Dexmedetomidine for prevention of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients after oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction: a prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial

Yun Liu

Peking University Third Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6821-7551>

Xi Zhu (✉ 3917798@qq.com)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6091-8831>

Dan Zhou

Peking University School of Stomatology

Fang Han

Peking University School of Stomatology

Xu Dong Yang

Peking University School of Stomatology

Research article

Keywords: Dexmedetomidine, Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs), Oral and maxillofacial surgery, Fibular free flap reconstruction, Tracheotomy

Posted Date: November 9th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.17094/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Anesthesiology on May 27th, 2020.
See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01045-3>.

Abstract

Background: Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) are common and significant problems for oral and maxillofacial surgery patients. Dexmedetomidine (DEX), an α_2 -adrenoreceptor agonist, has been proven having lung protection effects. However, since now, there has not been final conclusion about whether DEX can reduce the incidence of PPCs. We hypothesize that, in oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction patients, DEX may decrease the incidence of PPCs. **Methods:** This was a prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, single-centered trial with two parallel arms. A total of 160 patients at intermediate-to-high risk of PPCs undergoing oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction and tracheotomy were enrolled and randomized to receive continuous infusion of either DEX or placebo (normal saline). 0.4ug/kg dose of DEX was given over 10mins as an initial dose followed by a maintaining dose of 0.4ug/kg/h till the second day morning after surgery. At the same time, the normal saline was administered a similar quantity. The primary outcome was the incidence of PPCs according to Clavien-Dindo score within 7 days after surgery. **Results:** The two groups had similar characteristics at baseline. 18(22.5%) of 80 patients administered DEX, and 32(40.0%) of 80 patient administered placebo experienced PPCs within the first 7 days after surgery (relative ratio [RR] 0.563, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.346-0.916; $P=0.017$). In the first 7 days after surgery, the DEX group had a lower incidence of PPCs and a better postoperative survival probability (Log-rank test, $P=0.019$), and was less prone to occur PPCs (Cox regression, $P=0.025$, HR=0.516). When the total dose of DEX was more than 328 μ g, the patients were unlikely to have PPCs (ROC curve, AUC=0.614, $P=0.009$). **Conclusions:** Continuous infusion of DEX marks a huge decrease in the appearance of PPCs within the first 7 days after surgery, for patients undergoing oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction and tracheotomy. **Trial registration:** Chinese Clinical Trial Registry, www.chictr.org.cn, number: ChiCTR1800016153; Registered on May 15, 2018.

Background

Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) are a composite of the hospital-acquired respiratory events after surgery, which are one of the major causes of morbidity, mortality, and prolonged hospital stay in patients after surgery¹⁻³. Oral and maxillofacial surgery is considered one of surgical factors which most likely to interfere with respiratory function and strongly linked to PPCs⁴, especially radical oral and maxillofacial cancer surgery with microvascular free tissue transfer, such as fibular free flaps. Previous studies have shown that the incidence of PPCs after head and neck surgery with free flap surgery is 18.8% to 44.8%⁴⁻⁸, while in patients undergoing tracheotomy after surgery is up to 47%^{6,9}. Therefore, it is necessary to prevent and reduce the occurrence of PPCs in patients undergoing oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction.

Dexmedetomidine (DEX) is a new highly selective α_2 adrenoceptor agonist which has anxiolysis, sedation, and modest analgesia with minimal respiratory depression effects¹⁰ and has been widely and safely used in oral and maxillofacial surgeries¹¹. Studies have proved that DEX has anti-inflammatory and

organ protection effects, and can provide clinically postoperative pulmonary relevant benefits by improving oxygenation and lung mechanics¹²⁻¹⁴. In the last few years, a few clinical trials have evaluated the effect of DEX on PPCs^{12,15-19}. However, the results of these studies are markedly variable and appear to be underpowered. So, at present, there has not been final conclusion about whether or not DEX can reduce the incidence of PPCs.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate whether DEX can reduce the incidence of PPCs during the initial 7 postoperative days in patients undergoing oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction and tracheotomy who are at intermediate-to-high risks for PPCs.

Methods

2.1 Trial design

We did this prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, single-center, clinical trial in the department of anesthesiology of Peking University Hospital of Stomatology, a tertiary academic hospital in Beijing, China. The ethics was approved by Peking University Hospital of Stomatology Biomedical Ethics Committee (Number: PKUSSIRB-201735060) on January 26, 2018. The trial was registered with Chinese Clinical Trial Registry, www.chictr.org.cn (Number: ChiCTR1800016153) on May 15, 2018. Written informed consent was obtained from all participating patients or their next of kin or legal representative who must understand the recruiter's description of the trial. The main aim of the study was to evaluate the supremacy of the intervention. Eligible patients were enlisted and arbitrarily designed to benefit one of the interventions, DEX or placebo (normal saline).

2.2 Randomization and Blinding

A biostatistician from Peking University Third Hospital, who was independent of data management and statistical analyses, generated random numbers (in a 1:1 ratio) using the SAS 9.2 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The results of randomization were sealed in sequentially numbered envelopes. Throughout the survey period, enlisted patients were unpremeditated chosen to obtain DEX or placebo. A survey anesthesiologist, according to the arbitrarily series those not taking part in the survey applied the survey medicine.

The investigators, health-care team members (including the attending anesthesiologists, surgeons, nurses and the physicians for postoperative follow-up) and patients were blind to the treatment group assignment throughout the study period. In case of emergency, (such as development of severe adverse events, persistent hemodynamic instability or rapid deterioration of the patient's clinical status), the attending anesthesiologist could request to unmask the allocation, and adjust or even stop study drug infusion if necessary. These non-blind situations were documented, but the final analyses were performed on the intention-to-treat population.

2.3 Participants

Patients were included if they (1) were scheduled for oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction that was expected to exceed 3 hours under general anesthesia, (2) were 51 years old or over, (3) took tracheotomy before the end of the surgery, (4) had an intermediate to high risk of developing PPCs judged by Assess Respiratory Risk in Surgical Patients in Catalonia (ARISCAT) score²⁰ (cumulative ARISCAT risk score were 26 or greater) (Additional file 1).

Patients were excluded if they met the following criteria: (1) body mass index of 35 or higher, (2) allergic to DEX, (3) recent sedatives-taking history, (4) sick sinus syndrome, or severe sinus bradycardia (<50 beats per min[bpm]), or second degree or greater atrioventricular block without pacemaker, (5) previous lung surgery history, or severe chest wall malformation, or acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD), or uncontrolled asthma (Asthma control test ≤ 18), or pulmonary artery stenosis, or pulmonary hypertension, (6) complex heart deformities, congestive heart failure, or known preoperative left ventricular ejection fraction less than 30%, (7) serious hepatic dysfunction (Child-Pugh class C), or serious renal dysfunction (requirement of renal replacement therapy), (8) a history of mental illness, (9) refused to participate in the clinical trial.

