

Factor structure and measurement invariance of the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version across gender in Chinese adolescents and young adults

YingYing Wang

Department of Psychiatry, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University; Changsha Normal University

Jiang Long

Department of Psychiatry, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University

YueHeng Liu

Department of Psychiatry, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University

TieQiao Liu (✉ liutieqiao123@csu.edu.cn)

Department of Psychiatry, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7730-2248>

Joël Billieux

Addictive and Compulsive Behaviours Lab (ACB-Lab), Institute for Health and Behaviour, University of Luxembourg

Research article

Keywords: mobile phone use, problematic smartphone use, mobile phone addiction, smartphone addiction, measurement invariance

Posted Date: January 3rd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.17144/v2>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on January 30th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-2449-0>.

Abstract

Background

Problematic mobile phone use (PMPU) has become an international public health issue, particularly in adolescents and young adults. The Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version (PMPUQ-SV) is a validated instrument that measures multiple aspects of PMPU. The current study aimed to test the psychometric characteristics of a Chinese adaption of the PMPUQ-SV and examine its measurement invariance across gender.

Methods

A total of 2086 participants were recruited from nine schools (six undergraduate colleges and three vocational colleges) through an online platform. Measures included socio-demographic variables, patterns of mobile phone use, the Chinese version of the PMPUQ-SV (C-PMPUQ-SV), the Chinese version of the Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale, and the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21.

Results

Exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses conducted in two independent subsamples confirmed that the postulated dimensions fit the data well. Four items, judged as either outdated or not adapted to the Chinese context, performed poorly and were removed, resulting in a shorter 11-item scale. Convergent validity was established through meaningful correlations between emotional symptoms and the C-PMPUQ-SV and addictive smartphone use. Additional measurement invariance analyses showed that the scale performed largely similarly in male and female participants.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that the C-PMPUQ-SV is an adequate instrument to study various types of PMPU in Chinese adolescents and young adults. The updated 11-item scale shortens the evaluation time and is adapted to assess contemporary smartphone use.

Background

The development of information technology is unprecedented, and mobile phones have been updated to smartphones at a breathtaking pace in the past decade. In 2018, the number of smartphone subscribers worldwide exceeded 2.5 billion, and China accounted for 28.52% of the total (i.e., equivalent to the sum of users in all European countries combined) [1]. Because it allows people to utilize without the limitations of space and time, the smartphone penetrates the fields of health care [2–4] and education [5], as well as individuals' lives (e.g., communication, entertainment, and shopping) [6–8] with tremendous efficiency. Despite the convenience of mobile phones, however, the related adverse effects associated with problematic mobile phone use (PMPU) are noteworthy. PMPU has been broadly defined as the inability to regulate one's use of the mobile phone, which eventually involves negative consequences in daily life [9],

including somatic discomfort [10, 11], sleep disturbance [12, 13], negative emotions and stress [14–19], poor academic performance [20–21], low self-esteem [22, 23], and accidental injuries (e.g., motor vehicle accidents [24], bicycle crashes/near crashes [25], pedestrian collisions, and falls [26]).

Although the consensus is that PMPU has negative effects, an ongoing debate remains regarding its conceptualization and assessment. Various terms have been used to label this problematic behavior, including mobile phone dependence [27], mobile phone/smartphone addiction [28–30], and nomophobia [31]. Crucially, PMPU has often been conceptualized as a genuine addictive behavior, implying that most screening tools for this behavior (e.g., Smartphone Addiction Scale [32]) have been modeled after diagnostic criteria for substance use and gambling disorders [33, 34]. One recurrent criticism to such an approach is that some criteria are not necessarily valid indicators of pathological behaviors (i.e., they often fail to distinguish heavy but not problematic use from pathological use) [35]. Recycling these criteria to create screening tools is thus susceptible to overpathologization [33]. Beyond that, a potential limitation of most existing scales (e.g., Mobile Phone Problem Usage Scale [36]) is that they are unidimensional, despite the fact that other types of problematic use (e.g., antisocial use or risky use) have been identified [9, 37]. In order to tackle the heterogeneous nature of PMPU, Billieux, Van der Linden, and Rochat [38] developed the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire (PMPUQ), a 30-item scale that measures four distinct issues related to mobile phone use: (1) perceived dependence (PD), (2) prohibited (or antisocial) use (PU), (3) dangerous use (DU), and (4) financial problems. In recent years, the PMPUQ has been used in various studies to investigate the risk factors and correlates associated with PMPU [39–41]. Updated (e.g., DU not only concerns drivers, but also pedestrians or cyclists) and shorter versions of the scale have recently emerged to keep pace with the constant evolution of smartphones (e.g., the Financial Problems subscale has been removed, as it is now possible to make extensive use of smartphones with free apps) [18, 37, 42].

Calls have also emerged for an investigation into the problematic use of information and communication technologies to better understand its worldwide public health relevance from a cross-cultural perspective [43]. To accommodate this, Lopez-Fernandez and her colleagues [42] adapted a 15-item short version of the PMPUQ (PMPUQ-SV) and confirmed its factorial structure and measurement invariance across five different languages (i.e., French, German, Hungarian, Finnish, and Spanish). Yet to date, the PMPUQ-SV has not been adapted and tested in the context of East Asia, despite this region having the world's highest smartphone penetration rates. It is thus urgent to adapt such a scale to the East Asian context in order to initiate psychometrically sound cross-cultural research on PMPU related to Asian countries. Such research is also needed to determine whether the scale performs adequately in the East Asian context, which cannot be guaranteed: Lopez-Fernandez et al.'s [42] study failed to validate some versions of the scale (i.e., Polish, Italian), suggesting that the postulated factor structure of the PMPUQ-SV may not necessarily be reproduced in all cultures. This could be due to important differences in the way that smartphones are used in different cultural contexts or countries [44].

