Genes and Mechanisms Associated With Experimentally Induced Bovine Respiratory Disease Identified With Supervised Machine Learning Methodology on Integrated Transcriptomic Datasets

Matthew Scott (✉ matthewscott@tamu.edu)

Texas A&M University and West Texas A&M University

Amelia Woolums

Mississippi State University

Cyprianna Swiderski

Mississippi State University

Andy Perkins

Mississippi State University

Bindu Nanduri

Mississippi State University

Research Article

Keywords: Bovine respiratory disease (BRD), Genes, machine learning methodology, transcriptomic datasets, multifactorial disease

Posted Date: August 12th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-789747/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is a multifactorial disease involving complex host immune interactions shaped by pathogenic agents and environmental factors. Advancements in RNA sequencing and associated analytical methods are improving our understanding of host response related to BRD pathophysiology. Supervised machine learning (ML) approaches present one such method for analyzing new and previously published transcriptome data to identify novel genes and mechanisms. Our objective was to apply ML models to lung and immunological tissue datasets acquired from previous clinical BRD experiments to identify genes that classify disease with high accuracy. Raw mRNA sequencing reads from 151 bovine datasets (n=123 BRD, n=28 control) were downloaded from NCBI-GEO. Quality filtered reads were assembled in a HISAT2/Stringtie2 pipeline. Raw gene counts for ML analysis were normalized, transformed, and analyzed with MLSeq, utilizing six ML models. Cross-validation parameters (5-fold, repeated 10 times) were applied in a 70:30 training/testing ratio. Downstream analysis of genes identified by the top sparse classifiers for each etiological association was performed within WebGestalt and Reactome ($FDR \leq 0.05$). Support vector machines was routinely the top non-sparse classifier for predicting etiological disease versus sham control. Nearest shrunken centroid and Poisson linear discriminant analysis with power transformation could reliably classify IBR and BRSV with 100% accuracy. Genes identified in IBR and BRSV, but not BVDV, were related to type I interferon production and IL-8 secretion, specifically in lymphoid tissue and not lung. Genes identified in *Mannheimia haemolytica* infections were involved in activating classical and alternative pathways of complement. Novel findings, including expression of genes related to reduced mitochondrial oxygenation and ATP synthesis in consolidated lung tissue, were discovered. Genes identified in each analysis represent distinct genomic events relevant to understanding and predicting clinical BRD. The few genes shared across analyses may be reliably associated with clinical BRD. Our analysis demonstrates the utility of ML with published datasets for discovering functional information to support prediction and understanding BRD acquisition.

Introduction

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is the most important disease complex in beef cattle production. Although extensively researched, BRD remains the leading cause of infectious disease and economic loss in post-weaned beef cattle worldwide^{1,2,3,4}. Due to the multifactorial and polymicrobial nature of BRD, effort has been made to illustrate host factors, management schema, etiological associations, and stressful environmental factors associated with disease development and progression^{1,2,4}. Recent research has been focused on predicting BRD susceptibility and outcomes over time^{5,6,7,8}. Unfortunately, clinical diagnostic and prognostic prediction models remain contested, and mechanistic information regarding host-pathogen interactions and the development of clinical BRD is not fully understood.

Clinical BRD is often linked with a select number of bacterial and viral etiologies. Bacteria, such as *Histophilus somni*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Mycoplasma bovis*, and *Pasteurella multocida*, and viruses, such as bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), bovine herpesvirus-1 (IBR), and bovine parainfluenza type 3 virus (PI3), are well studied regarding their pathological capacity and disease association^{9,10,11,12,13,14,15}. However, the clinical presentation of BRD is highly variable and antemortem diagnosis is often made without accompanying etiological identification^{9,13,16,17}. Additionally, cattle experimentally exposed to these agents often fail to develop severe clinical BRD, demonstrating the underlying complexity of the disease and the requirement of implied predisposing factors^{18,19}. Consequentially, current vaccination protocols possess varying effects in reducing ongoing rates of morbidity and mortality associated with BRD, and targeted antimicrobial usage and antimicrobial resistance is of particular public interest^{20,21,22,23,24,25}. Therefore, research is needed to elucidate underlying host mechanisms associated with infectious BRD that represent biological components and regulatory functions amenable to manipulation to improve disease response and clinical diagnosis.

High-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) is a highly sensitive methodology used to comprehensively evaluate functional mechanisms and molecular heterogeneity through global gene expression analysis^{26,27,28,29}. Because of the high sensitivity of the technology, growing technological applications in research, and decreasing costs, RNA-Seq has become an excellent method of evaluating cellular transcriptomes and functionality at a given point in time. Several RNA-Seq studies performed with samples from post-weaned beef cattle have identified underlying genes and host mechanisms associated with both naturally occurring and experimentally induced BRD^{30,31,32,33,34,35}. However, the results are highly dependent on the experimental design, sequencing technology, and selected data analysis technique, which may be highly conservative in nature^{28,36,37,38,39}. Therefore, the use of supervised machine learning models with previously published RNA-Seq data could identify additional gene expression and mechanistic information related to clinical presentation of BRD.

Supervised machine learning (ML) models used in biological research aid in the discover of molecules and establishment of dynamic models that recognize, classify, and predict disease outcomes^{40,41,42,43,44}. In recent years, studies have employed the use of ML framework to identify candidate biomarkers for disease classification, cell and tumor expression signatures, and novel protein mechanisms within publicly available RNA-Seq datasets^{45,46,47,48,49}. However, to our knowledge, the use of ML-based methodology has not been explored with BRD-associated datasets. Therefore, we combined mRNA-Seq data from lung and immunological tissue of cattle experimentally challenged with causative agents of BRD, and tested the classification performance of ML methodology and selected gene classifiers. Our objective for this study was to integrate three publicly available datasets and utilize ML methodology, in order to both corroborate findings previously discovered through differential gene expression analysis and to potentially identify novel genes and mechanisms associated with experimentally induced BRD.

Materials And Methods

Dataset Acquisition

One hundred and sixty high throughput mRNA sequencing datasets were acquired from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)^{50,51}. The datasets originated from lymphoid tissue and lung harvested during peak clinical signs in cattle that were

experimentally challenged with isolated BRD pathogens (n = 35), or their sham controls (n = 10). Analyses of these datasets has been previously reported^{30,31,32}. Details of sample sizes for challenged and control cattle, isolated BRD pathogens used for challenge, and tissue samples that were subjected to mRNA sequencing are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Initial training datasets identified for ML testing. A total of 160 mRNA-Seq datasets were derived from lymph node and lung tissue of 31 cattle challenged with isolated BRD pathogens and 10 sham challenged controls. Asterisk (*) indicates different tissues collected from the same animals. Specifically, transcriptomes from tissues reported by Behura et al. (2017) are from the same cattle from which Tizioto et al. analyzed bronchial lymph node transcriptomes (2015) except that *P. multocida* infected cattle reported by Tizioto et al. (2015) are not included in the cohort reported by Behura et al (2017).

