The Impact of Common Chronic Conditions on Health-Related Quality of Life: A General Population Survey in Iran Using EQ-5D-5L

Ali Akbari Sari

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Fereshte Karimi

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Zahra Emrani

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Hojjat Zeraati

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Alireza Olyaeemanesh

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Rajabali Daroudi (✉ rdaroudi@sina.tums.ac.ir)

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Research

Keywords: Health-Related Quality of Life, Chronic Disease, Economic Evaluation, EQ-5D, Iran

Posted Date: September 18th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-78043/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background:

Diseases have undeniable effects on Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL). Chronic diseases, in particular, limit the productive potentials and HRQoL of individuals. EQ-5D is a very popular generic instrument, which can be used to estimate HRQoL scores in any diseases. The current study investigates mean HRQoL scores in certain chronic diseases and examines the relationship between utility scores and chronic diseases in Iran.

Method:

This cross-sectional study was carried out among the general adult population of Tehran. 3060 individuals were chosen by a stratified probability sampling method. The EQ-5D-5L questionnaire was applied. The utility scores were estimated using the Iranian crosswalk-based value set. The effect of chronic diseases on the HRQoL scores was derived by the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method. Data was analyzed using Stata version 13 software.

Results:

The mean $\pm$ standard deviation utility and EQ-VAS scores were 0.86 ± 0.14 and 77.50 ± 16.14 in the participants without any chronic conditions. The scores were 0.69 ± 0.17 and 61.61 ± 20.39 in the participants with chronic conditions. The highest and lowest mean utility scores were related to thyroid disease (0.70) and Stroke (0.54), respectively. Common chronic conditions had significant negative effects on the HRQoL scores. Stroke (0.204) and cancer (0.177) caused the most reduction in the EQ-5D-5L utility scores. Lumbar disc hernia, digestive diseases, osteoarthritis, breathing problems, and anxiety/nerves cause 0.133, 0.109, 0.108, 0.087, and 0.078 reductions, respectively, in the EQ-5D-5L utility scores.

Conclusion:

This study provides insight into some common chronic conditions and their effects on the HRQoL. Policymakers and planners should pay attention to the effects of chronic conditions especially high prevalence one. They should adopt effective interventions to control this issue and increase health. The results of this study can also be beneficial in economic evaluation studies.

Introduction:

Chronic disease is one of the most common groups of diseases in the world, whose prevalence is estimated at about 15–40% in the developed countries [1–3]. Some examples of chronic diseases include cardiovascular disease, muscular dysfunction, osteoporosis, depression, frustration, social interactions and memory problems, and decreasing sexual activity [4, 5]. These diseases have significant negative effects on the Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL), as they would impose direct and indirect costs to

the community [6–9]. In recent years, the therapeutic, economic, and social effects of chronic diseases have been highly considered by researchers and policymakers [10].

The main objective of health care is to improve the individual's quality and quantity of life. The quality of life is a multidimensional structure that includes social factors and the physical, mental, and functional dimensions [11]. It can be measured and developed in different ways, [12]. Health-related quality of life, briefly known as HRQoL, is an individual's subjective view to the impact of the health condition on various aspects of his/her well-being and captures information on the impact of health status on quality of life [13]. In recent years, HRQoL has become an important health outcome indicator. There are several instruments for measuring HRQoL. Some are disease-specific (e.g., St George's asthma quality of life scale, NEWQOL-6D, EORTC QOL-30), while some are generic (e.g., EQ-5D, SF-6D, WHOQOL) [14].

EQ-5D is the most widely used generic preference-based instrument developed by EuroQol in 1990 [15–18]. It is a multi-attribute instrument that considers five dimensions, including mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. There are two versions of the EQ-5D instrument, which are EQ-5D-3L and EQ-5D-5L. The EQ-5D-5L questionnaire has five-level classifications of severity, including no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems, and extreme problems [19]. This questionnaire described $3125(5^5)$ health states. For simplicity, health states are indicated by numerical symptoms. For example, 11111 and 55555 show the best and the worst health states, respectively. The former score represents a health state that the person has no problems, while the latter indicates a health state that the person has extreme problems in all dimensions. Other health states are between these two scores [20]. The social value sets for EQ-5D have been produced in many countries, including Iran [21, 22]. The instrument also includes a visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS), which provides a single global rating of self-perceived health. It is scored on a 0 to 100 mm scale representing “the worst ...” and “the best health you can imagine”, respectively.

