

The Timing of Oesophageal Dilatations in Anastomotic Stenosis After One-Stage Anastomosis for Congenital Oesophageal Atresia

Hong Ye (✉ 1013379025@qq.com)

fujian provincial maternity and children's hospital

qiang chen

fujian provincaill maternity and children's hospital

Jinxi Huang

fujian children's hospital

Junjie Hong

fujian children's hospital

songming hong

fujian children's hospital

Research article

Keywords: Congenital oesophageal atresia, anastomotic stenosis, timing of oesophageal dilatation, anastomotic fistula, refractory anastomotic stenosis

Posted Date: September 15th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-74817/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: In infants with congenital oesophageal atresia, anastomotic stenosis easily occurs after one-stage oesophageal anastomosis, leading to dysphagia. In severe cases, oesophageal dilatation is required. In this paper, the timing of oesophageal dilatation in infants with anastomotic stenosis was investigated through retrospective data analysis.

Methods: The clinical data of 107 infants with oesophageal atresia who underwent one-stage anastomosis in our hospital from January 2015 to December 2018 were retrospectively analysed. Data such as the timing and frequency of oesophageal dilatation under gastroscopy after surgery were collected to analyse the timing of oesophageal dilatation in infants with different risk factors.

Results: For infants with refractory stenosis, the average number of dilatations in the early dilatation group (the first dilatation was performed within 6 months after the surgery) was 5.75 ± 0.5 , which was higher than the average of 7.40 ± 1.35 times in the normal dilatation group (the first dilatation was performed 6 months after the surgery), $P=0.038$. For the infants with anastomotic fistula and anastomotic stenosis, the number of oesophageal dilatations in the early dilatation group was 2.58 ± 2.02 times, which was less than the 6.38 ± 2.06 times in the normal dilatation group, $P=0.001$. For infants with non-anastomotic fistula stenosis, early oesophageal dilatation could not reduce the total number of oesophageal dilatations.

Conclusion: Starting to perform oesophageal dilatation within 6 months after one-stage anastomosis for congenital oesophageal atresia can reduce the required number of dilatations in infants with postoperative anastomotic fistula and refractory anastomotic stenosis.

Background

Congenital oesophageal atresia is a rare malformation of the digestive system, with an average of 1 in every 2500–4000 newborns suffering from oesophageal atresia (EA) ^[1]. Approximately 50% of infants may have associated congenital malformations in other systems ^[2]. Progress has been made in the treatment of EA in the past 20 years, and the success rate of treatment has gradually increased to over 90%. Thoracoscopic surgery has gradually become the main method of treatment for EA. However, anastomotic stenosis is still the most common postoperative complication (17–59%) that often occurs in the first year after surgery ^[3,4]. It may lead to recurrent respiratory complications and malnutrition ^[5]. Thoracoscopic surgery and anastomotic fistula may be closely related to the occurrence of anastomotic stenosis, and severe anastomotic fistula may even lead to refractory anastomotic stenosis ^[6].

Anastomotic stenosis is defined as postoperative feeding difficulties or narrowness confirmed by gastrointestinal radiography and endoscopy ^[19]. According to the European Nutritional Guidelines for Paediatric Gastroenterology published by the European Society of Gastroenterology, refractory stenosis is defined as severe dysphagia requiring at least five oesophageal dilatations, and the interval between

adjacent dilatations cannot exceed 4 weeks [7]. Severe anastomotic stenosis is one of the main reasons for poor postoperative quality of life in infants [8]. At present, the main treatment for oesophageal stenosis is regular oesophageal dilatation [9], including endoscopic oesophageal probe dilatation and balloon dilatation, and some infants with severe stenosis need to undergo resection of stenotic segments and oesophageal end-to-end anastomosis or even oesophageal replacement therapy [10, 11].

Through retrospective analysis, we hope to find the appropriate time for dilatation in infants with refractory stenosis.

Methods

1. Data from a total of 107 infants with oesophageal atresia who underwent one-stage anastomosis in our hospital from January 2015 to December 2018 were retrospectively analysed. The data collected included birth weight, gestational week, surgical method, other systemic malformations, and anastomotic fistula (Table 1).

Table 1
General information

Sex (male/female)	74/33
Gestational age(week)	38.51 ± 1.61
Birth weight (kg)	2.83 ± 0.48
Surgical method (endoscopy/open)	49/58
Other associated systemic malformations (yes/no)	33/74
Cardiovascular system malformation	14
Malformation of the digestive system	8
Urological deformity	4
Skeletal system malformation	11
Respiratory malformation	9
Other malformation	5
Length of defect (cm)	1.53 ± 0.96
Anastomotic fistula	28
Anastomotic stricture	56
Number of oesophageal dilatations	3.5 ± 2.4
Refractory stenosis (continuous dilatation ≥ 5)	14

2. Data related to oesophageal dilatation in all infants were collected and analysed, including the infants' age at the time of first oesophageal dilatation and the total number of oesophageal dilatations. Postoperative anastomotic stenosis was observed in 56 infants, and oesophageal dilatation was performed in 48 infants.