NCBI BioProject ID	Animal breed	Animal age	Number of animals	Tissue types	Etiological agents used in challenge	Sequencing platform	Publication
PRJNA272725	Angus x Hereford (steers)	6-8 mon	n=23 challenge; n=4 control	Bronchial lymph node	BRSV* (n=4), BVDV* (n=4), IBR* (n=4), <i>M. haemolytica</i> * (n=4), <i>P. multocida</i> * (n=4), <i>M. bovis</i> * (n=3), control* (n=4)	Illumina HiSeq 2500; 50bp PE	Tizioto et al., 2015 (n=27)
PRJNA272725	Angus x Hereford (steers)	6-8 mon	n=19 challenge; n=4 control	Lung (healthy), lung (lesion), retropharyngeal lymph node, nasopharyngeal lymph node, pharyngeal tonsil	BRSV* (n=4), BVDV* (n=4), IBR* (n=4), <i>M. haemolytica</i> * (n=4), <i>M. bovis</i> * (n=3), control* (n=4)	Illumina HiSeq 2500; 50bp PE	Behura et al., 2017 (n=115)
PRJNA543752	Holstein-Friesian (bulls)	~4 mon	n=12 challenge; n=6 control	Bronchial lymph node	BRSV (n=12), control (n=6)	Illumina NextSeq 500; 75bp PE	Johnston et al., 2019 (n=18)

Read Processing and Gene Count Matrix generation

Paired-end read files for each dataset were concatenated to their corresponding forward and reverse direction. To eliminate potential variations induced by differing workflow toolkits, all reads were processed identically. Quality assessment, read trimming, and adapter contamination removal was performed with FastQC v0.11.9⁵² and Trimmomatic v0.39⁵³. Briefly, reads were trimmed by removing leading and trailing bases if base quality scores were less than 3, scanning each read with a 4-base pair sliding window and removing read segments below a minimum base quality score of 15, and retaining reads above a minimum length of 36 bases. Read quality analysis was summarized and evaluated for each study with MultiQC v0.37⁵⁴. Read survival and quality assessment information are provided in Supplemental file 1. Trimmed reads were mapped to the bovine reference assembly ARS-UCD1.2 using HISAT2 v2.2.0⁵⁵. Reference-guided transcript/gene assembly and quantification was performed with StringTie v2.1.2^{56,57}. A gene-level raw count matrix was generated for each dataset with the program prepDE.py⁵⁸. Five datasets (86684_Retrop_LN (control), 86688_Retrop_LN (BRSV), 86710_Retrop_LN (BVDV), 86698_dlung (*M. bovis*), and SRR1956908 (control)) were removed from further analysis due to low read count quantity and technical variability. Additionally, the four datasets related to *Pasteurella multocida* infection (SRR1952370, SRR1952371, SRR1952372, and SRR1952373) were removed to avoid unbalanced classification. The resulting compiled ML dataset was composed of 151 mRNA-Seq datasets.

Supervised Machine Learning Analysis

A total of 151 mRNA-Seq datasets, spanning six tissue types, constituted the compiled ML dataset for further classification and feature selection. Raw gene counts generated for each dataset were processed and analyzed in R v4.0.2 with the Bioconductor package MLSeq v2.6.0 (<https://github.com/dncR/MLSeq>)⁵⁹. The 151 mRNA-Seq libraries were allocated into 9 classes based on the nature of the experimental pathogen challenge: 1) sham-challenged controls (Control; n = 28), 2) challenged with any BRD pathogen (BRD; n = 123), 3) challenged with a BRD viral pathogen (Virus; n = 82), 4) challenged with a BRD bacterial pathogen (Bacteria; n = 41), and categories 5–9 for each of the 5 independent challenge pathogens (BRSV; n = 35, BVDV; n = 23, IBR; n = 24, *M. haemolytica*; n = 24, and *M. bovis*; n = 17). The objectives of the ensuing ML analysis were to develop ML models that would 1) accurately “classify” an mRNA-Seq dataset within the 9 experimental pathogen challenge classes and, 2) extract genes and gene sets or “features” that accurately assign an mRNA-Seq dataset to its experimental pathogen challenge class. These objectives were pursued by comparisons of the 8 pathogen challenge classes and the control challenge class. The raw gene count matrix used for this approach is available in Supplemental file 2. Briefly, offset values of one were added to the count matrix to reduce the likelihood of convergence in model fitting and to reduce bulk sparsity^{60,61}. Genes with a minimum count-per-million of 0.5 in three or more mRNA-Seq libraries were retained for analysis. Library normalization was performed with the DESeq median ratio approach, using default settings⁶². The resulting ML dataset was stratified into a training and testing set (70% and 30%, respectively), using controls as the comparative baseline (i.e., class statement).

Model validation and parameter optimization were evaluated using 5-fold, 10 repeats with non-exhaustive cross validation. Six ML models were utilized for classification and/or feature selection: sparse Poisson linear discriminant analysis, with and without a power transformation (PLDA, PLDA2)⁶³, negative binomial linear discriminant analysis (NBLDA)⁶⁴, sparse voom-based nearest shrunken centroids (VNSC)⁶⁵, support vector machine (SVM) (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/caret/caret.pdf>), and nearest shrunken centroids provided by the pamr package (PAM) (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pamr/pamr.pdf>). Models were evaluated with confusion matrices and performance metrics provided by the MLSeq package. Feature selection from sparse classifier models was set to a maximum of 2000 genes, based on maximum variance filtering. Sparse classifier models (PLDA, PLDA2, VNSC, and PAM) and generated feature (gene) lists were manually selected as the top models for each test set based on highest associated balanced

accuracy and Kappa statistic; if two or more models were equal, gene lists would be merged. Performance metric calculations are defined by Goksuluk and colleagues⁵⁹. Further information regarding workflow parameters, model building, and optimization are found in the package vignette and associated GitHub repository mirror (<https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/MLSeq.html>; <https://github.com/dncR/MLSeq>).

Exploration and Functional Analysis of Test Set Gene Classifiers

Visual relationships of the genes identified by the top sparse classifiers was performed with UpSetR v1.4.0⁶⁶, utilizing the interactive interface Intervene⁶⁷. Multidimensional scaling was applied to the gene count matrix with plotMDS, using pairwise distances of the top 500 genes based on variance⁶⁸. Heatmaps of the unique gene classifiers identified across etiologic test sets were generated with the Bioconductor package pheatmap v1.0.12⁶⁹, utilizing Ward's method of unsupervised hierarchical clustering on Euclidean distances and Pearson correlation coefficients for samples and genes, respectively. Color scaling for all packages was performed with the Bioconductor package viridis v0.5.1⁷⁰ to allow ease of visual interpretation for individuals with color blindness.

