Treatment-Resistant Depression and Major Depression With Suicide Risk – The Cost of Illness and Burden of Disease

Rute Dinis Sousa (✉ rutedesousa@gmail.com)

EpiSaude – Associação Científica, Évora,

Miguel Gouveia

Católica Lisbon School of Business and Economics, Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Lisboa,

Catarina Nunes-da-Silva

EpiDoC Unit, CEDOC, NOVA Medical School, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Ana Rodrigues

EpiDoC Unit, CEDOC, NOVA Medical School, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Graça Cardoso

Lisbon Institute of Global Mental Health

Ana Antunes

Lisbon Institute of Global Mental Health

Helena Canhão

Comprehensive Health Research Centre, NOVA Medical School, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

José Miguel Caldas Almeida

Lisbon Institute of Global Mental Health

Research Article

Keywords: Treatment-Resistant Depression, Major Depression with Suicide Risk, Cost of illness, Burden of Disease, DALY - Disability-adjusted life years lost.

Posted Date: September 20th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-757712/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Introduction: Treatment-Resistant Depression (TRD) and Major Depression with Suicide Risk (MDSR) are types of depression with relevant effects on the health of the population and a potentially significant economic impact. This study estimates the burden of disease and the costs of illness attributed to Treatment-Resistant Depression and Major Depression with Suicide Risk in Portugal.

Methods: The disease burden for adults was quantified in 2017 using the Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) lost. Direct costs related to the health care system and indirect costs were estimated for 2017, with indirect costs resulting from the reduction in productivity. Estimates were based on multiple sources of information, including the National Epidemiological Study on Mental Health, the Hospital Morbidity Database, data from the Portuguese National Statistics Institute on population and causes of death, official data on wages, statistics on the pharmaceutical market, and qualified opinions of experts.

Results: The estimated prevalence of TRD, MDSR, and both types of depression combined was 79.4 thousand, 52.5 thousand, and 11.3 thousand patients, respectively. The disease burden (DALY) due to the disability generated by TRD alone, MDSR alone, and the joint prevalence was 25.2 thousand, 21 thousand, and 4.5 thousand respectively, totaling 50.7 thousand DALYs. The disease burden due to premature death by suicide was 15.6 thousand DALYs. The estimated total disease burden was 66.3 thousand DALYs.

In 2017, the annual direct costs with TRD and MDSR were estimated at € 30.8 million, with the most important components being medical appointments and medication. The estimated indirect costs were much higher than the direct costs. Adding work productivity losses due to reduced employment, absenteeism, presenteeism, and premature death, a total cost of € 1,1 billion was obtained.

Conclusions: Although TRD and MDSR represent relatively small direct costs for the health system, they have a relevant disease burden and extremely substantial productivity costs for the Portuguese economy and society, making TRD and MDSR priority areas for achieving health gains.

Background

Depressive disorders, which can be lasting or recurrent, are characterized by sadness, loss of interest or pleasure, feelings of guilt or low self-esteem, disturbances in sleep or appetite, feeling tired, and low concentration [1]. Depression is associated with deficits in individuals' professional, social, and personal functioning, contributing to decreased patients' quality of life. In its most severe form, the depressive disorder can lead to suicide [1, 2].

Globally, it is estimated that more than 300 million individuals, equivalent to 4.4% of the world population, are affected by depression [1]. Portugal is the second country in Europe with the highest prevalence of psychiatric diseases, and mood disorders had a prevalence of 7.9% in 2009 [3].

Although several drugs are indicated for the treatment of depression, studies reveal that one to two-thirds of patients will not respond to the first prescription, and 15 to 33% will fail to respond to multiple interventions [4].

According to the literature, there is no exact definition for treatment-resistant depression [5]. However, it has been established that the term refers to an inadequate response to at least one antidepressant, with adequate dose and duration, in patients with depression [6]. Nevertheless, what constitutes an inadequate response is still the subject of debate. Nowadays, for many specialists, the goal of treatment is to achieve remission [7]. Despite the lack of consensus, the duration of response assessment is generally defined as a minimum of six weeks of treatment [8].

There are few estimates of the prevalence of treatment-resistant depression. Available data points to a global life-time prevalence of Major Depression (MD) of 10 to 15%, and some studies estimate this prevalence to be between 8.1% and 11.2% in low/medium income countries and 13% in high-income countries [9, 10]. The epidemiology of Treatment-Resistant Depression's (TRD) is not so well studied and characterized due to the heterogeneity of criteria and methodologies and scarcity of epidemiology-related studies. However, it is estimated that more than one-third of treated patients with MD progress to TRD [6, 10, 11], a proportion that has been corroborated by the study "Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression" (study STAR*D), one of the largest and most important studies that evaluated the burden of treatment-resistant depression [12]. There has been an increase in the number of people diagnosed with this type of depression. These patients tend to have higher comorbidities with other psychiatric disorders, incapacity for work, absenteeism, more frequent hospitalizations, which consequently generates higher costs for the health system [13].

Much of the cost and disability associated with depression are explained by resistance to treatment [14]. Depressive disorders are responsible for an overall loss of more than 50 million years of life adjusted for disability [1]. Estimates indicate that depression will be the leading cause of global disease burden worldwide by the year 2030 [1, 2, 15].

Management of treatment-resistant depression requires a multimodal approach, which includes pharmacological and non-pharmacological intervention. It may include cognitive-behavioral therapy, electroconvulsive therapy, vagus nerve stimulation, and transcranial magnetic stimulation [11, 13, 16–19]. However, pharmacological therapy remains the main component of treatment [13].

Suicidal ideation can occur in several psychopathological contexts, namely in depressive pathology [20]. In the pharmacological treatment of patients with depression with suicide risk, the drugs must have a rapid and early effect. Even in patients who respond to conventional antidepressant medication, obtaining a response always implies a latency time of action that can take up to three weeks after treatment initiation. This delay can be fatal in severe cases, hence the need for therapeutic alternatives with a rapid onset of action, which justifies the evaluation and therapeutic indication of specific drugs for this purpose.