Numerous studies have explored the consequences and correlates of PMPU in adolescents and young adults. This focus is explained as either being because these populations are “digital natives” (they grew

up with these mobile technologies), or because adolescents and young adults are generally considered a vulnerable population for developmental reasons [45–47]. Yet to date, few studies have explored different problematic usage patterns (i.e., not merely related to addictive use) in Chinese youth. In such a context, the primary purpose of the present study was to develop a Chinese version of the PMUQUQ-SV (C-PMPUQ-SV) proposed by Lopez-Fernandez et al. [42]. To this end, a three-step procedure was undertaken. First, we applied exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to the C-PMPUQ-SV in two independent subsamples. Second, we tested the convergent validity against another scale that specifically assessed addictive use of the smartphone, while we explored the construct validity of the C-PMPUQ-SV through its relationships with emotional symptoms (stress, depression, anxiety), which were linked to PMPU in previous studies [48]. Third, because previous research emphasized gender differences in PMPU [49, 50], we also tested measurement invariance across gender. This last step is important, given the number of previous psychometric studies that validated the PMPU scale while overlooking gender-related measurement issues.

Methods

Procedures and Samples

According to the school-running level, we first divided all higher education institutions of Changsha (which is one of the largest educational centers in Mainland China) into three categories (i.e., Key Universities, General Universities and Vocational Colleges), then we adopted the strategy of stratified random sampling, taking three schools from each level. Data were collected through an online platform (Qualtrics, Provo, UT) with the help of the teacher in charge of a class (class advisers). Researchers introduced the objectives of the study to the class advisers and trained them how to give instructions to the students. As the link was forwarded, a total of 4333 responders started the survey (i.e., clicked the link), but only those with a 100% completion rate and rated “excellent” by Qualtrics were retained for further analysis. In addition, 26 participants were excluded because their reported ages and educational level did not match those of the target sample (i.e., full-time undergraduate or below aged 14 to 25 years). The final sample size was 2086, including 409 (19.6%) males and 1677 (80.4%) females. The mean (\pm SD) age for the total sample, males, and females was 18.30 (\pm 1.30), 18.49 (\pm 1.20), and 18.25 (\pm 1.32), respectively.

Ethics

Given that some of the participants aged under 18, we sent the informed consent to their parents (or legal guardians) with the help of the class advisers prior to the survey. The administration of the self-reported questionnaire was based on the premise that both the guardian and the subject assent to participant. We failed to obtain the written informed consent because the participants are almost resident students who meet their parents (or legal guardians) mostly during the holidays. Anonymity and confidentiality were guaranteed during the whole progress of survey and data analysis, and no data regarding the

identification of participants were collected, including their Internet Protocol address. The study protocol emphasized the foregoing irresistible clause was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University. Some data, not related to the current study, were also collected and will be presented elsewhere.

Instruments

The Chinese version of the PMPUQ-SV (C-PMPUQ-SV)

The C-PMPUQ-SV was adapted from Lopez-Fernandez et al. [42] and comprises 15 items that assess three postulated factors: PD, DU, and PU. The items are scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree) and some items have to be reversed before scoring. Higher scores reflect more serious PMPU. From standard scale-adapting procedures [51], one author (JL) first translated the French and English items into Chinese. Two authors (YHL and YYW), both with clinical research backgrounds and good proficiency in English, then back-translated the scale. One author, who is also the creator of the original version of the scale (JB), supervised the process and confirmed that the back-translated items corresponded to the original items. In accordance with recent work conducted to update the PMPUQ [36], some wording of items pertaining to the DU subscale (i.e., items 2, 5, 11, 14) was modified prior to the translation procedure to cover DU by both pedestrians and cyclists (e.g., looking at a smartphone while crossing a road). Indeed, research has shown that DU of mobile phones is no longer limited to drivers and that pedestrians are more and more putting themselves into risky situations while using smartphones [52, 53]. The three versions (i.e., French, English, and Chinese) of the 15-item PMPUQ-SV and descriptions of the items are provided in an additional file (see Additional file 1).

The Chinese version of the Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale (C-SAPS)

The Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale (SAPS) [30] is a self-reported instrument to assess symptoms of smartphone addiction (i.e., functional impairment, withdrawal, tolerance, online life orientation). It includes 15 items rated on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = agree, 4 = strongly agree), with higher scores implying higher smartphone addictive use. The Chinese version of the scale (C-SAPS) [54] was adapted prior to the current study by our team. The internal consistency of the total scale was 0.852 in the current sample, which corresponds to excellent reliability.

Depression Anxiety Stress Scales–21 (DASS–21)

The DASS–21 [55, 56] is one of the most used and reliable scales for measuring emotional symptoms worldwide. It has been translated into 50 languages [57], and the factor structure has been established in

Chinese [58]. It measures three types of emotional symptoms: depression, anxiety, and stress. Each subscale contains seven items scored on a 4-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (did not apply to me at all) to 3 (applied to me very much, or most of the time); higher scores indicate more emotional symptoms experienced in the past week. In the current sample, the internal consistency coefficient for the depression, anxiety, and stress subscale was 0.870, 0.762, and 0.834, respectively, indicating good internal reliability.