Functional association and biological significance of genes from each test set was assessed. Gene Ontology (GO) terms and pathway analysis of DEGs was performed with WebGestalt 2019 (WEB-based GENE Set Analysis Toolkit), utilizing human orthologs and functional databases⁷¹. Pathway analysis performed within WebGestalt 2019 utilized the pathway database Reactome⁷². Overrepresentation analysis parameters within WebGestalt 2019 included between 5 and 3000 genes per category, Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for multiple hypothesis correction, and FDR cutoff of 0.05 for significance.

Results

Supervised Machine Learning Model Performance

Mapping and alignment of reads to the ARS-UCD1.2 reference assembly identified 33,129 genes across all 151 libraries (n = 28 controls from 10 animals, n = 123 BRD from 32 animals; Supplemental file 2); the corresponding count matrix resulted in a total library size of 5,132,593,936, with a median library size of approximately 32.7 million counts per library. The count matrix was partitioned into nine pathogen challenge classes; overall testing performance for each ML algorithm is provided in Table 2. Support vectors machine (SVM) modeling, a non-sparse classifier, performed best in terms of balanced accuracy for all testing groups except for BVDV, which the nearest shrunken centroids model provided by the pamr package (PAM) outperformed all other models (86.7%). Because sparse classifiers utilize a subset of the data for classification, genes were acquired and compiled from the top sparse models within each experimental challenge comparison. PAM performed best in terms of balanced accuracy when classifying Virus (89.9%), BRSV (100.0%), BVDV (86.7%), *M. bovis* (71.4%), and *M. haemolytica* (73.3%) against controls. Poisson linear discriminant analysis (PLDA) performed best when classifying Bacteria (70.0%). Both power-transformed Poisson linear discriminant analysis (PLDA2) and PAM performed identically when classifying IBR (100.0%). BVDV was less accurate (PAM; 86.7%), which most likely affected classification accuracy when evaluating all viral samples (PAM; 89.9%). Bacteria-challenged classes performed worse overall, with accompanying top balanced accuracies of 80.0%, 71.4%, and 80.0% for *M. haemolytica* (SVM), *M. bovis* (SVM/PAM), and Bacteria (SVM) classification, respectively. Combination of all challenge classes (BRD) possessed poor balanced classification accuracy, with the highest non-sparse classifier at 65.0% (SVM) and sparse classifiers (VNSC) at 60.8%.

Table 2: ML performance across all testing sets. Calculations for ML performance metrics are defined by Goksuluk and colleagues (2019). PLDA: sparse Poisson linear discriminant analysis; PLDA2: sparse Poisson linear discriminant analysis with a power transformation; NBLDA: negative binomial linear discriminant analysis; SVM: support vector machine; PAM: nearest shrunken centroids provided by the pamr package; VNSC: sparse voom-based nearest shrunken centroids.

Test set	Features Tested	Accuracy	Accuracy upper	Accuracy lower	Kappa	McNemar p-value	Sensitivity	Specificity	Prevalence	Detection rate	Balanced accuracy
BRD PLDA	22062	0.5870	0.7300	0.4323	0.0839	0.0665	0.5000	0.6111	0.2174	0.1087	0.5556
BRD PLDA2	22062	0.5870	0.7300	0.4323	-0.0282	0.3588	0.3000	0.6667	0.2174	0.0652	0.4833
BRD NBLDA	22062	0.5652	0.7107	0.4111	-0.0502	0.2636	0.3000	0.6389	0.2174	0.0652	0.4694
BRD SVM	22062	0.8478	0.9366	0.7113	0.4015	0.0233	0.3000	1.0000	0.2174	0.0652	0.6500
BRD PAM	22062	0.7391	0.8573	0.5887	0.1737	0.7728	0.3000	0.8611	0.2174	0.0652	0.5806
BRD VNSC	22062	0.7826	0.8905	0.6364	0.2532	0.3428	0.3000	0.9167	0.2174	0.0652	0.6083
Virus PLDA	21685	0.6765	0.8261	0.4947	0.3909	0.0026	1.0000	0.5769	0.2353	0.2353	0.7885
Virus PLDA2	21685	0.7647	0.8925	0.5883	0.4809	0.0771	0.8750	0.7308	0.2353	0.2059	0.8029
Virus NBLDA	21685	0.7353	0.8712	0.5564	0.3953	0.1824	0.7500	0.7308	0.2353	0.1765	0.7404
Virus SVM	21685	0.9412	0.9928	0.8032	0.8211	0.4795	0.7500	1.0000	0.2353	0.1765	0.8750
Virus PAM	21685	0.9118	0.9814	0.7632	0.7650	1.0000	0.8750	0.9231	0.2353	0.2059	0.8990
Virus VNSC	21685	0.7941	0.9130	0.6210	0.5296	0.1306	0.8750	0.7692	0.2353	0.2059	0.8221
Bacteria PLDA	21162	0.7143	0.8872	0.4782	0.3636	0.1336	0.6667	0.7333	0.2857	0.1905	0.7000
Bacteria PLDA2	21162	0.8095	0.9455	0.5809	0.4167	0.6831	0.3333	1.0000	0.2857	0.0952	0.6667
Bacteria NBLDA	21162	0.8095	0.9455	0.5809	0.4815	0.6171	0.5000	0.9333	0.2857	0.1429	0.7167
Bacteria SVM	21162	0.8571	0.9695	0.6366	0.6316	1.0000	0.6667	0.9333	0.2857	0.1905	0.8000
Bacteria PAM	21162	0.7619	0.9178	0.5283	0.2222	0.0736	0.1667	1.0000	0.2857	0.0476	0.5833
Bacteria VNSC	21162	0.7143	0.8872	0.4782	0.0000	0.0412	0.0000	1.0000	0.2857	0.0000	0.5000
BRSV PLDA	20692	0.9474	0.9987	0.7397	0.8834	1.0000	1.0000	0.9231	0.3158	0.3158	0.9615
BRSV PLDA2	20692	0.9474	0.9987	0.7397	0.8834	1.0000	1.0000	0.9231	0.3158	0.3158	0.9615
BRSV NBLDA	20692	1.0000	1.0000	0.8235	1.0000	N/A	1.0000	1.0000	0.3158	0.3158	1.0000
BRSV SVM	20692	1.0000	1.0000	0.8235	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.3158	0.3158	1.0000
BRSV PAM	20692	1.0000	1.0000	0.8235	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.3158	0.3158	1.0000
BRSV VNSC	20692	0.6842	0.8742	0.4345	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.3158	0.0000	0.5000
IBR PLDA	20858	0.9375	0.9984	0.6977	0.8710	1.0000	1.0000	0.9000	0.3750	0.3750	0.9500
IBR PLDA2	20858	1.0000	1.0000	0.7941	1.0000	N/A	1.0000	1.0000	0.3750	0.3750	1.0000
IBR NBLDA	20858	0.9375	0.9984	0.6977	0.8710	1.0000	1.0000	0.9000	0.3750	0.3750	0.9500
IBR SVM	20858	1.0000	1.0000	0.7941	1.0000	N/A	1.0000	1.0000	0.3750	0.3750	1.0000
IBR PAM	20858	1.0000	1.0000	0.7941	1.0000	N/A	1.0000	1.0000	0.3750	0.3750	1.0000
IBR VNSC	20858	0.5000	0.7535	0.2465	-0.2308	0.2888	0.0000	0.8000	0.3750	0.0000	0.4000
BVDV PLDA	20468	0.5625	0.8025	0.2988	0.2432	0.0233	1.0000	0.3000	0.3750	0.3750	0.6500
BVDV PLDA2	20468	0.5000	0.7535	0.2465	0.0000	0.7237	0.5000	0.5000	0.3750	0.1875	0.5000
BVDV NBLDA	20468	0.5000	0.7535	0.2465	0.0000	0.7237	0.5000	0.5000	0.3750	0.1875	0.5000
BVDV SVM	20468	0.7500	0.9273	0.4762	0.5294	0.1336	1.0000	0.6000	0.3750	0.3750	0.8000
BVDV PAM	20468	0.8750	0.9845	0.6165	0.7333	1.0000	0.8333	0.9000	0.3750	0.3125	0.8667
BVDV VNSC	20468	0.3750	0.6457	0.1520	-0.1765	0.3428	0.5000	0.3000	0.3750	0.1875	0.4000

