

Prevalence and Correlates of Pregnancy Self-Testing Among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care in Western Kenya

Nina Njeri Nganga

University of Washington <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4284-301X>

Julia Dettinger

University of Washington

John Kinuthia

University of Washington

Jared Baeten

University of Washington

Grace John-Stewart

University of Washington

Laurén Gómez

University of Washington

Ben Ochieng

University of Nairobi

Mary Marwa

Kenyatta National Hospital

Kenneth Mugwanya

University of Washington

Melissa Mugambi (✉ mugambi@uw.edu)

University of Washington <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8875-5528>

Research article

Keywords: Antenatal care, pregnancy self-testing, western Kenya, pregnancy uncertainty

Posted Date: September 25th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-78174/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at PLOS ONE on November 12th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258578>.

Abstract

In sub-Saharan Africa little is known about how often women use pregnancy self-tests or characteristics of these women despite evidence that pregnancy self-testing is associated with early antenatal care (ANC) attendance. Understanding the characteristics of women who use pregnancy self-tests may facilitate early access to ANC and preventive interventions in pregnancy. We conducted a cross-sectional survey on an ongoing pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) implementation study which enrolled pregnant women to determine the prevalence and factors associated with pregnancy self-testing among women in western Kenya. Overall in our study population, the prevalence of pregnancy self-testing was 22% and higher among women who were employed, currently in school, had previous pregnancy complications, received services from urban health facilities, and had partners who had at least attended secondary school. The most reported reasons for non-use of pregnancy self-tests included not thinking it was necessary, lack of knowledge, and lack of money to pay for the test. Future research should focus on understanding the knowledge and attitudes of women toward pregnancy self-testing.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that pregnant women should initiate the first antenatal care (ANC) visit in the first trimester of pregnancy because early ANC access is central to identifying pregnancy complications and managing pre-existing conditions.(1) However, in western Kenya, less than 20% of pregnant women are estimated to present for ANC in the first trimester.(2) Barriers to early initiation of ANC due to uncertainty of pregnancy status during the first trimester can potentially be addressed by improving access to pregnancy testing.(3, 4) However, little is known about how often women use pregnancy self-tests or the characteristics of these women. Understanding the characteristics of women who use pregnancy self-tests is important to facilitate early access to ANC and to preventive interventions in pregnancy. In this study, our primary objective was to determine the prevalence of pregnancy self-testing and associated factors among pregnant women attending maternal and child health (MCH) clinics in western Kenya. In a secondary objective, we evaluated the factors associated with early ANC initiation among pregnant women.

Methods

Study design

From November 2018 to July 2019, we conducted a cross-sectional survey among pregnant women enrolling in the PrEP Implementation for Mothers in Antenatal Care (PrIMA) study. PrIMA is a cluster randomized trial (NCT03070600) that aims to compare approaches for delivering oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in pregnancy. The study protocol is described elsewhere.(5) Briefly, study participants were recruited from women presenting for ANC in 20 public health facilities in Homabay and Siaya counties in western Kenya. Participants answered questions on socio-demographics, medical and pregnancy history, and partner characteristics.

Study variables

We analyzed two dependent variables: pregnancy self-test use and early ANC. Pregnancy self-test users were defined as those who reported using a pregnancy self-test when asked “*Once you suspected that you were pregnant, how did you confirm that you were pregnant?*” Early ANC was defined as initiation of the first ANC visit during the first trimester of pregnancy. We analyzed variables that we hypothesized would be associated with pregnancy self-test use and early ANC including maternal age, education level, employment status, marital status, partners’ education level, gravidity, prior pregnancy complications, travel time to health facility, and location of health facility. We analyzed pregnancy self-test use as an independent variable when evaluating factors associated with early ANC.

Statistical analysis

We examined the prevalence and correlates of pregnancy self-test use and early ANC among pregnant women. We estimated the odds of pregnancy self-test use and early ANC using univariate and multivariable logistic regression models. In the multivariable analyses, we adjusted for all the above-mentioned independent variables. Statistical analyses were performed using R software (R-Studio Version 1.1.456) and STATA 15.1 (College Station, TX).

Ethics

The study was approved by the Kenyatta National Hospital and the University of Washington institutional review boards. All participants provided informed consent to participate in the study.