3. SPSS 2.0 software was used to analyse the data, and t tests were used for the oesophageal dilatation analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

1. Refractory stenosis:

There is no definition of "early" or "normal" dilatation timing. Dai et al.'s study^[12] divided dilatation timing into early dilatation (the first dilatation was less than or equal to 6 months after the surgery) and normal dilatation (the first dilatation was more than 6 months after the surgery). In our study, for infants with refractory stenosis, the average number of dilatations in the early dilatation group was 5.75 ± 0.5 compared with 7.40 ± 1.35 in the normal dilatation group, $P = 0.038$. For infants without refractory stenosis, there was no statistically significant difference in the average number of dilatations between the two groups (Table 1).

Table 1
the average number of dilatations

	Early dilatation group	Normal dilatation group	t	P
Refractory stenosis	5.75 ± 0.5	7.40 ± 1.35	2.33	0.038
Non-refractory stenosis	2.05 ± 0.97	2.13 ± 0.92	0.247	0.807

2. Stenosis occurring after anastomotic fistula:

We analysed the number of dilatations in the anastomotic fistula group and non-anastomotic fistula group, and the results indicated that for infants with anastomotic fistula, the average number of dilatations was 2.58 ± 2.02 in the early dilatation group and 6.38 ± 2.06 in the normal dilatation group ($P < 0.05$). For infants without anastomotic fistula, there was no significant difference in the number of dilatations between the early and normal dilatation groups (Table 2). Early oesophageal dilatation is considered helpful for infants with oesophageal stenosis after anastomotic fistula.

Table 2
the average number of dilatations

	Early dilatation group	Normal dilatation group	t	P
With anastomotic fistula	2.58 ± 2.02	6.38 ± 2.06	4.857	0.001
Without anastomotic fistula	1.58 ± 0.52	2.23 ± 1.59	1.346	0.192

3. Anastomotic stenosis occurring after anastomotic fistula appeared more serious and even became a pinpoint anastomoses (Fig. 1). This child had the most dilatations, and severe anastomotic stenosis appeared one month after the surgery, which was then cured after conservative treatment. Through continuous dilatation and long-term indwelling of the gastric tube, a probe with a diameter of 11 mm was gradually allowed to pass the anastomoses, and the dysphagia of this child was gradually relieved during the dilatation process. This child received dilatation once every two weeks, for a total of 11 times.

Discussion

1. The treatment of oesophageal stenosis still remains a problem, and oesophageal dilatation has a positive effect on oesophageal stenosis^[13]. Lang et al. found that oesophageal balloon dilatation had the same effect as probe dilatation^[14]. Studies have calculated that the incidence of oesophageal perforation after probe dilatation is 0.9%^[15] and after balloon dilatation is 1.5%^[16]. All the infants in our group received probe dilatation.
2. Premature anastomotic dilatation may lead to anastomotic perforation^[17]. Therefore, although early dilatation is necessary for some infants due to severe feeding difficulties, we also recommend that it should be performed 3–6 months after surgery. Anastomotic scar hyperplasia usually occurs 3–4 months after surgery. For refractory anastomotic stricture, dilatation within 3–6 months may help to relieve the narrowing caused by scarring. This is our experience in the treatment process. Data analysis also confirmed that early dilatation chosen for infants with refractory stenosis can reduce the number of dilatations.
3. The dysphagia caused by non-refractory stenosis is relatively light, and satisfactory results can often be obtained only after 1–2 dilatations^[18]. Our recommendation is that for refractory stenosis, early dilatation and shortening of the dilatation interval may reduce the required number of dilatations, while for infants with non-refractory stenosis, it may be safer to perform dilatation 6 months after surgery.

For infants with unsatisfactory effects of continuous dilatation, other conservative treatment methods have also been reported, including sterol injection, oesophageal stent placement and endoscopic stenosis incision.^{[19][20]} When conservative treatment fails, oesophageal replacement therapy may eventually be required^[2].

The limitation is that this study is a retrospective study. The symptoms were subjectively assessed by the parents of the infants, and the anastomosis was further assessed by oesophagography, which may have some errors caused by subjective differences. We hope to design relevant prospective studies to further confirm the accuracy of the conclusions.

Conclusion

After one-stage anastomosis for congenital oesophageal atresia, performing oesophageal dilatation within 6 months after surgery is helpful to reduce the total number of dilatations in infants with anastomotic fistula and refractory anastomotic stenosis.

Abbreviations

EA: Oesophageal atresia.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The hospital ethics committee approval was granted of this article. Consent for publication was obtained from the children's parents.

Availability of data and materials: Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for publication of this article.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: no funding.

Authors' contributions: Jinxi Huang participated in clinical practice, contributed to collection and analysis of data, drafting the manuscript, and revising it. Songming Hong carried out data collection. Qiang Chen participated in clinical practice. Hong Ye and Junjie Hong helped in design of study and drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement: Not applicable.