The endeavor of the present study is to contribute to a comprehensive perspective of the costs endured by the Portuguese health system, generated by TRD and MDSR.

Methods

Our research on the burden and costs of TRD and MDSR in Portugal had two main objectives. The first was to uncover the disease burden by estimating the component attributed to treatment-resistant depression and major depression with suicide risk based on the following indicators: mortality, morbidity, and Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) for the year 2017. Our second objective was to estimate the economic cost of diagnosing and treating TRD and MDSR, including direct costs (medical and non-medical costs) and indirect costs (loss of productivity – relevant to the perspective of society) for the year 2017.

The primary source of the epidemiological information used in this study is the “National Epidemiological Study of Mental Health” (NESMH) [3], which took place between 2008 and 2009. This study is the most accurate and robust national data available, assessing primary data collected in a representative sample of the adult population in mainland Portugal. The results of the NESMH were adjusted in our study, and all estimates were calculated using the population and demographic structure of the year 2017. Portugal’s NESMH was part of the World Mental Health Surveys Initiative (WMHSI), promoted by the World Health Organization and Harvard University. WMHSI was coordinated internationally by Prof. Ronald Kessler and is fully described elsewhere [21–26]. The methodology and implementation of NESMH are described in Xavier et al. (2013) [15].

The questionnaire [15] was divided into two parts to reduce the time taken to answer. Part I used a total sample number of 3849 participants to represent the general population and included an initial baseline assessment to diagnose major mental disorders. Part II of the questionnaire was answered by 2060 individuals which included all participants with a mental disorder diagnosis and a random sample of 25% of participants without psychiatric disorder. Two weights were created to accommodate the stratification of the sample. The data in Part I were weighted for the differential probability of selection (between and within households), non-response bias, and discrepancies between the sample and the geographic and sociodemographic distribution of the Portuguese population assessed in the *census*. The data of Part II was additionally weighted for the differential sampling of participants from Part I to Part II.

Psychiatric disorders were assessed using the World Health Organization World Mental Health Composite International Diagnostic Interview version 3.0 (WMH-CIDI 3.0), a comprehensive and fully structured interview designed to assess mental disorders according to the definitions and criteria of the DSM-IV and ICD-10.

Estimates of the prevalence of Treatment-Resistant Depression (TRD)

Before obtaining epidemiological information related to TRD, the concept of TRD was operationalized within the framework of available epidemiological data, adopting a “proxy” as collected data does not

strictly respect any of the possible definitions of TRD. The criteria used to define a TRD case included the presence of a major depressive disorder in the last 12 months assessed objectively with a validated scale, and a negative answer to the question “Have you ever received treatment that you considered to be useful or effective?”, present in the depression module of WMH-CIDI 3.0.

Results show that the global prevalence rate of treatment-resistant depression in the population aged 18 and over in Portugal should be 1.1%. This prevalence corresponds to about 14.9% of the population with major depressive disorder. These values are obtained with a small number of observations (n=43) in a representative sample of the population, using the previously described weights. Combining this prevalence rate, estimated using the referred weights, with the estimates of the Mainland's adult population in 2017 from Portugal's National Institute of Statistics (INE), a total prevalence for TRD of approximately 90 thousand patients is obtained.

Estimates of the prevalence of Major Depression with Suicide Risk (MDSR)

In the case of MDSR, the operationalization of the concept was achieved by combining major depressive disorder with suicidal ideation. Both situations were reported in the 12 months before the moment of the survey. The results obtained indicate that the prevalence of MDSR is expected to be around 0.8% of the adult population in Mainland Portugal. Multiplying the Mainland adult population by this rate, a total prevalence of MDSR of approximately 64 thousand patients was obtained in 2017.

Estimates of combined prevalence of Treatment-Resistant Depression (TRD) and Major Depression with Suicide Risk (MDSR)

To fully understand the data presented above, it should be highlighted that some patients check both criteria for identifying the different types of depressive pathology and, therefore, appear in both prevalence estimates. This reality reinforces the adequacy and need to study the cost and burden of the two clinical entities together.

The crossing of individual information in the survey with objective and representative data from the Portuguese population shows that about 11.2% of patients with TRD also suffer from MDSR. Alternatively, it appears that about 19.3% of patients with MDSR suffer from TRD. This information implies that the characterization of these patient populations should consider the prevalence of TRD without MDSR, the prevalence of MDSR without TRD, and the joint prevalence of TRD and MDSR. The aggregate results present a combined prevalence of Treatment-Resistant Depression and Major Depression with Suicide Risk of 11283 patients who simultaneously meet the criteria for both types of depression (7.9% of the total study patients).

Severity Level Distribution

The National Epidemiological Study on Mental Health (NESMH) contains information on the severity levels of the disease. The classification of patients by severity levels was based on the criteria adopted in

the World Mental Health Survey (WMHS) (See the paper by Xavier et al). For patients with TRD and MDSR, the distribution estimated by levels of severity can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1 - Distribution of estimated TRD and MDSR cases by the level of severity

Severity level	TRD	MDSR
Severe cases	27.7%	64.4%
Moderate cases	60.7%	31.0%
Mild cases	11.6%	4.6%

TRD – Treatment-resistant depression; MDSR – Major Depression with suicide risk

Table 1 shows that the distribution by severity levels indicates a difference between TRD and MDSR, with the latter pathology having significantly higher levels. In the case of the joint prevalence of TRD and MDSR, it is assumed that the distribution by severity levels is that of the MDSR, as it is the most severe condition.

The Matter of Duration

An important component necessary to estimate the burden of TRD and MDSR is the duration of the episodes and, consequently, the fraction of the time affected by the disease in the reference year for the analysis. The distribution of the duration of episodes of major depression appears to be very heterogeneous. Spijker et al (2002) [27] retrospectively used data from the Dutch mental health survey to estimate the duration of an episode of major depression. They found that in 50% of cases the duration was three months or less, in 63% of cases was six months or less, in 76% of cases was 12 months or less, but in 20% of cases the duration was found to be 24 months or more. Spijker et al (2002) [27] reported that the median duration was three months, but the estimated average duration was 8.4 months. No specific references were found for the case of TRD and MDSR. In the present study, the assumption is made that the duration of TRD and MDSR can be approximated by the duration of episodes of major depression. The study by Ferrari et al (2013) [28], which synthesizes information on estimates of the various parameters necessary to calculate the disease burden, indicated that the average duration used in the Global Burden of Disease, resulting from a synthesis of the literature, was 37.7 weeks. The present study has used this value, i.e., it is assumed that depression affects the health of patients at an average of 72.3% of the time in the year in which an episode occurs.