Results

Socio-demographic and pregnancy-related characteristics

Overall, this analysis included 1085 pregnant women between the ages of 15-43 years, median age 24 (IQR 21-28). At the time of the survey, the majority of the respondents were married (87%), not employed (89%), 25 years or older (49%), not in school (91%) and had previously been pregnant (78%) (Table 1). Approximately 65% of women confirmed their pregnancy in the first trimester. However, only 35% of the women presented for ANC early - in the first 12 weeks of pregnancy. Fifty-eight percent of the women presented for ANC during the second trimester, and 8% during the third trimester.

Twenty-two percent of women reported using a pregnancy self-test to confirm their pregnancy (Table 1). Of the 830 respondents who did not use a self-test: 85% confirmed their pregnancies at a public health facility, 9% at a private health facility, and 6% did not confirm their pregnancy. Users of pregnancy self-tests obtained their kits from a community pharmacy (77%), a public health facility (14%), a private health facility (7%), and stores (2%). The most frequent reasons for non-use of pregnancy self-tests included: not thinking it was necessary (57%), lack of knowledge on self-tests (26%), and lack of money to pay for a self-test (11%).

Prevalence and correlates of pregnancy self-testing

Table 2 shows the univariate and multivariable logistic regression results for variables associated with pregnancy self-testing. In the univariate analysis, self-test use was associated with employment status (OR=3.25, 95% CI 2.24, 4.72), education status (OR= 2.42, 95% CI 1.55, 3.80), gravidity (OR= 0.54, 95% CI 0.39, 0.74), travel time to health facility (OR= 1.72, 95% C 1.26, 2.35), partner's education level (some high school [OR= 2.41, 95% CI 1.55, 3.75] and some college [OR= 8.00, 95% CI 5.07, 12.58]), and location of health facility (OR= 2.44, 95% CI 1.82, 3.26). In multivariate analyses, self-test use was more likely among women who were employed (aOR=2.43, 95% CI 1.53, 3.85), currently in school (aOR= 2.14, 95% CI 1.19, 3.85), had previous pregnancy complications (aOR=1.34, 95% CI 1.24, 2.53), and received services from urban health facilities (aOR=1.77, 95% CI 1.24, 2.53). Compared to women whose partners had a primary school education or less, self-test use was 2 times more likely among women whose partners had some high school education (aOR= 2.10, 95% CI 1.32, 3.34) and 6 times more likely among women whose partners had attended college (aOR=5.93, 95% CI 3.60, 9.76). Pregnancy self-testing was not associated with age, marital status, having had a prior pregnancy, and travel time to health facility.

Prevalence and correlates of early antenatal care attendance

Table 3 shows univariate and multivariable logistic regression results for variables associated with early ANC attendance. In the univariate analysis, early ANC was associated with pregnancy self-testing (OR= 1.50, 95% CI 1.12, 2.00), gravidity (OR= 0.65, 95% CI 0.49, 0.87), maternal age (being between 20-24 [OR=1.55, 95% CI 1.01, 2.39]), receiving services from an urban health facility (OR= 1.66, 95% CI 1.28, 2.15), having had previous pregnancy complications (OR= 1.97, 95% CI 1.17, 3.32), and having a partner who had attended college (OR=2.04, 95% CI 1.43, 2.92). In multivariate analyses, women who initiated ANC early were more likely to have had prior pregnancy complications (aOR=2.18, 95% CI 1.22, 3.90), be pregnant for the first time (aOR=0.58 95 % CI 0.38, 0.87), have a partner who attended college (aOR=1.58, 95% CI 1.03, 2.40), and received services from an urban health facility (aOR=1.51, 95% CI 1.11, 2.05). Early ANC initiation was not associated with pregnancy self-test use, age, marital status, employment status, education status, and travel time to health facility.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the prevalence and correlates of pregnancy self-testing among pregnant women attending maternal and child health clinics in western Kenya. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has examined the factors associated with pregnancy self-testing among pregnant women. Overall, the prevalence of pregnancy self-testing in the study population was low with 22% of women reporting having used a pregnancy self-test to confirm their pregnancy. These findings are similar to a South African study in 2006 that reported use of pregnancy self-tests among 27% of ANC clients.(6) It is interesting to note that the majority of women who did not use a pregnancy self-test either did not think it was necessary or did not know that they could use one suggesting the need for further studies to understand women's knowledge and attitudes toward pregnancy self-testing. In the study population,