References

1. Allin Benjamin, Knight Marian, Johnson Paul. et al. Outcomes at one-year post anastomosis from a national cohort of infants with oesophageal atresia. [J] PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e106149.
2. van L Marinde, Singendonk Maartje MJ, Dall'Oglio, Luigi, et al. Oesophageal atresia [J] Nat Rev Dis Primers, 2019, 5: 26.
3. Jawaid W. Chan Benjamin, Jesudason Edwin C, Subspecialization may improve an esophageal atresia service but has not addressed declining trainee experience. [J] J Pediatr Surg. 2012;47:1363-8.
4. Yanchar NL, Gordon R, Cooper M, et al. Significance of the clinical course and early upper gastrointestinal studies in predicting complications associated with repair of esophageal atresia. [J] J Pediatr Surg, 2001, 36: 815 – 22.
5. Svoboda E, Fruithof, . *A patient led, international study of long term outcomes of esophageal atresia: EAT 1 [J]. Journal of Pediatric Surgery: Official Journal of the Surgical Section of the American*

Academy of Pediatric, the British Association of Paediatric Surgeons, the American Pediatric Surgical Association, and the Canadian Association of Paediatric Surgeons, 2018.

6. Vergouwe Floor WT, Vlot John, Jsselstijn Hanneke et al. Risk factors for refractory anastomotic strictures after oesophageal atresia repair: a multicentre study.[J]. *Arch. Dis. Child.*, 2019, 104: 152–157..
7. Chang DH. D Müller, Maintz D., et al. Pediatric gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Guideline Executive summary[J]. *Endoscopy*, 2017, 49(01):83–91.
8. Gibreel Waleed, Zendejas Benjamin, Antiel
Gibreel Waleed, Zendejas Benjamin, Antiel. Ryan M et al. Swallowing Dysfunction and Quality of Life in Adults With Surgically Corrected Esophageal Atresia/Tracheoesophageal Fistula as Infants: Forty Years of Follow-up.[J]. *Ann. Surg.*, 2017, 266: 305–310..
9. Ambucci Renato, Angelino Giulia, De Angelis Paola. et al. Anastomotic Strictures after Esophageal Atresia Repair: Incidence, Investigations, and Management, Including Treatment of Refractory and Recurrent Strictures. [J]. *Front Pediatr.* 2017;5:120.
10. Cano Novillo Indalecio, Aneiros Castro Belén, García Vázquez Araceli et al. Thoracoscopic esophagoesophagostomy for a refractory stricture in a patient with esophageal atresia.[J]. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol*, 2019, undefined: 1–4..
11. Hirschl Ronald B, Yardeni Dani, Oldham Keith et al. Gastric transposition for esophageal replacement in infants: experience with 41 consecutive cases with special emphasis on esophageal atresia. [J]. *Ann. Surg.*, 2002, 236: 531-9; discussion 539 – 41..
12. Dai DL. Zhang C X, Zou Y G, et al. Predictors of outcomes of endoscopic balloon dilatation in strictures after esophageal atresia repair: A retrospective study[J]. *World J Gastroenterol*, 2020, 26(10):1080–7.
13. Yasuda JL. Taslitsky G N, Staffa S J, et al. Utility of repeated therapeutic endoscopies for pediatric esophageal anastomotic strictures[J]. *Diseases of the Esophagus*..
14. Lang T, Hümmel H P, Behrens R, Balloon dilatation is preferable to bougienage in infants with esophageal atresia.[J]. *Endoscopy*, 2001, 33: 329 – 35.
15. Poddar U. Thapa B R, Benign esophageal strictures in infants and infants: results of Savary-Gilliard bougie dilatation in 107. Indian infants[J]. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2001;54:480-4.
16. Lan LC, L, Wong K K Y, Lin SCL, et al. Endoscopic balloon dilatation of esophageal strictures in infants and infants: 17 years' experience and a literature review.[. J]. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2003;38:1712-5.
17. Campos Jose, Tan Tanny Sharman P, Kuyruk Sema et al. The burden of esophageal dilatations following repair of esophageal atresia.[J]. *J. Pediatr. Surg.*, 2020, undefined: undefined..
18. Renato T, Giulia, et al. Anastomotic Strictures after Esophageal Atresia Repair: Incidence, Investigations, and Management, Including Treatment of Refractory and Recurrent Strictures[J]. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 2017.

19. Manfredi MA. *Endoscopic Management of Anastomotic Esophageal Strictures Secondary to Esophageal Atresia*[J]. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America*, 2016, 26(1):201.
20. Efficacy. *and* histopathological esophageal wall damage of biodegradable esophageal stents for treatment of severe refractory esophageal anastomotic stricture in a child with long gap esophageal atresia[J]. *Clin J Gastroenterol*. 2014;7(6):496–501.

Figures




Figure 1

Refractory anastomotic stenosis after anastomotic fistula