Factors Influencing Degradation Kinetics of mRNAs And Half-Lives of MicroRNAs, circRNAs, lncRNAs In Blood In Vitro Using Quantitative PCR

Chong Wang

Dalian Medical University

Hui Liu (✉ immunology@dmu.edu.cn)

Dalian Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5457-3600>

Research article

Keywords: microRNA, circRNA, lncRNA, mRNA, half-life, degradation

Posted Date: August 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-739701/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: RNAs are rapidly degraded in samples and during collection, processing and testing. In this study, we used the same method to explore the half-lives of different RNAs and the influencing factors, and compared the degradation kinetics and characteristics of different RNAs in whole blood and experimental samples.

Methods: Fresh anticoagulant blood samples were incubated at room temperature for different durations, RNAs were extracted, and genes, including internal references, were amplified by real-time quantitative PCR. A linear half-life model was established according to cycle threshold (Ct) values. The effects of experimental operations on RNA degradation before and after RNA extraction were explored. Quantitative analysis of mRNA degradation in samples and during experimental processes were explored using an orthogonal experimental design.

Results: The storage duration of blood samples at room temperature had the greatest influence on RNA degradation. The half-lives of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) was 16.4 h. The half-lives of circular RNAs (circRNAs), long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) were 27.6h, 21.1h and 11.4h, respectively.

Conclusion: RNA degradation occurred mainly in blood samples, and the half-lives of mRNAs and miRNAs were similar. Quantitative experiments related to mRNAs should be completed within 2 h. The half-lives of circRNAs and lncRNAs were longer than those of the former two.

Background

Blood samples are rich in biomolecules such as proteins, DNA and RNA, which can be used for disease diagnosis, and determining disease stage and prognosis [1]. Compared with tissue samples, blood samples have advantages including being more readily accessible, suitable for continuous sampling, and amenable to numerous different tests. However, after blood samples are collected, they need to be processed, packed and stored in containers suitable for long-term cryopreservation. Otherwise, RNA in blood is prone to degradation under normal temperature transportation and daily storage conditions, which can affect the subsequent detection, analysis and development of molecular diagnostic biomarkers [2].

In clinical diagnostic laboratories, 60–70% of errors occur in the pre-processing process of specimen analysis, most of which are caused by specimen collection, specimen processing and specimen storage [3]. As a basis for biomarker research and development, the acquisition of high quality blood samples is critical. The dipotassium ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA-2K) anticoagulant vessels are widely used in basic research and clinical laboratories to collect, transport and preserve blood samples, which is not conducive to protecting specific molecular biomarkers such as RNA molecules in blood. In the process of sample preservation, if a sample is immediately frozen at -20°C or -80°C without any protective measures, subcellular structures are severely damaged, and the structure and activity of RNA

can be altered, often irreversibly [4]. The quality of RNA in stored samples is directly affected by the method of sample storage [5]. In the case of improper storage, RNA in blood is rapidly degraded by RNase enzymes present in blood or the external environment. Previous research has shown that although EDTA-K2 can effectively prevent hemagglutination, it cannot maintain the stability of RNA in blood cells. Following cryopreservation, RNA is degraded by about 80% in a short time [6]. Therefore, the present work mainly compared the stability of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and some non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) in blood, explored the influence of relevant experimental operations on RNA degradation before and after RNA extraction, and quantitatively analysed on mRNA degradation in specimens and during experimental processes.

The miRNAs are short (~ 22 nucleotides), non-coding, RNA molecules that control diverse biological processes, including cell fate determination, cell proliferation, cell differentiation and cell death [7–9]. The miRNAs regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally by interacting with and down-regulating target mRNA molecules. There has been a growing interest in their use for clinical applications, largely due to their high stability and cell/tissue specificity. They are relatively stable and widely expressed in cells, tissues and organs throughout the body. In serum, plasma, saliva, urine, emulsions and other body fluids, miRNAs are highly stable extracellularly [10–12]. The miRNAs are associated with a variety of diseases and have been detected under a variety of pathological conditions [13]. CircRNAs are a common type of non-coding RNA produced by reverse splicing. Reverse splicing is an unconventional splicing event characterized by non-coding RNA molecules with circular structure formed by covalent bonds without a 5' -capped structure or a 3' -terminated polyadenylated tail. Since circRNAs are insensitive to nuclease, they are more stable than linear RNAs, but the mechanism by which cells eventually degrade circRNAs is still largely unknown compared to the mechanism of circRNA biogenesis [14, 15]. LncRNAs are non-coding RNAs with a length of more than 200 nucleotides. Studies have confirmed the existence of hundreds of lncRNAs in serum/plasma. These long-chain molecules are stable, abundant and non-degradable, easy to be quantitatively detected, and have significant disease specificity [13].