maternal employment and education status, prior pregnancy complications, location of health facility, and partner's education level were the strongest correlates of pregnancy self-testing. These findings might reflect awareness or perception of the need for pregnancy self-testing, or availability of financial resources toward pregnancy self-tests. Employed women may have greater autonomy in their finances compared to women who are not employed.(7) Education may be directly related to awareness of pregnancy self-tests which can be attributed to formal education.(8) Partner's education is an important determinant of women's health seeking behavior. One study found that a partner's schooling has strong effects on their spouses' health care utilization especially when partners have at least a secondary school education.(7) Women residing in rural areas are less likely to use pregnancy self-tests due to the sparse distribution of health services.(9) Poor education levels in rural areas may impact awareness of pregnancy tests. For women with previous pregnancy complications, confirming a pregnancy early becomes increasingly important due to the stigma surrounding infertility.(10)

In this study population, we found that 35% of women reported attending their first ANC visit in the first trimester of pregnancy. This proportion is similar to findings reported for sub-Saharan Africa (24.9%) in a recent systematic review (12) and a 20% national average in the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS).(2) We found that women who initiated ANC in the first trimester were more likely to have been previously pregnant, have had previous pregnancy complications, have a partner who attended college, and received services from an urban health facility. Our findings are consistent with other studies which have shown that multigravida women are less likely to present early for ANC than primigravida women. (10) One study from Zimbabwe found that women who had at least one previous pregnancy were more likely to delay ANC.(13) As the number of children increases, the utility of ANC decreases.(11) Women with prior pregnancy complications are more likely to present early for ANC. This finding is consistent with findings from other studies and can be attributed to the fact that women who have not experienced adverse pregnancy complications do not perceive the necessity of ANC services.(4, 10, 14–16) There are several mechanisms through which partner's education may affect ANC utilization. Having more education may encourage adoption of positive health seeking behaviors, including the appropriate and timely use of ANC services.(17) Education also influences one's occupational trajectories and earning potential.(18) Women residing in rural locations are more likely to delay initiation of ANC compared with urban dwellers. This finding is consistent with other studies in sub-Saharan Africa.(8, 13, 19–21) This may be due to better access and availability of health care services in urban areas. However, we did not find any association between maternal education and early ANC attendance. This could be explained by the fact that maternal education was categorized as a binary variable and women were assigned to either being currently in school or not currently in school. We did not have access to the women's education levels, which is a limitation of this study.

To our knowledge this is one of the few studies to evaluate whether pregnancy testing is associated with early ANC attendance. Several studies have found that women who recognized their pregnancy using a urine test were less likely to delay ANC compared to women who used other means such as missed periods.(22, 23) A prior study in South Africa reported an association between pregnancy self-testing and timing of ANC initiation. The study treated timing of ANC initiation as a continuous variable and found

that obtaining a urine pregnancy test from a private pharmacy was associated with a 3.6 week decrease in the gestational age at presentation for ANC.(6) In this study, we treated early ANC initiation – ANC attendance during the first 12 weeks of pregnancy – as a categorical variable. In the univariate analysis, we found that women who reported having used a pregnancy self-test were more likely to attend antenatal care in the first 12 weeks of pregnancy. However, after adjusting for partner’s education level, location of the health facility, gravidity, and previous pregnancy complications, this association was no longer significant. The study sample size may not have been sufficient to observe a statistically significant association. Additionally, the characteristics of the pregnant women in our study population could have been different from the women in the South Africa study. As such the women in our study population could have different antenatal care seeking behaviors. Also, while previous studies focused on whether access to pregnancy testing reduced gestational age at presentation for ANC, our study evaluated whether pregnancy self-testing was associated with an increased likelihood of presenting for ANC during the first trimester. Future research should investigate whether access to pregnancy self-tests by women who are less likely to use pregnancy self-tests would increase the likelihood of presenting early for ANC.

Our study has some limitations. Although we were able to recruit over 1000 study participants from 20 clinics in western Kenya, the majority of the participants came from rural areas therefore some aspects of our findings may not generalize to other settings. Secondly, participants self-reported when they confirmed their pregnancy and when they first presented for antenatal care, this could lead to differential misclassification due to recall bias. Finally, given that we conducted secondary analysis from an existing cluster randomized trial, we were unable to assess additional variables such as knowledge and attitudes toward pregnancy self-testing and ANC that may be associated with pregnancy testing and early ANC.