Data analytic strategy

Four consecutive statistical analysis steps were performed with SPSS 23.0 (IBM, 2014) and Mplus 7.4 (Muthén & Muthén, 2015). First, an EFA was conducted on a randomly split half of the total sample (sample 1, $n = 1043$). We used principal component analysis with Promax rotation to identify an optimal data-driven factor structure, as this method allows correlations between latent factors. Items that were found to be inconsistent with the original PMPUQ-SV, along with those that loaded equally on more than one factor, were removed.

Second, a series of CFAs were conducted on the other split half of the sample (sample 2, $n = 1043$) to compare the fit of several competing models. Model 1 tested the original three-factor model containing 15 items [42]. Model 2 tested a two-factor model in which the PU subscale was not used because of the low internal consistency found in previous studies [18, 42]. Model 3 tested the 11-item model that was identified in our EFA. As the measures of the C-PMPUQ-SV items are not normally distributed (i.e., the skewness for each item ranged from 0.015 to 0.652 and the kurtosis ranged from 0.009 to 1.912), we used the Satorra-Bentler maximum likelihood mean adjusted estimator instead of the maximum likelihood estimator. To assess the fit of each model, we examined multiple fit indices [59], including chi-square, the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the comparative fit index (CFI). We simultaneously adopted CFI and TLI values of ≥ 0.90 and an RMSEA value of ≤ 0.05 as having a good fit [60]. Notably, as the chi-square is known to be highly influenced by the sample size [60], it was reported but not considered as a fit index in the present study.

Third, on the one hand, we examined reliability by analyzing the internal consistency of the adapted scale and its subscales. On the other hand, we calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficients between the C-PMPUQ-SV, the C-SAPS, and the DASS-21 to test convergent and construct validity. Both analyses were performed for the whole sample.

Last, we assessed measurement invariance across gender after the best structure was determined. We initially assessed the best-fit model in male and female groups separately, and then we tested configural invariance, metric invariance (or weak invariance), scalar invariance (or strong invariance), and error variance invariance (or strict invariance). More specifically (1), configural invariance tested whether the basic model structure of the latent variables was invariant across groups; (2) metric invariance, built on configural invariance, constrained factor loadings to be equivalent across groups; (3) scalar invariance,

while assuming configural invariance and metric invariance to be established, tested whether the variable intercepts were equivalent across groups; and (4) error variance invariance, based on all of the previous types of invariance, set the error variance to be equal. We capitalized on fit index differences for RMSEA, SRMR, CFI, and TLI (i.e., Δ RMSEA, Δ SRMR, Δ CFI, and Δ TLI) as reference points, with a *P*-value of < 0.01 indicating no difference, a *P*-value between 0.01 and 0.02 indicating moderate difference, and a *P*-value of > 0.02 indicating an important difference [61, 62]. After having established measurement invariance, we computed a series of independent sample *t*-tests in order to examine gender differences regarding the various C-PMPUQ-SV subscales.

Results

Exploratory factor analysis

According to the values for the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity, the data were suitable for factorial analysis. Three consecutive rounds were necessary to remove the items that were inconsistent with the original structure or that loaded equally on more than one factor. In the first round, items 9 and 5, which did not load on the expected factor, were removed. In the second round, items 1 and 7 both loaded similarly on two factors (i.e., cross-loadings were all above 0.5; see the values in parentheses in Table 1) and were removed. In the third round, we successfully identified a three-factor solution in which all factors had an eigenvalue above 1.0. The three factors accounted for 55.94% of the total variance, with DU accounting for 29.49%, PD accounting for 14.87%, and PU accounting for 11.58%. The loading of each item on the corresponding factor ranged from 0.613 (item 12 belongs to the PU scale) to 0.836 (item 13 belongs to the PD scale). In this final round, no item was found to load similarly, or notably, on more than one factor. The entire EFA process is depicted in Table 1.

Confirmatory factor analysis

Table 2 illustrated the fit indices of three competing models tested with CFA in subsample 2. The only one that can be considered as having a good fit is model 3, which was derived from the EFA conducted in sample 1. Model 3 provided an optimum fit to the data (i.e., $S-B^2 = 134.716$, $df = 41$, $P < 0.01$; RMSEA = 0.047; CFI = 0.942; TLI = 0.922; SRMR = 0.41) compared with the other two models. For model 2, only the RMSEA and SRMR indices met the requirements, whereas model 1 presented with an overall poor fit. Model 3 was thus used for correlation and measurement invariance analyses.

Reliability and validity

As shown in Table 3, the internal consistency coefficients of the C-PMPUQ-CV and its three subscales were 0.742 (total scale), 0.770 (DU subscale), 0.697 (PD subscale), and 0.574 (PU subscale). Regarding the convergent validity of the C-PMPUQ-SV, the correlation coefficients between the overall scale, its three

subscales, and the total score of the C-SAPS in the whole sample ($n = 2086$) were 0.615 ($P < 0.01$, total score), 0.691 ($P < 0.01$, PD), 0.410 ($P < 0.01$, DU), and 0.251 ($P < 0.01$, PU). Other than the PU subscale and anxiety, the C-PMPUQ-SV and its subscales were significantly positively correlated with the three types of emotional symptoms (all P s < 0.01).

Measurement invariance across gender

Table 4 depicted the entire verification process for measurement invariance. First, as the baseline, model A which was derived from our EFA, without any parameter constrictions being successfully set, established configural invariance (CFI = 0.936, TLI = 0.914, RMSEA [90% confidence interval] = 0.050 [0.044, 0.056], SRMR = 0.043), indicating that the pattern of the latent variables was consistent across gender. As stated in the Data Analytic Strategy section, we tested the multiple fit indices for both gender groups, further supporting that the baseline model had acceptable fit for both males and females (see last two rows in Table 4).