Although great progress has been made on studying ncRNAs biosynthesis and functions, there are few reports on their degradation [16]. Due to the presence of nucleases in the blood, most researchers doubt that extracellular RNA can remain stable for long periods of time [17]. For example, the miRNAs are generally considered to have a long half-life ($T_{1/2}$), and can be stably present in serum and not easily degraded. Like other RNAs, miRNAs have a half-life, but exact data is rare in the literature [18, 19]. The regulation of miRNAs is critical to the definition of cell identity and behaviour in normal physiology and disease. However, the dynamics of miRNA degradation and the mechanisms involved remain largely obscure, particularly in higher organisms [20]. Researchers developed a pulse-tracking method based on metabolic RNA markers to calculate the genome-wide miRNA attenuation rate in mammalian cells [21], and revealed the half-life of heterogeneous miRNAs, which are stable molecules in many species ($T_{1/2}$ >24 h). However, other miRNAs, including passenger miRNAs and some guide miRNAs, are rapidly degraded ($T_{1/2}$ = 4–14 h).

Insights into the mechanisms and relevance of miRNA degradation in physiology are just beginning to emerge. Some reports suggest that slow turnover might not be a general feature of miRNAs and, in some instances, miRNA decay may be relatively fast (a few hours), as demonstrated for miR-503 in fibroblasts, and miR-29b during mitosis [22, 23]. Degradation plays an important role in the regulation of intracellular ncRNA content, thereby regulating the growth and development of the body or stress responses and other processes. The determination of RNA half-life ($T_{1/2}$) is important for understanding the regulatory mechanisms of gene expression and environmental changes that alter gene transcription levels [24].

Each method for measuring RNA levels has its advantages and disadvantages. The half-life of mRNA in tissues is generally determined by *in situ* hybridisation, which is time-consuming and labour-intensive [9]. Plasma ncRNAs can be quantitatively detected by real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR and microarray. If higher sensitivity is required, real-time quantitative PCR is a good choice [9, 25]. The copy number of molecules in samples can be quickly and accurately determined by real-time quantitative PCR. The relative initial concentration is calculated based on the cycle threshold (Ct) value, and the half-life is calculated by establishing the regression linear equation over time. Due to the sensitivity of the method, the half-life of an RNA can be established even when it is expressed at low levels.

Therefore, a fast and reliable RNA half-life measurement method was established in the present study, based on real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR and supplemented by microspectrophotometry, to compare the half-life length of mRNAs and ncRNAs. Human-specific glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and β -actin genes were used as internal reference genes for mRNA stability, the U6 gene served as a reference for miRNA stability, and the hsa_circRNA_002532 and lncRNA GASL1 genes were used as reference for the stability of circRNAs and lncRNAs. Changes in RNA content in blood samples after different time periods were determined by fluorescence quantitative PCR, and the half-lives of the genes were established and assessed. Fresh, whole anticoagulant blood was collected and stored at room temperature, and RNA was extracted and measured every 12 h. Real-time quantitative PCR analysis of the genes was used to evaluate the concentrations of mRNAs and ncRNAs in each sample. We analysed various factors involved, from RNA extraction to gene amplification. We employed the orthogonal experimental method with three factors and three levels. The three factors were the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature, the storage time of RNA at room temperature, and the storage time of cDNA after reverse transcription at -20°C . The β -actin gene was amplified by real-time quantitative PCR and its Ct value was determined. The results were analysed by multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) and regression analysis.