In conclusion, our study found a modest overall use of pregnancy self-tests. The majority of women either did not see the utility of pregnancy self-testing or did not know about pregnancy self-tests. Promoting awareness of pregnancy self-tests may be a useful driver of earlier ANC attendance and warrants further research. Qualitative research is needed to understand women’s attitudes, knowledge and motivations toward pregnancy self-testing and how it informs decision-making around ANC attendance.

Abbreviations

| | |
|--------------|---|
| ANC | Antenatal care |
| KDHS | Kenya demographic and health survey |
| MCH | Maternal child health |
| PrEP | Pre-exposure prophylaxis |
| PRIMA | PrEP implementation for mothers in antenatal care |
| WHO | World Health Organization |

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Kenyatta National Hospital and the University of Washington institutional review boards. All participants provided informed consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grant number R01 AI125498). Additional support was provided by the University of Washington Center for AIDS Research (grant number P30 AI027757).

Authors' contributions

NN designed the study, worked on the analysis and drafted the manuscript. MM designed the study, supervised and worked on the analysis and drafted the manuscript. KM supervised the data analysis, contributed to interpretation of the analysis and revised the manuscript. JD, JK, JB, GJS, LG, MM and BO revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the PrEP Implementation for Mothers in Antenatal Care (PRIMA) study team and clients for their contributions to this study; we thank the Homa Bay and Siaya County Directors of Health for their support.

References

1. WHO. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. Geneva; 2016.
2. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics and ICF Macro. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2013-2014. Kenya; 2015 Dec.

3. Pell C, Meñaca A, Were F, Afrah NA, Chatio S, Manda-Taylor L, et al. Factors Affecting Antenatal Care Attendance: Results from Qualitative Studies in Ghana, Kenya and Malawi. Moormann AM, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2013 Jan 15 [cited 2020 Feb 14];8(1):e53747. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053747>
4. Myer L, Harrison A. Why do womn seek antenatal care late? Perspectives from rural South Africa. J Midwifery Women's Heal [Internet]. 2003 Jul [cited 2020 Jun 22];48(4):268–72. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12867911/>
5. Dettinger JC, Kinuthia J, Pintye J, Mwongeli N, Gómez L, Richardson BA, et al. PrEP Implementation for Mothers in Antenatal Care (PrIMA): Study protocol of a cluster randomised trial. BMJ Open. 2019 Mar 1;9(3).
6. Morroni C, Moodley J. The role of urine pregnancy testing in facilitating access to antenatal care and abortion services in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2006 Dec 7 [cited 2020 Jan 31];6(1):26. Available from: <https://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2393-6-26>
7. Adjiwanou V, Bougma M, LeGrand T. The effect of partners' education on women's reproductive and maternal health in developing countries. Soc Sci Med. 2018 Jan 1;197:104–15.
8. Yaya S, Bishwajit G, Ekholuenetale M, Shah V, Kadio B, Udenigwe O. Timing and adequate attendance of antenatal care visits among women in Ethiopia. Larson BA, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 Sep 18 [cited 2020 Jun 21];12(9):e0184934. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184934>
9. Okedo-Alex IN, Akamike IC, Ezeanosike OB, Uneke CJ. Determinants of antenatal care utilisation in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2019 Oct 1;9(10).
10. Pell C, Meñaca A, Were F, Afrah NA, Chatio S, Manda-Taylor L, et al. Factors Affecting Antenatal Care Attendance: Results from Qualitative Studies in Ghana, Kenya and Malawi. Moormann AM, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2013 Jan 15 [cited 2020 Feb 16];8(1):e53747. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053747>
11. Asweto CO, Aluoch JR, Obonyo CO, Ouma JO. Maternal Autonomy, Distance to Health Care Facility and ANC Attendance: Findings from Madiany Division of Siaya County, Kenya. Am J Public Heal Res. 2014 Aug 6;2(4):153–8.
12. Moller AB, Petzold M, Chou D, Say L. Early antenatal care visit: a systematic analysis of regional and global levels and trends of coverage from 1990 to 2013. Lancet Glob Heal. 2017 Oct 1;5(10):e977–83.
13. Makate M, Makate C. Prenatal care utilization in Zimbabwe: Examining the role of community-level factors. J Epidemiol Glob Health. 2017 Dec 1;7(4):255–62.
14. Wilunda C, Scanagatta C, Putoto G, Montalbetti F, Segafredo G, Takahashi R, et al. Barriers to utilisation of antenatal care services in South Sudan: a qualitative study in Rumbek North County. Reprod Health [Internet]. 2017 Dec 22 [cited 2020 Jun 19];14(1):65. Available from: <https://reproductive-health-journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12978-017-0327-0>