M. bovis PLDA	20345	0.3571	0.6486	0.1276	-0.2857	1.0000	0.4286	0.2857	0.5000	0.2143	0.3571
M. bovis PLDA2	20345	0.6429	0.8724	0.3514	0.2857	1.0000	0.7143	0.5714	0.5000	0.3571	0.6429
M. bovis NBLDA	20345	0.4286	0.7114	0.1766	-0.1429	0.2888	0.7143	0.1429	0.5000	0.3571	0.4286
M. bovis SVM	20345	0.7143	0.9161	0.4190	0.4286	0.6171	0.8571	0.5714	0.5000	0.4286	0.7143
M. bovis PAM	20345	0.7143	0.9161	0.4190	0.4286	0.1336	1.0000	0.4286	0.5000	0.5000	0.7143
M. bovis VNSC	20345	0.5000	0.7696	0.2304	0.0000	0.0233	0.0000	1.0000	0.5000	0.0000	0.5000
M. haemolytica PLDA	20659	0.5000	0.7535	0.2465	0.0000	0.7237	0.5000	0.5000	0.3750	0.1875	0.5000
M. haemolytica PLDA2	20659	0.5625	0.8025	0.2899	-0.1200	0.1306	0.0000	0.9000	0.3750	0.0000	0.4500
M. haemolytica NBLDA	20659	0.6250	0.8480	0.3543	0.2500	0.6831	0.6667	0.6000	0.3750	0.2500	0.6333
M. haemolytica SVM	20659	0.7500	0.9273	0.4762	0.5294	0.1336	1.0000	0.6000	0.3750	0.3750	0.8000
M. haemolytica PAM	20659	0.7500	0.9273	0.4762	0.4667	1.0000	0.6667	0.8000	0.3750	0.2500	0.7333
M. haemolytica VNSC	20659	0.6250	0.8480	0.3543	0.0000	0.0412	0.0000	1.0000	0.3750	0.0000	0.5000

Visualization of Gene Expression Variation

Multidimensional scaling (MDS) was applied to the integrated ML dataset, to discern dissimilarities between its individual mRNA-Seq libraries based on gene variation. Each point on x- and y- axes represents a different individual dataset and subsequent transformed Euclidean distance in two dimensions. Patterns from the top 500 genes based on log2-normalized standard deviation revealed that there was an overall similarity in gene expression across specific tissue types. While differences can be appreciated for each dataset with distinction to tissue site, lung (cluster 1; light blue) and lymphoid tissues (cluster 2; purple) were the most evident in terms of dissimilarity (Fig. 1). Notably, bronchial lymph node tissue from Johnston et al.³² (cluster 3; green) demonstrated equivalent dissimilarity from lung tissue as the bronchial lymph node tissue from Tizioto et al.³⁰. However, the bronchial lymph node tissue from the two different studies were distinct from one-another when evaluated in the second dimensional space. Tissue-level gene expression differences (e.g., lung versus all other tissue types) were more pronounced compared to disease or etiological differences.

A heat map was generated for each dataset using the gene classifiers identified through the top ML sparse model in each etiologic-specific test group (Fig. 2). A total of 572 genes were identified across the five etiological test groups, 357 of which were unique (Supplemental file 3). Expression patterns within each column is accompanied by unsupervised hierarchical clustering, visualizing likeness in tissue type, etiology, and disease classification. Similar to the MDS plot, distinction based on gene expression can be appreciated across lung and lymphoid tissue types. Pearson correlation coefficients clustering of genes (rows) allowed for the visualization of distinct expression patterns. Particularly, three visual expression modules were identified, and labeled as 1, 2, and 3. Visual expression module 1 contained the genes *PSMB8*, *PPA1*, *PARP12*, *EPSTI1*, *CXCL10*, *CLEC4F*, *TIFA*, *ZNFX1*, *MX1*, *DHX58*, *LOC100139670*, *GBP4*, *ZBP1*, *PLAC8*, *LOC618737*, *LOC512486*, *ISG15*, *IFIT2*, *IFITM1*, *PML*, *FAM111B*, and *CD274*, which were distinctly higher in expression in lymphatic tissue sampled from cattle experimentally challenged with BRSV and IBR compared to all remaining. Visual expression module 2 contained the genes *CPSF6*, *TMEM123*, *CIRBP*, *ATP6*, *ATP8*, *ND4L*, *LPP*, *IFITM2*, *LOC112444847*, *DTX3L*, *LDHA*, *RPS26*, *STIP1*, *PSME2*, *PARP9*, *LOC786372*, *PTP4A2*, *CDC42SE1*, and *NLRC5*, which were distinctly decreased in disease lung tissue sampled from cattle experimentally challenged with *Mycoplasma bovis*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, and IBR. Visual expression module 3 contained the genes *WDFY4*, *OTUD4*, *LCP2*, *OCDC69*, *TLN1*, *RPS7*, *VPC*, *HNRNPU*, and *HMGB2*, which were distinctly increased in bronchial lymph node tissue sampled from cattle in the control group and experimentally challenged BRSV.

To explore the complex overlap of gene classifiers between etiological groups, we employed an UpSetR matrix intersection technique (Fig. 3). Among the genes identified through the top sparse classifiers, BRSV was the most distinct with 109 unique genes. There was an apparent separation of viral-related genes, whereas BRSV and IBR possessed the highest overlap (42), BVDV possessed 24 unique genes, and only four genes were shared across all three viruses. Similarly, the bacterial datasets possessed minor overlap, with 25 and 22 genes identified uniquely for *M. haemolytica* and *M. bovis*, respectively, and only four genes shared between both bacterial analyses.