In research on mRNA and ncRNAs molecular markers, standardisation of the entire testing process is very important for the stability and reproducibility of experimental results [26]. Additionally, although ncRNAs have high stability, theoretically, they can still be affected by RNA-active enzymes [27]. In clinical studies, blood samples are typically stored frozen to investigate the stability of miRNAs and establish optimal storage conditions [28]. The present work focused on exploring the degradation of RNA at room temperature, using the same method to study the half-life of different RNAs and the influencing factors, and compare the degradation kinetics and characteristics of different RNAs in whole blood. The overall

aim was to establish the optimal experimental and storage conditions, and thereby ensure the accuracy of experimental results.

Material And Methods

Treatment of experimental specimens

Fresh anticoagulant whole blood from healthy subjects was used as experimental samples. Next, genomic RNA was extracted using a high efficiency blood RNA extraction kit (Beijing TIANGEN Biotechnology, batch number DP190813) according to the instructions supplied with the kit. After extraction, RNA concentration and purity were calculated using a microspectrophotometer (Vastech Inc.Wilmington,USA) according to the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm (A260 and A280). Reverse transcription was carried out in a 20 μ L system with random primers and reverse transcriptase. A 1 μ L sample of the reverse transcription product was used for real-time quantitative PCR, and each gene was amplified by PCR. Primer sequences are shown in Table 1. The number of experiments is one.

Table 1
Primer sequences of genes

Primer Names	Length (mer)	Sequence	Products Length
GAPDH-F	19	5'- CCTCAAGATCATCAGCAAT – 3'	115bp
GAPDH-R	20	5'- CCTCAAGATCATCAGCAAT – 3'	
β -actin-F	18	5'- CCAGGTCATCACCATCGG – 3'	92bp
β -actin-R	18	5'- TGTCCACGTCGCACTTCA – 3'	
U6-F	17	5'- CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACA – 3'	50bp
U6-R	20	5'- AACGCTTCACGAATTTGCGT – 3'	
LncRNA GASL1-F	20	5'- CTGAGGCCAAAGTTTCCAAC – 3'	210bp
LncRNA GASL1-R	21	5'- CAGCCTGACTTTCCCTCTTCT – 3'	
circRNA002532-F	21	5'- TGGGAGTTTTCTGCTGATGAT-3'	119bp
circRNA002532-R	23	5'-GGGTTTCTTTCTCATCTCTCTCA – 3'	

Quantitative performance evaluation of detection system

Detection using a high efficiency blood total RNA extraction kit

Whole blood was diluted to a series of concentrations, RNA was extracted using a high efficiency total blood RNA extraction kit, and a linear relationship between RNA concentration and dilution ratio was observed.

Detection using a microspectrophotometer

Extracted RNA was diluted to a series of concentrations and concentration was measured with a microspectrophotometer, revealing a linear relationship between RNA concentration and dilution ratio.

Real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR

Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA and diluted to a series of concentrations. The GAPDH gene was amplified by real-time quantitative PCR, and a linear relationship between its Ct value and dilution ratio was observed.

The influence of three factors on RNA degradation rate determined by an orthogonal method

Three factors and three levels of orthogonal experimental methods were employed. The three factors were the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature, the storage time of RNA at room temperature, and the storage time of cDNA after reverse transcription at -20°C. The Ct values of internal reference genes were determined by real-time quantitative PCR and the results were analysed by multivariate ANOVA and regression analysis. The optimal combination was obtained according to the results of orthogonal experiments. Whole blood was diluted 1:2, 1:4, 1:8, 1:16 and 1:32 with normal saline. RNA extraction and other related experiments were carried out under optimal combination conditions. GAPDH, β -actin and U6 genes were amplified by real-time quantitative PCR.

