Does measuring the medial gap before bone resection in total knee arthroplasty provide optimum gap adjustment and prevent bone recutting?

Uğur Tiftikçi

Istanbul Medipol University: Istanbul Medipol Universitesi

Sancar Serbest (✉ dr.sancarserbest@hotmail.com)

Kırıkkale University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2696-8303>

Hacı Bayram Tosun

Gaziosmanpaşa Taksim Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi

Seyyid İsa Keskinlik

Kırıkkale Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi: Kırıkkale Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi

Cem Yalın Kılınç

Muğla Sıtkı Koçman Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi

Ahmet Fırat

Sağlık Bakanlığı Ankara Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi

Research article

Keywords: Measured resection technique, total knee arthroplasty, tibial component, medial joint gap

Posted Date: September 23rd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-77483/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose

The aim of this study was to demonstrate that measuring the medial gap before bone resection during total knee arthroplasty (TKA) provides an optimum gap adjustment in varus knees.

Methods

Patients were separated into two groups, Group 1 being those whose medial joint gap was measured prior to bone resection and Group 2 comprising those who underwent conventional measured resection technique without measuring. The medial joint gap was measured with a custom-made gap measuring device up to the point that the knee was corrected and aligned along its mechanical axis. Medial joint gap distances, distal medial femoral bone cut thicknesses, amounts of tibial resection calculated, gap internal distances measured after cutting, and the thicknesses of the trial inserts were recorded. A comparison was made between the groups in terms of the number of patients requiring an additional tibial bone cut and the distribution of insert thicknesses.

Results

Extra tibial bone resections performed in two (5.7%) patients in Group 1 and in 10 (28.6%) patients in Group 2. In Group 1, where the medial joint gap was measured, the need for an additional bone resection was statistically less. ($p=0.018$). In the comparison of distribution of insert size by group, the number of patients on whom an 8 mm insert had been used was significantly greater in Group 1 ($p=0.024$).

Conclusion

Measuring the medial joint gap prior to bone resection in total knee arthroplasty may prevent repeated bone recutting and additional bone resections. Furthermore, we can use this method to avoid the disadvantages of the measured resection technique.

Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) aims at preserving the joint line, ensuring optimum balance and gap adjustment, correcting the mechanical axis, achieving optimal implant rotation and using the appropriate tibial insert thickness [1, 2]. Obtaining symmetrical and balanced flexion and extension gaps are important factors that influence the success of treatment through total knee arthroplasty [3]. Gap adjustment is achieved using gap balancing and measured resection techniques. Both techniques have their advantages and disadvantages. In particular, because tension adjustment of the ligament is of secondary consideration in the measured resection technique, this leads to a need for recutting and soft tissue releases. In the gap balancing technique, because cuts are based on measurements, a more suitable gap and balance is attained [4]. There is no study in the literature that defines the amount of bone resection needed based on a measurement of the corrected medial joint distance before cutting.

The aim of this study was to demonstrate that measuring the medial gap before bone resection during TKA provides an optimum gap adjustment in varus knees.

Materials And Method

This study was conducted by two orthopedic surgeons (UT, AF), each with a minimum of 10 years in experiences, over the period March 2017 - January 2018 at Kırıkkale University Hospital and Atatürk Training and Research Hospital. The informed consent of the patients and approval from the local ethics committee (IRB number: 13/6) were obtained for the study. The demographic data of the patients were recorded (Table 1).

Table 1
The demographic data of the patients.

	Grup 1 (n:35)	Grup 2 (n:35)	P value
Mean age	68.3 ± 6.1	67.8 ± 6.9	P ≥ 0.05
Mean body mass index (kg/m ²)	29.1 ± 5.2	29.4 ± 4.9	P ≥ 0.05
Gender (Female/Male)	11/24	13/22	P ≥ 0.05
Mean preoperative flexion contracture	7.6 ± 5.0	7.4 ± 4.9	P ≥ 0.05
Mean preoperative varus angle	13.4 ± 5.2	12.5 ± 5.1	P ≥ 0.05