Burden of Disease

The burden of disease was estimated through the disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). The most recent version of the methodology introduced by the World Bank and the World Health Organization (WHO) was adopted in this study [29].

DALYs are a measure, expressed in time, of the amount of health lost due to the disability generated by disease or premature death. The measure includes two indicators: 1) the years lost due to premature death (Years of Life Lost - YLL, in the graphs indicated as DALY due to death), the lost time being operationalized as the difference between age at the time of death and the standard life expectancy for that age; and, 2) the years lost due to disability (Years Lost to Disability - YLD, in the graphs indicated as DALY due to disability), where the time spent suffering a disability is considered [30].

The equation used to estimate the number of DALYs lost by an individual is as follows:

$$DALY(c, s, a, t) = YLL(c, s, a, t) + YLD(c, s, a, t)$$

Where c is cause, s is sex, a is age, and t is time.

Disability is measured by a coefficient with values between 0 (without any disability, perfect health) and 1 (total disability or death). Standard life expectancy results from a reference mortality table designed to have universal applicability.

Years of Life Lost due to premature death -YLL

The years of life lost due to premature death (YLL) are calculated by multiplying the number of deaths caused by the disease under analysis and the years of life lost, which are a function of the age at which death occurs. In the case of depression, it is not usually taken as a direct cause of death. However, a significant fraction of suicide deaths can be statistically attributed to depression. In this context, YLL were estimated considering that a fraction of the overall suicide mortality is attributable to depression. Following the approach that uses the concept of attributable fraction [31], the fraction of total mortality attributable to MDSR was determined by the equation:

$$\text{Attributable Fraction (AF)} = \frac{p(RR - 1)}{p(RR - 1) + 1}$$

where RR is the Relative Risk of death in patients with the disease under study and p is the prevalence of the disease. The RR of suicide mortality in major depression considered by Ferrari et al (2013) [28] was 19.9. In the present study, TRD, and especially MDSR, would be expected to have higher RRs than those of major depression in general. In the literature, specific estimates were not found. The adopted methodology was to estimate the attributable fraction of suicides to major depression and, later, through the opinion of experts to obtain the proportion of this attributable fraction (AF) that applies to the two types of depressive pathology under study.

According to NESMH, the prevalence of major depression in the year prior to the survey interview was 6.8% [3]. The AF that results from the above equation is therefore 56.24%.

The next step was to estimate the proportion of these suicides attributable to the prevalence of TRD and MDSR. Our research assumed that, as in these data, suicide did occur, so ex post it is a case of MDSR. In practice, considering the diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder in its different presentations, the possibility of some suicides occurring in patients who would not be diagnosed with MDSR, even having a diagnosis of major depression, should be considered. Thus, it is conservatively assumed that 90% of suicides attributable to major depression in general can be more specifically attributed to MDSR or TRD. Assuming 90%, the final attributable fraction is 50.6%. In summary, this is the percentage of the disease burden and costs generated by suicide deaths that will be attributed to DGRS and DRT in the present study.

Years of Life Lost due to Disability -YLD

DALY indicator, as a metric of disease burden, estimates, in addition to mortality, the disease burden generated by morbidity, considering that the time lived with a disease contributes to the years of life lost as that such a disease is disabling. The equation used to estimate the YLD number is as follows:

$$YLD(c,s,a,t) = P(c,s,a,t) \times DW(c,s,a)$$

Where P is Prevalence of cause (c), by age (a) and sex (s), in year (t); and DW is Disability Weight specific to the cause (c), age (a) and sex (s).

The disease burden was estimated from the indicators: prevalence, mortality, disease duration.

The estimation of YLDs requires the use of disease-specific weighting or disability coefficients and is calibrated according to the different levels of disease severity. The most current version of the weights was published by Salomon et al (2015) [32] and the weights by severity level for the case of depression are shown in Table 1.

The use of these weights in the case of TRD and MDSR depends on the distribution of patients by severity levels. This information, from NESMH is shown in Table 1, and can be reviewed in columns (3) and (4) of Table 2. The average values of the weights are very high compared to other pathologies, probably because an expressive proportion of patients with major depression are not actually being treated, which in the case of MDSR is reinforced by the fact that even in the target patients of treatment they do not evaluate it as being effective.

Table 2 – Disability weights considered in the burden of disease estimates

Severity level (1)	Disability weight (2)	Proportion in the prevalence of TRD (3)	Proportion in the prevalence of MDSR (4)
Mild	0.1451	11.6%	4.6%
Moderate	0.396	60.7%	31%
Severe	0.658	27.7%	64.4%
Average weight	-	0.439	0.553

Sources: Salomon et al (2015) [32] regarding (2), and experts' qualified opinions and author's calculations to (3) and (4).

Costs of Illness

Direct Costs

The direct costs of TRD and MDSR resemble the monetary appreciation of the resources consumed in treating these diseases. The study of direct costs is based on the previously presented estimates of disease prevalence and the information on the pattern of use of resources contained in the "National Epidemiological Study of Mental Health" (NESMH) [3] and in the opinion of their experts. Microdata available in the 2017 Hospital Morbidity Database regarding inpatient and outpatient episodes registered using the International Classification of Diseases, version (ICD-10 CM) and billing in Homogeneous Diagnostic Groups (HDG), were considered. Microdata was used to estimate the number of relevant hospitalizations and outpatient episodes, as well as the respective costs. The study also used aggregated data on the consumption of drugs associated with the treatment of depression, from IQVIA and hmR, and expert opinions were used to estimate costs in areas where databases or other quantifiable sources of information are not known officially or academically recognized. Finally, in this study, the unit costs of hospitalizations, hospital consultations, and complementary means of diagnosis and therapy were obtained from the prices defined in Portuguese Law (Ordinance No. 207/2017, of 11 July).