Comparison of the half-lives of mRNAs and ncRNAs

When whole blood samples were incubated at room temperature, the RNA concentration gradually decreased with time. The half-life was calculated using a microspectrophotometer and real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR. Firstly, according to the equation $N_0 = 2^{(Ct_0 - Ct_1)}$, the relative template concentration (N_0) was calculated from the Ct values. Linear regression analysis was then conducted between the natural logarithm of the concentrations and the incubation time to obtain the slope K. The half-life($T_{1/2}$) was obtained using the equation:

$$T_{1/2} = \ln 2 / |K|$$

Statistical analysis

All data were analysed using SPSS17.0 statistical software [29]. Multi-factor ANOVA was performed on the orthogonal test results. The storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature, the storage time of RNA at room temperature, and the time of reverse transcription after cDNA storage at -20°C were considered as independent variables, and the concentration was taken as a dependent variable to investigate the effects of the three factors on RNA degradation at different levels. A p -value < 0.05 indicated statistically significant differences.

Results

In order to evaluate the quantitative performance of the detection system, linear observation was carried out. The results showed revealed a good linear relationship between the natural logarithm of the

concentration value, the Ct value, and the natural logarithm of the dilution factor, with correlation coefficient R^2 of 0.9945, 0.9975, and 0.9786, respectively, all greater than 0.95 (Fig. 1). Therefore, the experimental results obtained from subsequent experiments based on the above experimental instruments were reliable.

Orthogonal tests

Nine experiments were performed according to the $L_9(3^4)$ orthogonal experimental table of three factors and three levels, with each factor at three levels. The β -actin gene was amplified by real-time quantitative PCR to measure the Ct value. The results are shown in Table 2:

Table 2
 $L_9(3^4)$ orthogonal experiment

Test	A	B	C	β -actin	Concentration (ng/ μ L)
1	0 h	0 h	0 h	21.99	1.000
2	0 h	12 h	12 h	22.62	0.646
3	0 h	24 h	24 h	22.73	0.599
4	12 h	0 h	12 h	23.71	0.304
5	12 h	12 h	24 h	23.73	0.299
6	12 h	24 h	0 h	23.43	0.369
7	24 h	0 h	24 h	24.34	0.196
8	24 h	12 h	0 h	24.15	0.224
9	24 h	24 h	12 h	24.39	0.189
Note: A represents the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature; B represents the storage time of RNA at room temperature; C represents the storage time of cDNA at -20°C.					

Direct comparison of the nine experimental results in Table 2 shows that the Ct value of Experiment 1 was the smallest. With increasing time, the Ct value of genes gradually increased (i.e., the more factors involved, the greater the impact on RNA degradation, and the faster RNA degradation). Multivariate ANOVA and linear regression analysis were conducted for the above orthogonal experiments, and the analysis results are shown in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table 3
Analysis of between-sample effects

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	F	p
Corrected Model	1.446a	39.588	< 0.001
Intercept	4.724	775.970	< 0.001
A	1.286	105.598	< 0.001
B	0.048	3.905	0.037
C	0.113	9.261	< 0.001
a.R Squared = 0.922			
Note: Dependent variable = concentration. A represents the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature; B represents the storage time of RNA at room temperature; C represents the storage time of cDNA at -20°C.			

Table 4
The coefficient of linear regression

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	p
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	21.219	0.208		102.066	<0.001
A	0.886	0.058	0.937	15.169	<0.001
B	0.074	0.058	0.079	1.274	0.215
C	0.161	0.058	0.170	2.758	0.011
Note: A represents the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature; B represents the storage time of RNA at room temperature; C represents the storage time of cDNA at -20°C.					

In the table comparing inter-subject effects, we compared the *p*-values of fresh whole blood storage time at room temperature, RNA storage time at room temperature, and cDNA storage time at -20°C, and the *p*-values of the three influencing factors were all less than 0.05, and less than 0.01 for two of the influencing factors. Thus, these three factors had significant effects on RNA degradation, and the differences were statistically significant. However, there were some differences in the degree of influence. According to the regression analysis coefficient results, the storage time of RNA at room temperature had the least influence on RNA degradation, while the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature had the largest influence on RNA degradation. The effects of the three factors on RNA degradation were ordered whole blood storage time at room temperature > cDNA storage time at -20°C > RNA storage time at room temperature.

