

Evaluation of the Effects of N95 Mask (FFP2) on Dental Health Care Providers During Covid 19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Observational Study

Sabina Saccomanno (✉ sabinasaccomanno@hotmail.it)

University of L'Aquila

Rebecca Jewel Manenti

University of L'Aquila

Silvia Giancaspro

University of L'Aquila

Licia Coceani Paskay

Academy of Orofacial Myofunctional Therapy (AOMT)

Christine Sofiane Katzenmaier

Singing Voice Specialist & Myofunctional Therapist in Culver City

Rodolfo Francesco Mastrapasqua

Rivoli Hospital

Vincenzo Quinzi

University of L'Aquila

Research Article

Keywords: FFP2 mask, N95 mask, hypoxemia, dental care providers, pulse oximetry, pulse oximeter, SpO2, communication, COVID-19

Posted Date: October 5th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-774989/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objective. The aim of this cross-sectional observational study was to evaluate how and how much the use of Filtering Face Piece (FFP2) masks can affect the dental professionals, and to offer some strategies to minimize discomfort and improve communication with their patients.

Materials and methods. A 17-item questionnaire was sent via Google Drive to various practitioners in Italy and the USA. A sample of 162 questionnaires were returned from dentists, orthodontists, dental hygienists and dental assistants who committed to wearing a FFP2 for 4 consecutive hours during a work day and then measuring the oxygen saturation by way of a pulse oximeter before and after the 4 working hours. The final analysis was performed on 147 viable questionnaires returned. The sample was composed of 62 males and 85 females with an average age of 42.9 ± 12.0 years.

Results. For the entire sample population, the baseline saturation was 98.6 ± 1.2 and, after four hours of mask wearing, there was a significant decrease in oxygen saturation to 97.0 ± 2.9 ($p < 0.01$). No statistical differences in SpO₂ were found across specialties or across types of procedures performed during the 4 hours. Heart rates were not significantly different before and after the 4 hours in all categories. The 3 most frequent reported complaints were: fatigue (64%), headache (36%) and external ear pain (31%). The most common additional personal protective equipment (PPE) was a mask shield (78%) and those who wore the mask continuously reported more communication difficulty with patients, compared with those who took the mask off more often, in fact, 64% of the subjects reported that using the mask influenced their communication with their patients. Based on the results of the questionnaire, a list of breathing and vocal folds health strategies was devised and proposed, along with strategies to augment communication with patients.

Conclusions. This study highlights a significant decrease in oxygen saturation after only 4 hours of work (except for smokers) while wearing a FFP2, and confirms the widespread symptoms of fatigue, headache and pain behind the ears that dental professionals experience. But it also highlighted how mask wearing impaired communication with patients and wearing additional masks and a facial screen may add to those communications difficulties. This aspect and the need for better communication can lead the operators to remove the mask to improve breathing and communication, thus putting themselves at a risk of infection. Of all the aspects explored in this study, the most interesting was indeed the impact on fatigue and communication and the strategies proposed in this article can easily be implemented to reduce headache and fatigue by improving breathing efficiency and by aiding communication while donning a mask by improving voice quality and by using augmentative communication tools.

1. Introduction

During the COVID-19 pandemic, dental offices were required to use, for all the procedures associated with aerosol production, personal safety equipment consisting of a Filtering Face Piece or FFP2/FFP3 (N95)

respiratory mask, gloves, safety glasses and a waterproof overall. [1] There are different types of protection based on their purpose, such as FFP1, FFP2 and FFP3 masks.

FFP1 masks refers to the least filtering level of the three masks, with an aerosol filtration of at least 80% for 0.3 μm particles, and they are mainly used as environmental dust masks. Surgical masks FFP1 are disposable barriers that only protect from splashes and droplets of biological liquids, but not from suspended infectious agents (aerosols). The use of these masks, therefore, generally does not guarantee protection against a virus.