Second, model B was set on the basis of model A, which constricted the equality of factor loadings between the two gender groups. Results (Δ CFI = 0.000, Δ TLI = 0.007, Δ RMSEA = 0.002, and Δ SRMR = 0.001) support that the factor loadings of each latent variable between different groups were comparable, thus implying that the metric invariance (or weak invariance) was established.

Further, on the basis of model B, model C hypothesized that the item intercepts are equal across gender. The results showed that the model did not deteriorate significantly (Δ CFI = 0.007, Δ TLI = 0.001, Δ RMSEA = 0.000, and Δ SRMR = 0.002), indicating that scale invariance (or strong invariance) was supported.

Finally, after scalar invariance, we tested whether the error variance had cross-group equivalence. All of the Δ values except for Δ CFI (0.015) were well below 0.01 (Δ TLI = 0.007, Δ RMSEA = 0.002, and Δ SRMR = 0.003). Taken together, the results partially supported error variance invariance (or strict invariance).

It is worth stressing that in order to control the influence of age on our results, we divided the subjects into two groups according their age (minors and adults) and tested measurement invariance across gender in each group. Results showed that age had no significant influence on the results (see Additional file 2).

Gender differences of the PMPU

Given that measurement invariance across gender was established, we tested gender differences in the C-PMPUQ-SV and its subscales. Using the whole sample ($n = 2086$), we found that females scored significantly higher than males on the PD subscale ($P < 0.05$), but there was no significant difference between the overall scale and the other two subscales. The results were depicted in Table 5.

Discussion

This study is the first to examine the psychometric characteristics of the PMPUQ-SV in the East Asian context. Capitalizing on EFA and CFA conducted in two independent subsamples, the current study reproduced the three-factor structure shown in previous studies [18, 42]. However, the updated scale was reduced to 11 items following the exclusion of 4 items that performed poorly in the current population. Internal consistency of the various subscales was globally acceptable, although the PU subscale presented with a low Cronbach's α value. Statistically significant correlations were found between the C-PMPUQ-SV and the C-SAPS and between the C-PMPUQ-SV and the DASS-21, which supported convergent and construct validity. Moreover, this study is the first to conduct measurement invariance assessment of the PMPUQ-SV across gender by using multigroup CFA. Results provided evidence that there was measurement invariance across gender, and the latent means comparison demonstrated that females had significantly higher PD scores than males did.

EFA determined a three-factor solution, which was consistent with the structure of the original scale, supporting that PMPU is indeed a multidimensional construct [9, 38]. However, this updated scale differs from the original one in the sense that some items were found to be less adapted to the current context. Item 5 ("I try to avoid using my mobile phone when driving on the motorway") refers to the dangerous behavior of the responders in driving on the motorway, which is not in line with the situation in China, as Chinese students have less driving experience before graduation. Item 9 ("I use my mobile phone where it is forbidden to do so") fell into the DU subscale instead of the expected PU subscale. Both item 1 and item 7 had very high cross-loadings, indicating that these two items might have low discriminative power [42]. Eventually, we retained 11 items categorized under three factors, their cumulative contribution explaining 55.94% of the variance. To validate the C-PMPUQ-SV derived from the EFA, we conducted a CFA in the second split half of the sample and compared various competitive models (i.e., the original questionnaire and the adapted one that excluded the PU subscale) suggested in previous research [36]. The model inspired from the EFA fit the data best. It is worth noting that the factorial structure found in the current study did not entirely match that of the original scale, a problem already emphasized for other adaptations of the scale in another cross-cultural study [42]. This further supports that PMPU may have items that are specifically applicable to different regions or countries [18, 42], such as China in the current study. This also supports the necessity of updating scales developed to assess mobile phone use at times when smartphones were not yet available (the original PMPUQ was developed in 2006 and published in 2008) [37].

In terms of internal consistency, the total scale and the two subscales reach acceptable values, whereas the PU subscale (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.574$) is characterized by relatively poor internal consistency. This finding is similar to what was previously found for the English version (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.56$ [18]) and Finnish version (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.59$, [18]) of the PMPUQ-SV. This lower reliability could be related to differences prohibition among various countries and jurisdictions (e.g., using the mobile phone in a library or on public transportation may or may not be acceptable, depending on national regulations). Another potential explanation is related to the low number of items that constitute this subscale, as it is known that Cronbach's α is highly sensitive to the number of items in the scale [63, 64].

Correlation analysis was conducted to investigate the convergent validity of the scale. First, it appeared that the various facets of the C-PMPUQ-SV significantly correlated with the C-SAPS, the latter questionnaire being designed to assess and diagnose the addictive use of smartphones [30]. Unsurprisingly, the C-PMPUQ-SV subscale that presented the highest correlation ($r = 0.69$) with the C-SAPS was the PD subscale. Indeed, the PD subscale includes items related to loss of control or preoccupation toward mobile phone use, implying that a strong link with a measure of addictive use of the smartphone was expected and necessary to ascertain convergent validity of the C-PMPUQ-SV. Second, we also considered the relationships with emotional symptoms as assessed by the DASS-21 (i.e., depression, anxiety, and stress) to support the construct validity of the scale, as PMPU has been linked to emotional disorders in much previous research [48]. Most correlations between the C-PMPUQ-SV and the DASS-21 were significant. Such results were consistent with past findings showing that emotional symptoms positively correlated with PMPU [16, 17, 37, 44, 47, 65]. Overall, these results support the construct validity of the C-PMPUQ-SV.