According to the results of orthogonal experiments, the optimal combination of factors in this experiment was A1B1C1 (i.e., when the storage time of fresh whole blood at room temperature, the storage time of RNA at room temperature, and the storage time of reverse transcription cDNA at -20°C were all 0 h, the Ct

value of genes was lowest). Therefore, in the process of RNA extraction and reverse transcription from whole blood, the time should be shortened as soon as possible, otherwise the subsequent results will be affected to a certain extent. Furthermore, blood was diluted to a series of concentrations for RNA extraction, followed by the amplification of GAPDH, β -actin, and U6 genes, and Ct values were recorded, all under optimal conditions. Taking the natural logarithm of the relative template concentration as a linear relationship with the dilution ratio, the correlation coefficient r values were 0.95, 0.99 and 0.93, respectively, all greater than 0.9, showing a good linear relationship.

Half-life calculation

For half-life calculation based on microspectrophotometry, fresh anticoagulant whole blood was placed at room temperature, RNA was extracted every 12 h, and the concentration was measured by microspectrophotometry. The results are shown in Fig. 2A. Linear regression analysis of the natural logarithm of concentration and time yielded the concentration equation $y = -0.0481x + 4.172$ (Fig. 2B), and the calculated half-life was 14.4 h.

For half-life calculation based on the real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR method, the degradation data at room temperature are shown in Tables 5. The RNA concentration in whole blood decreased with increasing incubation duration. The Ct value was measured every 12 h, and the relative initial concentration before amplification was calculated according to its Ct value. The half-life was calculated by performing linear regression analysis on the natural logarithm of the initial concentration and the incubation duration. The regression analysis diagram is shown in Fig. 3. The correlation coefficient R^2 were 0.9668, 0.9665, 0.8118 and 0.9613 respectively, and the equations were $y_1 = -0.0423x + 0.1262$; $y_2 = -0.0607x + 0.2314$, $y_3 = -0.0251x - 0.1779$, $y_4 = -0.0329x - 0.0186$, respectively. The half-lives of mRNA and miRNA in whole blood were calculated by the formula to be 16.4 h and 11.4 h, respectively, indicating that the half-lives of mRNA were slightly longer than those of miRNA, but essentially similar. The half-lives of circRNA and lncRNA in whole blood were 27.6h and 21.1h, respectively.

Table 5
Changes in RNAs concentration in whole blood at room temperature

Time(h) gene	0h	12h	24h	36h	48h	60h
β -actin Ct	22.04	22.34	23.41	24.15	24.40	25.78
C(ng/ μ l)	1.00	0.81	0.39	0.23	0.19	0.07
U6 Ct	12.94	13.69	14.47	15.16	17.11	18.09
C(ng/ μ l)	1.00	0.59	0.35	0.21	0.06	0.03
Circ002532 Ct	26.43	26.62	27.98	28.63	28.72	28.73
C(ng/ μ l)	1.00	0.88	0.34	0.22	0.20	0.20
LncRNA GASL Ct	32.53	33.41	33.47	34.21	34.64	35.63
C(ng/ μ l)	1.00	0.54	0.52	0.31	0.23	0.12

Discussion

In this study, a fast and reliable RNA half-life measurement method was established, based on quantitative real-time fluorescence PCR and supplemented by microspectrophotometry. Quantitative real-time fluorescence PCR can quickly and accurately determine the copy number of molecules in samples. qPCR was employed to detect changes in RNA content after incubating samples for different time periods, and the half-lives of mRNA and ncRNAs were compared. Real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR was used to calculate the relative initial concentration based on the Ct value, resulting in a linear regression equation vs. time, and this was used to calculate the half-life. Due to the sensitivity of the method, the half-life of RNA can be determined even when expressed at low levels [30]. Because incomplete or degraded RNA may interfere with the accuracy of RNA half-life detection, the length of the amplified fragment is important to determine the RNA half-life [31]. Regarding genes, we selected β -actin, GAPDH and other housekeeper genes as internal reference sequences. Since their expression levels in cells or copy number in the genome is constant, and is less affected by environmental factors, their quantitative results reflect the number of cells or genomes contained in the sample [32].