FFP2 masks have a minimum of 94% filtration percentage while FFP3 masks are the most filtering mask of the FFP group. With a minimum filtration percentage of 99%, they protect against very fine particles such as asbestos. The recommended masks for doctors and people in contact with COVID-19 patients are either FFP2 or FFP3. [2]

Dental health care providers wearing FFP2 masks reported frequently experiencing some physical discomfort, fatigue, and possibly even a worsening of their performance. As it is well known that heat and moisture get trapped beneath masks, it seems reasonable that some of the exhaled CO₂ may also be trapped beneath them, inducing a decrease in blood oxygenation. [3] Thanks to pulse oximetry it is possible to detect a decrease in the percentage of oxygen saturation (SpO₂), which normally ranges between 100% and 95% with a clinically acceptable accuracy of +/- 2% [4,5]. When the SpO₂ is too low (below 95%) it becomes hypoxemia, a sign of a problem linked to breathing or circulation, and may result in various symptoms, such as shortness of breath or a blue tinge of the skin, lips and mucosae. Pulse oximetry is used universally to measure arterial oxygen saturation as it is noninvasive (a clip or tape on a finger), easy to operate, and suitable for different patient populations. Pulse oximeters have some limits, which may be related to erroneous readings. Because of the sigmoid shape of the oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve, oximetry may not be able to detect hypoxemia in patients with high arterial oxygen tension (PaO₂) levels. [4] Factors adversely affecting the accuracy of pulse oximeter output include: transducer movement, peripheral vasoconstriction, a non-pulsating vascular bed, hypotension, anemia, changes in systemic vascular resistance, hypothermia, the presence of intravascular dyes, and nail polish. [6] *Normal blood O₂ saturation* is defined as a fractional saturation of 90 to 97.5%, which corresponds to an arterial oxygen partial pressure of 13.3 to 13.7 kPa, if there are no other hemoglobin species, apart from oxy- and reduced hemoglobin.

The purpose of this cross-sectional observational study was to evaluate the effects of SpO₂ in a sample of dental health care providers who wear a N95 mask (FFP2) for four consecutive hours, measured by a pulse oximeter before donning the mask and again after four hours of work. In addition to a reduction of SpO₂ and its consequences, donning a mask under the specific conditions of this viral pandemic creates a physical barrier to communication with patients, especially for those who are hard of hearing. The strain applied to the vocal cords to augment communication contributes to additional symptoms such as a feeling of a dry mouth and throat, increased feeling of fatigue and a sore throat. Because these symptoms may impact the well-being of the professionals and reduce productivity, the questionnaire sent

to dental professionals tried to address communication issues as well. Therefore, a consultation was sought with a speech pathologist with expertise in voice pathologies and therapy to address this aspect of wearing these particular masks under these stringent requirements, which do not easily and safely allow the removal of the mask to improve communication with the patient, without going through an elaborate procedure about doffing (taking off) and donning (putting on) the masks.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1 Guidelines

The authors devised and refined the survey's questions keeping in mind the purpose of this study. It was decided to disseminate the questionnaire as follows: 1) directly to known professionals to share with colleagues, 2) to university dental departments, to be further disseminated among their affiliated professionals, and 3) the link to access the survey was advertised in the Italian Journal "Dentista Moderno". The survey guaranteed in writing that both the results would be anonymized and that a participation consent form would be required to be signed before accessing the questionnaire. The study was conducted in agreement with the ethical standards of the Helsinki's Declaration.

2.2 Participants

The sample of 162 participants who answered the questionnaire was composed of dentists/orthodontists, hygienists and dental assistants. The requisites for the sample selection were to belong to one of these categories and to wear the FFP2/N95 mask for 4 consecutive hours. Just before the beginning of the workday, oxygen saturation and pulse rate values were recorded without wearing the mask. After four hours of consecutively wearing the FFP2 mask, the pulse oximeter was applied again and the values were recorded with the mask still on. As the questionnaire referred to "normal" working days, the authors of this study decided not to distinguish between those professionals who chose to change the mask after each patient vs. those who kept the masks on for four consecutive hours.

2.3 Study design

This investigation is a cross-sectional observational study which involved a descriptive analysis of a sample of 162 dental health care providers. Data was collected from July to September 2020. The study was designed and executed by the Department of Health, Life and Environmental Science of the University of L'Aquila, Italy. This study received the approval of the Institutional review Board by the Ethics Committee of the University of L'Aquila (Document DR206/2013, 16 July 2013).

2.4. Questionnaire design

A quantitative questionnaire was devised, consisting of seventeen questions. (Table 1) The questionnaire was composed of multiple choices, short-answer text, checkboxes and a linear scale. All questions were required to be answered except for one, in which only dentists/orthodontists and/or dental assistants needed to specify the circumstances that required using a N95 mask. A pre-pilot quantitative questionnaire was distributed to 6 people aged between 26 and 60 years old to request an ethical approval and to identify any errors that it may have contained, which were subsequently corrected. The quantitative questionnaire was shared on Google Drive among a sample of 147 Italian and American professionals, with an age ranging from 22 to over 77 years. Thanks to Google Drive it was possible to obtain figures with percentages right away.