2.4 Interventions, anesthesia and perioperative management

The study drug DEX, dexmedetomidine hydrochloride injection 2ml: 0.2mg (manufactured by Yangtze River Pharmaceutical (Group) Co., Ltd, Jiangsu, China), was diluted with normal saline to 50 mL (the final concentration of DEX was 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) by a nurse, who did not participate in the rest of the study, before administration. The study drug (diluted DEX) and placebo drug—normal saline—were all provided as clear aqueous solution in the same 50ml injection syringes and dispensed according to the randomization results. The two drugs were given as an initial dose of 0.1 ml/kg (0.4 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ of DEX in the treatment group) over 10min followed by a maintenance dose of 0.1 ml/kg/h (0.4 $\mu\text{g/kg/h}$ of DEX in the treatment group) from the beginning of anesthesia induction on the day of surgery until 0600 h on the first day after surgery.

All patients followed the similar anesthesia and perioperative management regimen. 30 minutes before the beginning of the surgery, prophylactic antibiotics (mostly cefuroxime 1.5g, the second-generation cephalosporin) were routinely administered and apply once more at the fourth hour within the operation time when the surgery time was longer than four hours. After surgery, routine antibiotics with cefuroxime 1.5g twice a day and ornidazole 0.5g twice a day for 6 days were administered. The choice and the duration of antibiotics treatment were decided according to *the Guiding Principles of Clinical Use of Antibiotics (2015 edition)* which was published by Chinese National Health and Family Planning Commission in 2015.

Perioperative monitoring included continuous 5-lead electrocardiogram, pulse oxygen saturation, noninvasive blood pressure, Train-of-Four ratio (TOF, T4/T1) for measuring the level of neuromuscular blockade, Bispectral Index (BIS) (Covidien, USA) value, end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration (EtCO₂), airway pressure, axillary temperature, urine output. Intra-arterial pressure was also monitored through

cannulation of the arteria dorsalis pedis (on the opposite of the surgical leg) immediately after anesthesia induction.

All patients were performed general anesthesia with nasotracheal intubation. Anesthesia was induced in both groups with 0.05 mg/kg midazolam, 0.3 µg/kg sufentanil, 2 mg/kg propofol, and 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium, and maintained with target-controlled infusion (TCI) of propofol (2 to 6 µg/ml plasma concentration) and remifentanil (0.5 to 6 ng/ml plasma concentration), without inhalational sevoflurane and nitrous oxide. During operation, in accordance with hemodynamic state, surgical steps and TOF ratio, additional analgesia was administered by applying boluses of sufentanil 0.1 to 0.5 µg/kg and muscle relaxation was achieved by intermittent injection of rocuronium 10mg each time. BIS value was maintained between 40 and 60.

Volume-controlled mechanical ventilation was established with the fraction of inspiration O₂ (FiO₂) from 0.4 to 0.6, the tidal volume from 6 to 8 ml/kg (ideal weight), the positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) 5 cm H₂O. The respiratory rate was adjusted to maintain EtCO₂ between 35 and 45 mmHg.

Fluid management was performed according to routine practice with crystalloids - sodium lactate ringer's injection and/or colloids - 6% hydroxyethyl starch (HES) 130/0.4 sodium injection. Packed red blood cells were transfused while the hemoglobin level was lower than 7g/dl.

Before the end of the surgery, all patients underwent tracheotomy after spontaneous breathing recovery (TOF ratio > 0.9). After surgery, all patients were transferred to the postoperative care unit (PACU) and supervised until 0830 h on the first day after surgery before sent back to the general wards.

During the postoperative period, intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with sufentanil 1.0~1.5 ug/kg and tropisetron 10mg was provided for up to 48 hours. All patients were given aerosol inhalation with ambroxol 60mg and hydrocortisone 4mg three times a day before discharge and mechanical vibration sputum expectoration (TC Juhnson) three times a day for 5 days. Usually on the fifth day after surgery, the tracheostomy tube was removed after the oral and maxillofacial surgeons evaluating the situation of the airway and operation area. Other treatments including early mobilization (routinely on the fourth postoperative day), anticoagulant therapy (routinely 5 days, with aspirin or low molecular heparin), enteral and parenteral nutrition were administered according to routine practice.

The adverse events (bradycardia and hypotension) were monitored and documented throughout the surgery and in the first 7 days after surgery. Bradycardia was defined as heart rate less than 50 beats/min or a decrease of more than 20% from baseline. Hypotension was defined as systolic blood pressure less than 90 mm Hg or a decrease of more than 20% from baseline. Intervention for bradycardia included administration of medication (atropine mostly) or adjustment of study drug infusion, or both. Intervention for hypotension included intravenous fluid bolus, or administration of vasoactive drugs (ephedrine, methoxamine, etc.) or adjustment of study drug infusion. All interventions were recorded.

2.5 Outcomes

7 days' postoperative daily follow-up was carried on. Research members who were trained before the study and not involved in the clinical care of patients did the outcome assessment.

Primary outcome

The primary outcome was the incidence of PPCs within 7 days after surgery. PPCs was defined as any preselected complication occurred, which included respiratory infection, respiratory failure, pleural effusion, atelectasis, pneumothorax, bronchospasm, aspiration pneumonitis, pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, and acute respiratory distress syndrome. The diagnostic criteria of each individual PPCs were similar with those used in the previous studies¹⁻³(Additional file 2). We chose the Clavien-Dindo Classification²¹ to categorize PPCs into five major groups (Additional file 3). In our study, PPCs of grade II or above were considered to calculate the incidence of PPCs. The diagnosis of PPCs was made by the attending medical team (anesthesiologists, Intensive Care Unit physicians, or respiratory physicians). The physicians diagnosed PPCs according to patients' medical history, clinical physical examination, conventional monitoring value, laboratory results, image examination, and so on. If a PPC occurred, the date of earliest diagnosis and the evidences according to which the diagnosis was made were documented.