Health policymakers need to know which chronic conditions have the greatest impact on HRQoL, and identify where additional intervention may be required. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the impact of a wide range of chronic conditions on HRQoL in the general Iranian population.

Method:

Survey:

This cross-sectional observational study was carried out from October 2015 to March 2016 in Tehran, the capital of Iran, among the general adult population aged at least 18 years old. A sample of 3060 individuals was selected via a stratified probability sampling method. The target population was stratified by municipality, and from each stratum, a random sample with a size proportionate to the population was drawn. To select the respondents, each stratum (municipality region) was divided into several blocks. Then, based on the sample size from each stratum, the required number of blocks for data collection was randomly selected. In each block, 10 households were randomly invited for the interview. The households

with non-responses were replaced with households in the replacement list. The interviews were conducted face-to-face by some trained interviewers.

Questionnaire:

The questionnaire consisted of three main parts. First, it collected information on demographic characteristics, such as gender, age, education, marital status, and employment status. The second part was regarding the general health status questions about the respondent's viewpoints of his/her health and the presence of any illness or health problem in respondents or their family members. The presence of any illness or health problem was assessed with the question: "Do you have any illness, health problem, condition, or disability?". The participants who had a disease or health problem were asked to choose the name of the disease from a list or simply mention the name of the disease. It was possible to choose or mention more than one option. The third part was the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire to determine the participants' HRQoL scores.

Statistical methods:

To calculate the respondents' HRQoL scores according to the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire, we used the five-level crosswalk-based value set derived from the EQ-5D-3L value set in Iran [22]. we applied the crosswalk methodology developed by van Hout et al[23] to the Iranian EQ-5D-3L value set developed via a face-to-face TTO method to obtain the Iranian crosswalk-based EQ-5D-5L value set[22].

The mean and standard deviation of the participants' HRQoL scores was calculated by common chronic diseases. Furthermore, the following regression model was estimated to determine the impact of common chronic diseases on participants' HRQoL.

$$Q = \alpha_0 + \alpha_i Z_i + \beta_i X_i$$

Q = HRQoL score

Z_i = Common chronic diseases

X_i = Demographic characteristics

In this model, the common chronic diseases were included as independent variables. They were defined in forms of dummy variables. For example, a dummy variable was defined for diabetes, which took two values: "1" for patients with diabetes and "0" for patients without diabetes. The Iranian crosswalk-based value set scores were considered as dependent variables in the 1st model and the EQ-VAS scores in the 2nd model. These models were estimated using the OLS method. The Breusch-Pagan test was used to verify the Heteroscedasticity in the regression model. The data were analyzed using Stata13 software.

Results:

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics and the mean HRQoL scores of the participants. About 51% of the participants were female; the mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of the participants' age was 44 ± 15.6 years; the average education years was 10.8 ± 4.8 . About 77% of the subjects were married, 18% were single (never married), and 4.7% were divorced or widowed. The mean $\pm$ SD utility and EQ-VAS scores of the participants were 0.80 ± 0.17 and 71.73 ± 19.37 .

Table 1
Demographic characteristics and mean HRQoL scores of
participants (n = 3060)

Variable	N	%
Gender		
Male	1,505	49.20
Female	1,555	50.80
Employment status		
Employed	1,079	35.30
Homemaker	1,239	40.53
Retired	396	12.95
Unemployed	100	3.27
Student	221	7.23
other	22	0.07
Marital status		
Never married	549	17.99
Married	2,359	77.29
Widowed or Divorced	144	4.72
Presence of any illness or health problem		
Yes	1,115	36.46
No	1,945	63.54
	mean	SD
Age (year)	43.9	15.6
Years of schooling	10.85	4.79
EQ-5D-5L utility scores	0.80	0.17
EQ-VAS scores	71.73	19.37