Costs of Hospitalizations and ambulatory hospital visits

Estimates of hospital activity related to hospitalization episodes associated with TRD and MDSR are reported. The estimates are based on an analysis of the Hospital Morbidity Database for 2017. In this database, the use of ICD 10 hinders a finer analysis with the separation of TRD and MDSR. Thus, for greater accuracy, the hospitalization episodes associated with TRD and MDSR are presented together.

Registered and coded episodes are included in this database, including coded and registered hospital outpatient episodes. The identification of relevant episodes associated with TRD and MDSR was based on the International Classification of Diseases. The selection of relevant cases was made by the clinical team and experts. The episodes on which the subsequent analysis is based have all been classified with

the ICD 10 CM. The use of the ICD 10 - CM classification was evaluated to guarantee the compatibility between the selected episodes and the diseases under study. The starting point was given by the ICD 10 - CM encodings. Major depressive pathology, single episode, and ICD 10 - CM. 0-9 Major, recurrent, depressive pathology. It was also necessary to add some episodes that were considered to be relevant and that were not part of the preliminary analysis. Thus, a set was added to the selected episodes in which the main diagnosis was “suicidal ideation” (ICD 10-CM R45851) provided that secondary diagnoses (from d2 to d50) were included or a sub-item of diagnoses F32 (Pathology major depression, single episode), or a sub-item of diagnoses F33 (major, recurrent depressive pathology). The use of episodes was further refined by considering additional information on the GDHs of the selected episodes.

Costs of pharmacological therapy

Estimates of drug costs in the treatment of TRD and MDSR are based on the intersection information from the EENSM regarding the consumption of medicines, information on the drug market from IQVIA and hmR, and finally, information on the drug market required selection and quantification criteria designed by experts.

The following classes of drugs were studied, following the terminology of the Anatomical Therapeutical Chemicals classification (ATC): N6A Antidepressants and Mood Stabilizers, N5A Antipsychotics, N5C Tranquilizers / Anxiolytics, and N5B Hypnotics / Sedatives.

Detailed data were obtained at the level of pills or equivalent since it was not possible to have access to market statistics specifying the quantities based on Defined Daily Doses (DDD). The available data made it necessary to make assumptions about the average consumption for each class of drugs. Average consumption patterns of one, two, or three tablets per day were chosen, depending on the class of medication.

Costs with complementary means of diagnosis and therapeutics

According to the experts, in the context of the usual follow-up, patients with TRD and MDSR tend to do routine tests twice a year. In addition to these consumptions, about 5% of patients undergo thyroid tests. Finally, about 5% of patients are submitted to imaging tests whose main objective is to overlook the possibility of other somatic pathologies. In two-thirds of the cases, the exam is a Computed Axial Tomography (CT) and, in the remaining cases, a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI).

Based on data from NESMH, it is possible to obtain the proportion of patients who had any contact with the health system during the year prior to the study, both in mental health and primary care. According to that information, 26.3% of the patients with TRD had some contact with mental health services, and 39% had some contact with primary health care services. Assuming that the contact probabilities in the two areas are independent, the probability of having at least one contact for patients with TRD is given by $1 - (1 - 0.263) \times (1 - 0.39) = 0.55$. Consequently, this result will calibrate the estimates that follow, as it is

assumed that only patients in contact with the health system generate consumption of complementary means of diagnosis and therapeutics. Specifically, for the case of patients with TRD (not including joint prevalence with MDSR), the following analysis assumes that the pattern of resource use of complementary means of diagnosis and therapeutics applies to 55% of patients.

In regard to patients with MDSR, estimates based on NESMH indicate that 43.2% will have some contact with mental health services and 57.7% with primary health care services. As in the previous case, independence of the probabilities of contact is assumed, resulting in an estimate of the percentage of patients with some type of contact with the health system of 76%. Weighting the two percentages by the proportion of patients with TRD only (55.5%) and patients with MDSR (44.5%), an average percentage of patients with contact of 64.4% is obtained. Applying this percentage to the prevalence in 143163 patients results in 92147 patients who generate a consumption of complementary means of diagnosis and therapeutics. It should be noted that the estimates presented treat the cases in which the patients have TRD and MDSR together as equivalent to those of the patients with the most severe situation, a methodology already adopted in other parts of this study.

We also considered the routine analyses that patients with TRD and MDSR would do twice a year, on average. A second set of analyses, related to the thyroid test, is carried out annually by about 5% of the patients. In addition, about 5% of patients undergo a CT scan (2/3 of the cases) or an MRI (remaining 1/3) to screen for other pathologies. In 50% of these cases, it is necessary to use contrast, increasing costs.

Costs of emergency department visits

According to the billing rules of the Portuguese NHS, episodes of urgency followed by hospitalization are integrated into hospitalization prices. It is then assumed that the Homogenous Diagnostic Groups (HDG) hospitalization prices are estimates of the overall costs of hospitalization, including the costs of the previous emergencies that generated these hospitalizations. For this reason, the costs of emergency department visits will estimate only the costs of emergency episodes without hospitalization.

Indirect Costs

Indirect costs result from the loss of productivity of patients and are defined in the present study as the value of production losses attributed to treatment-resistant depression and major depression with suicide risk. These may include absenteeism as short-term disability, premature exit from the labor market as long-term disability, and productivity lost by premature death.

The sources of information to identify these costs include academic literature, Portuguese databases, observational studies, and surveys conducted in Portugal. Other variables, such as the average wages by sex and age, will be estimated based on data from the 2017 Personnel Tables of the Portuguese Ministry of Labor, Solidarity, and Social Security.

Labor costs, given by gross wages and employers' social security contributions, are the best measure of the productivity of potential workers, following the Human Capital theory. The average salary, by gender and age group, is added by the employer's contribution to Social Security (23.75%). The resulting value is multiplied by 14 to obtain an estimate of annual productivity.