Secondary outcomes

The secondary outcomes were as follows: (1) the time to first diagnosis of PPCs - indicated the time from end of surgery to first diagnosis of PPCs within 7 days after surgery; (2) the number of PPCs - indicated the number of diagnosed individual PPCs within 7 days after surgery; (3) the dose-effect relationship between DEX and PPCs; (4) the incidence of postoperative extrapulmonary complications - defined as complications other than PPCs that occur during operation and within 7 days after surgery, and require therapeutic intervention, included delirium – assessed by the Confusion Assessment Method for the ICU (CAM-ICU)¹⁰, anemia - defined as hemoglobin less than 9g/dL, extrapulmonary infection; (5) the unexpected need for secondary surgery (hematoma or vascular crisis exploration); (6) the adverse events (bradycardia, hypotension); (7) length of stay in hospital after surgery; (8) 30-day all-cause mortality.

2.6 Sample size and Statistical methods

We used the excellent effect test of two groups of independent sample rate to calculate the sample size. According to the literature data¹⁶, the sample size was calculated according to the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications. The incidence was 3.89% in the experimental group (DEX group) and 17.99% in the control group. The class I error of hypothesis test was 0.025, the class II error was 0.2, and the proportion of sample size between the test group and the control group was 1:1. The sample size was calculated by Stata 10.0 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). According to the bilateral test formula of sample size: $n = 2 \times (U_{\alpha} + U_{\beta})^2 \times P(1-P) / \delta^2$, δ was set to 0.01. The sample size of the test group was 64 and that of the control group was 64. Taking into account the dropout rate of 20%, each group requires a sample size of 76.8, so we planned to enroll 160 patients (80 for each group) in all.

We analysed outcome data and safety in the intention-to-treat population. Statistical analyses were performed on SPSS version 24.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and P values less than 0.05 were considered to be of statistical significance. Statistical description was provided for baseline data such as demographic variables, medical history, perioperative medications, and perioperative management. For primary outcome (the incidence of PPCs with 7 days after surgery), the effect of the intervention was reported as number and percentage and estimated with risk ratios and 95% confidence interval and the χ^2 test for hypothesis testing. For secondary outcomes, continuous variables with normal distribution were analyzed using an unpaired t test; continuous variables with abnormal distribution or ranked data were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test; categorical variables were analysed with the χ^2 test, continuity correction χ^2 test or Fisher exact test. Time-to-event results were calculated with the Kaplan-Meier estimator, and the differences between groups were assessed by the log-rank test. And, Cox regression was used for survival analysis. For dose-effect relationship, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used for calculating the P and cutoff values.

Results

3.1 Participant flow and recruitment

Between September 3, 2018 and July 31, 2019, a total of 624 patients who were scheduled for oral and maxillofacial surgery with free flap reconstruction were screened for study participation; of these, 160 patients were enrolled into the study and randomly assigned to receive either DEX (n=80) or placebo (n=80). Study drug infusion was modified in 9 patients because of adverse events. 3 patients were discharged from the hospital within 7 days after surgery. There were no lapses in the blinding. All patients were included in the final intention-to-treat analyses (Figure 1). The final follow-up of the last randomized patient was finished on August 31, 2019.

3.2 Baseline patient demographic and perioperative characteristics

Overall, the two groups were well matched for all the variables.

Both baseline patient demographic and preoperative characteristics share the same features. (Table 1).

For intraoperative and postoperative characteristics (Table 2, Table 3), the intraoperative dosages of propofol and remifentanyl in the DEX group were significantly lower than the placebo group ($P < 0.01$), and numeric rating scale (NRS, an 11 points scale where 0 indicated the best and 10 indicated the worst) of pain for oral and maxillofacial and fibular areas on the first day after surgery were both significantly lower in the DEX group than in the placebo group ($P < 0.01$), as well as the sleep time on the first day after surgery in the DEX group was longer than the placebo group ($P < 0.01$). At the same time, intraoperative urine output and total infusion on the second day after surgery were different in the two groups, too ($P < 0.05$).

3.3 Primary outcome and secondary outcomes

On the whole, PPCs within the first 7 days after surgery occurred in 18 (22.5%) of 80 patients given DEX, and in 32 (40.0%) of 80 patients given placebo (relative ratio [RR] 0.563, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.346-0.916; $P=0.017$) (Table 4).

Although without numerical difference, the most common PPCs was respiratory infection, accounted for 14(17.5%) patients in DEX group liken to 19(23.8%) patient in placebo group ($P=0.329$). The incidence of the other PPCs (included respiratory failure, pleural effusion, atelectasis, pneumothorax, bronchospasm, aspiration pneumonitis, pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, and acute respiratory distress syndrome) was low and also without statistical difference between the two groups ($P>0.05$).

The secondary outcomes appeared in Table 4. The incidence of one kind of PPC was less common in DEX group (RR 0.541, 95% CI 0.314-0.933; $P=0.023$), and the length of stay in hospital after surgery was shorter in DEX group ($P=0.036$). Nevertheless, the time to first diagnosis of PPCs, the incidence of two PPCs, the incidence of extrapulmonary complications (delirium, anemia, extrapulmonary infection), the need of secondary surgery, the incidence of adverse events (bradycardia, hypotension) and the 30-day all-cause mortality did not significantly differ between groups.

The Kaplan-Meier curves representing PPCs in the postoperative 7 days between the DEX group and the placebo group were shown in Figure 2. The small plus sign indicated deletion, since most of the observed objects did not have an ending at 7 days after surgery. The Log rank test results were shown in Table 5 ($P=0.019$). Therefore, the DEX group had a lower incidence of PPCs and a better postoperative survival probability in the first 7 days after surgery.

The Cox regression results were shown in Table 6 ($P=0.025$, HR=0.516). Hence, within the first 7 postoperative days, the DEX group was less prone to occur PPCs.

The ROC curve results were shown in Figure 3 and Table 7. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.614, $P=0.009<0.05$, indicating that the cutoff value made by the ROC curve was statistically significant in predicting the incidence of PPCs. The sensitivity and specificity were respectively 78.00% and 49.09% and the cutoff value was 328. Hence, when the total dose of DEX on operation day was no more than 328 μ g, the patients might have PPCs postoperatively, and when DEX was more than 328 μ g, PPCs were unlikely to occur.

Discussion

Our results suggested that DEX infusion greatly decreased the occurrence of PPCs (including respiratory infection, respiratory failure, pleural effusion, atelectasis, pneumothorax, bronchospasm, aspiration pneumonitis, pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, and acute respiratory distress syndrome) (22.5% vs. 40.0%; RR 0.563, 95% CI 0.346-0.916; $P=0.017$) during the first 7 days after surgery. At the same time, DEX administration also significantly reduced the incidence of one kind of PPC (18.8% vs. 33.8%; RR 0.541, 95% CI 0.314-0.933; $P=0.023$) and shortened the length of stay in hospital after surgery (9 days vs. 10 days, $P=0.036$). Moreover, In the first 7 days after surgery, the DEX group had a lower incidence of

PPCs and a better postoperative survival probability (Log-rank test, $P=0.019$), and was less prone to occur PPCs (Cox regression, $P=0.025$, $HR=0.516$). Furthermore, when the total dose of DEX was more than $328\mu g$, the patients were unlikely to have PPCs (ROC curve, $AUC=0.614$, $P=0.009$).