Table 2
Comparison of Results

	Grup 1	Grup 2	P value
Extra tibial bone resection(%)	n:2 (5.7%) (2 mm)	n:10 (28.6%) (2–6 mm)	0.018
Insert size (8, 10, 12,14,16)	8 (n:29) (82.9%) 10 (n:6) (17.1%)	8 (n:22) (62.9%) 10 (n:9) (25.7%) 12 (n:4) (11.4%)	0.024
Mean resection of the medial femoral bone	10.5 ± 1.2 (8–14 mm)	10.5 ± 1.1 (8–12 mm)	0.841
Mean resection of the medial tibial bone	4.0 ± 1.8 (2–8 mm)	3.6 ± 1.8 (2–8 mm)	0.298

Table 3
Data of the articles related with parameters of bone specific recuts in TKA.

Author/s	Patients	Additional tibial bone resection	Additional femoral bone resection	Procedure
Kawano T. et al.(14)	n:30	n:3(10%)	-	Conventional measured resection technique
Gregory C. et al.(20)	n:116	n:18(16%)	n:20(21%)	Patient-specific cutting guides
Pietsch M. et al.(21)	n:50	n:17(34%)	n:31(62%)	Patient-specific cutting guides
Seong et al. (22)	n:59	-	n:16(27%)	Navigated total knee arthroplasty
Group 1	n:35	n:2 (5.7%)	-	Measuring the medial gap with measured resection technique
Current study	n:35	n:10(28.6%)	-	Conventional measured resection technique
Group 2				

The research was conducted with patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty on the basis of a diagnosis of varus knee osteoarthritis. Including criteria were: patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty due to varus knee osteoarthritis, a tibiofemoral angle of $\leq 20^\circ$. Exclusion criteria were: posttraumatic osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, hemophilic arthropathy, postseptic arthritis, tibiofemoral varus of more than 20° , flexion contracture of more than 10° , patients with extraarticular deformities, those that had undergone deformity surgery and patients with valgus gonarthrosis. Seventy patients meeting the inclusion criteria were taken into the study. Patients were separated into two groups, Group 1 being those whose medial joint gap were measured prior to bone resection during surgery and Group 2 comprising those who underwent standard surgical bone resection without measuring. Each group comprised 35 patients. For randomization, the first group of patients (Group 1) was selected by drawing lots while the participants in the other group (Group 2) were recruited in order of their admittance. A posterior cruciate sacrificing implant (Scorpio® NRG PS, Stryker Howmedica Osteonics, Allendale, NJ, USA) was used on all the patients.

Specifications of the Prosthesis

The technical specifications of this knee prosthesis include a femoral component thickness of 8 mm, tibial component thickness of 6 mm and an insert of 8-16 mm. A minimum gap distance of 22 mm, 2 cm cement (1 mm for the femoral, 1 mm for the tibial component), and an allowance of 2 mm. for joint movement, comprising in total, a gap distance of 26 mm are required for this implant.

Technique of measuring the medial joint gap

This measurement is taken with a custom-designed gap measuring device that starts from a measurement of 6 mm to 16 mm with 2 mm intervals in between (Figure 1). Since knee prosthesis cutting systems are designed for 2 mm intervals, the device was manufactured in matching specifications. The medial joint gap was measured with the device positioned between the most distal medial femoral condyle and the central medial tibial plateau with the knee in extension. While applying varus stress on the knee, the device was placed in the smallest gap and using the cable technique, the size was increased until the mechanical axis of the knee was corrected. The last gap thickness measurement was recorded.

Determining the amount of tibial resection

The distal femoral cutting block was positioned with the femoral intramedullar guide. The distal femoral bone resection was performed using the “sulcus cut” method [5,6]. The thickest part of the medial distal bone fragment removed from the femur was measured with the help of a compass. The following formula was used to calculate the amount of tibial bone to be resected: Tibial bone resection amount = 26 - (Measured medial joint gap + Femoral bone resection amount) (Figure 2). Then an amount from the medial proximal part of the tibia equal to the amount in the tibial cutting block was measured and resected.