Long-Term Indirect Costs: Effects on Employment

The performed analysis takes into account employment until the age of 65 to consider a better approximation to the effective age of leaving the labor market. This effective age reflects that not all workers retire at the official retirement age, given the existence of multiple exceptions: the receipt of disability pensions, early retirements after long-term unemployment, and other situations of an early exit from the labor market.

The employment rates of the population with TRD were approximated by the employment rates of the population with Major Depression, and the employment rates in the population with MDSR were approximated by the employment rates in the group of people with suicidal ideation in the last 12 months. Following the principle of considering people with both types of depression have the most serious disease, joint cases of TRD and MDSR were included in the estimates for TRD, as it exhibits a greater impact on employment rates.

To monetize the lost production due to the lower employment levels, the Human Capital approach was employed, and lost production was approximated by the wage costs that workers would receive.

Short Term Indirect Costs: Absenteeism and Presenteeism

To estimate the daily productivity lost due to absenteeism, the average annual salary was divided by 230, corresponding to the number of working days per year, given that absenteeism, by definition, only occurs on these days.

The next step in estimating the indirect costs of absenteeism and presenteeism is to estimate the employment of patients with TRD and MDSR, which is achieved by combining estimates of disease prevalence and employment rates by gender, age group, and disease used in the previous section. For the reasons previously indicated, patients with TRD and patients with TRD and MDSR are linked together.

A viable way to identify the incremental effect of the diseases under analysis on absenteeism was to consider the difference between the days of absenteeism in the population with the diseases under study and the days of absenteeism in the general population.

There is no single convention on estimating the cost of presenteeism (see Mattke et al, 2007 [33]). The methodology adopted to estimate the cost of presenteeism assumed that one day of presenteeism has a weight of 0.25 days of absenteeism. Thus, an estimate is obtained for the total effect of each disease measured by additional equivalent days of absenteeism per year.

Indirect Costs of Premature Suicide Mortality

The indirect costs generated by suicide match the current value of all future production that would have been carried out by the deceased if he/she had survived. The updated rate used in this analysis is 4%, as outlined in the current guidelines for conducting health technology assessment studies in Portugal [34]. The convention of estimating future values of employment rates and wages by age and gender according to the statistics for 2017 was followed. The population's probabilities of survival are also used to calculate the expected value of future productivity. It is assumed that patients deceased due to suicide would have the survival probabilities given by the 2016-2018 Mortality Tables for men and women in general.

Results

Burden of disease

Years lost due to disability (YLD)

Using data on prevalence, disability weights, and the fraction of the year corresponding to the duration of the disease, variables, and parameters presented in methods, the estimates of years of life lost due to disability obtained (Years Lost to Disability - YLD) are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 - Years of life lost due to disability - Global Results

	TRD	MDSR	TRD+MDSR	Total
Prevalence	79 401	52 479	11 283	143 162
YLD	25 228	20 989	4 513	50 730

Legend: TRD – Treatment-resistant depression; MDSR – Major depression with suicide risk; YLD – years of life lost to disability; rounding to units.

The global data of years of life lost due to disability can be broken down by type of depression, sex, and age group. Data are presented in detail in the supplementary materials. Figure 1 summarizes the detailed information on the years of life lost due to total disability.

Years of life lost (YLL) due to premature death

YLL are associated with deaths that are officially designated as “Intentionally self-inflicted injuries and sequelae”.

Using a reference mortality table defined by the Global Burden of Disease, almost 31 thousand years of life were prematurely lost due to suicide in 2017, with 73.2% of this total attributed to men. The years of

life lost due to suicide (including all ages) constitute 2% of the total years of life lost due to premature mortality, this proportion being 2.5% for men and 1.3% for women.

However, only a fraction of these events is attributed to TRD and MDSR. This topic was studied in the methods section, where an attributable fraction of 50.6% was estimated. In total, these estimates are 11 440 years lost for men and 4 187 for women making a total of 15 627 years lost due to premature death attributed to TRD and MDSR.

Disability-adjusted life years (DALY)

Adding years lost due to disability to the years lost due to premature death attributed to TRD and MDSR, separately and together, we obtain the total DALY generated by the two types of depression under study. The results obtained can be seen in Table 4, where the total burden of the disease of TRD and MDSR is measured by the loss of 66 357 years of life adjusted for disability in 2017.

Although there is an imbalance between men and women in the years of life lost due to premature death, with men losing 2.7 years for every year lost by women, the opposite is true in the case of years of life lost due to disability. Thus, with regards to the final results, women have a greater number of years of life lost than men, corresponding to 58.5% of total years lost.

Table 4 - Years of life lost prematurely attributable to TRD and MDSR, 2017

	YLD	YLL	Total	%
M	16 117	11 440	27 557	41,5%
F	34 613	4 187	38 800	58,5%
M+F	50 730	15 627	66 357	
%	76,4%	23,6%		

Legend: YLD – years of life lost to disability; YLL – years of life lost; TRD – Treatment-resistant depression; MDSR – Major depression with s suicide risk; M - Male; F – Female; rounding to units.

Despite the enormous burden of premature suicide death disease attributed to the two types of depression understudy, the years lost to premature death constitute less than a quarter of the disease burden. The high prevalence of TRD and MDSR, and the high levels of disability that these depressive pathologies instigate, make the years lost due to disability amounting to more than three times the years lost due to suicide attributed to the depressive disorders under study.

Cost of illness

Direct Costs

Hospitalization costs: The result of the final analysis led to the identification of 1696 relevant episodes. A subsequent analysis identified some patients with multiple episodes, so the estimated number of hospitalized patients was 1502. The total cost of these episodes was estimated at € 3 083 109.

Pharmacological therapy costs: The collective costs of medication for TRD in 2017 were estimated to be € 4 244 921. In 2017, the estimates made pointed to a drug expenditure for the MDSR treatment of around € 6.9 million. Globally, the collective expenditure on drugs for the treatment of TRD and MDSR amounts to € 11 million.