Functional Analysis of Gene Classifiers

Gene Ontology (GO) terms for biological processes and Reactome pathway enrichment analyses were performed with WebGestalt ($FDR \leq 0.05$). 120, 72, 1, and 48 GO-BP terms were significantly enriched by gene classifiers identified for BRSV, IBR, BVDV, and *M. haemolytica*, respectively; no significant GO-BP terms were enriched for *M. bovis*. 47, 15, and 15 pathways were enriched by gene classifiers identified for BRSV, IBR, and *M. haemolytica*, respectively; no pathways were enriched for BVDV and *M. bovis*. All GO-BP terms and pathways identified is found in Supplemental file 4. Overlap of the GO-BP terms and pathways identified for each etiological group is shown in Fig. 4A and 4B. BRSV and IBR possessed the highest overlap of functional associations, with 37 GO-BP terms and 12 pathways shared between the two. GO-BP terms and pathways between BRSV and IBR were primarily related to type I interferon production and signaling, cellular metabolism and ATP production, unfolded protein response, antigenic cross presentation, and IL-8 secretion. Between BRSV, IBR, and *M. haemolytica*, 12 GO-BP terms and 4 pathways were shared across all three. GO-BP terms and pathways between BRSV, IBR, and *M. haemolytica* were related to innate immune response, apoptosis, and unfolded protein response. *M. haemolytica* differed in functional enrichment with processes and pathways related to neutrophilic activation and degranulation, classical and alternative complement activation, and immunoglobulin-mediated humoral immunity. All five etiological groups shared genes involved in heat-shock protein response.

Discussion

Over the past several years, RNA-Seq analysis has been utilized in bovine disease research to evaluate gene expression related to risk of BRD development, stress response, and viral lesion development^{30,31,32,33,34,35,73,74}. Primarily, studies that generate RNA-Seq data utilize statistical platforms and techniques for the detection of differentially expressed genes and subsequent construction of functional networks or modules. Many RNA-Seq studies are thus limited in extrapolatory capacity, as analyses are often performed through subsampling single populations and fitting fixed statistical models, which may be conservative when analyzing gene expression datasets with overdispersion^{75,76,77}. Fortunately, publicly available gene expression repositories, such as the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, make it possible to acquire, integrate, and analyze datasets related to a particular field or disease. Such studies have been performed in mammalian species, including cattle, to better characterize genomic mechanisms and protein production related to a particular disease or condition^{49,78,79}. Additionally, with the dynamic capacity for analysis that supervised ML models allow, it is possible to explore and characterize gene expression patterns associated with clinical BRD with profound sensitivity^{42,79}. In this study, we integrated gene expression data from controlled BRD experiments and determine expression patterns and classification potential through supervised ML analysis.

Some of the limitations of this study are evident. First, data were integrated from three studies, two of which utilized the same animals for their transcriptomic analysis^{30,31}. While a clear separation in gene expression patterns was appreciated across tissue types, corroborating the findings Behura and colleagues³¹, utilizing datasets from a limited number of animals and at single time points may not account for the heterogenous nature of gene expression related to BRD development and clinical presentation^{75,80}. Additionally, these datasets were acquired from samples of cattle experimentally challenged with single pathogens. BRD challenge models using single etiological components often fails to elicit severe disease, as described by the three studies used here and may not fully represent the complex nature of the disease process seen with naturally occurring BRD^{81,82}. Moreover, RNA-Seq analysis remains a relatively new modality in BRD research, and publicly available data are limited at this time. Nonetheless, this study, which to our knowledge is the first to evaluate host transcriptomes related to BRD with integrated supervised ML methodology, substantiating many of the gene expression findings previously identified, and may serve as a template for modern data analysis in bovine health research.

Between all testing groups and the six models utilized in this study, the support vector machines (SVM) model typically performed the best in terms of classification capacity. While originally utilized in microarray experiments, this algorithm is popular for genomic classification research in RNA-Seq, as it has been used to discover cancer biomarkers in humans, classify genes related to early conception in cattle, and automate single-cell RNA-Seq identification^{49,83,84}. While this algorithm was capable of accurately classifying BRSV and IBR challenged datasets, compared to controls, this model is a non-sparse classifier and therefore does not have a built-in process for feature selection and gene extraction within MLSEq. Therefore, particular interest was placed on the PLDA, PLDA2, PAM, and VNSC algorithms, as subsets of genes used to drive classification decisions could be obtained. The compiling of datasets for classifying total BRD, viral, and bacterial challenge yielded mixed results. For total BRD, sparse classifiers PAM and VNSC yielded high classificational accuracy for identifying the challenged cattle, but performed poorly in discerning them from the controls, as illustrated by the accompanying sensitivity and balanced accuracy. This finding may be related to the complexity and distinction of infection processes associated with each etiological component, and highlights that an all-encompassing approach may be inappropriate for determining relevant gene expression and pathways in BRD. To a lesser extent, this is similarly found when compiling bacterial datasets, as discernment from controls was relatively poor. Viral datasets yielded much higher overall balanced accuracies, compared to the bacterial counterparts. Regarding sparse classifiers, BRSV, IBR, and BVDV were capable of being classified with high balanced accuracy (100%, 100%, 86.67%, respectively) through the PAM model; IBR was also identified with 100% balanced accuracy with PLDA2.

Generally, viruses were independently the most well classified, followed by *M. haemolytica*. Collectively, BRSV and IBR were well defined by genes involved in the production and response to type I interferons. More specifically, these genes were seen to be driven primarily by lymphoid tissue, as opposed to the lungs (expression module 1, Fig. 2). This result, coupled with the subsequent lack of type I interferon genes from the BVDV class, corroborates findings previously described^{30,31}. Biologically, the lack of this innate interferon response has been described as a potential immunosuppressive response driven by BVDV, allowing for persistent infection and viral shedding^{85,86,87,88}. Notably, non-cytopathic BVDV strains used in experimental infection models, such as the one utilized in this project, have been shown to reduce proinflammatory signaling^{31,89}. While the functional enrichment of the genes classified for BVDV were largely non-specific, several have been previously identified and have known immunological functionality^{30,31}. Related to *M. haemolytica*, there was apparent overlap in functionality of genes identified through ML (Fig. 4). Largely, this was driven by genes encoding for heat shock proteins, calreticulin, talin-1, and X-box binding protein. These proteins are involved in apoptotic and cell stress events, and may ultimately impact immunoglobulin production and cellular homeostasis^{90,91,92,93}. Additionally, genes classified in *M. haemolytica* were unique to the activation of classical and alternative pathways of complement. While complement-related genes were identified across all viruses in previously reported gene expression studies and here, the alternative complement

component *CFB* was only identified in *M. haemolytica*. This may be an indication that the presence and activation of the alternative complement pathway is more indicative of inflammation and disease associated with lipopolysaccharide, often caused by extracellular Gram-negative bacteria such as *M. haemolytica*^{14,94}.