Our finding was in accordance with the previous randomized controlled clinical studies by Rui Liu in 2018¹⁷ about DEX group reduced PPCs (including atelectasis, focal lung infiltration, acute lung injury and lung infection) (10.34% vs. 31.03, $P<0.05$) in patients with lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), as well as Meiyue Liu in 2017¹⁵ (5.40% vs. 21.21%, $P<0.05$) and 2018¹⁶ (3.89% vs. 17.99%, $P<0.05$) about the effects of DEX on PPCs (including hypoxemia, atelectasis and lung infection) in elderly patients undergoing spinal surgery. However, conflicting results in other studies still existed. In 2016, Su Hyun Lee¹² showed that patients with moderate COPD undergoing lung cancer surgery in DEX group had fewer incidence of PPCs, including atelectasis (0% vs. 16%, $P=0.110$), focal lung infiltration (4% vs. 8%, $P>0.99$) and acute lung injury (0% vs. 4%, $P>0.99$) by improving oxygenation and lung mechanics, but there was no statistical difference ($P>0.05$). In 2018, Qingming Bian¹⁸ found DEX combined with lung protective ventilation strategy could increase oxygenation and attenuate oxidative stress response, but could not decrease the incidence of PPCs (including lung infection, atelectasis, pleural effusion, secondary intubation, hypoxemia) in patients undergoing thoracic surgery ($P>0.05$).

In addition, our survey revealed the most common PPCs was respiratory infection, registering 14(17.5%) patient in DEX group in comparison with 19 (23.8%) in placebo group. Occurrence of postoperative respiratory infection was the same with the retrospective study in 2015 about 482 patients undergoing oral cancer surgery with tracheotomy⁶ and the retrospective analysis of 331 cases after oral and maxillofacial surgery with or without free flap construction in 2017²². Previous studies had showed that multiple variables including advanced age, male sex, poor underlying medical condition, surgery location, a higher American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade, tracheotomy and reintubation were associated with an increased risk of postoperative pneumonia²². All our patients were older than 51 years and underwent tracheotomy, and most of our patients were male (63.8% vs. 36.3%, 66.3% vs. 33.8%, respectively), which could have been responsible for the high incidence of postoperative pneumonia in our study. But, the incidence of the other PPCs (included respiratory failure, pleural effusion, atelectasis, pneumothorax, bronchospasm, aspiration pneumonitis, pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, and acute respiratory distress syndrome) in our study was low. This was different from the latest two studies in JAMA 2019, which showed that respiratory failure was the most common PPC^{2,3}.

Oral and maxillofacial surgery was a specific surgical sub-cohort within head and neck surgery, which was considered high risk of PPCs²³. The fibular free flap was one of the most frequently used free flaps in oral and maxillofacial surgery, which was used for reconstruction of bony or composite defects⁵. In oral and maxillofacial surgery, patients after surgery might be highly impacted with after affect owing to swallowing and coughing, due to the body specific stance, organs of swallowing and breathing. This hinders airway which might cause the incident of PPCs as an outcome²⁴. Moreover, this type of surgery had long surgical time or mechanical ventilation time (mostly more than 3 hours), which might cause

ventilation induced lung injury (VILI)²⁵, and had limb ischemia-reperfusion injury due to the use of the tourniquet in the thigh area, which might induce remote lung damage²⁶. Except that, after the type of surgery, due to the microvascular reconstruction technique in the neck region, patients are required to stay lying in bed restraining lots of neck movement for at least three days after surgery, which might bring about respiratory muscle complications and mouth ejection and even PPCs²⁷. Thus, one might expect a high rate of PPCs after oral and maxillofacial surgery, which had been proven in previous studies^{5,7,8}. In our study, PPCs developed in 40.0% (32 of 80) of oral and maxillofacial surgery with tracheotomy patients in the placebo group, largely in agreement with the prospective, randomized, controlled trial study about major head and neck surgery with tracheostomy (47%)⁹, but much higher than the retrospective analysis of 648 cases about major oral and maxillofacial surgery with microvascular reconstruction (18.8%)⁵. To this conflict, we considered the risk of tracheotomy might be the main cause, but this needed more proofs. On the other hand, our data further supported the evidence that oral and maxillofacial surgery was associated with a high risk of PPCs.

It started becoming clear that there were contrast between those above surveys looking back to the overview of contrast and similarities, including the study population, the surgery type, the diagnostic criteria of PPCs, the observation time of PPCs, the dose of DEX, and so on. Therefore, our study was the first prospective clinical trial about the relationship between DEX and PPCs in patients undergoing oral and maxillofacial surgery with microvascular reconstruction and tracheotomy.

So far, the evidence on the accurate mechanisms of the effects about DEX on PPCs remained poorly understood, because of the complex etiology and pathophysiology and different diagnosis criteria of PPCs. A number of previous animal and clinical studies had tried to reveal the various mechanisms which might contribute to the lung protective effect of DEX. First, DEX, as a new highly selective α_2 adrenergic receptor agonist, could alleviate lung injury by improving ventilation/perfusion ratio and oxygenation by directly stimulating α_{2B} receptors in lung vascular smooth muscles¹⁴. Second, DEX had direct protective effects on airway. An animal experiment in dogs reported that DEX had a bronchodilator effect in histamine-mediated bronchospasm²⁸, as well as a study in guinea pig improved that DEX had a direct airway smooth muscle effect and an underlying mechanism for cough suppression by inhibiting acetylcholine releasing from cholinergic nerves²⁹. Third, inflammation was an important cause of lung injury. Various studies had showed that DEX could suppress systemic inflammatory processes by downregulation the signaling pathway of HMGB1-TLR4-MyD88-MARK- NF- κ B and inflammatory mediators of IL-1, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- α , etc.^{30,31} by activating α_2 adrenergic receptors and stimulating the vagus nerve via a vagal and α_7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor-dependent mechanism³². Fourth, DEX had been demonstrated to have lung protective effects by reducing dead space ventilation, increasing dynamic compliance¹², so this point also might be one of the reasons why DEX could decrease PPCs in our study. Fifth, propofol, which was the main anesthetic during operation in anesthesia maintain period in our study, was reported to have a higher rate of PPCs compared with inhaled anesthetics sevoflurane³³. In our study, the amount of propofol was significantly lower in the DEX group than in the

placebo group (1200mg vs. 2500mg, $P<0.05$), so we might conclude that, after intravenous infusion of DEX, the decreasing demand for propofol had a beneficial effect of reducing the incidence of PPCs. Six, our results showed a significant difference in intraoperative remifentanyl consumption between the two groups (1450 μ g vs. 2625 μ g, $P<0.05$), which could be explained that the lower opioid consumption in the DEX group was caused by the analgesic effect of DEX, and intraoperative opioid saving was thought to play a role in lower rates of PPCs development³⁴. So, in our study, the lower occurrence of PPCs in DEX group could be attributable to the lessened amount of remifentanyl. Seven, Ahmed Hasanin in 2018³⁵ supposed in his paper that the improvement of lung mechanics might be due to the potential better sedation state of DEX administration to have better relaxation of the chest wall, but this needed further proofs. However, overall speaking, all those above assumptions required further evaluation in more studies with higher level of evidence.