Surgical technique for Group 1

Surgery was performed with a standard anterior longitudinal incision and a parapatellar approach. Anterior and posterior cruciate ligament excision, meniscectomy, tibial and femoral osteophyte excision and the standard medial release of the anteromedial tibial periosteum and deep medial ligament were performed. Then the medial joint gap was measured. With the help of a guide, the distal femoral cut was first performed and the piece of medial bone excised was measured. The amount of tibial resection was calculated according to the formula. The tibial extramedullary cutting guide was placed. Then with the tibial stylus matching the value obtained from the formula touching the medial central tibial plateau, this was fixed with a block pin and the bone resection was performed. Subsequently, using the femoral Chamfer guide, notch block guide, and tibial baseplate trial guide, the tibia was prepared. A gap block was used to measure the extension gap and this was recorded (Figure 3a, 3b). An additional tibial cut was made for those with tight extension gaps and this was recorded. The trial implants were then placed. At this stage, flexion and extension ranges of motion (ROM), patellar tracking, medial and lateral balance were evaluated. The suitable trial insert size was recorded. In cases that required it, extra medial soft tissue release was performed. In this group, the insert thickness determined with the gap measuring device and the trial insert were compatible in all patients. Irrigation was performed and the implants were affixed with cement.

Surgical technique for Group 2

Surgery was performed using the same approach that was implemented in the case of Group 1 patients. Femoral bone resection was performed using the technique of the sulcus cut. Then a 8 mm tibial stylus was placed at the highest point of the lateral tibial central plateau and the tibial bone was cut. The other

stages of the surgery were performed as in Group 1. Again, patients for whom an additional bone cutting was performed, their gap intervals and insert thicknesses were recorded.

Assessment criteria

Medial joint gap distances, distal medial femoral bone cut thicknesses, amounts of tibial resection calculated, gap internal distances measured after cutting, and the thicknesses of the trial inserts were recorded. A comparison was made between the groups in terms of the number of patients requiring an additional tibial bone cut and the distribution of insert thicknesses.

Statistics

SPSS v.16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) was used in the statistical analysis. The analyses were performed at a confidence interval (CI) of 95% and two-tailed testing determined that $P < 0.05$ could be accepted as statistically significant. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to evaluate the numerical data to determine whether they were parametric. The Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test was performed to make a statistical evaluation of differences in each of the groups.

Results

The mean age of the patients in Group 1 was 68.3 ± 6.1 ; 24 were female and 11 were male. Mean BMI was 29.1 ± 5.2 , preoperative extension limitation was 7.6 ± 2.2 and the preoperative varus angle was 16.4 ± 3.2 . In Group 2, the mean age was 67.8 ± 6.9 ; 22 were female and 13 were male. Mean BMI was measured as 29.4 ± 4.9 , preoperative extension limitation was 7.0 ± 2.9 and the preoperative varus angle was 14.5 ± 3.1 . No demographic differences were detected between the groups.

In Group 1, two (5.7%) and in Group 2, 10 (28.6%) patients had extra tibial bone resections. In Group 1, where the medial joint gap was measured, the need for an additional bone resection was statistically less ($p = 0.018$).

In terms of insert size, an 8 mm insert was used in 29 of the patients in Group 1 (82.9%) while a 10 mm insert was used in 6 patients (17.1%) in the same group. In Group 2, an 8 mm insert was used in 22 (62.9%) patients while an insert of 10 mm was used in another 9 (25.7%) and an insert of 12 mm was used in 4 (11.4%) patients in the same group. In the comparison of distribution of insert size by group, the number of patients on whom an 8 mm insert had been used was significantly greater in Group 1 ($p = 0.024$).

BMI, age, gender, preoperative joint extension limitation did not affect additional bone resection and insert size.

Discussion

The outcome of the investigation was that taking measurements of the medial joint distance in the measured resection technique helped us to more accurately determine the thickness of bone to be resected. Besides providing us with the amounts of femoral and tibial bone resection that would yield an optimum gap, measuring the joint gap will also make it possible to achieve a more accurate gap.