A last objective of the current study was to examine measurement invariance across gender. The analyses conducted showed that the C-PMPUQ-SV was fully invariant regarding configural, metric, and scalar analyses (i.e., the changes in all fit indices were well below the prespecified cutoff Δ values), although strict invariance was only partially supported (i.e., all differences except Δ CFI were within the ideal range). The measurement invariance analyses suggested that the scale performs similarly well for males and females. We thus decided to compare the various C-PMPUQ-SV scales in terms of gender. A series of independent sample t -tests showed that gender differences are limited to the PD subscale, where females scored significantly higher than males. This finding was congruent with previous evidence [38, 44] and supported the idea that females are more prone to use the mobile phone to regulate negative mood states [38, 66, 67]. In contrast to previous studies that showed more frequent DU in men [38], no gender difference occurred regarding DU in the present study. Our results are hardly comparable, however, with those of Billieux et al. [38] because DU in the current study was not only related to reckless driving, but also to risky cycling or pedestrian behaviors.

Several limitations of the study have to be acknowledged. First, the participants were selected through a convenience sampling method, which did not guarantee representativeness and led to gender imbalance. Fortunately, the relatively large sample recruited counter-balanced this limitation and ensured adequacy regarding the study objectives and the statistical analyses performed. Second, this study was conducted by using a retrospective self-report. The participants may thus have overestimated or underestimated their actual and problematic use of the mobile phone. Further research capitalizing on both self-report and mobile phone tracking should be undertaken, as the two types of measurement are not necessarily correlated [68].

Conclusions

Despite these limitations, the current study showed that the C-PMPUQ-SV is a promising instrument to study various types of PMPU in the Chinese context, a country where hazardous mobile phone use is

considered a public health issue and much research on its causes, consequences, and prevention is conducted. Our findings could provide guidance for the healthy development of adolescents. On the one hand, it opens up a new avenue for measuring the multiple style of PMPU in college students, which is helpful for educational institutions to understand the current situation of PMPU of the students and propose effective interventions to reduce dependence on mobile phones, especially for girls. On the other hand, it can be targeted to guide college students to use mobile phones reasonably, such as reduce the dangerous use behaviors (e.g., staring at the screen while crossing), so as to reduce the potential traffic accidents or personal injuries.

List Of Abbreviations

CFA: confirmatory factor analysis; CFI: comparative fit index; C-PMPUQ-SV: Chinese version of the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version; C-SAPS: Chinese version of the Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale; DASS-21: Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21; DU: dangerous use; EFA: exploratory factor analysis; PD: perceived dependence; PMPU: problematic mobile phone use; PMPUQ: Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire; PMPUQ-SV: Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version; PU: prohibited use; RMSEA: root mean square error of approximation; SAPS: Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale; SRMR: standardized root mean square residual; TLI: Tucker-Lewis index.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Given that some of the participants aged under 18, we sent the informed consent to their parents (or legal guardians) with the help of the class advisers prior to the survey. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University.

Consent to publish

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The current study was supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1310400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81371465 and 81671324) and the Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Hunan Province (17C0122). The sponsors had no role in the study design, survey process, data analysis, and manuscript preparation.

Author's Contributions

LTQ, LJ, and JB designed the study. WYY and LJ elaborated the online survey and collected the data. LJ and LYH managed the translation process of the PMPUQ-SV in Chinese under the supervision of JB, who is also the creator of the original version scale. WYY conducted the statistical analyses and interpreted the results. WYY and JB wrote the initial draft of the article. LTQ, LJ and LYH reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all of the participants and class advisers for their support and cooperation. We also thanks to Barbara Every, ELS, of BioMedical Editor, for English language editing of this article.

Author's Information

¹Department of Psychiatry, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University; Mental Health Institute of Central South University, The China National Clinical Research Center on Mental Health Disorders (Xiangya), Chinese National Technology Institute on Mental Disorders, Hunan Key Laboratory of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Changsha, Hunan, People's Republic of China. ²Changsha Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, People's Republic of China. ³Laboratory for Experimental Psychopathology, Psychological Science Research Institute, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. ⁴Addictive and Compulsive Behaviors Lab (ACB - Lab), Institute for Health and Behavior, University of Luxembourg, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg. ⁵Institute of Psychology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

References

1. Statista. Number of smartphone users in China from 2017 to 2023 (in millions). 2019. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/467160/forecast-of-smartphone-users-in-china/>. Accessed 3 Sept 2019.
2. Fortuna KL, Lohman, MC, Gill, LE, Bruce, ML, Bartels, SJ. Adapting a psychosocial intervention for smartphone delivery to middle-aged and older adults with serious mental illness. *Am J Geriatric*