Apart from DEX-induced improvements in PPCs and postoperative length of stay in hospital, our study also found that on the first day after oral and maxillofacial surgery, DEX alleviated the subjective pain in both oral and maxillofacial area and fibular area, and increased the objective sleep time. These results were similar with the clinical trial in Lancet by Su Xian in 2016¹⁰. The analgesia effect of DEX was worked by acting on the α_2 adrenergic receptors in the spinal cord³⁶. The hypnotic properties of DEX was exerted by activating the endogenous sleep-promoting pathway and producing a stage II non-rapid eye movement sleep-like state³⁷.

Besides, previous study had confirmed that prophylactic DEX significantly decreased the occurrence of delirium during the first 7 days after non-cardiac surgery¹⁰. However, in our study, we found that patients in the DEX group had less delirium incidence than patients in the placebo group (1.3% vs. 5.0%; RR 0.250, CI 0.029-2.188; $P=0.173$), but without statistical difference. We inferred the reason might be our sample size was not big enough considering the low incidence of postoperative delirium in our study population.

The survey had a lot of limitations. (1) The study was only designed to investigate differences in postoperative clinical practice (incidence of PPCs), but lack of research of the effect of DEX on biological markers (in plasma or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid) about lungs damages and indicators about respiratory dynamics throughout the perioperative time. (2) Regarding the high hospital cost, serum concentration of DEX wasn't calculated. (3) This trial only studied one kind of transfusion speed and one administration way of DEX, so different transfusion speeds and different administration ways should be further investigated. (4) Due to the complex and various definition of PPCs and the hospital where we carried out this study is a specialized Stomatological hospital, the improper estimation of PPCs did exist. Therefore, further research in the future will take the above factors into account.

Conclusion

For patients undergoing oral and maxillofacial surgery with fibular free flap reconstruction and tracheotomy, continuous infusion of DEX significantly decreases the occurrence of PPCs during the first 7 days after surgery.

Abbreviations

Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs); Dexmedetomidine (DEX); relative ratio (RR); confidence interval (CI); Assess Respiratory Risk in Surgical Patients in Catalonia (ARISCAT); acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD); Train-of-Four (TOF); Bispectral Index (BIS); end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration (EtCO₂); target-controlled infusion (TCI); fraction of inspiration O₂ (FiO₂); positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP); hydroxyethyl starch (HES); postoperative care unit (PACU); numeric rating scale (NRS); ventilation induced lung injury (VILI); American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA); Confusion Assessment Method for the ICU (CAM-ICU); receiver operating characteristic (ROC); area under the ROC curve (AUC); interquartile range (IQL); body mass index (BMI); New York Heart Association (NYHA); oxygen saturation as measured by pulse oximetry (SpO₂); Hemoglobin (Hb); chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Declarations

7.1 Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was received from the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University Hospital of Stomatology (Number: PKUSSIRB-201735060) in January 26, 2018. Written informed consent was obtained from all participating patients or their next of kin or legal representative who must understand the recruiter's description of the trial.

7.2 Consent for publication

Not applicable.

7.3 Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study will be available from the corresponding author on a reasonable request.

7.4 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

7.5 Funding

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81372043), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (7162199), and National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX10101004). All the funding bodies had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

7.6 Authors' contributions

YL and XZ contributed to the study concept and design.

YL, DZ and FH contributed to acquisition of data.

YL and XDY contributed to statistical analysis.

YL was the major contributor in drafting the manuscript.

XZ and XDY critically revised the manuscript and approved the version to be submitted.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

7.7 Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

7.8 Authors' information

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China;

²Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China;

^{3,4,5}Department of Anesthesiology, Peking University Hospital of Stomatology, Beijing 100081, China.

7.9 CONSORT Guidelines

This manuscript reporting adhered to CONSORT guidelines.

References

1. Nijbroek SG, Schultz MJ, Hemmes SNT. Prediction of postoperative pulmonary complications. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2019; 32: 443-51.
2. Eva K, Lars IE, Heidrun L, Malin JF, Andreas H, Markus H, et al. Post-anaesthesia pulmonary complications after use of muscle relaxants (POPULAR): a multicentre, prospective observational study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2019; 7: 129-40.
3. Bluth T, Serpa NA, Schultz MJ, Pelosi P, Gama de AM. Effect of Intraoperative High Positive End-Expiratory Pressure (PEEP) With Recruitment Maneuvers vs Low PEEP on Postoperative Pulmonary Complications in Obese Patients. *Jama*. 2019; 321: 2292-305.
4. FRCA O, MAcadMEd T, FFICM R. Postoperative pulmonary complications following non-cardiothoracic surgery. *BJA Education*. 2017; 17: 295-300.
5. Loeffelbein DJ, Julinek A, Wolff KD, Kochs E, Haller B, Haseneder R. Perioperative risk factors for postoperative pulmonary complications after major oral and maxillofacial surgery with microvascular reconstruction: A retrospective analysis of 648 cases. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*. 2016; 44: 952-7.