While in the measured resection technique, distal femoral bone resection and proximal tibial bone resection are the most important determinants in achieving a suitable extension gap, the medial joint gap of the knee is usually ignored. At the same time, adjusting the extension gap and measurements are generally carried out after bone resection. Finally, the impact of medial soft tissue and the medial collateral ligament on the gap is assessed after bone resection. If the amount of bone resection is inadequate, the gap becomes tight, calling for another bone resection. If the amount of bone resection is excessive, the gap distance is compensated by the use of a thicker PE insert. This however is one of the factors that can adversely affect the success of arthroplasty [7–10].

In the case of gap balancing, bone cutting is attempted after ligaments are released. Bone cuts using measured resection do not take soft tissue tension into account. Instead, markers such as the transepicondylar, anterior-posterior, or posterior condylar axes provide appropriate femoral component rotation and gap balance. In the gap balancing technique, however, the femoral component is positioned parallel to the resected proximal tibia with each collateral ligament equally tensioned to obtain a rectangular flexion gap [4].

Both resection and gap balancing techniques are presently used in standard TKA for the purpose of attaining tissue balancing and implant stability, but there has so far been no agreement as to which method might be considered superior to the other. There are mixed reports in the literature supporting each technique, based on kinematic data. Increased femoral condylar liftoff is an outcome that is associated with the measured resection technique in some research, but assertions in other studies point to the shortcoming that gap balancing techniques digress from anatomical alignments [4, 11].

It was reported in a study by Kawano T. et al. that additional tibial resection had to be implemented in 3 out of 30 patients due to a tight extension gap [12]. Wernecke GC. et al. report in their study, in which they used patient-specific cutting guides, that they were required to carry out additional bone resection for 37% of their patients and of these additional cuts, 16% was implemented on the tibial side [13]. In the study of Pietsch M. et al., in which cutting guides were used, the rate of additional resection was 62% in the distal femur and 34% in the proximal tibia [14]. In the present study, additional proximal tibial bone resection was performed on 10 knees in Group 2 and on 2 knees in Group 1. An extra bone resection was not found to be necessary in the group in which the medial joint distance was measured.

In a study by Seong et al. [15], a soft tissue release was implemented in 43 out of 59 cases but in 16, an additional 2 mm femoral bone resection was required. Some authors recommend reduction osteotomy and using a small size component in cases of patients with a tight extension gap [16, 17]. Measuring the joint distance and calculating the amount of tibial resection above all prevented an extra bone resection. It was also quite helpful to us in adjusting the extension gap.

It is very important to determine the level of distal femoral resection to be implemented in total knee arthroplasty. This cut is what achieves the original alignment of the joint and preserves the balance in the soft tissue of the knee [18, 19]. It has been proven in studies that using the “sulcus cut” technique in distal femoral resection is an excellent landmark in attaining joint alignment [5, 6, 9]. Surgical practice involves performing an 8–10 mm resection from the healthy lateral proximal tibia condyle or a 2 mm resection from the degenerated medial section [20, 21]. This surgical technique may not provide some patients with an appropriate gap. If the gap is tight after bone resection, this may require repeated bone resections [12]. If the gap is too wide after bone resection, a thicker polyethylene insert (PE) may need to be used [22–24]. Since taking measurements of soft tissue tension is difficult prior to surgery, it may not be possible to determine how much of a bone resection is needed [25]. Cross MB et al. have demonstrated that extra bone resections in the distal femur causes coronal plane laxity and mid-flexion instability [26]. An important reference for us in our study was the sulcus cut technique in distal femoral resection. The thickness of the bone tissue resected from the femur medial condyle in the study varied between 8–12 mm. Instability was not observed in any of the patients.

A tight gap because of insufficient bone section may lead to extension contracture in the joint and excessive erosion of the PE [27, 28]. Again, excessive bone resection may cause an elevated joint line, mid-flexion instability, anterior pain in the knee and patellar crepitus [29]. In Group 1 patients, where we measured the medial joint distance, a 8–10 mm insert was used and there were only two patients with inadequate bone resections. In Group 2, inserts of 8–10 mm were used in 32 patients while 12 mm inserts were used in 4 others. In this group, bone resection was inadequate in 10 patients and there was excessive bone resection in 4 others. The more accurate use of the insert was observed in Group 1.