- Psychiatry. 2017;25(8):819–28.
3. Hidalgo-Mazzei D, Reinares M, Mateu A, Juruena MF, Young, AH, Pérez-Sola V, et al. Is a SIMPLE smartphone application capable of improving biological rhythms in bipolar disorder? *J Affect Disord.* 2017;223:10–6.
 4. Achtyes ED, Ben-Zeev D, Luo ZH, Mayle H, Burke B, Rotondi AJ, et al. Off-hours use of a smartphone intervention to extend support for individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorders recently discharged from a psychiatric hospital. *Schizophrenia Res.* 2019;206:200–8.
 5. O'Connor S, Andrews T. Smartphones and mobile applications (apps) in clinical nursing education: a student perspective. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2018;69:172–8.
 6. Holmes A, Byrne A, Rowley J. Mobile shopping behaviour: insights into attitudes, shopping process involvement and location. *Int J Retail Distrib Manag.* 2013;42(1):25–39.
 7. Fuentes C, Svingstedt A. Mobile phones and the practice of shopping: a study of how young adults use smartphones to shop. *J Retail Consum Serv.* 2017;38:137–46.
 8. Hans G. Towards a sociological of the mobile phone. In: *Sociology in Switzerland: sociology of the mobile phone.* Online Publications. 2004. http://socio.ch/mobile/t_geser1.pdf. Accessed 3 Sept 2019.
 9. Billieux J. Problematic use of the mobile phone: a literature review and a pathways model. *Curr Psychiatry Rev.* 2012;8(4):299–307.
 10. Ali M, Asim M, Danish SH, Ahmad F, Iqbal A, Hasan SD. Frequency of De Quervain's tenosynovitis and its association with SMS texting. *Muscles, Ligaments Tendons J.* 2014;4(1):74–8.
 11. Aggarwal K. Twenty-six percent doctors suffer from severe mobile phone-induced anxiety: excessive use of mobile phone can be injurious to your health. *Indian J Clin Pract.* 2013;24(1):7–9.
 12. Thomée S, Härenstam A, Hagberg M. Mobile phone use and stress, sleep disturbances, and symptoms of depression among young adults—a prospective cohort study. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11:66.
 13. Sahin S, Ozdemir K, Unsal A, Temiz N. Evaluation of mobile phone addiction level and sleep quality in university students. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2013;29(4):913–8.
 14. Leung L. Linking psychological attributes to addiction and improper use of the mobile phone among adolescents in Hong Kong. *J Child Media.* 2008;2(2):93–113.
 15. Yen CF, Tang TC, Yen JY, Lin HC, Hung CF, Liu SC, et al. Symptoms of problematic cellular phone use, functional impairment and its association with depression among adolescents in Southern Taiwan. *J Adolesc.* 2009;32:863–73.
 16. Chiu SI. The relationship between life stress and smartphone addiction on Taiwanese university student: a mediation model of learning self-efficacy and social self-efficacy. *Comput Human Behav.* 2014; 34: 49–57.
 17. Demirci K, Akgönül M, Akpınar A. Relationship of smartphone use severity with sleep quality, depression, and anxiety in university students. *J Behav Addict.* 2015;4(2):85–92.

18. Lopez-Fernandez O, Männikkö N, Kääriäinen M, Griffiths MD, Kuss DJ. Mobile gaming and problematic smartphone use: a comparative study between Belgium and Finland. *J Behav Addict*. 2018;7(1):88–99.
19. Wilcockson TDW, Osborne AM, Ellis DA. Digital detox: the effect of smartphone withdrawal on mood, anxiety, and craving. *Addict Behav*. 2019;99:106013.
20. Dos B. The relationship between mobile phone use, metacognitive awareness and academic achievement. *Eur Educ Res J*. 2014;3(4):192–200.
21. Lepp A, Barkley JE, Karpinski AC. The relationship between cell phone use, academic performance, anxiety, and satisfaction with life in college students. *Comput Human Behav*. 2013;31:343–50.
22. Ha JH, Chin B, Park DH, Ryu SH, Yu J. Characteristics of excessive cellular phone use in Korean adolescents. *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2008;11(6):783–4.
23. Yang YS, Yen JY, Ko CH, Cheng CP, Yen CF. The association between problematic cellular phone use and risky behaviors and low self-esteem among Taiwanese adolescents. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:217.
24. Pearson MR, Murphy EM, Doane AN. Impulsivity-like traits and risky driving behaviors among college students. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2013;53:142–8.
25. Ichikawa M, Nakahara S. Japanese high school students' usage of mobile phones while cycling. *Traffic Inj Prev*. 2014;9(1):42–7.
26. Tao SM, Wu XY, Wan YH, Zhang SC, Hao JH, Tao FB. Interactions of problematic mobile phone use and psychopathological symptoms with unintentional injuries: a school-based sample of Chinese adolescents. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:88.
27. Toda M, Monden K, Kubo K, Morimoto K. Mobile phone dependence and health-related lifestyle of university students. *Soc Behav Pers*. 2006;34(10):1277–84.
28. Chóliz M. Mobile phone addiction: a point of issue. *Addiction*. 2010;105:373–5.
29. Kuss D. Mobile phone addiction: evidence from empirical research. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2017;45 Suppl:26–7.
30. Kim D, Lee YH, Lee JY, Nam JEK, Chung YJ. Development of Korean Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale for Youth. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):e97920. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097920
31. King ALS, Valença AM, Silva ACO, Baczynski T, Carvalho MR, Nardi AE. Nomophobia: dependency on virtual environments or social phobia? *Comput Human Behav*. 2013;29(1):140–4.
32. Kwon M, Lee JY, Won WY, Park JW, Min JA, Hahn C, et al. Development and validation of a Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS). *PLoS One*. 2013;8(2):e56936.
33. Billieux J, Schimmenti A, Khazaal Y, Maurage P, Heeren A. Are we overpathologizing everyday life? A tenable blueprint for behavioral addiction research. *J Behav Addict*. 2015;4:119–23.
34. Starcevic V. Tolerance and withdrawal symptoms may not be helpful to enhance understanding of behavioural addictions: letter to the Editor. *Addiction*. 2016;111(7):1307–8.