Lastly, novel findings were identified through visual expression modules found in Fig. 2. Expression module 2 possessed 19 genes with reduced expression in disease lung tissue sampled from cattle experimentally challenged with *Mycoplasma bovis*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, and IBR. While often assumed that the oxygenating capability of consolidated lung space during acute respiratory disease is substantially decreased, this expression module provides evidence of this event, as these genes largely possess aerobic ATP synthase and mitochondrial function^{95,96,97,98}. Expression module 3 had nine genes with increased expression in bronchial lymph node tissue sampled from cattle in the control group and BRSV. These genes play important roles in T-cell proliferation, integrin activation and antigenic presentation through actin/tubulin reorganization^{99,100,101,102}. Potentially, this serves as an underlying mechanism of immunological stimulation unique to lymph nodes of the lower airway.

Conclusion

This study was conducted to integrate and analyze mRNA-Seq datasets with supervised ML methodology. This approach allowed for a novel and comprehensive analysis of lung and immunological tissues in to experimentally induced BRD. ML enabled the classification of viral-induced BRD, specifically with BRSV and IBR, with 100% balanced accuracy, against sham controls, regardless of the tissue type. This experimental investigation illustrates a novel and powerful approach to the investigation of host response mechanisms in BRD through the use of mRNA-Seq and supervised ML analysis.

Declarations

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data utilized in this study were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI-GEO), Bioproject numbers PRJNA272725 and PRJNA543752.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would acknowledge the support for this work from the Mississippi State University Department of Pathobiology and Population Medicine. We would like to thank the support staff of NCBI GEO, who organize, maintain, and assure free distribution of sequence data and necessary metadata which made this project possible.

Contributions

Experimental design: MAS, ADP, BN. Data collection: MAS. Computational analysis: MAS, CES, ADP, BN. Project supervision: MAS, ARW, CES, ADP, BN. Composed the original draft: MAS. All authors contributed to review and editing of the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Griffin, D., Chengappa, M. M., Kuszak, J. & McVey, D. S. Bacterial pathogens of the bovine respiratory disease complex. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract* **26**, 381-394 (2010).
2. Delabougli, A. et al. Linking disease epidemiology and livestock productivity: The case of bovine respiratory disease in France. *PLoS One* **12**, e0189090 (2017).
3. Timurkan, M. O., Aydin, H. & Sait, A. Identification and Molecular Characterisation of Bovine Parainfluenza Virus-3 and Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus - First Report from Turkey. *J Vet Res* **63**, 167-173 (2019).
4. Murray, G. M. et al. Pathogens, patterns of pneumonia, and epidemiologic risk factors associated with respiratory disease in recently weaned cattle in Ireland. *J Vet Diagn Invest* **29**, 20-34 (2017).
5. Blakebrough-Hall, C., Hick, P. & González, L. A. Predicting bovine respiratory disease outcome in feedlot cattle using latent class analysis. *J Anim Sci* **98**, skaa381 (2020).
6. Baruch, J. et al. Performance of multiple diagnostic methods in assessing the progression of bovine respiratory disease in calves challenged with infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus and Mannheimia haemolytica1. *J Anim Sci* **97**, 2357-2367 (2019).
7. Glover, I. D., Barrett, D. C. & Reyher, K. K. Little association between birth weight and health of preweaned dairy calves. *Vet Rec* **184**, 477 (2019).
8. Dutta, E. et al. Development of a Multiplex Real-Time PCR Assay for Predicting Macrolide and Tetracycline Resistance Associated with Bacterial Pathogens of Bovine Respiratory Disease. *Pathogens* **10**, 64 (2021).
9. Cusack, P. M., McMeniman, N. & Lean, I. J. The medicine and epidemiology of bovine respiratory disease in feedlots. *Aust Vet J* **81**, 480-487 (2003).
10. Zhang, M. et al. The pulmonary virome, bacteriological and histopathological findings in bovine respiratory disease from western Canada. *Transbound Emerg Dis* **67**, 924-934 (2020).