15. Kotoh AM, Boah M. “No visible signs of pregnancy, no sickness, no antenatal care”: Initiation of antenatal care in a rural district in Northern Ghana. *BMC Public Health* [Internet]. 2019 Aug 13 [cited 2020 Jun 8];19(1):1094. Available from: <https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-019-7400-2>
16. Simkhada B, Teijlingen ER van, Porter M, Simkhada P. Factors affecting the utilization of antenatal care in developing countries: systematic review of the literature. *J Adv Nurs* [Internet]. 2008 Jan 9 [cited 2020 Jun 22];61(3):244–60. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04532.x>
17. Elo IT. Social class differentials in health and mortality patterns and explanation in comparative perspective. *Annu Rev Sociol.* 2009;35:553–72.
18. Hahn RA, Truman BI. Education Improves Public Health and Promotes Health Equity. *Int J Heal Serv* [Internet]. 2015 Oct 19 [cited 2019 Apr 22];45(4):657–78. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0020731415585986>
19. Aliyu AA, Dahiru T. Predictors of delayed Antenatal Care (ANC) visits in Nigeria: secondary analysis of 2013 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS). *Pan Afr Med J* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Jun 21];26:124. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC5429423/?report=abstract>
20. Tekelab T, Chojenta C, Smith R, Loxton D. Factors affecting utilization of antenatal care in Ethiopia: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2020 Jun 22];14(4). Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC6459485/?report=abstract>
21. Gebremeskel F, Dibaba Y, Admassu B. Timing of First Antenatal Care Attendance and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women in Arba Minch Town and Arba Minch District, Gamo Gofa Zone, South Ethiopia [Internet]. *Journal of Environment and Public Health.* 2015 [cited 2020 Jun 22]. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jeph/2015/971506/>
22. Gudayu TW. Proportion and Factors Associated with late Antenatal Care Booking among Pregnant Mothers in Gondar Town, North West Ethiopia. *Afr J Reprod Health.* 2015;19(2):93.
23. Tesfaye G, Loxton D, Chojenta C, Semahegn A, Smith R. Delayed initiation of antenatal care and associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Health* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 15 [cited 2020 Feb 7];14(1):150. Available from: <https://reproductive-health-journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12978-017-0412-4>

Tables

Table 1: Characteristics of pregnant women who confirmed their pregnancy with or without conducting a pregnancy self-test (n=1074)

| Characteristics | Conducted a pregnancy self-test (n=244) ^a | | Did not conduct a pregnancy self-test(n=830) ^a | | p-value |
|--|--|------|---|------|---------|
| | N | (%) | N | (%) | |
| Age | | | | | 0.982 |
| 15-19 | 29 | (12) | 98 | (12) | |
| 20-24 | 93 | (40) | 309 | (39) | |
| 25 | 113 | (48) | 387 | (49) | |
| Currently in school | | | | | <0.001 |
| Yes | 36 | (15) | 55 | (7) | |
| No | 206 | (85) | 763 | (93) | |
| Previously pregnant | | | | | <0.001 |
| Yes | 168 | (69) | 667 | (80) | |
| No | 76 | (31) | 162 | (20) | |
| Marital status | | | | | 0.572 |
| Currently married ^b | 208 | (86) | 719 | (88) | |
| Not married ^c | 33 | (14) | 101 | (12) | |
| Currently employed | | | | | <0.001 |
| Yes | 61 | (25) | 77 | (9) | |
| No | 181 | (75) | 742 | (91) | |
| Travel time to the health facility (minutes) | | | | | 0.001 |
| 30 | 172 | (71) | 482 | (59) | |
| 30 | 70 | (29) | 338 | (41) | |
| Partner's education attainment | | | | | <0.001 |
| Primary school and below ^d | 31 | (14) | 291 | (40) | |
| Some high school ^e | 83 | (38) | 323 | (44) | |
| Some college ^f | 103 | (47) | 121 | (16) | |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|------|-----|------|--------|
| Health facility location | | | | | <0.001 |
| Urban | 120 | (49) | 236 | (28) | |
| Rural | 124 | (51) | 594 | (72) | |
| Previous pregnancy complications ^f | | | | | 0.610 |
| Yes | 15 | (6) | 44 | (5) | |
| No | 229 | (94) | 786 | (95) | |
| Timing of pregnancy confirmation | | | | | <0.001 |
| 1 st trimester | 201 | (82) | 496 | (60) | |
| 2 nd trimester | 40 | (16) | 302 | (36) | |
| 3 rd trimester | 3 | (1) | 32 | (4) | |
| <p>^aThe number of respondents for each variable may vary due to missing responses</p> <p>^bIncludes respondents who are married and come we stay</p> <p>^cIncludes respondents who are single, divorced, widowed, have a steady boyfriend</p> <p>^dIncludes respondents with no formal education and those who either completed or did not complete primary school</p> <p>^eIncludes respondents who at least attended high school</p> <p>^fIncludes respondents who at least attended college</p> <p>^gIncludes late pregnancy bleeding, miscarriage, fetal miscarriage, and high blood pressure</p> | | | | | |