Table 1. Questionnaire design composed by seventeen questions (included first and last name)

Questions	Answers
1. Age	Open question
2. Gender	O M O F
3. Smoker	O Yes O No O Former smoker
4. Height (cm)	Open question
5. Weight (kg)	Open question
6. Occupation	O Receptionist O Dental assistant O Dental hygienists O Dentist/orthodontist O Other (specify).....
7. Dentist/Orthodontists or Dental Assistant please specify the circumstances that required a N95 mask. Place an "x" or check the appropriate activity.	O Dental procedures O Endodontic procedure O Oral surgery procedure O Periodontal procedure O Orthodontics and gnathological procedure O Pedodontics procedure not involving orthodontic appliances O Prosthetic procedure O Other:
8. Before donning the mask, please measure your blood oxygen saturation level (%SpO2) by using a pulse oximeter. Result:	Open answer
9. Before donning the mask, please measure your level of pulse rate in beats per minute (PRbpm) by using a pulse oximeter. Results:	Open answer
10. After four hours of wearing the mask, please recheck your %SpO2. Results:	Open answer
11. After four hours of wearing the mask, please recheck your level of PRbpm. Results:	Open answer
12. Which other personal protective equipment (PPE) did you use in addition to the N95 mask? Please check all that apply.	O Facial screen O Prescription glasses O Goggles O Disposable protective scrub O Gloves O Surgical head cover O Shoe cover O Other:
13. Please specify if during your task the room was air conditioned	O Yes O No
14. After four hours of continuous mask use which, if any, side effects did you most notice? Please check all	O Headache

that apply.	<input type="radio"/> Fatigue <input type="radio"/> Dark circles under the eyes <input type="radio"/> Throat pain <input type="radio"/> Nasal discharge <input type="radio"/> Pain to the external ears <input type="radio"/> All of them <input type="radio"/> None of them <input type="radio"/> Other:
15. Do you feel that using the mask has also influenced your communication with your patients?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
16. How much do you feel you are understood while wearing a mask? (1 unable to communicate, 10 the mask is not a problem at all)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>
17. Did you have to remove your mask while being with a patient because either it's too uncomfortable or the patient was not able to understand you?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

2.5 Statistical analysis

Means and standard deviations were calculated to illustrate continuous variables and data was expressed as a means \pm standard deviation (SD). Participants were asked about their weight and height to facilitate the calculation of BMI, which was used to test the validity of the questionnaire. Saturation data was tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and then the Student T test for paired samples was used to assess a saturation decrease. The Student T test for independent samples was used to assess differences in decrease in subgroups. One Way Anova was used for testing more than two categories and Spearman Rho was used to correlate professional category and binary variables. We performed multinomial logistic regression on factors contributing to the need of mask removal. The software used for the calculation was Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

3. Results

3.1 Study population

Of the initial 162 participants who responded to the questionnaire 15 were excluded because they stated belonging to a profession other than dentist, hygienists and assistants, or the responses were incomplete.

Questions 1, 2-4, 5: A sample of 147 people from Italy and the USA, subjected to a quantitative online questionnaire was considered for final analysis. Among it, the ratio of males to females was 1:1.3, with an average age of 42.9 \pm 12.0. Demographic information was summarized in Table 2.

Question 3: According to the questionnaire's responses 25 were smokers and 9 former smokers. Smokers showed a significantly lower baseline SPO2 level ($97.9 \pm 1.0\%$ vs. $98.3 \pm 1.2\%$) and a lower SPO2 decrease ($0.5 \pm 1.0\%$ vs. $1.4 \pm 3.0\%$) while SPO2 levels after 4 hours showed no significant differences ($97.4 \pm 1.0\%$ vs. $96.9 \pm 3.1\%$)

Question 6: Regarding the occupation, 101 were dentists/orthodontists, 6 hygienists and 40 dental assistants.

Table 2. Demographic information of the participants included in the study (N=147)

Variables	n
<i>Gender</i>	
Males	62
Females	85
<i>Age (years) (mean \pm SD) 42.9\pm12.0</i>	
Males	45.5 \pm 13.2
Females	40.9 \pm 10.8
<i>Body mass index - BMI (mean \pm SD) 22.7\pm3.4</i>	
Males	24.5 \pm 3.0
Females	21.3 \pm 3.1

Question 7: Regarding the most frequent activities listed in the questionnaire while donning a FFP2, 99 subjects (69%) reported performing conservative (dental) procedures, 58(40%) endodontic procedures, 64(45%) oral surgery procedures, 43(30%) periodontal procedures, 59(41%) orthodontic procedures, and 47(33%) pedodontics procedure not involving orthodontic appliances.