Costs with complementary means of diagnosis and therapy: The overall results regarding the costs of complementary means of diagnosis and therapy indicated an expense of € 4 049 524 in 2017. An estimated separation of these costs with complementary means of diagnosis and therapy indicates that costs for TRD alone would have been € 1 920 662 and € 2 128 862 for MDSR.

Medical appointments costs: The final result obtained is that TRD and MDSR generated 398 511 annual medical visits in 2017. The reference price in Ordinance no. 207/2017, Art. 15, no. 1 is € 31, which applies to ambulatory psychiatry visits and general and family medicine visits, which results in an estimated expense total of € 12 353 851. This amount can be broken down into the cost attributed to TRD (€ 3 913 675) and the cost attributed to MDSR (€ 8 440 176).

Emergency episodes costs: An analysis of the 1696 inpatient episodes studied in the previous sections showed that 799 of these episodes occurred at the emergency room. It is estimated that the number of emergency episodes due to TRD and MDSR in 2017, without hospitalization, was $N = 799 * (100\% - 7.94\%) / 7.94\% = 9268$. The average price obtained for an emergency in 2017 was € 88.94. Multiplying this value by the estimated number of emergencies without hospitalization results in a collective cost of € 824 364.

Total direct costs: The global results obtained are summarized in Table 5. The global direct costs of TRD and MDSR to health system is 31 million euros. Medical visits (40% of the expenditure calculated) and pharmacological therapy (34%) are the components with the most relevant costs, while the remaining components of direct costs having a substantially less weight.

Table 5 - Summary of direct costs

	Total	(%)
Hospitalizations	3 083 109 €	10.0%
Pharmacological therapy	10 468 895 €	34.0%
Complementary means of diagnosis and therapy	4 049 524 €	13.2%
Medical appointments	12 353 851 €	40.1%
Emergency episodes	824 364 €	2.7%
Total	30 779 743 €	100%

Indirect costs

Long-Term Indirect Costs – Effects on Employment: The overall estimate for the costs of the lowest employment rate in the population with Treatment-Resistant Depression or Major Depression with Suicide Risk is € 834 786 764

Short Term Indirect Costs – Absenteeism and Presenteeism: Human Capital methodology was used, with daily labor costs to obtain the costs of absenteeism and presenteeism from TRD and MDSR. The global estimate of the costs of absenteeism and incremental presenteeism generated by TRD and MDSR is shown in table 6. An estimated € 180.3 million is divided into approximately equal parts between men and women. The 35-49 years age group generates a greater fraction of the costs than the other age groups.

Table 6 - Costs with presenteeism and absenteeism (€), by disease, age group, and sex

	TRD + TRD and MDSR		MDSR		Total
Age group	M	F	M	F	
<34	5 989 558	16 112 571	26 180 113	17 500 500	65 782 741
35-49	8 566 711	20 760 965	32 607 277	19 636 170	81 571 123
50-64	4 909 932	10 531 366	11 417 642	6 085 475	32 944 415
Total	19 466 201	47 404 902	70 205 032	43 222 144	180 298 279

Legend: TRD – Treatment-resistant depression; MDSR – Major depression with suicide risk; M – Male; F – Female; rounding to units; results presented in € (euro).

Indirect Costs of Premature Mortality due to premature death: total indirect costs due to premature death attributed to TRD and MDSR is estimated at € 56 604 415. Eighty-one percent of this total (€ 45 615 760) is attributed to men and 19% (€ 10 988 655) to women.

Table 7 shows total Indirect Costs Attributed to TRD and MDSR: The total indirect costs related to TRD and MDSR collectively reached € 1.1 billion, with men accounting for 36.7% of this cost. According to the type of costs, absenteeism/presenteeism was found to be responsible for 16.8% of the total costs, while the reduction of employment and the costs of premature mortality were responsible for 77.9%, and 5.3% of the total indirect costs respectively.

Finally, it should be noted that the direct costs supported by the health system are very small when compared to indirect costs. The direct costs calculated are only 2.7% of the total costs, that is, the sum of all types of estimated costs.

Table 7 - Indirect costs (€) attributable to TRD and MDSR by sex

	M	F	Total
Absenteeism and Presenteeism	89 671 233	90 627 046	180 298 279
Employment reduction	257 700 302	577 086 462	834 786 764
Premature death	45 615 760	10 988 655	56 604 415
Total	392 987 295	678 702 163	1 071 689 458

Legend: TRD – Treatment-resistant depression; MDSR – Major depression with suicide risk; M - Male; F – Female; rounding to units; results presented in € (euro).

Discussion

This study focused on estimating the years of life lost attributed to treatment-resistant depression and major depression with suicide risk, the burden of the disease, and the direct and indirect costs of these diseases. These are the traditional dimensions of disease burden studies and cost of illness studies. The estimated values reveal the colossal negative impact that treatment-resistant depression and major depression with suicide risk have on health and economic resources.

The prevalence of TRD only, MDSR only, and the combined prevalence of the two types of depression were estimated at 79.4 thousand, 52.5 thousand and 11.3 thousand patients, respectively. The disease burden (DALY) due to the disability generated by TRD alone, by MDSR alone, and by the joint prevalence was 25.2 thousand, 21 thousand, and 4.5 thousand respectively, totaling 50.7 thousand DALY. The disease burden due to premature death by suicide, attributed to TRD and MDSR, was 15.6 thousand DALY. The estimated total disease burden was 66.3 thousand DALY. This figure can be compared with estimates available for other diseases in Portugal. Henriques et al. (2017) [35] estimated that ischemic heart disease in Portugal generated 95 413 DALY, which means that TRD and MDSR are responsible for a disease burden that represents approximately 70% of the ischemic heart disease burden. On the other hand, Gouveia et al. (2019) estimated that heart failure in Portugal carried a burden of 21 162 DALY, less than half of the estimated disease burden for TRD and MDSR.