The first limitation was that the bone resection blocks used in the study were the products of only one manufacturer. Cutting blocks and bone resection systems belonging to other manufacturers may yield different results. The second limitation was that cutting blocks may lead to faulty bone resection. Our third limitation was that a comparison was not made by measuring the medial joint gap using navigation-aided systems that in recent years have been reported to be more accurate in bone resection.

Conclusion

The outcome of our study led us to the conclusion that it is not only femoral-tibial bone resections that are important, but that the medial joint gap is also a significant factor in achieving an optimum extension gap. Measuring the medial joint gap prior to bone resection in total knee arthroplasty may prevent repeated bone recutting and additional bone resections. Furthermore, we can use this method to avoid the disadvantages of the measured resection technique.

Abbreviations

TKA; Total knee arthroplasty, ROM; ranges of motion,

Declarations

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This scientific research study was approved by the ethics committee of Kırıkkale University Ethics Committee. Informed consent form was taken from all of the volunteers

Funding

None.

Authors' contributions

UT designed this study. SS and UT wrote the manuscript. SS and UT contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript and researched data. SS and SIK helped to draft the manuscript. SIK, HBT and CYK researched data and contributed to discussion. All radiological and clinical outcome evaluation was made by CYK and AF. The statistical analysis was made by SS. Both authors read and approved the final.

Acknowledgements

None

References

1. Whiteside L.A. Soft tissue balancing: the knee. J Arthroplasty. 2002, 17:23-7. [1054/arth.2002.33264](#).
2. Teeny SM, Krackow KA, Hungerford DS, Jones M. Primary total knee arthroplasty in patients with severe varus deformity: a comparative study. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1991, (273):19-31.

3. Laskin RS. Flexion space configuration in total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 1995, 10(5):657-60. 1016/s0883-5403(05)80211-6
4. Dennis DA, Komistek RD, Kim RH, Sharma A. Gap balancing versus measured resection technique for total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2010, 468(01):102-7. 1055/s-0037-1608820
5. Yue B, Wang J, Wang Y, Yan M, Zhang J, Zeng,Y. The intercondylar notch ceiling: an accurate reference for distal femoral resection in total knee arthroplasty for severely degenerated varus knees. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*.2017, 25:9:2818–24.1007/s00167-015-3792-4
6. Kuriyama S, Hyakuna K, Inoue S, Tanaka Y, Tamaki Y, Ito H, et al. Is a “sulcus cut” technique effective for determining the level of distal femoral resection in total knee arthroplasty? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*.2014, 22(12):3060-6. 1007/s00167-014-3217-9
7. Arima J, Whiteside LA, McCarthy DS, White SE. Femoral rotational alignment, based on the anteroposterior axis, in total knee arthroplasty in a valgus knee. A technical note. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1995, 77:1331–4. 2106/00004623-199509000-00006
8. Eckhoff DG, Montgomery WK, Stamm ER, Kikoyne RF. Location of the femoral sulcus in the osteoarthritic knee. *J Arthroplasty*. 1996, 11:163–5. 1016/s0883-5403(05)80011-7
9. Lingaraj K, Bartlett J. The femoral sulcus in total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2009, 17:499–502.1007/s00167-008-0719-3
10. Partington PF, Sawhney J, Rorabeck CH, Barrack RL, Moore J. Joint line restoration after revision total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1999, 367:165–71.
11. Babazadeh S, Dowsey MM, Stoney JD, Choong PF. Gap balancing sacrifices joint-line maintenance to improve gap symmetry: a randomized controlled trial comparing gap balancing and measured resection. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014, 29(05):950-4. 1016/j.arth.2013.09.036
12. Kawano T, Mori T. The Thickness Of The Tibia Resection To Create Adequate Medial Extension Gap In Minimally Medial Released Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J*.2017, 99:54.
13. Wernecke GC, Taylor S, Wernecke P, MacDessi SJ, Chen DB. Resection accuracy of patient-specific cutting guides in total knee replacement. *ANZ J Surg*.2017, 87(11):921-4. 1111/ans.14143
14. Pietsch ML, Djahani O, Hochegger M, Plattner F, Hofmann S. Patient-specific total knee arthroplasty: the importance of planning by the surgeon. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*.2013, 10:2220-6. 1007/s00167-013-2624-7
15. Seong HK, Jung WL, Ho JJ, Han JL."Influence of soft tissue balancing and distal femoral resection on flexion contracture in navigated total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2017, 25:3501-07. 1007/s00167-016-4269-9
16. Ahn JH, Yang TY, Lee JY. Reduction Osteotomy vs Pie-Crust Technique as Possible Alternatives for Medial Release in Total Knee Arthroplasty and Compared in a Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *The Journal of arthroplast* 2016, 31(7):1470-5.10.1016/j.arth.2016.01.018
17. Ahn JH, Lee SH, Kang HW. Quantification of the effect of vertical bone resection of the medial proximal tibia for achieving soft tissue balancing in total knee arthroplasty. *Clinics in orthopedic surgery*.2016, 8(1):49-56. 4055/cios.2016.8.1.49