35. Billieux J, Flayelle M, Rumpf HJ, Stein DJ. High involvement versus pathological involvement in video games: a crucial distinction for ensuring the validity and utility of gaming disorder. *Curr Addict Rep.* 2019. doi:10.1007/s40429-019-00259-x
36. Bianchi A, Phillips JG. Psychological predictors of problem mobile phone use. *Cyberpsychol Behav.* 2005;8:39–51.
37. Kuss DJ, Harkin L, Kanjo E, Billieux J. Problematic smartphone use: investigating contemporary experiences using a convergent design. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(1):142. doi:10.3390/ijerph15010142
38. Billieux J, Van Der Linden M, Rochat L. The role of impulsivity in actual and problematic use of the mobile phone. *Appl Cogn Psychol.* 2008;22:1195–210.
39. Billieux J, Gay P, Rochat L, Van Der Linden M. The role of urgency and its underlying psychological mechanisms in problematic behaviours. *Behav Res Ther.* 2010;48:1085–96.
40. Shrivastava A, Sharma MK, Marimuthu P. Internet addiction at workplace and its implication for workers life style: exploration from Southern India. *Asian J Psychiatry.* 2018;32:151–5.
41. Kuss DJ, Kanjo E, Crook-Rumsey M, Kibowski, F, Wang GY, Sumich A. Problematic mobile phone use and addiction across generations: the roles of psychopathological symptoms and smartphone use. *J Technol Behav Sci.* 2018;3(3):141–9.
42. Lopez-Fernandez O, Kuss DJ, Pontes HM, Griffiths MD, Dawes C, Justice LV, et al. Measurement invariance of the short version of the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire (PMPUQ-SV) across eight languages. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(6). doi:10.3390/ijerph15061213
43. World Health Organization. Public health implications of excessive use of the Internet, computers, smartphones and similar electronic devices: meeting report. Foundation for Promotion of Cancer Research, National Cancer Research Center, Tokyo, Japan, 27–29 Aug 2014. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2015.
44. Lopez-Fernandez O, Kuss DJ, Romo L, Morvan Y, Kern L, Graziani P, et al. Self-reported dependence on mobile phones in young adults: a European cross-cultural empirical survey. *J Behav Addict.* 2017;6(2):168–77.
45. Lopez-Fernandez O, Honrubia-Serrano L, Freixa-Blanxart M, Gibson W. Prevalence of problematic mobile phone use in British adolescents. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw.* 2014;17(2):91–8.
46. Livingstone S, Smith PK. Annual research review: harms experienced by child users of online and mobile technologies: the nature, prevalence and management of sexual and aggressive risks in the digital age. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2014;55(6):635–54.
47. Long J, Liu TQ, Liao YH, Qi C, He HY, Chen SB, et al. Prevalence and correlates of problematic smartphone use in a large random sample of Chinese undergraduates. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2016;16(1):408.
48. Elhai JD, Dvorak RD, Levine JC, Hall BJ. Problematic smartphone use: a conceptual overview and systematic review of relations with anxiety and depression psychopathology. *J Affect Disord.* 2017;207: 251–9.

49. Augner C, Hacker GW. Associations between problematic mobile phone use and psychological parameters in young adults. *Int J Public Health*. 2012;57:437–41.
50. Jiang ZC, Zhao, XX. Self-control and problematic mobile phone use in Chinese college students: the mediating role of mobile phone use patterns. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2016;16:416.
51. Brislin RW. Back-translation for cross-cultural research. *J Cross Cult Psychol*. 1970;1(3):185–216.
52. Nasar J, Hecht P, Wener R. Mobile telephones, distracted attention, and pedestrian safety. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2008;40:69–75.
53. Stavrinou D, Byington KW, Schwebel DC. Distracted walking: cell phones increase injury risk for college pedestrians. *J Safety Res*. 2011;42(2):101–7.
54. Wang YY, Liu YH, Long J, Liu TQ. Psychometric characteristics of SAPS among Chinese students: a cross-sectional study. Unpublished technical report. Changsha, China: Central South University; 2019.
55. Lovibond PF, Lovibond SH. The structure of negative emotional states: comparison of the depression anxiety stress scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. *Behav Res Ther*. 1995;33(3):335–43.
56. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. *Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales*. 2nd ed. Sydney, NSW: Psychology Foundation of Australia; 1995.
57. Lovibond PF. *DASS Translations*. Psychology Foundation of Australia. 2018. <http://www2.psy.unsw.edu.au/dass//translations.htm>. Accessed 4 Sept 2019.
58. Moussa MT, Lovibond PF, Laube R. Psychometric properties of a Chinese version of the 21-Item Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS21). Sydney, NSW: Transcultural Mental Health Centre. Cumberland Hospital; 2001.
59. Kline RB. *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 2011.
60. Rigon EE. A necessary and sufficient identification rule for structural models estimated in practice. *Multivariate Behav Res*. 1995;30(3): 359–83.
61. Cheung GW, Rensvold RB. Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 2002;9:233–55.
62. Meade AW, Johnson EC, Braddy PW. Power and sensitivity of alternative fit indices in tests of measurement invariance. *J Appl Psychol*. 2008;93:568–92.
63. Cortina JM. What is coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. *J Appl Psychol*. 1993;78(1):98–104.
64. Helmstadter GC. *Principles of psychological measurement*. New York, NY: Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1964.
65. Wang JL, Wang HZ, Gaskin J, Wang LH. The role of stress and motivation in problematic smartphone use among college students. *Comput Human Behav*. 2015;53:181–8.
66. Geser H. Are girls (even) more addicted? Some gender patterns of cell phone usage. In: *Sociology in Switzerland: sociology of the mobile phone*. 2006. http://socio.ch/mobile/t_geser3.htm. Accessed 4

Sept 2019.

67. Sánchez-Martínez M, Otero A. Factors associated with cell phone use in adolescents in the community of Madrid (Spain). *Cyberpsychol Behav.* 2009;12(2):131–7.
68. Lee H, Ahn H, Nguyen TG, Choi SW, Kim DJ. Comparing the self-report and measured smartphone usage of college students: a pilot study. *Psychiatry Investig.* 2017;14(2):198–204.