Direct costs of TRD and MDSR were estimated at € 30.8 million, with the most important components being consultations and medication. The estimated indirect costs are much higher than the direct costs. Adding the productivity losses due to the reduction in the level of employment, absenteeism and presenteeism, and the productivity lost due to premature death, a total cost of € 1 billion was calculated. A possible comparison term is given by the costs of asthma in adults in Portugal, estimated by Barbosa et al (2017) [36] at € 386.3 million, 93% of which are direct costs. The direct costs of asthma would thus be almost 12 times higher than those of TRD and MDSR, but the total costs of asthma would only be about 35% of the costs of TRD and MDSR, showing the great indirect costs that these pathologies generate.

The basis for this study was the National Epidemiological Study of Mental Health, that was performed between 2008 and 2009. Despite the NESMH's quality, the lack of more recent data is a limitation, and thus an extrapolation of results to the 2017 population was performed.

Another limitation associated with NESMH is that in this study, the diagnoses were not made by clinicians. However, psychiatric disorders were assessed through comprehensive and fully structured interviews designed by the World Mental Health Surveys Initiative, the World Health Organization, and the Harvard University.

The results obtained did not estimate all consequences of TRD and MDSR on the well-being of the Portuguese adult population. A limitation of the present study is that it was not possible to estimate hospitalizations and emergency episodes in private hospitals, as no source of information similar to the Hospital Morbidity Database was available to researchers.

Conclusions

In addition to the years of life lost and the direct and indirect costs, treatment-resistant depression and major depression with suicide risk have very negative effects in various dimensions relevant to the well-being and health of the affected population. These additional dimensions include the impact of depression on the educational outcome, the formation and stability of marital unions, fertility, or even on the quality of parental care [10, 37–39]. In the economic area, in addition to the estimated effects on the labor market, there are indications of impact on other areas of financial performance of affected individuals [10].

Although TRD and MDSR represent relatively small direct costs to the health system, they have a significant disease burden and productivity costs on the Portuguese economy and society that are highly relevant, making TRD and MDSR priority areas for obtaining health gains.

Abbreviations

AF	Attributable fraction
ATC	<i>Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical</i>
DALY	<i>Disability Adjusted Life Years</i>
HDG	Homogeneous Diagnostic Groups
hmR	Health Market Research
ICD 10-CM	<i>International Classification of Diseases 10, Clinical Modification</i>
ICD 9-CM	<i>International Classification of Diseases 9, Clinical Modification</i>
MDSR	Major depression with suicide risk
NESMH	National Epidemiological Study of Mental Health
RR	Relative Risk
TRD	Treatment-Resistant Depression
WHO	World Health Organization
WMH-CIDI	<i>World Health Organization World Mental Health Composite International Diagnostic Interview</i>
WMHSI	<i>World Mental Health Surveys Initiative</i>
YLD	<i>Years Lost due to Disability</i>
YLL	<i>Years of Life Lost</i>

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This research did not use human participants and no patient data was presented.

National Epidemiological Study of Mental Health was conducted according to all Ethical requirements and its ethical procedures are described elsewhere.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from World Mental Health Survey Initiative and Health Ministry, but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon

reasonable request and with permission at www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh and at www.acss.min-saude.pt.

Competing interests

The present work received support from Janssen-Cilag Farmacêutica, Lda, as an Unrestricted Grant. Janssen-Cilag had no role in the execution of the study, including analyses and interpretation of results.

Funding

The present work received support from Janssen-Cilag Farmacêutica, Lda, as an Unrestricted Grant. Janssen-Cilag had no role in the execution of the study, including analyses and interpretation of results.

Authors' contributions

Designed the study: MG, AR, HC. Contributed with scientific knowledge and data and developed the study: MG, RDS, AR, GC, AA, HC, JMCA. Carried out data analysis: MG. Interpreted the data: MG, RDS, AR, HC, JMCA, GC, AA. Written the manuscript: RDS. Revised the manuscript: MG, CS, JMCA, GC, AA.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ana Matos Pires for her inputs as an expert in psychiatry to debate psychiatric services, and routes to treatment and care in Portugal.

Authors' information (optional)

Not applicable

References

1. World Health Organization. Depression and other common mental disorders: Global health estimates [Internet] [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254610/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf>
2. Sousa JSP de. Epidemiologia, etiopatogenia, diagnóstico e tratamento farmacológico da depressão em Portugal. Universidade Fernando Pessoa; 2015.
3. Caldas-de-Almeida, J., Xavier, M., Cardoso, G., Gonçalves Pereira M, Gusmão, R., Corrêa B. Estudo epidemiológico nacional de saúde mental: 1º relatório [National Mental Health Epidemiological Study - 1st Report]. 2013.
4. Little A. Treatment-Resistant Depression. *Am Fam Physician*. 2009;80(2):167–72.
5. Gaynes BN, Lux L, Gartlehner G, Asher G, Forman-Hoffman V, Green J, et al. Defining treatment-resistant depression. *Depress Anxiety*. 2020 Feb;37(2):134–45.
6. Fava M, Davidson KG. Definition and epidemiology of treatment-resistant depression. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 1996 Jun;19(2):179–200.