6. Li L, Yuan W, Zhang S, Wang K, Ruan H. Analysis of Risk Factors for Pneumonia in 482 Patients Undergoing Oral Cancer Surgery with Tracheotomy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2016; 74: 415-9.
7. Damian D, Esquenazi J, Duvvuri U, Johnson JT, Sakai T. Incidence, outcome, and risk factors for postoperative pulmonary complications in head and neck cancer surgery patients with free flap reconstructions. *J Clin Anesth.* 2016; 28: 12-8.
8. Petrar S, Bartlett C, Hart RD, MacDougall P. Pulmonary complications after major head and neck surgery: A retrospective cohort study. *Laryngoscope.* 2012; 122: 1057-61.
9. Ong SK, Morton RP, Kolbe J, Whitlock RM, McIvor NP. Pulmonary Complications Following Major Head and Neck Surgery with Tracheostomy A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Trial of Prophylactic Antibiotics. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2004; 130: 1084-87.
10. Su X, Meng ZT, Wu XH, Cui F, Li HL, Wang DX, et al. Dexmedetomidine for prevention of delirium in elderly patients after non-cardiac surgery: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet.* 2016; 388: 1893-902.
11. Davoudi A, Movahedian AB, Shadmehr E. Risks and benefits of pre-operative dexmedetomidine in oral and maxillofacial surgeries: a systematic review. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2017; 16: 711-20.
12. Lee SH, Kim N, Lee CY, Ban MG, Oh YJ. Effects of dexmedetomidine on oxygenation and lung mechanics in patients with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease undergoing lung cancer surgery: A randomised double-blinded trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2016; 33: 275-82.
13. Zhang J, Dong N, Qian H, Yu W. Dexmedetomidine Improves Function of Lung Oxygenation in Patients with Moderate Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Underwent Lung Cancer Surgery. *J Cent South Univ (Med Sci).* 2017; 42: 271-76.
14. Huang S, Zhang J, Zhang X, Liu L, Yu Y, Kang X, et al. Can Dexmedetomidine Improve Arterial Oxygenation and Intrapulmonary Shunt during One-lung Ventilation in Adults Undergoing Thoracic Surgery? A Meta-analysis of Randomized, Placebo-controlled Trials. *Chinese Medical Journal.* 2017; 130: 1707-14.
15. Liu M, Wang Z, Zhang Q. Effect of Dexmedetomidine Hydrochloride on Postoperative Pulmonary Complications in Elderly Patients Undergoing Spinal Surgery. *Journal of Clinical Medicine in Practice.* 2017; 21: 192-94.
16. Liu M, Wang Z, Zhang Q, Xu G. Effect of Dexmedetomidine Hydrochloride on Perioperative Inflammatory Factors and Postoperative Pulmonary Complications in Elderly Patients Undergoing Spinal Surgery. *Herald of Medicine.* 2018; 37: 568-72.
17. Liu R, Liu Y. Effects of Dexmedetomidine on Postoperative Pulmonary Function and Pulmonary Complications in Patients with Lung Cancer Complicated with COPD. *Med J of Communications.* 2018; 32: 154-56.
18. Bian Q, Xu Z, Wang L, Gao R, Gu L. Effects of lung protective ventilation strategy combined with dexmedetomidine on oxidative stress response and postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing thoracic surgery. *ACTA UNIVERSITATIS MEDICINALIS NANJING—Natural Science*. 2018; 38: 509-13.

19. Han J, Wu J, Han C. Effects of Propofol and Dexmedetomidine Total Intravenous Anesthesia on Pulmonary Oxygenation and Pulmonary Complications in Patients Undergoing Radical Resection of Esophageal Cancer. *Shandong Medicine*. 2017; 57: 65-67.
20. Canet J, Gallart L, Gomar C, Paluzie G, s JV, Castillo J, et al. Prediction of Postoperative Pulmonary Complications in a Population-based Surgical Cohort. *Anesthesiology*. 2010; 113: 1338-50.
21. Katayama H, Kurokawa Y, Nakamura K, Ito H, Kanemitsu Y, Masuda N, et al. Extended Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: Japan Clinical Oncology Group postoperative complications criteria. *Surg Today*. 2016; 46: 668-85.
22. Xu J, Hu J, Yu P, Wang W, Hu X, Hou J, et al. Perioperative risk factors for postoperative pneumonia after major oral cancer surgery: A retrospective analysis of 331 cases. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12: e0188167.
23. Meier J, Wunschel M, Angermann A, Ettl T, Metterlein T, Klingelhofer C, et al. Influence of early elective tracheostomy on the incidence of postoperative complications in patients undergoing head and neck surgery. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2019; 19: 43.
24. Zhang C, Xi MY, Zeng J, Li Y, Yu C. Prognostic Impact of Postoperative Complications on Overall Survival in 287 Patients with Oral Cancer: A Retrospective Single-Institution Study. *SURGICAL ONCOLOGY AND RECONSTRUCTION*. 2019; 77: 1471-79.
25. An X, Sun X, Yang X, Liu D, Hou Y, Chen H, et al. Oxidative stress promotes ventilator-induced lung injury through activating NLRP3 inflammasome and TRPM2 channel. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol*. 2019; 47: 3448-55.
26. Xue BB, Chen BH, Tang YN, Weng CW, Lin LN. Dexmedetomidine protects against lung injury induced by limb ischemia-reperfusion via the TLR4/MyD88/NF-kappaB pathway. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*. 2019.
27. Im Y, Park HY, Shin S, Shin SH, Lee H, Ahn JH, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for pulmonary complications after curative resection in otherwise healthy elderly patients with early stage lung cancer. *Respir Res*. 2019; 20: 136.
28. Groeben H, Mitzner W, Brown RH. Effects of the α 2-Adrenoceptor Agonist Dexmedetomidine on Bronchoconstriction in Dogs. *Anesthesiology*. 2004; 100: 359-63.
29. Mikami M, Zhang Y, Kim B, Worgall TS, Groeben H, Emala CW. Dexmedetomidine's inhibitory effects on acetylcholine release from cholinergic nerves in guinea pig trachea: a mechanism that accounts for its clinical benefit during airway irritation. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2017; 17: 52.
30. Meng L, Li L, Lu S, Li K, Su Z, Wang Y, et al. The protective effect of dexmedetomidine on LPS-induced acute lung injury through the HMGB1-mediated TLR4/NF-kappaB and PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathways. *Mol Immunol*. 2018; 94: 7-17.
31. Zhang H, Sha J, Feng X, Hu X, Chen Y, Li B, et al. Dexmedetomidine ameliorates LPS induced acute lung injury via GSK-3beta/STAT3-NF-kappaB signaling pathway in rats. *Int Immunopharmacol*. 2019; 74: 105717.
32. Zeng H, Li Z, He J, Fu W. Dexmedetomidine for the prevention of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One*.

2019; 14: e0218088.