Questions 8 and 10: For the entire sample population the baseline saturation was 98.6 ± 1.2 and after four hours of mask wearing there was a significant decrease in oxygen saturation to 97.0 ± 2.9 ($p < 0.01$), while there was no significant difference in SPO2 decrease between people younger than 50 and those older ($1.3 \pm 2.0\%$ vs. $1.1 \pm 3.2\%$), or between dentists, assistants or hygienist, and no significant differences were found between degrees of stressful procedures and oxygen desaturation. Facial screens, repellent aprons and air conditioning showed no impact in %SpO2 decrease.

Questions 9 and 11: Baseline heart rates showed a significant difference between professional groups (Anova $P < 0.05$) in particular, the post hoc analysis pointed out a difference between dentists and assistants in which assistants showed an average of 84.0 ± 15.5 bpm vs. 76.05 ± 12.6 bpm in dentists. However, this information needs to be placed in the context of significant gender and age differences that make this aspect *per se* not significant. Moreover, no significant differences were found in the other

parameters and heart rate at 4 hours. We assessed potential markers for heart rate differences and it could be due to a higher prevalence of female hygienists than in the other categories of the population sample (Spearman's Rho $p < 0.01$) while age was not significantly different among categories. There were no significant differences between heart rate and SPO2 at baseline and after 4 hours and between those who removed the mask often, seldom or never. The combination of masks and other personal protection equipments (PPE), in particular protective screen and scrubs, showed to have no statistically significant influence neither when worn together nor just either one, in both heart rate and SpO2 saturation.

Questions 12 and 13: Regarding additional personal protective equipment, 115 (78%) responders reported using face shields, 21 (14%) goggles, 141 (96%) protective gloves, 68 (46%) prescription glasses, 104 (71%) protective scrub, 117 (80%) surgical cap, and 26 (25%) shoe cover. The presence of air conditioning while working was mentioned by 99 (60%) subjects. (Table 3)

Table 3. Use of additional personal protective equipment

PPE use	<i>Face shields</i>	<i>Goggles</i>	<i>Protective gloves</i>	<i>Prescription glasses</i>	<i>Protective scrub</i>	<i>Surgical cap</i>	<i>Shoe cover</i>	<i>Use of AC</i>
% of use	78%	14%	96%	46%	71%	80%	25%	99%

PPE: Personal protective equipment, AC : air conditioning

Question 14: Regarding symptoms after 4 hours, the participants reported a median of 2 symptoms, while only 22 (15%) participants reporting no symptoms. The most frequent reported complaints were: 94 (64%) fatigue, 53 (36%) headache, 46 (31%) external ear pain, 25 (17%) dark circles under the eyes (venous pooling), 15 (10%) nasal discharge, and 16 (10%) sore throats. (Table 4)

Table 4. Symptomatology after 4 hours of wearing FFP2 protection masks

Symptoms after 4hs	<i>Fatigue</i>	<i>Headache</i>	<i>External ear pain</i>	<i>Dark circles under eyes</i>	<i>Nasal discharge</i>	<i>Sore throat</i>	<i>No symptoms</i>
%	64%	36%	31%	17%	10%	10%	15%

Question 15: 105 (64%) of the subjects reported that using the mask influenced their communication with their patients.

Questions 16 and 17: On a non-parametric scale of 1-10 (1 unable to communicate, 10 the mask is not a problem at all) the median for communication score was respectively 7 for removing the mask often, 6 for seldom removing, and 5 for never removing the mask during the 4 hours. Participants who remove their masks more often also reported lower communication scores ($P < 0.001$). This aspect alone suggests the necessity of the professional to use augmentative communication devices to facilitate communication among staff and between professionals and patients. In order to assess potential

confounding factors, we performed multinomial logistic regression for mask removal frequency using the two significant factors: communication difficulties (question 15) and reporting of headache (question 14). This regression showed a significant effect only for communication difficulties and thus revealing only a minimal impact of headache on mask removal. There were no significant correlations between protection devices used and need for mask removal, which was more frequent in those who also reported headaches ($p < 0.01$). There was no statistical difference in total symptoms reported between those who remove masks more often and those who did not. Seventy-five subjects (51%) reported that they had to remove their mask while being with a patient because either it was too uncomfortable or the patient was not able to understand them. When compared with those who had to remove the mask to be understood by patients and the non-parametric scale on question 16, 72 participants stated they never needed to remove the mask (49%), 56 reported seldom (38%) and 19 often (13%), thus there were no significant correlations between protective device used.