11. Zhang, M. et al. Respiratory viruses identified in western Canadian beef cattle by metagenomic sequencing and their association with bovine respiratory disease. *Transbound Emerg Dis* **66**, 1379-1386 (2019).
12. Klima, C. L. et al. Lower Respiratory Tract Microbiome and Resistome of Bovine Respiratory Disease Mortalities. *Microb Ecol* **78**, 446-456 (2019).
13. Taylor, J. D., Fulton, R. W., Lehenbauer, T. W., Step, D. L. & Confer, A. W. The epidemiology of bovine respiratory disease: What is the evidence for predisposing factors. *Can Vet J* **51**, 1095-1102 (2010).
14. Rice, J. A., Carrasco-Medina, L., Hodgins, D. C. & Shewen, P. E. *Mannheimia haemolytica* and bovine respiratory disease. *Anim Health Res Rev* **8**, 117-128 (2007).
15. Woolums, A. R. et al. Multidrug resistant *Mannheimia haemolytica* isolated from high-risk beef stocker cattle after antimicrobial metaphylaxis and treatment for bovine respiratory disease. *Vet Microbiol* **221**, 143-152 (2018).
16. White, B. J. & Renter, D. G. Bayesian estimation of the performance of using clinical observations and harvest lung lesions for diagnosing bovine respiratory disease in post-weaned beef calves. *J Vet Diagn Invest* **21**, 446-453 (2009).
17. Timsit, E., Dendukuri, N., Schiller, I. & Buczinski, S. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical illness for bovine respiratory disease (BRD) diagnosis in beef cattle placed in feedlots: A systematic literature review and hierarchical Bayesian latent-class meta-analysis. *Prev Vet Med* **135**, 67-73 (2016).
18. Shahriar, F. M., Clark, E. G., Janzen, E., West, K. & Wobeser, G. Coinfection with bovine viral diarrhea virus and *Mycoplasma bovis* in feedlot cattle with chronic pneumonia. *Can Vet J* **43**, 863-868 (2002).
19. Allen, J. W., Viel, L., Bateman, K. G. & Rosendal, S. Changes in the bacterial flora of the upper and lower respiratory tracts and bronchoalveolar lavage differential cell counts in feedlot calves treated for respiratory diseases. *Can J Vet Res* **56**, 177-183 (1992).
20. O'Connor, A. M. et al. A systematic review and network meta-analysis of bacterial and viral vaccines, administered at or near arrival at the feedlot, for control of bovine respiratory disease in beef cattle. *Anim Health Res Rev* **20**, 143-162 (2019).
21. Griffin, C. M. et al. A randomized controlled trial to test the effect of on-arrival vaccination and deworming on stocker cattle health and growth performance. *Bov Pract (Stillwater)* **52**, 26-33 (2018).
22. Richeson, J. T. & Falkner, T. R. Bovine Respiratory Disease Vaccination: What Is the Effect of Timing. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract* **36**, 473-485 (2020).
23. Richeson, J. T. et al. Effects of on-arrival versus delayed clostridial or modified live respiratory vaccinations on health, performance, bovine viral diarrhea virus type I titers, and stress and immune measures of newly received beef calves. *J Anim Sci* **87**, 2409-2418 (2009).
24. Richeson, J. T. et al. Effects of on-arrival versus delayed modified live virus vaccination on health, performance, and serum infectious bovine rhinotracheitis titers of newly received beef calves. *J Anim Sci* **86**, 999-1005 (2008).
25. Coetzee, J. F. et al. Association between antimicrobial drug class for treatment and retreatment of bovine respiratory disease (BRD) and frequency of resistant BRD pathogen isolation from veterinary diagnostic laboratory samples. *PLoS One* **14**, e0219104 (2019).
26. Wang, Z., Gerstein, M. & Snyder, M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. *Nat Rev Genet* **10**, 57-63 (2009).
27. Hong, M. et al. RNA sequencing: new technologies and applications in cancer research. *J Hematol Oncol* **13**, 166 (2020).
28. Stark, R., Grzelak, M. & Hadfield, J. RNA sequencing: the teenage years. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 631-656 (2019).
29. Westermann, A. J., Gorski, S. A. & Vogel, J. Dual RNA-seq of pathogen and host. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**, 618-630 (2012).
30. Tizioto, P. C. et al. Immunological Response to Single Pathogen Challenge with Agents of the Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex: An RNA-Sequence Analysis of the Bronchial Lymph Node Transcriptome. *PLoS One* **10**, e0131459 (2015).
31. Behura, S. K. et al. Tissue Tropism in Host Transcriptional Response to Members of the Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex. *Sci Rep* **7**, 17938 (2017).
32. Johnston, D. et al. Experimental challenge with bovine respiratory syncytial virus in dairy calves: bronchial lymph node transcriptome response. *Sci Rep* **9**, 14736 (2019).
33. Scott, M. A. et al. Whole blood transcriptomic analysis of beef cattle at arrival identifies potential predictive molecules and mechanisms that indicate animals that naturally resist bovine respiratory disease. *PLoS One* **15**, e0227507 (2020).
34. Scott, M. A. et al. Comprehensive at-arrival transcriptomic analysis of post-weaned beef cattle uncovers type I interferon and antiviral mechanisms associated with bovine respiratory disease mortality. *PLoS One* **16**, e0250758 (2021).
35. Sun, H. Z. et al. Longitudinal blood transcriptomic analysis to identify molecular regulatory patterns of bovine respiratory disease in beef cattle. *Genomics* **112**, 3968-3977 (2020).
36. Rehrauer, H., Opitz, L., Tan, G., Sieverling, L. & Schlapbach, R. Blind spots of quantitative RNA-seq: the limits for assessing abundance, differential expression, and isoform switching. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 370 (2013).
37. Conesa, A. et al. A survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. *Genome Biol* **17**, 13 (2016).
38. Fang, Z., Martin, J. & Wang, Z. Statistical methods for identifying differentially expressed genes in RNA-Seq experiments. *Cell Biosci* **2**, 26 (2012).
39. Rajkumar, A. P. et al. Experimental validation of methods for differential gene expression analysis and sample pooling in RNA-seq. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 548 (2015).
40. Xu, C. & Jackson, S. A. Machine learning and complex biological data. *Genome Biol* **20**, 76 (2019).
41. Cascianelli, S., Molineris, I., Isella, C., Masseroli, M. & Medico, E. Machine learning for RNA sequencing-based intrinsic subtyping of breast cancer. *Sci Rep* **10**, 14071 (2020).
42. Wang, L., Xi, Y., Sung, S. & Qiao, H. RNA-seq assistant: machine learning based methods to identify more transcriptional regulated genes. *BMC Genomics* **19**, 546 (2018).

43. Ma, C., Xin, M., Feldmann, K. A. & Wang, X. Machine learning-based differential network analysis: a study of stress-responsive transcriptomes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **26**, 520-537 (2014).
44. Bzdok, D., Altman, N. & Krzywinski, M. Statistics versus machine learning. *Nat Methods* **15**, 233-234 (2018).
45. Song, X. et al. Identification of risk genes related to myocardial infarction and the construction of early SVM diagnostic model. *Int J Cardiol* **328**, 182-190 (2021).
46. Wang, C., Xue, W., Zhang, H. & Fu, Y. Identification of candidate genes encoding tumor-specific neoantigens in early- and late-stage colon adenocarcinoma. *Aging (Albany NY)* **13**, 4024-4044 (2021).
47. Palmer, D., Fabris, F., Doherty, A., Freitas, A. A. & de Magalhães, J. P. Ageing transcriptome meta-analysis reveals similarities and differences between key mammalian tissues. *Aging (Albany NY)* **13**, 3313-3341 (2021).
48. Moon, M. & Nakai, K. Stable feature selection based on the ensemble L₁-norm support vector machine for biomarker discovery. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 1026 (2016).
49. Rabaglino, M. B. & Kadarmideen, H. N. Machine learning approach to integrated endometrial transcriptomic datasets reveals biomarkers predicting uterine receptivity in cattle at seven days after estrous. *Sci Rep* **10**, 16981 (2020).
50. Edgar, R., Domrachev, M. & Lash, A. E. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**, 207-210 (2002).
51. Barrett, T. et al. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets—update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, D991-5 (2013).
52. Andrews S. FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. Online at <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/> (2010).
53. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
54. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047-3048 (2016).
55. Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 907–915 (2019).
56. Pertea, M. et al. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 290–295 (2015).
57. Kovaka, S. et al. Transcriptome assembly from long-read RNA-seq alignments with StringTie2. *Genome Biol* **20**, (2019).
58. Pertea G. prepDE.py. Available at <https://github.com/gpertea/stringtie/blob/master/prepDE.py> (2019).
59. Goksuluk, D. et al. MLSeq: Machine learning interface for RNA-sequencing data. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* **175**, 223-231 (2019).
60. Silverman, J. D., Roche, K., Mukherjee, S. & David, L. A. Naught all zeros in sequence count data are the same. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* **18**, 2789-2798 (2020).
61. Kaul, A., Mandal, S., Davidov, O. & Peddada, S. D. Analysis of Microbiome Data in the Presence of Excess Zeros. *Front Microbiol* **8**, 2114 (2017).
62. Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol* **11**, R106 (2010).
63. Buttenschoen, K. et al. Endotoxemia and endotoxin tolerance in patients with ARDS. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* **393**, 473-478 (2008).
64. Dong, K., Zhao, H., Tong, T. & Wan, X. NBLDA: negative binomial linear discriminant analysis for RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **17**, 369 (2016).
65. Zararsiz, G. et al. voomDDA: discovery of diagnostic biomarkers and classification of RNA-seq data. *PeerJ* **5**, e3890 (2017).
66. Conway, J. R., Lex, A. & Gehlenborg, N. UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2938-2940 (2017).
67. Khan, A. & Mathelier, A. Intervene: a tool for intersection and visualization of multiple gene or genomic region sets. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**, 287 (2017).
68. Ritchie, M. E. et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e47 (2015).
69. Kolde R. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. Available at <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html> (2019).
70. Garnier S. et al. viridis: Default Color Maps from 'matplotlib'. Available at <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/viridis/index.html> (2018).
71. Liao, Y., Wang, J., Jaehnig, E. J., Shi, Z. & Zhang, B. WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, W199–W205 (2019).
72. Fabregat, A. et al. The Reactome Pathway Knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, D649–D655 (2017).
73. Zhao, H. et al. Transcriptome Characterization of Short Distance Transport Stress in Beef Cattle Blood. *Front Genet* **12**, 616388 (2021).
74. Barreto, D. M., Barros, G. S., Santos, L. A. B. O., Soares, R. C. & Batista, M. V. A. Comparative transcriptomic analysis of bovine papillomatosis. *BMC Genomics* **19**, 949 (2018).
75. Lim, W. K. & Mathuru, A. S. Design, challenges, and the potential of transcriptomics to understand social behavior. *Curr Zool* **66**, 321-330 (2020).
76. Conesa, A. et al. Erratum to: A survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. *Genome Biol* **17**, 181 (2016).
77. Cai, G., Liang, S., Zheng, X. & Xiao, F. Local sequence and sequencing depth dependent accuracy of RNA-seq reads. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**, 364 (2017).
78. Lagani, V., Karozou, A. D., Gomez-Cabrero, D., Silberberg, G. & Tsamardinos, I. A comparative evaluation of data-merging and meta-analysis methods for reconstructing gene-gene interactions. *BMC Bioinformatics* **17** Suppl 5, 194 (2016).
79. Libbrecht, M. W. & Noble, W. S. Machine learning applications in genetics and genomics. *Nat Rev Genet* **16**, 321-332 (2015).
80. Liu, Y., Zhou, J. & White, K. P. RNA-seq differential expression studies: more sequence or more replication? *Bioinformatics* **30**, 301–304 (2013).