33. de la Gala F, Pineiro P, Reyes A, Vara E, Olmedilla L, Cruz P, et al. Postoperative pulmonary complications, pulmonary and systemic inflammatory responses after lung resection surgery with prolonged one-lung ventilation. Randomized controlled trial comparing intravenous and inhalational anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth*. 2017; 119: 655-63.
34. Friedrich S, Raub D, Teja BJ, Neves SE, Thevathasan T, Houle TT, et al. Effects of low-dose intraoperative fentanyl on postoperative respiratory complication rate: a pre-specified, retrospective analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2019; 122: e180-e88.
35. Hasanin A, Taha K, Abdelhamid B, Abougabal A, Elsayad M, Refaie A, et al. Evaluation of the effects of dexmedetomidine infusion on oxygenation and lung mechanics in morbidly obese patients with restrictive lung disease. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2018; 18: 104.
36. Abdul HB, Sbeitan SM, Shakya AK. Fentanyl vs fentanyl-dexmedetomidine in lumbar foraminotomy surgery. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2019; 15: 885-90.
37. Weina L, Qinghui F, Xlaoqian L, Fu S, Hu K. Effects of dexmedetomidine on sleep quality of patients after surgery without mechanical ventilation in ICU. *Medicine*. 2017; 96: e7081.

Tables

Table 1: Baseline Patient Demographic and Preoperative Characteristics

Characteristic	Dexmedetomidine group (n=80)	Placebo group (n=80)	P value
Age, median (IQL), year	59 (55, 65)	62 (56, 67)	0.123
Sex, No. (%)			
Male	51 (63.8)	53 (66.3)	0.740
Female	29 (36.3)	27 (33.8)	
Hight, median (IQL), cm	168.0 (160.0, 171.5)	166.00 (160.0, 172.0)	0.832
Weight, median (IQL), kg	60.50(55.0, 69.5)	62.00 (57.5, 71.0)	0.284
BMI, median (IQL) ^a	22.59 (20.36, 24.16)	22.90 (21.03, 25.10)	0.283
ARISCAT score ^b			
Intermediate risk, No. (%)	77 (96.3)	79 (98.8)	0.311
High risk, No. (%)	3 (3.8)	1 (1.3)	
ASA physical status classification, No. (%) ^c			
1	16 (20.0)	17 (21.3)	0.216
2	61 (76.3)	63(78.7)	
3	3 (3.8)	0 (0)	
NYHA heart failure class, No. (%) ^d			
I	47 (58.8)	51 (63.7)	0.516
II	33 (41.2)	29 (36.2)	
Tobacco use, No. (%)	31 (38.8)	29 (36.2)	0.744
Alcohol use, No. (%) ^e	25 (31.3)	21 (26.3)	0.485
Preoperative SpO ₂ , No. (%)			
≥ 96	67 (83.8)	68 (85.0)	0.975
91-95	12 (15.0)	11 (13.8)	
≤90	1 (1.3)	1 (1.3)	
Preoperative anemia (Hb≤10g/dl), No. (%)	9 (11.3)	5 (6.3)	0.263
Comorbidity			
Arterial hypertension, No. (%)	27 (33.8)	24 (30.0)	0.611
Diabetes, No. (%)	9 (11.3)	12 (15.0)	0.483
Coronary artery disease, No. (%)	8 (10.0)	4 (5.0)	0.230
Arrhythmia, No. (%)	6 (7.5)	6 (7.5)	1.000
COPD, No. (%)	1 (1.3)	4 (5.0)	0.173
Asthma, No. (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	0.316

Preoperative abnormalities on chest radiography, No. (%)	4 (5.0)	8 (10.0)	0.230
Active cancer, No. (%)	69 (86.3)	70 (87.5)	0.815

Abbreviations: IQL, interquartile range; BMI, body mass index; ARISCAT, Assess Respiratory Risk in Surgical Patients in Catalonia; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiology; NYHA, New York Heart Association; SpO₂, oxygen saturation as measured by pulse oximetry; Hb, Hemoglobin; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

^a *Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.*

^b *Score range is from 0 to 123; higher scores indicate a higher risk of postoperative pulmonary complications. Patients with scores of 26 to 44 are considered at intermediate risk; those with scores more than 44 are considered at high risk.*

^c *Score range is from 1 to 6 and includes a classification for normal health as 1; mild systemic disease, 2; severe systemic disease, 3; severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life, 4. patients with scores of 5 or 6 were excluded.*

^d *Score range is from I to IV; higher scores indicate a higher extent of heart failure. Patients without limitation of their ordinary physical activity are classified NYHA class I; those with slight limitation of their activity are classified as NYHA class II. Patients with scores of III or IV were excluded.*

^e *Defined as more than 2 drinks per day during the past 2 weeks.*

Table 2: Intraoperative Characteristics

Characteristic	Dexmedetomidine group	Placebo group	P value
	(n=80)	(n=80)	
Cervical lymph node dissection, No. (%)			
No	17 (21.2)	15 (18.8)	0.719
Unilateral	45 (56.3)	50 (62.5)	
Bilateral	18 (22.5)	15 (18.7)	
Duration of surgery, median (IQL), min ^a	330 (275, 382)	310 (263, 393)	0.386
Duration of anesthesia, median (IQL), min ^b	362 (302, 428)	358 (298, 442)	0.732
Duration of limb ischemia time, median (IQL), min ^c	59 (53, 71)	60 (50, 68)	0.611
Vital volume, median (IQL), ml	425 (400, 450)	425 (400, 450)	0.860
Respiratory rate, median (IQL), breaths/ min	12 (12, 13)	12 (12, 14)	0.905
Peak pressure, median (IQL), cmH2O			
After intubation	15 (13, 16)	14 (13, 16)	0.281
The highest during the surgery	16 (14, 18)	16 (14, 18)	0.989
Before the end of the surgery	15 (14, 17)	15 (14, 17)	0.664
FiO2, median (IQL)	50 (50, 50)	50 (50, 50)	0.277
Intraoperative medication, median (IQL)			
Sufentanil, µg	30 (20, 40)	30 (25, 45)	0.083
Propofol, mg	1200 (1000, 1500)	2500 (2000, 2700)	0.000
Remifentanil, µg	1450 (1000, 1980)	2625 (1700, 3000)	0.000
Dexmedetomidine, µg	188 (168, 200)	0 (0, 0)	0.000
Crystalloids, median (IQL), ml	1700 (1700, 2200)	1700 (1600, 2200)	0.947
Synthetic colloids, median (IQL), ml	500 (500, 500)	500 (500, 500)	0.149
Estimated blood loss during surgery, median (IQL), ml	300 (200, 400)	300 (200, 350)	0.508
Urine output, median (IQL)	675 (400, 900)	500 (350, 700)	0.016
Total intraoperative infusion, median (IQL), ml	1450 (1000, 1900)	1450 (1075, 1875)	0.895

Abbreviations: FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen.

^a *Calculated as the time between skin incision and closure of the incision.*

^b Calculated as the time from the start of induction to the patient leaving the operating room.