In order to avoid errors caused by manual operation and differences in reagents and instruments, we conducted quantitative performance evaluation of the methods involved in the experimental process before the experiment. Linear analysis yielded correlation coefficient R^2 values of 0.9945, 0.9975, and 0.9965, greater than 0.99 in all cases, and these good linear relationships laid a foundation for subsequent experiments.

In the analysis of key influencing factors, we employed an orthogonal experiment to investigate multiple factors accurately. The approach uses a set of normalised orthogonal tables to conduct tests, and the experimental results are subjected to statistical analysis to draw scientific conclusions. This design method is used for studying multi-factors and multi-levels rapidly and conveniently [33]. The orthogonal

experiment results showed that the greater the number of factors involved in the experiment, the greater the impact on RNA degradation, and the faster RNA degradation. Multivariate ANOVA in the orthogonal experiment showed that the p -values of the three influencing factors were all less than 0.05, and p -values for two of the influencing factors were less than 0.01, indicating that the three factors had a significant impact on RNA degradation, and the differences were statistically significant. By comparing the standardisation coefficient, it could be seen that the storage duration of RNA at room temperature had the least effect on RNA degradation, while the storage duration of fresh whole blood at room temperature had the largest effect on RNA degradation. Therefore, we studied the storage duration as a factor, and investigated the half-life of mRNA and miRNA in whole blood at room temperature.

When processing actual samples, especially during mass inspection, experimentalists often ignore the effects of intermediate processes from specimen collection to specimen treatment on RNA concentration [3]. Therefore, we chose room temperature as the most likely incubation temperature for blood samples after collection. In view of the fact that the target gene fragment could not be amplified after whole blood samples were incubated for a week in preliminary experiments, we tested observation times of 0 h, 12 h, 24 h, 36 h, 48 h, and 60 h. The half-life was calculated by two methods (microspectrophotometry and qPCR). First, RNA concentration was measured by microspectrophotometry, and from the dotted line diagram, we found that RNA degradation was fastest in the first 24 h (the slope of the dotted line was the greatest), and the slope of the dotted line became more gentle after 24 h. The linear equation was calculated and the half-life of whole blood RNA at room temperature was 14.4 h. Second, using real-time quantitative PCR, the relative concentration was calculated according to the formula after taking the median Ct value, linear regression analysis was performed on the natural logarithm of the concentration vs. time, and the correlation coefficients R were 0.983, 0.983, 0.900 and 0.980, all greater than 0.9. Therefore, we can say that at room temperature, the degradation of RNA in whole blood after a defined period of time conforms to the first-order kinetic law. The experimental results showed that the half-life of mRNA was 16.4 h, and the half-life of miRNA was 11.4 h. Thus, the half-life of mRNA was longer than that of miRNA, but only slightly. The half-lives of circRNA and lncRNA were longer than those of the other two. Most previous studies have stated that miRNAs are stable in serum, and miRNAs are generally considered to have a very long half-life [34, 35]. However, the present study found that the half-life of miRNAs was shorter than that of mRNAs.

In this experiment, the half-life results obtained by real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR and microspectrophotometry were essentially similar, and mRNAs and ncRNAs were measured simultaneously on the same platform. Therefore, we concluded that the storage method for samples directly affected the quality of RNA in the stored samples. RNA in blood is easily degraded during improper storage, and RNA is rapidly degraded by RNases present in blood or in the external environment. Storage at room temperature has a greater impact on RNA degradation [36].

The mRNAs and ncRNAs studied in the present work were from blood samples. Most previous studies have focused on tissue samples, but blood samples have advantages including being clearer, non-invasive, convenient for sampling, and amenable to continuous detection [1]. With the development of

biotechnology, detection methods will become more and more sensitive, hence it is particularly important to reduce variation during pre-treatment of specimens [37]. Due to the poor stability and short half-life of RNA, it is of great significance to select appropriate and professional methods to preserve blood samples to ensure the accuracy and reliability of test results. Especially in clinical trials, the acquisition of high-quality experimental samples is very important, hence more attention should be paid to the impacts of specimen analysis and processing [38].