81. Theurer, M. E., Larson, R. L. & White, B. J. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effectiveness of commercially available vaccines against bovine herpesvirus, bovine viral diarrhea virus, bovine respiratory syncytial virus, and parainfluenza type 3 virus for mitigation of bovine respiratory disease complex in cattle. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* **246**, 126-142 (2015).
82. Colby, L. A., Quenee, L. E. & Zitzow, L. A. Considerations for Infectious Disease Research Studies Using Animals. *Comp Med* **67**, 222-231 (2017).
83. Huang, S. et al. Applications of Support Vector Machine (SVM) Learning in Cancer Genomics. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics* **15**, 41-51 (2018).
84. Abdelaal, T. et al. A comparison of automatic cell identification methods for single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Genome Biol* **20**, 194 (2019).
85. Cheng, Z. et al. Acute bovine viral diarrhea virus infection inhibits expression of interferon tau-stimulated genes in bovine endometrium. *Biol Reprod* **96**, 1142-1153 (2017).
86. Peterhans, E. & Schweizer, M. BVDV: a pestivirus inducing tolerance of the innate immune response. *Biologicals* **41**, 39-51 (2013).
87. Peterhans, E., Jungi, T. W. & Schweizer, M. BVDV and innate immunity. *Biologicals* **31**, 107-112 (2003).
88. Alkheraif, A. A. et al. Type 2 BVDV Npro suppresses IFN-1 pathway signaling in bovine cells and augments BRSV replication. *Virology* **507**, 123-134 (2017).
89. Lee, S. R., Pharr, G. T., Boyd, B. L. & Pinchuk, L. M. Bovine viral diarrhea viruses modulate toll-like receptors, cytokines and co-stimulatory molecules genes expression in bovine peripheral blood monocytes. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis* **31**, 403-418 (2008).
90. Liu, H., Miller, E., van de Water, B. & Stevens, J. L. Endoplasmic reticulum stress proteins block oxidant-induced Ca²⁺ increases and cell death. *J Biol Chem* **273**, 12858-12862 (1998).
91. Kober, L., Zehe, C. & Bode, J. Development of a novel ER stress based selection system for the isolation of highly productive clones. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **109**, 2599-2611 (2012).
92. Lenny, N. & Green, M. Regulation of endoplasmic reticulum stress proteins in COS cells transfected with immunoglobulin mu heavy chain cDNA. *J Biol Chem* **266**, 20532-20537 (1991).
93. Xu, Z., Jensen, G. & Yen, T. S. Activation of hepatitis B virus S promoter by the viral large surface protein via induction of stress in the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Virol* **71**, 7387-7392 (1997).
94. Paréj, K. et al. Cutting Edge: A New Player in the Alternative Complement Pathway, MASP-1 Is Essential for LPS-Induced, but Not for Zymosan-Induced, Alternative Pathway Activation. *J Immunol* **200**, 2247-2252 (2018).
95. Mayr, J. A. et al. Reduced respiratory control with ADP and changed pattern of respiratory chain enzymes as a result of selective deficiency of the mitochondrial ATP synthase. *Pediatr Res* **55**, 988-994 (2004).
96. Sonawane, A. R. et al. Microbiome-Transcriptome Interactions Related to Severity of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection. *Sci Rep* **9**, 13824 (2019).
97. Fearnley, I. M. & Walker, J. E. Two overlapping genes in bovine mitochondrial DNA encode membrane components of ATP synthase. *EMBO J* **5**, 2003-2008 (1986).
98. Dautant, A. et al. ATP Synthase Diseases of Mitochondrial Genetic Origin. *Front Physiol* **9**, 329 (2018).
99. Martín-Cófreces, N. B., Alarcón, B. & Sánchez-Madrid, F. Tubulin and actin interplay at the T cell and antigen-presenting cell interface. *Front Immunol* **2**, 24 (2011).
100. Erasmus, J. C. et al. Defining functional interactions during biogenesis of epithelial junctions. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13542 (2016).
101. Yago, T. et al. Blocking neutrophil integrin activation prevents ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Exp Med* **212**, 1267-1281 (2015).
102. Lichtenfels, R. et al. A proteomic view at T cell costimulation. *PLoS One* **7**, e32994 (2012).

Figures

Heatmap of the 357 unique genes identified by top ML sparse classifier across all 151 datasets. Ward clustering of datasets and gene expression was performed with Euclidian distance and Pearson correlation coefficients, respectively. Visual expression modules (1, 2 or 3) were empirically identified by class dissimilarity.

Figure 3
Matrix intersection of gene classifiers identified for each etiological class. 572 genes identified by top ML sparse classifiers were visualized for determining functional relevance and comparative uniqueness.

Figure 4

Venn diagram of GO-BP term (a) and pathways (b) enriched by genes identified by top ML sparse classifiers across all etiological testing sets. a) No GO-BP terms were enriched for *M. bovis*; b) No pathways were enriched for BVDB or *M. bovis*.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementalfile1.xlsx](#)
- [Supplementalfile2.txt](#)
- [Supplementalfile3.xlsx](#)
- [Supplementalfile4.xlsx](#)