^c Calculated as the time from the beginning of inflation to the end of exhalation of the tourniquet in the thigh.

Table 3: Postoperative Characteristics

Characteristic	Dexmedetomidine group	Placebo group	P value
	(n=80)	(n=80)	
Length of stay in PACU, median (IQL), min	855 (675, 970)	923 (730, 1020)	0.073
Medication in PACU, median (IQL), min			
Dexmedetomidine in PACU	168 (160, 184)	0 (0, 0)	0.000
Total Dexmedetomidine on operation day ^a	360 (338, 378)	0 (0, 0)	0.000
Sufentanil in PACU	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)	0.100
Total sufentanil on operation day ^a	30 (25, 40)	34 (25, 46)	0.070
Time with tracheotomy tube, median (IQL), d	5(5,6)	5(5,6)	0.551
Total infusion, mean (SD), ml			
The operation day ^a	1848.65±622.996	1888.44±690.296	0.702
The first day after surgery	931.69±1108.510	989.25±1098.572	0.742
The second day after surgery	861.04±954.420	868.41±1162.951	0.717
NRS for oral and maxillofacial area pain, mean (SD)			
The first day after surgery	1.30±1.226	3.20±1.363	0.000
The second day after surgery	1.49±1.534	1.53±1.492	0.876
The Third day after surgery	1.39±1.497	1.44±1.231	0.818
NRS for fibular area pain, mean (SD)			
The first day after surgery	1.59±1.357	3.71±1.070	0.000
The second day after surgery	1.49±1.369	1.58±1.367	0.686
The third day after surgery	1.55±1.457	1.43±1.261	0.563
Sleep time, median (IQL), h			
The first day after surgery	6.0 (5.0, 7.0)	4.0 (3.3, 5.0)	0.000
The second day after surgery	5.0 (4.3, 6.0)	5.0 (4.0, 6.0)	0.520

Abbreviations: PACU, post anesthesia care unit; NRS, numeric rating scale.

^a *Defined the time from the beginning of the surgery to the next morning 0800 h in PACU.*

Table 4: Primary and Secondary Outcomes

Outcome	Dexmedetomidine group (n=80)	Placebo group (n=80)	Relative Ratio (95% CI)	P value
Primary outcome, No. (%)				
Overall incidence of PPCs	18 (22.5)	32(40.0)	0.563 (0.346- 0.916)	0.017
Respiratory infection	14 (17.5)	19 (23.8)	0.737 (0.398- 1.356)	0.329
Respiratory failure	3 (3.8)	7 (8.8)	0.429 (0.115- 1.599)	0.191
Pleural effusion	0 (0)	2 (2.5)	—	0.155
Atelectasis	1 (1.3)	5 (6.3)	0.200 (0.024- 1.674)	0.096
Pneumothorax	0 (0)	0 (0)	—	—
Bronchospasm	0 (0)	1 (1.3)	—	0.316
Aspiration pneumonitis	0 (0)	0 (0)	—	—
Pulmonary edema	1 (1.3)	2 (2.5)	0.500(0.046- 5.404)	0.560
Pulmonary embolism	1 (1.3)	1 (1.3)	1.000 (0.064- 15.712)	1.000
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	1 (1.3)	0 (0)	—	0.316
Secondary outcome, No. (%)				
The time to first diagnosis of PPCs ^a	4 (2, 5)	3 (2, 5)	—	0.928
The number of PPCs ^b				
0.00	62 (77.5)	48 (60.0)	—	—
1.00	15 (18.8)	27 (33.8)	0.541 (0.314- 0.933)	0.023
2.00	3 (3.8)	5 (6.3)	0.489 (0.123- 1.954)	0.300
postoperative extrapulmonary complications ^c				
Delirium	1 (1.3)	4 (5.0)	0.250 (0.029- 2.188)	0.173
Anemia ^d	3 (3.8)	2 (2.5)	1.500 (0.258- 8.737)	0.650
Extrapulmonary infection	2 (2.5)	4 (5.0)	0.500(0.094- 2.653)	0.405
Need secondary surgery ^e	4 (5.0)	6 (7.5)	0.667 (0.196- 0.514)	0.514

Adverse events				
Bradycardia ^f	2 (2.5)	2 (2.5)	—	1
Hypotension ^g	2 (2.5)	3 (3.8)	0.667 (0.114- 3.883)	0.650
Length of stay in hospital after surgery, median (IQL), day	9 (8, 11)	10 (9, 11)	—	0.036
30-day all-cause mortality	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	—	1

Abbreviations: PPCs, postoperative pulmonary complications.

^a *Indicated the time from end of surgery to first diagnosis of PPCs within 7 days after surgery.*

^b *Indicated the number of diagnosed individual PPCs within 7 days after surgery.*

^c *Defined as complications other than PPCs that occur during operation and within 7 days after surgery, and require therapeutic intervention.*

^d *Defined as hemoglobin less than 9g/dL.*

^e *Included hematoma or vascular crisis exploration within 7 days after surgery.*

^f *Defined as heart rate less than 50 beats/min or a decrease of more than 20% from baseline.*

^g *Defined as systolic blood pressure less than 90 mm Hg or a decrease of more than 20% from baseline.*

Table 5: Log rank test of the time to onset of PPCs between two groups

Groups	Mean	SE	95% Confidence Interval		P value
			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
Dexmedetomidine group	6.212	0.191	5.837	6.588	0.019
Placebo group	5.625	0.219	5.196	6.054	
Overall	5.919	0.146	5.633	6.204	

Table 6: Cox regression of PPCs between two groups

Groups	B	SE	Wald P value	HR	95.0% CI	
					Lower	Upper
Dexmedetomidine group	-0.662	0.295	5.035	0.025	0.516	0.290 0.920
Placebo group	reference					

Table 7: The Area Under ROC curve and Youden Index

Area under ROC curve	0.614
Std. Error	0.0441
95% confidence interval	0.534 - 0.690
P value $\square$ area=0.5 $\square$	0.0094
Youden Index	0 $\square$ 2709
cutoff	$\leq$ 328
sensibility	78.00
specificity	49.09

Figures

Figure 1

Flow Diagram of Patients Through Trial

Figure 2

The Kaplan-Meier curve representing the time to occurrence of PPCs in the postoperative 7 days between the DEX group and the placebo group

Figure 3

The ROC curve representing the relationship between total dose of DEX and the incidence of PPCs in the postoperative 7 days

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1.pdf](#)
- [Additionalfile2.pdf](#)
- [CONSORT2010Checklist.pdf](#)
- [Additionalfile3.pdf](#)