Table 2 shows the prevalence of chronic conditions among participants as well as the mean EQ-5D-5L utility and EQ-VAS scores by common chronic conditions. Approximately 36% of the participants had at least one chronic condition. The mean $\pm$ SD utility and EQ-VAS scores were 0.86 ± 0.14 and 77.50 ± 16.14 in the participants without any chronic conditions. The scores were 0.69 ± 0.17 and 61.61 ± 20.39 in the

participants with chronic conditions. The most common conditions were psychological problems, including anxiety/nerves and depression (11.89%), osteoarthritis (7.22%), heart disease (6.40%), hypertension (6.8%), and diabetes (5.62%). The utility scores were the lowest in stroke (0.54) and cancer (0.58), while they were the highest in thyroid disease (0.70).

Table 2
Mean EQ-5D-5L utility and EQ-VAS scores by common chronic conditions

Chronic condition	N	% of total participants	EQ-5D-5L utility scores		EQ-VAS scores	
			mean	SD	mean	SD
Without any chronic condition	1,945	63.54	0.86	0.14	77.50	16.14
With chronic condition	1,115	36.46	0.69	0.17	61.61	20.39
Anxiety/nerves	231	7.55	0.66	0.17	59.57	22.34
Osteoarthritis	221	7.22	0.62	0.15	59.44	20.35
Heart disease	196	6.40	0.67	0.17	58.49	19.97
Hypertension	186	6.08	0.65	0.17	58.04	21.91
Diabetes	172	5.62	0.67	0.18	57.64	21.67
Insomnia	145	4.74	0.68	0.19	60.61	22.58
Depression	133	4.34	0.66	0.16	58.82	22.76
Breathing problems	60	1.96	0.67	0.19	60.03	19.81
Lumbar disc hernia	44	1.44	0.65	0.16	61.67	19.71
Digestive diseases	22	0.72	0.68	0.12	58.41	16.06
Cancer	19	0.62	0.58	0.25	50.32	30.07
Stroke	15	0.49	0.54	0.12	47.86	12.97
Thyroid disease	15	0.49	0.70	0.12	70.13	19.46
Other	117	3.82	0.67	0.18	58.69	21.73

Table 3 shows the impact of chronic conditions on the HRQoL. The estimated coefficients were negative and statistically significant for all conditions except for insomnia and Thyroid disease. Stroke and cancer cause the most reduction in the HRQoL scores, which shows 0.204 and 0.177 reductions in the EQ-5D-5L utility scores and 18.11 and 17.31 reductions in EQ-VAS scores, respectively. According to model 1, lumbar disc hernia, digestive diseases, osteoarthritis, breathing problems, and anxiety/nerves cause 0.133, 0.109, 0.108, 0.087, and 0.078 reductions, respectively, in the EQ-5D-5L utility scores. According to

model 2, digestive diseases, other diseases, lumbar disc hernia, breathing problems, and diabetes cause 12.42, 10.01, 8.45, 7.80, and 7.55 reductions in the EQ-VAS scores.

Table 3

The results of linear regression estimation to determine the impact of chronic diseases on HRQoL

Independent variable	Dependent variable: quality of life score			
	Model 1: EQ-5D-5 L utility scores		Model 2: EQ_VAS scores	
	Coefficient	Robust SE	Coefficient	Robust SE
Gender(female)	-0.024*	0.009	-0.40	1.01
Age (year)	-0.002*	0.000	-0.16*	0.03
Years of schooling	0.003*	0.001	0.33*	0.08
Employment status				
Employed	Ref		Ref	
Student	-0.007	0.010	1.25	1.30
Home maker	-0.028*	0.010	-1.92	1.17
Retired	0.015	0.010	3.04*	1.25
Unemployed	-0.018	0.015	1.36	1.92
Others	-0.091*	0.042	-10.56	6.01
Marital status				
Never married	Ref		Ref	
Married	-0.010	0.008	-0.47	1.07
Divorce or widowed	-0.046*	0.016	-5.79*	2.11
Anxiety/nerves	-0.078*	0.011	-7.09*	1.53
Osteoarthritis	-0.108*	0.010	-5.68*	1.41
Heart disease	-0.067*	0.012	-7.20*	1.50
Hypertension	-0.054*	0.012	-4.79*	1.65
Diabetes	-0.052*	0.014	-7.55*	1.78
Insomnia	-0.022	0.014	-2.43	1.88
Depression	-0.074*	0.013	-6.78*	2.10
Breathing problems	-0.087*	0.022	-7.80*	2.49
Lumbar disc hernia	-0.133*	0.025	-8.45*	3.03
Digestive diseases	-0.109*	0.029	-12.42*	3.46