18. Fornalski S, McGarry MH, Bui CN, Kim WC, Lee TQ. Biomechanical effects of joint line elevation in total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Biomech.* 2012, 27:824–9. 1016/j.clinbiomech.2012.05.009
19. Partington PF, Sawhney J, Rorabeck CH, Barrack RL, Moore J. Joint line restoration after revision total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1999, 367:165–71.
20. Schnurr C, Csécséi G, Nessler J, Eysel P, König DP. How much tibial resection is required in total knee arthroplasty? *International orthopaedics.* 2011, 35(7):989-94. 1007/s00264-010-1025-5
21. Ranawat AS, Ranawat CS, Elkus M, Rasquinha VJ, Rossi R, Babhulkar S. Total knee arthroplasty for severe valgus deformity. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2005, 87(Suppl 1):271–84. 2106/JBJS.E.00308
22. Berend ME, Davis PJ, Ritter MA, Keating EM, Faris PM, Meding JB, Malinzak RA. “Thicker” polyethylene bearings are associated with higher failure rates in primary total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2010, 25:17–20. 1016/j.arth.2010.04.031
23. Wasielewski RC, Galante JO, Leighty RM, Natarajan RN, Rosenberg AG. Wear patterns on retrieved polyethylene tibial inserts and their relationship to technical considerations during total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1994, 299:31–43.
24. Bartel DL, Bicknell VL, Wright TM. The effect of conformity, thickness, and material on stresses in ultra-high molecular weight components for total joint replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1986, 68:1041–51.
25. Matziolis G, Matziolis D, Perka C. Pre-operative frontal plane malalignment predicts the extension gap asymmetry in knee osteoarthritis. *Int Orthop.* 2012, 36:79–82. 1007/s00264-011-1294-7
26. CrossMB, Nam D, Plaskos C, Sherman SL, Lyman S, Pearle AD, Mayman DJ. Recutting the distal femur to increase maximal knee extension during TKA causes coronal plane laxity in mid-flexion. *The Knee.* 2012, 19:875–9. 1016/j.knee.2012.05.007
27. Ritter MA, Lutgring JD, Davis KE, Berend ME, Pierson JL, Meneghini RM. The role of flexion contracture on outcomes in primary total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2007, 22:1092–6. 1016/j.arth.2006.11.009
28. Van Hal CT, van Hellemond GG, Wymenga AB, Jacobs WC. The anterior-posterior laxity after total knee arthroplasty inserted with a ligament tensor. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2007, 15:1019–22. 1007/s00167-007-0333-9
29. Küçükdurmaz F, Levicoff EA, Good RP, Sharkey PF. Do Standard Surgical Guides Produce Accurate and Precise Femoral Bone Resections During Total Knee Arthroplasty? *Surg Technol Int.* 2015, 27:225-32.

Figures

Figure 1

Custom-designed gap measuring device

Figure 2

Formula of calculate the amount of tibial bone resected

A

B

Figure 3

Measure of the medial joint gap. Anterior (a) and lateral (b) view.