4. Discussion

During the COVID-19 pandemic it became apparent how useful protective masks were among health workers and for the whole population. [7,8] However, the use of the masks for many hours causes problems such as hypercapnia, headache, shortness of breath, runny nose, dark circles under the eyes, skin lesions, ear pain, or localized compression pain.

Evidence from Smith et al. demonstrated that although N95 respirators appeared to have a protective advantage over surgical masks in laboratory settings, their meta-analysis showed that there was insufficient data to definitively determine whether N95 respirators are superior to surgical masks in protecting health care workers against transmissible acute respiratory infections in clinical settings. [2] According to Fikenzer et al., in healthy individuals, ventilation, cardiopulmonary exercise capacity and comfort are reduced by surgical masks and highly impaired by FFP2/N95 face masks thus significantly impairing the quality of life of their wearer. [9]

One longitudinal and prospective observational study performed pre and postoperatively pulse oximeter analysis on 53 surgeons wearing surgical masks. Their findings indicated that the pulse rate of the surgeons increased and SpO₂ decreased after the first hour. This early change in SpO₂ may either be due to the facial mask or to the operational stress. [3]

The results from this study were useful to the speech-language pathologist who collaborated at this project for drafting a list of recommendations for dental professionals that can be useful in increasing communication efficiency between staff and clients and among staff as well. These strategies are also useful in decreasing damage to the overused/dry vocal folds and improve oxygen utilization. [10] For instance, inhaling for four seconds and exhaling for six equates to a breathing rate of six breaths per minute, maximizes many important physiological functions, as well as activating the relaxation centers of the nervous system. [11] It is crucial to reassure professionals who wear a mask for many consecutive

hours that it is not a hazard to the general health. [12] A list of strategies to improve wellbeing and communication while wearing a mask is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. *Strategies to decrease vocal fold damage and increase communication efficiency*

<i>Strategies to decrease vocal fold damage and increase communication efficiency</i>	
A	<i>Breathe through your nose as the nose optimizes air quality, reduces vocal fold damage, facilitates production of nitric oxide (NO) and maximizes oxygen utilization (Sivasankar & Fisher, 2003).</i>
B	<i>Just before putting on your mask, take five “quality” breaths. With each breath, inhale through the nose for four seconds, exhale through the mouth for six seconds, then rest for two seconds. Repeat these five breaths as soon as you put on the mask, and again after you remove it (McKeowen, 2021)</i>
C	<i>Keep hydrated as much as possible. The vocal folds are very sensitive to dehydration (Titze, 1988, Verdolini, Titze & Druker, 1990, Chang & Karnell 2004, Sivasankar & Leydon, 2010) and respond with cough and mucus production. When feasible, use a straw to avoid removing the mask completely.</i>
D	<i>Relax your jaw and open your mouth more when talking to avoid muscle tension that transmits to the vocal folds by way of the neck muscles.</i>
E	<i>Laminate a card with common expressions, allowing the patient to point to the option and/or a card with common procedures that need to be done during the session and that may have not been scheduled.</i>
F	<i>Use gestures, tone of voice, and body position to augment communication.</i>
G	<i>Reserve lengthier communications for the end of the procedure when physical distance is possible and the PPE, such as number of masks worn or the combination masks and shield, can be reduced safely.</i>

Although this study provided some useful indications about the impact of wearing FFP2 masks for at least 4 hours, there were limitations to the study as well. Some limitations are the reliance on the subjects to document and report the level of SpO₂, the inability to monitor the continuous use of the mask or the correct use of the mask, the arbitrary decision to test after 4 hours without intermediate tests and the lopsided sample in which the category of dentists/orthodontists vastly outnumbered that of dental hygienists, even if both work in close proximity to the mouth of the patient.

The experience gathered from this study will help in the future to enroll a professional psychologist to refine the questionnaire, the inclusive and exclusive criteria and to better control any possible biases embedded in the questions.