Independent variable	Dependent variable: quality of life score			
	Model 1: EQ-5D-5 L utility scores		Model 2: EQ_VAS scores	
	Coefficient	Robust SE	Coefficient	Robust SE
Cancer	-0.177*	0.058	-17.31*	7.17
Stroke	-0.204*	0.036	-18.11*	3.44
Thyroid disease	-0.045	0.034	1.09	5.27
Other	-0.097*	0.016	-10.01*	1.97
Intercept	0.938*	0.018	80.29*	2.20
Number of observations	3,041		3024	
Adjusted R2	0.307		0.199	
F statistic	57.35*		25.22*	
*significant at P < 0.05				

Discussion:

In this study, we investigated the effect of chronic conditions on the HRQoL scores. The mean $\pm$ SD utility and EQ-VAS scores were 0.86 ± 0.14 and 77.50 ± 16.14 in the participants without any chronic condition while the scores were 0.69 ± 0.17 and 61.61 ± 20.39 in the participants with chronic condition. The results showed that common chronic conditions had significant negative effects on the HRQoL scores. Stroke (0.204 ± 0.036), cancer (0.177 ± 0.58), lumbar disc hernia (0.133 ± 0.025), digestive diseases (0.109 ± 0.029), osteoarthritis (0.108 ± 0.010) caused the most reductions in the HRQoL scores. The mean HRQoL scores were the lowest among individuals with stroke (0.54 ± 0.12), cancer (0.58 ± 0.25), and osteoarthritis (0.62 ± 0.15) diseases.

Previous studies showed that common chronic diseases had a major effect on the HRQoL, which were similar to ours [24–27]. However, due to the differences in individuals' preferences in different societies, the effect of chronic diseases on the HRQoL may vary. A study in an elderly community-dwelling population in England showed the effects of common chronic diseases on the HRQoL using the EQ-5D questionnaire. The results suggested that most of these diseases reduce the HRQoL scores. Depression (-0.269 , $P < 0.001$), neurological disease (-0.172 , $P < 0.0001$), and osteoarthritis (-0.081 , $P = 0.0006$) caused the greatest effects on the utility scores [25]. The results of a study on Sweden general population demonstrated that the HRQoL weights were the lowest among individuals with depression, stroke, and low back pain. The scores were (0.38 ± 0.026), (0.44 ± 0.035), and (0.55 ± 0.011) in people with these diseases. Regression analysis showed that depression, low back pain, and stroke caused (0.4305 ± 0.0270), (0.2810 ± 0.0105), and (0.2743 ± 0.0366) reductions in the HRQoL ($P < 0.0001$) [24]. Another study on the general population in Finland showed that Parkinson's disease, anxiety disorders, arthrosis

of the hip and knee, and depressive disorders were the most disabling chronic conditions based on EQ-5D, causing (0.201 ± 0.063) , (0.169 ± 0.019) , (0.155 ± 0.010) , and (0.139 ± 0.016) reductions in mean utility scores, respectively. The mean utility scores were the lowest among individuals with Parkinson's disease (0.440 ± 0.068) , heart failure (0.585 ± 0.017) , and stroke (0.587 ± 0.023) [28].

A study in Hong Kong using the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire included four chronic diseases as an independent variable, whose effects were statistically significant on the HRQoL. The mean utility score for heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, and cancer was 0.882, 0.881, 0.874, and 0.873, respectively. In our study, these scores were 0.67, 0.65, 0.67, and 0.58, according to the Iranian crosswalk-based value set. The figures indicated that these diseases induced greater loss in Iranian HRQoL scores than the Chinese scores. [29].