7. Fava M. Diagnosis and definition of treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2003 Apr 15;53(8):649–59. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223\(03\)00231-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00231-2)
8. Nierenberg AA, McLean NE, Alpert JE, Worthington JJ, Rosenbaum JF, Fava M. Early nonresponse to fluoxetine as a predictor of poor 8-week outcome. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1995;152(10):1500–3.
9. Hasin DS, Sarvet AL, Meyers JL, Saha TD, Ruan WJ, Stohl M, et al. Epidemiology of Adult DSM-5 Major Depressive Disorder and Its Specifiers in the United States. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2018 Apr;75(4):336–46.
10. Kessler RC. The costs of depression. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2012 Mar;35(1):1–14.
11. Rush AJ, Marangell LB, Sackeim HA, George MS, Brannan SK, Davis SM, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: A randomized, controlled acute phase trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58(5):347–54.
12. Kubitz N, Mehra M, Potluri RC, Garg N, Cossrow N. Characterization of treatment resistant depression episodes in a cohort of patients from a US commercial claims database. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(10):e76882.
13. Kolar D. Addictive potential of novel treatments for refractory depression and anxiety. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2018;14:1513–9.
14. Wiles N, Thomas L, Abel A, Barnes M, Carroll F, Ridgway N, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy for treatment-resistant depression in primary care: the CoBaIT randomised controlled trial. *Health Technol Assess*. 2014 May;18(31):1–167, vii–viii.
15. Xavier M, Baptista H, Mendes JM, Magalhães P, Caldas-de-almeida JM. Implementing the World Mental Health Survey Initiative in Portugal – rationale, design and fieldwork procedures. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2013;7(19):1–10.
16. Bretlau LG, Lunde M, Lindberg L, Undén M, Dissing S, Bech P. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in combination with escitalopram in patients with treatment-resistant major depression: a double-blind, randomised, sham-controlled trial. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2008 Mar;41(2):41–7.
17. O'Reardon JP, Solvason HB, Janicak PG, Sampson S, Isenberg KE, Nahas Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depression: a multisite randomized controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007 Dec;62(11):1208–16.
18. Thase ME, Friedman ES, Biggs MM, Wisniewski SR, Trivedi MH, Luther JF, et al. Cognitive therapy versus medication in augmentation and switch strategies as second-step treatments: A STAR*D report. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2007;164(5):739–52.
19. UK ECT Review Group. Efficacy and safety of electroconvulsive therapy in depressive disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* (London, England). 2003 Mar;361(9360):799–808.
20. Roca M, Del Amo AR-L, Riera-Serra P, Pérez-Ara MA, Castro A, Roman Juan J, et al. Suicidal risk and executive functions in major depressive disorder: a study protocol. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019 Aug;19(1):253.

21. Alonso J, Petukhova M, Vilagut G, Chatterji S, Heeringa S, Üstün TB, et al. Days out of role due to common physical and mental conditions: results from the WHO World Mental Health surveys. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2011 Dec;16(12):1234–46.
22. Alonso J, Liu Z, Evans-Lacko S, Sadikova E, Sampson N, Chatterji S, et al. Treatment gap for anxiety disorders is global: Results of the World Mental Health Surveys in 21 countries. *Depress Anxiety*. 2018 Mar;35(3):195–208.
23. Thornicroft G, Chatterji S, Evans-Lacko S, Gruber M, Sampson N, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, et al. Undertreatment of people with major depressive disorder in 21 countries. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2017 Feb;210(2):119–24.
24. Antunes A, Frasquilho D, Azeredo-Lopes S, Neto D, Silva M, Cardoso G, et al. Disability and common mental disorders: Results from the World Mental Health Survey Initiative Portugal. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2018 Mar;49:56–61.
25. Cardoso G, Xavier M, Vilagut G, Petukhova M, Alonso J, Kessler RC, et al. Days out of role due to common physical and mental conditions in Portugal: results from the WHO World Mental Health Survey. *BJPsych open*. 2017 Jan;3(1):15–21.
26. Cardoso G, Antunes A, Silva M, Azeredo-Lopes S, Xavier M, Koenen K, et al. Trauma exposure and ptsd in portugal: Findings from the world mental health survey initiative. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020 Feb;284:112644.
27. Spijker J, de Graaf R, Bijl R V, Beekman ATF, Ormel J, Nolen WA. Duration of major depressive episodes in the general population: results from The Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Br J Psychiatry*. 2002 Sep;181:208–13.
28. Ferrari AJ, Charlson FJ, Norman RE, Patten SB, Freedman G, Murray CJL, et al. Burden of Depressive Disorders by Country, Sex, Age, and Year: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *PLOS Med* [Internet]. 2013 Nov 5;10(11):e1001547. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001547>
29. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. European Detailed Mortality Database 2017 [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://www.euro.who.int/en/data-and-evidence/archive/mortality-database-updated>
30. Murray CJL. Quantifying the burden of disease: the technical basis for disability-adjusted life years / C. J. L. Murray. *Bull World Heal Organ* 1994; 72(3) 429-445 [Internet]. 1994; Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/52181>
31. Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C. Use and misuse of population attributable fractions. *Am J Public Health*. 1998 Jan;88(1):15–9.
32. Salomon JA, Haagsma JA, Davis A, de Noordhout CM, Polinder S, Havelaar AH, et al. Disability weights for the Global Burden of Disease 2013 study. *Lancet Glob Heal*. 2015 Nov;3(11):e712-23.
33. Mattke S, Balakrishnan A, Bergamo G, Newberry SJ. A review of methods to measure health-related productivity loss. *Am J Manag Care*. 2007 Apr;13(4):211–7.

34. Perelman, J, Soares, M, Mateus, C, Duarte, A, Faria, R, Ferreira, L, Saramago, P, Veiga, P, Furtado, C, Caldeira, S, Teixeira, MC, Sculpher M. Orientações Metodológicas para Estudos de Avaliação Económica. Lisboa; 2019.
35. Henriques A, Araújo C, Viana M, Laszczynska O, Pereira M, Bennett K, et al. Disability-adjusted life years lost due to ischemic heart disease in mainland Portugal, 2013. *Rev Port Cardiol* [Internet]. 2017;36(4):273–81. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0870255117301312>
36. Barbosa JP, Ferreira-Magalhães M, Sá-Sousa A, Azevedo LF, Fonseca JA. Cost of asthma in Portuguese adults: A population-based, cost-of-illness study. *Rev Port Pneumol (English Ed [Internet]*. 2017;23(6):323–30. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rppnen.2017.07.003>
37. Butterworth P, Rodgers B. Mental health problems and marital disruption: is it the combination of husbands and wives' mental health problems that predicts later divorce? *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2008 Sep;43(9):758–63.
38. Kessler RC, Walters EE, Forthofer MS. The social consequences of psychiatric disorders, III: probability of marital stability. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998 Aug;155(8):1092–6.
39. Kessler RC, Foster CL, Saunders WB, Stang PE. Social consequences of psychiatric disorders, I: Educational attainment. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1995 Jul;152(7):1026–32.

Figures

Figure 1

Years of life lost due to disability