Immediate postoperative symphyseal distance in groups A, B and C was 6.2 mm (SD 1.87, range 4–10), 7.71 mm (DE 2.13, range 4–11) and 7 mm (DE 2.61, range 2–11) respectively. After failure, group C presented a mean distance of 15.5 mm (DE 2.82, range 11–20). Mean time from surgery to loss of reduction was 5 $\pm$ 2.7 weeks (range, 1–8). Detailed information is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Details of patients with failure of pubic fixation (Groups B + C)

Anterior fixation					Posterior Fixation			
Age	Sex	AO Classification	Majeed score	Postoperative diastasis (mm)	Loss of reduction (mm)	Time to loss of reduction (weeks)	Nº Levels	Type (sacroiliac screws)
57	M	B2.2	52	11	-	-	1	1 si
63	M	B2.3	68	9	-	-	1	1 si
55	M	B3.3	62	8	-	-	1	2 si
28	F	B3.3	84	4	-	-	1	1 si
51	M	B3.3	71	7	-	-	1	1 si
49	M	C1	84	8	-	-	1	2 tsti
51	M	C1	73	7	-	-	2	2 si 1 tsti
61	M	B2.2	58	8	18	8	1	1 si
40	M	B2.3	64	5	11	8	-	-
53	M	B2.3	64	8	14	8	1	1 si
60	M	B3.3	43	8	14	4	1	1 si 1 tsti
67	M	C1	44	11	16	1	1	2 tsti
55	M	C1	51	7	14	4	2	3 si 1 tsti
41	F	C1	78	7	20	5	1	1 tsti
20	F	C2	71	8	17	2	1	3 si
* = secondary interventions								
si = sacroiliac screw /								
tsi = Transiliac-Transsacral Screw								

The mean Majeed score (MMS) in groups A, B and C was 81.8 +/-15.65, 70,5 +/-11,4 and 59,1 +/- 12,54 respectively. Combined MMS for Groups B and C (failure group) was 64,4 +/-13,04. Significant differences between Group A and failure group were observed (p = 0.0012).

Failure group was further analyzed according to presence or absence of loss of reduction. No significant differences in MMS when comparing groups A and B were observed. (p = 0,092). However, significant differences in MMS between Group A and C (p = 0,001). Moreover, patients from Group C presented a decreased MMS of 22,7. (Table 2)

Table 2
Mean Majeeds Functional Scores

Group (n) = score	No Fixation Failure	Fixation Failure	
MMS	A (22) = 81.8	B(7) = 70,5	p = 0.092
		C(8) = 59,1	p = 0.001
* MMS = Mean Majeed`s Functional Score			
p = p value			

Failure rates by severity of pelvic injury were analyzed. Five (20%) B type and 10 (77%) C type fractures presented FF. (OR 12.6; range, 2.4–64.2; p = 0.002).[2]

Discussion

In our series, 15 (41%) patients presented failure of the anterior pelvic fixation, which is similar to other reports in the literature [11]. Additional loss of anterior reduction (7.75mm +/- 2.71) was observed in eight cases (19%) presenting as late as 8 weeks postoperatively. Despite this, only two patients required early secondary interventions and no patients required late plate removal due to symptoms associated with loosening.

Even though no patient reported outcome measures have been developed for pelvic trauma; generic outcome instruments (SF-36) and disease specific instruments have been used to assess patient functional performance [25]. The Majeed score has been widely used in research concerning the quality of life of patients with pelvic injuries [24]. We investigated how implant failure and loss of reduction influences the patient's functional scores. The impact of FF has been previously studied. Giannoudis et al found no correlation of its occurrence with worse functional outcome [14]. On the other hand, Fritman et al [25] retrospectively evaluated 37 patients treated with anterior pelvic plating and reported higher Majeed scores and similar SF-36 scores in patients with implant failure. Conversely, we observed significant differences in mean Majeed scores of patients with and without FF (p = 0,012). Moreover, additional loss of anterior reduction resulted in significant differences in mean Majeed Score (p = 0,001); meanwhile no differences were observed in cases with failure and maintained reduction (p = 0,092). These findings suggest that loss of anatomic reduction might be more relevant than loosening or failure of the anterior construct.