Abbreviations

cycle threshold (Ct)

microRNAs (miRNAs)

messenger RNAs (mRNAs)

circular RNAs (circRNAs)

long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs)

dipotassium ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA-2K)

half-life ($T_{1/2}$)

real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR)

glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)

multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA)

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

None

Authors' contributions

HL participated in the design, interpretation of the studies and analysis of the data and review of the manuscript. CW participated in the experimental process and paper writing process. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Acknowledgements

None

References

1. McLerran D, Grizzle WE, Feng Z, et al. Analytical validation of serum proteomic profiling for diagnosis of prostate cancer: sources of sample bias. *Clin Chem*. 2008;54:44–52.
2. Hardwick J. Blood storage and transportation. *ISBT Sci Ser*. 2020;15:232–54.
3. Lippi G, Chance JJ, Church S, et al. Preanalytical quality improvement: from dream to reality. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2011;49:1113–26.
4. Manning MC, Chou DK, Murphy BM, Payne RW, Katayama DS. Stability of protein pharmaceuticals: an update. *Pharm Res*. 2010;27:544–75.
5. Panesar NS, Lit LCW. Stability of serum thyroid hormones following 8–11 years of cold storage. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2010;48:409–12.
6. Rainen L, Oelmueller U, Jurgensen S, et al. Stabilization of mRNA expression in whole blood samples. *Clin Chem*. 2002;48:1883–90.
7. Kloosterman WP, Plasterk RHA. The diverse functions of microRNAs in animal development and disease. *Dev Cell*. 2006;11:441–50.
8. Hammond SM, Bernstein E, Beach D, Hannon GJ. An RNA-directed nuclease mediates post-transcriptional gene silencing in *Drosophila* cells. *Nature*. 2000;404:293–6.
9. Clancy JL, Nusch M, Humphreys DT, Westman BJ, Beilharz TH, Preiss T. Methods to analyze microRNA-mediated control of mRNA translation. *Methods Enzymol*. 2007;431:83–111.
10. Balzano F, Deiana M, Dei Giudici S, et al. miRNA Stability in Frozen Plasma Samples. *Molecules*. 2015;20:19030–40.

11. Rosenfeld N, Aharonov R, Meiri E, et al. MicroRNAs accurately identify cancer tissue origin. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008;26:462–9.
12. Mitchell PS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, et al. Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008;105:10513–8.
13. Ajit SK. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers, therapeutic targets, and signaling molecules. *Sensors (Basel).* 2012;12:3359–69.
14. Tang Q, Hann SS. Biological Roles and Mechanisms of Circular RNA in Human Cancers. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2020;13:2067–92.
15. Guo Y, Wei X, Peng Y. Structure-Mediated Degradation of CircRNAs. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2020;30:501–3.
16. Kumar S, Prajapati KS, Singh AK, Kushwaha PP, Shuaib M, Gupta S. Long non-coding RNA regulating androgen receptor signaling in breast and prostate cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2021;504:15–22.
17. El-Hefnawy T, Raja S, Kelly L, Bigbee WL, Kirkwood JM, Luketich JD, Godfrey TE. Characterization of amplifiable, circulating RNA in plasma and its potential as a tool for cancer diagnostics. *Clin Chem.* 2004;50:564–73.
18. Li Y, Li Z, Zhou S, Wen J, Geng B, Yang J, Cui Q. Genome-wide analysis of human microRNA stability. *Biomed Res Int.* 2013;2013:368975.
19. Gantier MP, McCoy CE, Rusinova I, et al. Analysis of microRNA turnover in mammalian cells following Dicer1 ablation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011;39:5692–703.
20. Friedel CC, Dölken L, Ruzsics Z, Koszinowski UH, Zimmer R. Conserved principles of mammalian transcriptional regulation revealed by RNA half-life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009;37:e115.
21. Marzi MJ, Ghini F, Cerruti B, et al. Degradation dynamics of microRNAs revealed by a novel pulse-chase approach. *Genome Res.* 2016;26:554–65.
22. Rissland OS, Hong S-J, Bartel DP. MicroRNA destabilization enables dynamic regulation of the miR-16 family in response to cell-cycle changes. *Mol Cell.* 2011;43:993–1004.
23. Hwang H-W, Wentzel EA, Mendell JT. A hexanucleotide element directs microRNA nuclear import. *Science.* 2007;315:97–100.
24. Young LE, Moore AE, Sokol L, Meisner-Kober N, Dixon DA. The mRNA stability factor HuR inhibits microRNA-16 targeting of COX-2. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2012;10:167–80.
25. Zhao CH, Cheng G-C, He RL, Hong Y, Wan QL, Wang Z-Z, Pan Z-Y. Analysis and clinical significance of microRNA-499 expression levels in serum of patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Genet Mol Res.* 2015;14:4027–34.
26. Sethi P, Lukiw WJ. Micro-RNA abundance and stability in human brain: specific alterations in Alzheimer's disease temporal lobe neocortex. *Neurosci Lett.* 2009;459:100–4.
27. Sobel BE. Biomarkers, surrogates, and evaluation of therapeutic agents: a proposed solution. *Coron Artery Dis.* 1999;10:339–41.
28. Deiana L, Ferrucci L, Pes GM, et al. AKEntAnnos. The Sardinia Study of Extreme Longevity. *Aging (Milano).* 1999;11:142–9.