5. Conclusions

The purpose of this cross-sectional observational study was to evaluate the effects of SpO₂ in a sample of dental health care providers who wear a N95 mask (FFP2) for four consecutive hours, measured by a pulse oximeter before donning the mask and again after four hours of work. The results confirmed what the dental professionals, have known anecdotally that the use of the FFP2 masks, although certainly representing a safeguard for the health care worker, also present some side effects, such as headaches, fatigue and external earache, which may affect the professional's quality of life during working hours. The study highlights a significant decrease in oxygen saturation after only 4 hours of work, but it also highlighted how mask wearing impaired communication with patients. This aspect and the need for better communications can lead the operators to remove the mask to improve breathing and communication, thus putting themselves at risk of infection. Of all the aspects explored in this study, the most interesting was the impact on fatigue and communication because some strategies can be proposed to improve this situation. The strategies proposed in this article can easily be implemented to reduce headaches and fatigue by improve breathing efficiency and to improve communication while donning a mask by improving voice quality and using augmentative tools.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate. This study received the approval of the Institutional review Board by the Ethics Committee of the University of L'Aquila (Document DR206/2013, 16 July 2013). The informed consent for patients to participate in the study was obtained.

Availability of data and material. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author (S.S.) upon reasonable Qrequest.

Funding. None.

Author Contributions. S.S., V.Q. and L.C.P. were the principal investigators, R.J.M., S.G. and C.S.K. edited and wrote the manuscript. R.F.M. contributed with the methodology of this article. All authors have read and approved the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Consent for publication. Not applicable

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Patrick McKeowen, MA, Buteyko Breathing Educator, for his contributions regarding breathing techniques while wearing a mask.

References

1. Bein B, Bachmann M, Huggett S, Wegermann P. SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19: Empfehlungen zu Diagnostik und Therapie [SARS CoV-2/COVID-19: Evidence-Based Recommendation on Diagnosis and Therapy]. *Anesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther.* 2020,55(4):257-265. doi:10.1055/a-1146-8674

2. Li KKW, Joussem AM, Kwan JKC, Steel DHW. FFP3, FFP2, N95, surgical masks and respirators: what should we be wearing for ophthalmic surgery in the COVID-19 pandemic?. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2020,258(8):1587-1589. doi:10.1007/s00417-020-04751-3
3. Beder A, Büyükoçak U, Sabuncuoğlu H, Keskil ZA, Keskil S. Preliminary report on surgical mask induced deoxygenation during major surgery. *Neurocirugia (Astur).* 2008,19(2):121-126. doi:10.1016/s1130-1473(08)70235-5
4. Jubran A. Pulse oximetry. *Crit Care.* 2015,19(1):272. Published 2015 Jul 16. doi:10.1186/s13054-015-0984-8
5. Abdulla J, Laursen LC, Thomsen CB. Arteriepunktur eller pulsoksimetri? [Arterial puncture or pulse oximetry?]. *Ugeskr Laeger.* 1999,161(8):1100-1102.
6. Bowes WA 3rd, Corke BC, Hulka J. Pulse oximetry: a review of the theory, accuracy, and clinical applications. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1989,74(3 Pt 2):541-546.
7. Fikenzer S, Uhe T, Lavall D, et al. Effects of surgical and FFP2/N95 face masks on cardiopulmonary exercise capacity [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 6]. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2020,1-9. doi:10.1007/s00392-020-01704-y
8. Boyd K, Saccomanno S, Lewis CJ, Coceani Paskay L, Quinzi V, Marzo G. Myofunctional therapy. Part 1: Culture, industrialisation and the shrinking human face. *Eur J Paediatr Dent.* 2021,22(1):80-81. doi:10.23804/ejpd.2021.22.01.15
9. McKeown P. 2021. The Breathing Cure. Pag 170 and 756. OxyAt Books, Ireland.
10. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Use of Cloth Face Coverings to Help Slow the Spread of COVID-19," May 23, 2020 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/diy-cloth-face-coverings.html>
11. Quinzi V., Coceani Paskay L., Manenti R. J., Giancaspro S., Marzo G., Saccomanno S. Telemedicine for a Multidisciplinary Assessment of Orofacial Pain in a Patient Affected by Eagle's Syndrome: A Clinical Case Report. *Open Dent. J.* DOI: [10.2174/1874210602115010102](https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210602115010102). 2021
12. Saccomanno S., Quinzi V., Sarhan S., Lagana D., Marzo G. Perspectives of tele-orthodontics in the COVID 19 emergency and as a future tool in daily practice *Eur J Paediatr Dent.* 2020 Jun ,21(2): 157-162 Doi 10.2380/ejpd 2020.21.02.12