Additionally, the association between pelvic fracture severity and FF. In this series, patients with FF were 12,6 times more likely to belong to the C type fracture group. Posterior fixation has been proposed as a method of augmentation in fractures with some component of posterior ligamentous injury in order to reduce anterior implant failure [26]. Currently B3 fractures and C type fractures are managed with posterior fixation, most frequently using sacroiliac screws, though failure rates remain high [15, 27, 28].[3] [4]

This study has many limitations arising from its retrospective nature and functional outcomes scores were not recorded at the same point in time. Also, the influence of associated injuries on Majeed scores was not evaluated. The studied population is composed entirely of workers entitled to compensation benefits, making it difficult to compare with other patient groups.

Even though the severity of pelvic fracture and associated injuries are the main determinants of functional outcomes in patients with traumatic pubic diastasis; loss of anatomic reduction does result in poorer outcomes. The rates of FF and loss of reduction are higher in pelvic fractures with significant injury to posterior structures (AO B3 - C type fractures) and posterior arch stabilization should be performed to address them, though they do not prevent failure of the anterior

fixation. Augmentation of the anterior construct by means of double plating, cables, tight rope supplementation or the use of larger plates should be considered in order to reduce failure rates, loss of reduction and improve the functional outcome in this group of patients.

Abbreviations

FF

Failure of fixation

SD

pubic symphysis diastasis

MM

mean Majeed score

Declarations

Ethics approval: This study was approved by the local ethics committee

Consent for publication: N/A

Availability of data and material (data transparency): N/A

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: Authors declare no conflict of interest nor competing interest in relation to this study

Funding: no funding was received in relation to this study.

Authors contribution:

CR was involved in the study design, data acquisition, data analysis and interpretation and drafting.

JH was involved in the study design, data analysis and interpretation and drafting.

EE was involved in the study design, data analysis and interpretation and drafting.

Acknowledgements: N/A

References

1. Becker I, Woodley SJ, Stringer MD. The adult human pubic symphysis: a systematic review. *J Anat.* 2010;217(5):475-487. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01300.x
2. Walheim G, Olerud S, Ribbe T. Mobility of the pubic symphysis. Measurements by an electromechanical method. *Acta Orthop Scand.* 1984;55(2):203-208. doi:10.3109/17453678408992338
3. Walheim, GG, Slevik G. Mobility of the pubic symphysis measurements by an electromechanical method and a roentgen stereographammetric method. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1984;6470(February):129-135. doi:10.3109/17453678408992338
4. Meissner A, Fell M, Wilk R, Boenick U, Rahmzadeh R. [Biomechanics of the pubic symphysis. Which forces lead to mobility of the symphysis in physiological conditions?]. *Unfallchirurg.* 1996;99(6):415-421. Accessed May 22, 2020.