Tables

Table 1 Factor loadings of the C-PMPUQ-SV based on EFA in sample 1 ($n = 1043$)

Item	1 st round			2 nd round			3 rd round		
	DU	PD	PU	DU	PD	PU	DU	PD	PU
KMO	0.830			0.816			0.790		
χ^2	3952.538 $P < 0.001$			3053.816 $P < 0.001$			2397.266 $P < 0.001$		
8	0.766			0.772			0.785		
11	0.749			0.744			0.737		
14	0.742			0.730			0.744		
2	0.731			0.760			0.780		
9	0.533			x			x		
13	0.733			0.789			0.836		
10	0.714			0.755			0.775		
7	0.649			0.587 (0.541)			x		
1	0.609			0.536 (0.576)			x		
4	0.586			0.629			0.684		
5	0.752			x			x		
12	0.684			0.571			0.613		
3	0.646			0.675			0.714		
15	0.544			0.653			0.668		
6	0.536			0.632			0.637		

Note: *C-PMPUQ-SV* = Chinese version of the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version, *EFA* = exploratory factor analysis, *DU* = dangerous use, *PD* = perceived dependence, *PU* = prohibited use, *KMO* = Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy, *c* = Bartlett's test of sphericity approximate chi-square, values in parentheses = the secondary factor loading, *x* = items removed in the previous rotation.

Table 2 Comparison of goodness-of-fit indices and models in sample 2 ($n = 1043$)

Model	S-B χ^2	df	P	CFI	TLI	RMSEA (90% CI)	SRMR
Model 1	1746.67887	<0.010	7520	0.701	0.085	(0.080 - 0.091)	0.087
Model 2	2234.39734	<0.010	8850	0.848	0.075	(0.066 - 0.084)	0.060
Model 3	3144.63841	<0.010	9420	0.922	0.047	(0.038 - 0.056)	0.041

Note: *S-Bc²* = Satorra-Bentler corrected chi-square, *df* = degrees of freedom, *CFI* = comparative fit index, *TLI* = Tucker-Lewis index, *RMSEA* = root mean square error of approximation, *CI* = confidence interval, *SRMR* = standardized root mean square residual.

Table 3 Internal consistency, reliability, and convergent and construct validity

Measure	C-PMPUQ-SV	DU	PD	PU	C-SAPS	Stress	Depression	Anxiety
C-PMPUQ-SV(0.742)								
DU	0.794**		(0.770)					
PD	0.725**		0.417** (0.697)					
PU	0.613**		0.159** 0.219** (0.574)					
C-SAPS	0.615**		0.410** 0.691** 0.251** (0.852)					
Stress	0.319**		0.272** 0.297** 0.105** 0.352** (0.834)					
Depression	0.311**		0.240** 0.274** 0.149** 0.297** 0.751** (0.870)					
Anxiety	0.211**		0.193** 0.218** 0.030 0.255** 0.718** 0.647** (0.762)					

Note: ** $P < 0.01$, C-PMPUQ-SV = Chinese version of the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version, DU = dangerous use, PD = perceived dependence, PU = prohibited use, C-SAPS = Chinese version of the Smartphone Addiction Proneness Scale

Table 4 Multiple fit indices for measurement invariance across gender

Model	S-B χ^2	df	CFI	TLI	RMSEA (90% CI)	SRMR	$\Delta\chi^2$	Δdf	P	ΔCFI	ΔTLI	$\Delta RMSEA$	$\Delta SRMR$
Model A	294.88882		0.9360	0.9140	0.050 (0.044 - 0.056)	0.043	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	-
Model B	303.73090		0.9360	0.9210	0.048 (0.042 - 0.054)	0.044	5.960	8	0.750	0.0000	0.0070	0.002	0.001
Model C	334.41998		0.9290	0.9200	0.048 (0.042 - 0.054)	0.046	32.0028		0.0010	0.0070	0.0010	0.000	0.002
Model D	395.797109		0.9140	0.9130	0.050 (0.045 - 0.056)	0.049	59.55711		0.0010	0.0150	0.0070	0.002	0.003
Model M	99.236	41	0.9280	0.9030	0.059 (0.044 - 0.074)	0.058	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	-
Model F	201.97841		0.9370	0.9160	0.048 (0.042 - 0.055)	0.039	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	-

Note: S-B χ^2 = Satorra-Bentler corrected chi-square, df = degrees of freedom, CFI = comparative fit index, TLI = Tucker-Lewis index, RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation, CI = confidence interval, SRMR = standardized root mean square residual, Δ deviation = magnitude of fit indices.

Table 5 Scores on the total C-PMPUQ-SV and three subscales separated by gender

Scale	Male (M \pm SD)	Female (M \pm SD)	t	P
C-PMPUQ-SV	23.78 \pm 4.52	23.87 \pm 3.93	0.333	0.739
PD	6.46 \pm 1.73	6.66 \pm 1.57	2.094	0.037
DU	8.74 \pm 2.61	8.61 \pm 2.18	-0.908	0.365
PU	8.59 \pm 2.20	8.60 \pm 1.65	0.097	0.923

Note: C-PMPUQ-SV = Chinese version of the Problematic Mobile Phone Use Questionnaire-Short Version, PD = perceived dependence, DU = dangerous use, PU = prohibited use.

Supplementary Files Legend

Additional file 1: Provides the French version (Table S1), English version (Table S2), and Chinese version (Table S3) of the 15-item PMPUQ-SV and describes item scoring.

Additional file 2: Measurement invariance across gender aged 18 and below (Table S4) and Measurement invariance across gender aged above 18 (Table S5).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additonalfile1.docx](#)
- [Additionalfile2.docx](#)