29. Ju J, Huang Q, Sun J, Zhao X, Guo X, Jin Y, Ma W, Wang W. Correlation between PPAR- α methylation level in peripheral blood and atherosclerosis of NAFLD patients with DM. *Exp Ther Med*. 2018;15:2727–30.
30. Davoren PA, McNeill RE, Lowery AJ, Kerin MJ, Miller N. Identification of suitable endogenous control genes for microRNA gene expression analysis in human breast cancer. *BMC Mol Biol*. 2008;9:76.
31. Resnick KE, Alder H, Hagan JP, Richardson DL, Croce CM, Cohn DE. The detection of differentially expressed microRNAs from the serum of ovarian cancer patients using a novel real-time PCR platform. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2009;112:55–9.
32. Peltier HJ, Latham GJ. Normalization of microRNA expression levels in quantitative RT-PCR assays: identification of suitable reference RNA targets in normal and cancerous human solid tissues. *RNA*. 2008;14:844–52.
33. Wang H-J, Pan M-C, Chang C-K, Chang S-W, Hsieh C-W. Optimization of ultrasonic-assisted extraction of cordycepin from *Cordyceps militaris* using orthogonal experimental design. *Molecules*. 2014;19:20808–20.
34. Bail S, Swerdel M, Liu H, Jiao X, Goff LA, Hart RP, Kiledjian M. Differential regulation of microRNA stability. *RNA*. 2010;16:1032–9.
35. Ge Q, Zhou Y, Lu J, Bai Y, Xie X, Lu Z. miRNA in plasma exosome is stable under different storage conditions. *Molecules*. 2014;19:1568–75.
36. Rose OM, Fredricks DN, Soge OO, Farooq F, Tang G, Ness R, Haggerty CL. Stability of *Chlamydia trachomatis* RNA after long-term biobank storage. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2019;95:551.
37. Gillio-Meina C, Cepinskas G, Cecchini EL, Fraser DD. Translational research in pediatrics II: blood collection, processing, shipping, and storage. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131:754–66.
38. Seidl C, Huber HM, Müller-Kuller T, et al. Blood collection and processing. Quality guidelines and standards reflecting common best practice standards referring to the EuBIS manual and guide. *ISBT Sci Ser*. 2012;7:16–23.

Figures

Figure 1

Linear detection of measuring instruments. Linear detection using a high-efficiency blood RNA extraction kit (A); Linear detection by microspectrophotometry (B); Linear detection by real-time quantitative PCR amplification (C).

Figure 2

Dynamic degradation curves for RNA in blood before and after natural logarithm transformation.

Figure 3

A,B,C and D, Dynamic degradation curves for mRNA , miRNA, circRNA and lncRNA in blood after natural logarithm transformation.