5. Burgess AR, Eastridge BJ, Young JWR, et al. Pelvic ring disruptions: Effective classification system and treatment protocols. *J Trauma - Inj Infect Crit Care*. 1990;30(7):848-856. doi:10.1097/00005373-199007000-00015
6. Simonian PT, Routt J. Biomechanics of pelvic fixation. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 1997;28(3):351-367. doi:10.1016/S0030-5898(05)70294-7
7. Simonian PT, Routt MLJ, Harrington RM, Tencer AF. Box plate fixation of the symphysis pubis: biomechanical evaluation of a new technique. *J Orthop Trauma*. 1994;8(6):483-489.
8. Simonian PT, Routt MLJ, Harrington RM, Mayo KA, Tencer AF. Biomechanical simulation of the anteroposterior compression injury of the pelvis. An understanding of instability and fixation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1994;(309):245-256.
9. Simonian PT, Schwappach JR, Routt MLJ, Agnew SG, Harrington RM, Tencer AF. Evaluation of new plate designs for symphysis pubis internal fixation. *J Trauma*. 1996;41(3):498-502. doi:10.1097/00005373-199609000-00019
10. Sagi HC, Ordway NR, DiPasquale T. Biomechanical analysis of fixation for vertically unstable sacroiliac dislocations with iliosacral screws and symphyseal plating. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2004;18(3):138-143. doi:10.1097/00005131-200403000-00002
11. Morris SAC, Loveridge J, Smart DKA, Ward AJ, Chesser TJS. Is fixation failure after plate fixation of the symphysis pubis clinically important? Trauma. In: *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. Vol 470. Springer New York LLC; 2012:2154-2160. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2427-z
12. Sagi HC, Papp S. Comparative radiographic and clinical outcome of two-hole and multi-hole symphyseal plating. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2008;22(6):373-378. doi:10.1097/BOT.0b013e31817e49ee
13. Collinge C, Archdeacon MT, Dulaney-Cripe E, Moed BR. Radiographic changes of implant failure after plating for pubic symphysis diastasis: An underappreciated reality? Trauma. In: *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. Vol 470. Springer New York LLC; 2012:2148-2153. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2340-5
14. Giannoudis P V., Chalidis BE, Roberts CS. Internal fixation of traumatic diastasis of pubic symphysis: Is plate removal essential? *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2008;128(3):325-331. doi:10.1007/s00402-007-0429-1
15. Eastman JG, Krieg JC, Routt MLC. Early failure of symphysis pubis plating. *Injury*. 2016;47(8):1707-1712. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2016.05.019
16. Lange RH, Hansen ST. Pelvic ring disruptions with symphysis pubis diastasis. Indications, technique, and limitations of anterior internal fixation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1985;NO. 201:130-137. doi:10.1097/00003086-198512000-00021
17. Matta JM. Indications for anterior fixation of pelvic fractures. In: *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. Springer New York LLC; 1996:88-96. doi:10.1097/00003086-199608000-00011
18. Putnis SE, Pearce R, Wali UJ, Bircher MD, Rickman MS. Open reduction and internal fixation of a traumatic diastasis of the pubic symphysis: One-year radiological and functional outcomes. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser B*. 2011;93 B(1):78-84. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.93B1.23941

19. Webb LX, Gristina AG, Wilson JR, Rhyne AL, Meredith JH, Hansen ST. Two-hole plate fixation for traumatic symphysis pubis diastasis. *J Trauma - Inj Infect Crit Care*. 1988;28(6):813-817. doi:10.1097/00005373-198806000-00016
20. Raman R, Roberts CS, Pape HC, Giannoudis P V. Implant retention and removal after internal fixation of the symphysis pubis. *Injury*. 2005;36(7):827-831. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2004.11.012
21. Tornetta P 3rd, Templeman DC. Expected outcomes after pelvic ring injury. *Instr Course Lect*. 2005;54:401-407.
22. Tornetta P, Dickson K, Matta JM. Outcome of rotationally unstable pelvic ring injuries treated operatively. In: *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. Springer New York LLC; 1996:147-151. doi:10.1097/00003086-199608000-00018
23. Meinberg EG, Agel J, Roberts CS, Karam MD, Kellam JF. *Fracture and Dislocation Classification Compendium-2018*. Vol 32.; 2018. doi:10.1097/BOT.0000000000001063
24. Majeed SA. Grading the outcome of pelvic fractures. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser B*. 1989;71(2):304-306. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.71b2.2925751
25. Frietman B, Verbeek J, Biert J, Frölke JP. The effect of implant failure after symphyseal plating on functional outcome and general health. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2016;30(6):336-339. doi:10.1097/BOT.0000000000000501
26. Avilucea FR, Whiting PS, Mir H. Posterior fixation of APC-2 pelvic ring injuries decreases rates of anterior plate failure and malunion. *J Bone Jt Surg - Am Vol*. 2016;98(11):944-951. doi:10.2106/JBJS.15.00723
27. Kankanal P, Orfanos G, Dwyer J, Lim J, Youssef B. Can locking plate fixation of symphyseal disruptions allow early weight bearing? *Injury*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2020.02.094
28. Metz RM, Bledsoe JG, Moed BR. Does Posterior Fixation of Partially Unstable Open-Book Pelvic Ring Injuries Decrease Symphyseal Plate Failure? A Biomechanical Study. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2018;32:S18-S24. doi:10.1097/BOT.0000000000001083