The present study, for the first time, measured the association between chronic conditions and HRQoL via the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire in Iran. The EQ-5D-5L questionnaire is a general, reliable, and convenient measurement tool applied in the surveys of different diseases [29].

The main limitation of this study was the fact that we measured the prevalence of chronic diseases based on self-reporting. Although studies have shown the high degree of agreement between the actual prevalence of chronic diseases and people's self-declaration [30], this method is not completely accurate because some people might not be aware of their illness or its name.

Conclusion:

This study examined the effect of chronic conditions on the HRQoL scores using the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire in Iran. Almost all chronic conditions included in this study had a negative effect on HRQoL. Policymakers need to consider the increasing prevalence of chronic diseases in Iran due to the aging population and lifestyle changes. Additionally, they should pay attention to the elderly people who suffer from several chronic diseases at the same time. Hence, it is worthy of identifying the diseases with the greatest effect on the HRQoL. The effective interventions can be adopted and better prioritized. The results of this study can also be beneficial for researchers because the estimated utility weights can be used in economic evaluation studies.

List Of Abbreviations:

Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL)

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

Declarations:

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Deputy of Research and Technology of the Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.REC.1394.743). The participants were assured that their information would remain confidential. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication:

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing Interests:

The authors declare they have no conflict of interests.

Funding:

This study was funded by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (grant number 94-01-159-28771).

Authors' contributions:

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Ali Akbari Sari, Zahra Emrani, Hojjat Zeraati, Alireza Oliyaeeemaneh, Fereshte Karimi and Rajabali Daroudi. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Rajabali Daroudi, Fereshte Karimi and Zahra Emrani and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

not applicable.

References:

1. Gutteling J, De Man R, Busschbach J, Darlington A: **Overview of research on health-related quality of life in patients with chronic liver disease.** *Neth J Med* 2007, **65**:227-234.
2. Vartiainen P, Mäntyselkä P, Heiskanen T, Hagelberg N, Mustola S, Forssell H, Kautiainen H, Kalso E: **Validation of EQ-5D and 15D in the assessment of health-related quality of life in chronic pain.** *Pain* 2017, **158**:1577-1585.
3. Hamine S, Gerth-Guyette E, Faulx D, Green BB, Ginsburg AS: **Impact of mHealth chronic disease management on treatment adherence and patient outcomes: a systematic review.** *Journal of medical Internet research* 2015, **17**.

4. Huertas A, Palange P: **COPD: a multifactorial systemic disease.** *Therapeutic advances in respiratory disease* 2011, **5**:217-224.
5. Agusti A, Edwards L, Rennard S, MacNee W, Tal-Singer R, Miller B, Vestbo J, Lomas D, Calverley P, Wouters E: **Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate Endpoints (ECLIPSE) Investigators Persistent systemic inflammation is associated with poor clinical outcomes in COPD: a novel phenotype.** *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e37483.
6. Castillo RC, Wegener ST, Heins SE, Haythornthwaite JA, MacKenzie EJ, Bosse MJ, Group LS: **Longitudinal relationships between anxiety, depression, and pain: results from a two-year cohort study of lower extremity trauma patients.** *PAIN®* 2013, **154**:2860-2866.
7. Keeley P, Creed F, Tomenson B, Todd C, Borglin G, Dickens C: **Psychosocial predictors of health-related quality of life and health service utilisation in people with chronic low back pain.** *PAIN®* 2008, **135**:142-150.
8. Nicholl B, Macfarlane G, Davies K, Morriss R, Dickens C, McBeth J: **Premorbid psychosocial factors are associated with poor health-related quality of life in subjects with new onset of chronic widespread pain—results from the EPIFUND study.** *PAIN®* 2009, **141**:119-126.
9. Raftery MN, Ryan P, Normand C, Murphy AW, de la Harpe D, McGuire BE: **The economic cost of chronic noncancer pain in Ireland: results from the PRIME study, part 2.** *The Journal of Pain* 2012, **13**:139-145.
10. All AC, Fried JH, Wallace DC: **Quality of life, chronic pain, and issues for healthcare professionals in rural communities.** *Online Journal of Rural Nursing and Health Care* 2017, **1**:29-57.
11. Gröhe H, Fachzeitschrift zu: **Der Patientennutzen muss im Vordergrund stehen. Monitor Versorgungsforschung.** *Realität Qualität und Innovation der Gesundheitsversorgung* 2016, **9**:6-9.
12. Katz N: **The impact of pain management on quality of life.** *Journal of pain and symptom management* 2002, **24**:S38-S47.
13. Yin S, Njai R, Barker L, Siegel PZ, Liao Y: **Summarizing health-related quality of life (HRQOL): development and testing of a one-factor model.** *Population health metrics* 2016, **14**:22.
14. Emrani Z, Akbari Sari A, Zeraati H, Olyaeemanesh A, Daroudi R: **Health-related quality of life measured using the EQ-5D–5 L: population norms for the capital of Iran.** *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2020, **18**:1-8.
15. Huber MB, Felix J, Vogelmann M, Leidl R: **Health-related quality of life of the general German population in 2015: results from the EQ-5D-5L.** *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2017, **14**:426.
16. Yfantopoulos JN, Chantzaras AE: **Validation and comparison of the psychometric properties of the EQ-5D-3L and EQ-5D-5L instruments in Greece.** *The European Journal of Health Economics* 2017, **18**:519-531.
17. EuroQol Research Foundation,
18. Group TE: **EuroQol-a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life.** *Health policy* 1990, **16**:199-208.

19. Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, Janssen M, Kind P, Parkin D, Bonser G, Badia X: **Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L).** *Quality of life research* 2011, **20**:1727-1736.
20. Oppe M, Devlin NJ, van Hout B, Krabbe PF, de Charro F: **A program of methodological research to arrive at the new international EQ-5D-5L valuation protocol.** *Value Health* 2014, **17**:445-453.
21. Xie F, Gaebel K, Perampaladas K, Doble B, Pullenayegum E: **Comparing EQ-5D valuation studies: a systematic review and methodological reporting checklist.** *Medical Decision Making* 2014, **34**:8-20.
22. Goudarzi R, Sari AA, Zeraati H, Rashidian A, Mohammad K, Amini S: **Valuation of Quality Weights for EuroQol 5-Dimensional Health States With the Time Trade-Off Method in the Capital of Iran.** *Value in health regional issues* 2019, **18**:170-175.
23. van Hout B, Janssen M, Feng Y-S, Kohlmann T, Busschbach J, Golicki D, Lloyd A, Scalone L, Kind P, Pickard AS: **Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L: mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets.** *Value in health* 2012, **15**:708-715.
24. Burström K, Johannesson M, Diderichsen F: **Health-related quality of life by disease and socio-economic group in the general population in Sweden.** *Health policy* 2001, **55**:51-69.
25. Parker L, Moran GM, Roberts LM, Calvert M, McCahon D: **The burden of common chronic disease on health-related quality of life in an elderly community-dwelling population in the UK.** *Family practice* 2014, **31**:557-563.
26. Heyworth IT, Hazell ML, Linehan MF, Frank TL: **How do common chronic conditions affect health-related quality of life?** *Br J Gen Pract* 2009, **59**:e353-e358.
27. Huber MB, Reitmeir P, Vogelmann M, Leidl R: **EQ-5D-5L in the general German population: comparison and evaluation of three yearly cross-section surveys.** *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2016, **13**:343.
28. Saarni SI, Härkänen T, Sintonen H, Suvisaari J, Koskinen S, Aromaa A, Lönnqvist J: **The impact of 29 chronic conditions on health-related quality of life: a general population survey in Finland using 15D and EQ-5D.** *Quality of Life Research* 2006, **15**:1403-1414.
29. Brazier J, Ara R, Rowen D, Chevrou-Severac H: **A review of generic preference-based measures for use in cost-effectiveness models.** *Pharmacoeconomics* 2017, **35**:21-31.
30. Okura Y, Urban LH, Mahoney DW, Jacobsen SJ, Rodeheffer RJ: **Agreement between self-report questionnaires and medical record data was substantial for diabetes, hypertension, myocardial infarction and stroke but not for heart failure.** *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 2004, **57**:1096-1103.