Table 2: Logistic regression model for variables associated with pregnancy self-testing (N=909)

| Predictor Variables | OR(95% CI) | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Crude | Adjusted |
| Marital status (reference group: unmarried) | | |
| Married | 0.89(0.58, 1.35) | 1.54(0.77, 3.08) |
| Previous pregnancy (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 0.54(0.39, 0.74) | 0.79 (0.49, 1.27) |
| Age (reference group: 15-19) | | |
| 20-24 | 1.02(0.63, 1.64) | 0.77(0.41, 1.43) |
| 25 | 0.99(0.62, 1.57) | 0.80(0.41, 1.53) |
| Employment status (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 3.25(2.24, 4.72) | 2.43(1.53, 3.85) |
| Education status (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 2.42(1.55, 3.80) | 2.14(1.19, 3.85) |
| Travel time to health facility(minutes) (reference group: 30) | | |
| 30 | 1.72(1.26, 2.35) | 1.15(0.79, 1.67) |
| Partners education attainment (reference group: some primary school) | | |
| Some high school | 2.41(1.55, 3.75) | 2.10(1.32, 3.34) |
| Some college | 8.00(5.07, 12.58) | 5.93(3.60, 9.76) |
| Previous pregnancy complications (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 1.17(0.64, 2.14) | 1.34(1.24, 2.53) |
| Location of health facility (reference group: rural health facilities) | | |
| Urban health facilities | 2.44(1.82, 3.26) | 1.77(1.24, 2.53) |

Table 3: Logistic regression model for variables associated with early antenatal care attendance (N=909)

| Predictor Variables | OR (95% CI) | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | Crude | Adjusted |
| Pregnancy self-testing | | |
| Non-pregnancy self-test use | 1.50(1.12, 2.00) | 1.09(0.76, 1.55) |
| Marital status (reference group: unmarried) | | |
| Married | 1.29(0.87, 1.90) | 1.23(0.68, 2.24) |
| Previous pregnancy (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 0.65(0.49, 0.88) | 0.58(0.38, 0.87) |
| Age (reference group: 15-19) | | |
| 20-24 | 1.55(1.01, 2.39) | 1.30(0.76, 2.21) |
| 25 | 1.17(0.76, 1.79) | 1.20(0.69, 2.09) |
| Employment status (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 1.33(0.93, 1.92) | 1.19(0.77, 1.83) |
| Education status (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 0.98(0.62, 1.54) | 0.96(0.55, 1.67) |
| Travel time to health facility(minutes) (reference group: 30) | | |
| 30 | 1.24(0.95, 1.61) | 1.00(0.74, 1.35) |
| Partners education attainment (reference group: some primary school) | | |
| Some high school | 1.32(0.97, 1.81) | 1.19(0.84, 1.67) |
| Some college | 2.04(1.43, 2.92) | 1.58(1.03, 2.40) |
| Previous pregnancy complications (reference group: no) | | |
| Yes | 1.97(1.17, 3.32) | 2.18(1.22, 3.90) |
| Location of health facility (reference group: Rural health facilities) | | |

| | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Urban health facilities | 1.66(1.28, 2.15) | 1.51(1.11, 2.05) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplement1.docx](#)