

Alternative Migratory Strategies Related to Life History Differences in the Walleye (*Sander Vitreus*)

Graydon McKee (✉ gmckee@lakeheadu.ca)

Lakehead University - Thunder Bay Campus: Lakehead University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3765-5516>

Rachael Hornsby

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry

Friedrich Fischer

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry

Erin S. Dunlop

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry

Robert Mackereth

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry

Thomas C. Pratt

Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Michael Rennie

Lakehead University - Thunder Bay Campus: Lakehead University

Research

Keywords: multistate mark-resight, Thermal-Optical Habitat Area (TOHA), GLATOS, sexual dimorphism, Pace of Life Syndrome (POLs), Telemetry, Laurentian Great Lakes

Posted Date: August 17th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-794979/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

While Pace of Life Syndrome predicts behavioural differences between individuals with differential growth and survival, testing these predictions in nature is challenging due to difficulties with measuring individual behaviour in the field. However, recent advances in acoustic telemetry technology have facilitated measurements of individual behaviour at scales not previously possible in aquatic ecosystems.

Methods

Using a Walleye (*Sander vitreus*) population inhabiting Black Bay, Lake Superior, we examine whether life history characteristics differ between more and less mobile individuals as predicted by Pace of Life Syndrome. We tracked the movement of 192 individuals from 2016-2019 using an acoustic telemetry study, relating patterns in annual migratory behaviour to individual growth, and seasonal changes in optimal thermal-optical habitat.

Results

We observed two consistent movement patterns in our study population – migratory individuals left Black Bay during late summer to early fall before returning to the bay, whereas residents remained within the bay year-round. The average maximum length of migrant Walleye was 5.5 cm longer than residents, and the sex ratios of Walleye caught during fall surveys was increasingly female-biased towards the mouth of Black Bay, suggesting that a majority of migrants were females. Further, Walleye occupancy outside of Black Bay was positively associated with increasing thermal-optical habitat.

Conclusions

Walleye in Black Bay appear to conform to Pace of Life Syndrome, with more exploratory (migrant) individuals gaining increased fitness through increased maximum size, which, given size-dependent fecundity in this species, likely results in greater reproductive success (via greater egg deposition vs. non-migrants). Further, apparent environmental (thermal) controls on migration suggest that migratory Walleye (more so than residents) may be more sensitive to changing environmental conditions (e.g., warming climate) than residents.

Background

The theoretical concept of the Pace of Life Syndrome (POLS) provides a framework for understanding how animal behaviour relates to individual life history variation (Réale et al. 2010a). Life history variability results in differences in age-structured productivity and mortality, with bold and highly mobile individuals expected to have greater food intake, growth rates, and reproductive output than more cautious individuals (Biro & Stamps 2008). These advantages of bold behaviour, however, come with

trade-offs of higher energetic costs and predation risk (Réale et al. 2010a, 2010b). While ecosystems with scarce or abundant resources often drive evolution to highly mobile or sedentary strategies respectively, unstable or intermediately favourable conditions are well suited to behavioural variability in movement patterns (Luttbeg & Sih 2010). Under such conditions, the POLS predicts that individuals will vary in a suite of behavioural and physiological characteristics associated with life history (Réale et al. 2010a, 2010b).

Continually shifting environmental conditions can lead to challenges in identifying behavioural patterns and individual personalities, highlighting the importance of long term study (Réale et al. 2010a; Montiglio et al. 2018). Furthermore, life history variation attributed with POLS can be considered at multiple levels, including between species, between populations, and more recently, within populations (Réale et al. 2010a; Royauté et al. 2018). Disparate movement behaviours have been observed in spatial ecology studies with links to other personality features (Cote et al. 2010; Harrison et al. 2015), however, connections between variable movement patterns and life history remain scarce. Documenting movement patterns in natural environments has historically proved challenging without intensive time and monetary investment, but advancements in technology are making such studies increasingly more feasible, particularly for fishes (Lucas & Baras 2000; Cooke et al. 2016).

The emerging field of passive acoustic telemetry, which makes use of hydrophone-receiver arrays to record locations of fish fitted with acoustic transmitters, provides detailed insight into movement patterns found within populations across spatial scales (Kessel et al. 2013; Huveneers et al. 2016). Traditional space use studies using mark recapture techniques that physically re-capture animals are often highly resource intensive and cannot gather the same resolution of data as telemetry (Robson & Regier 1964). This ability to passively and accurately track the movement of large numbers of fish allows researchers to compare individual movement patterns with life history outcomes such as growth, survival, and reproduction (e.g., Nakayama et al. 2017).

The Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System (GLATOS) is a large scale acoustic telemetry network in the world's largest freshwater lakes, the Laurentian Great Lakes, which allows researchers to address questions related to fish spatial ecology (Krueger et al. 2018). Walleye (*Sander vitreus*), a piscivorous top predator whose productivity is tightly tied to water temperature and clarity (preferring turbid environments between 18°C and 22°C; Chu et al., 2004; Lester et al., 2004), are frequently studied using the GLATOS network due to their economic importance within the Great Lakes (Poe et al. 2013), and throughout its range (Lester et al. 2004).

Within the Great Lakes, Walleye have been observed undertaking long distance migrations (Hayden et al. 2014), and in some instances females travel greater distances than males (Fielder 2016; Raby et al. 2018). Access to different habitats may underlie these different movement patterns, with males preferring warmer, shallower habitats than females (Matley et al. 2020). Further, energetics modeling indicates that lower feeding activity in male percids results in female-biased sexual size dimorphism (Rennie et al.

2008). These observations suggest that larger female Walleye may undergo migration in order to seek out higher energy prey as a strategy to achieve larger size, and therefore, fecundity (Venturelli et al. 2010).

To understand whether differential behavioural strategies are associated with life history differences in Walleye, our study makes use of a four-year acoustic telemetry program in Black Bay, Lake Superior (Fig. 1). Black Bay is shallower, warmer, more turbid, and supports a different fish community than the deep, clear, and cold surrounding regions of Lake Superior (Berglund 2014). We aimed to determine whether variation in Walleye movement corresponded to variation in fitness-related life history metrics (growth, fecundity) as predicted by the POLS hypothesis. Further, building on evidence elsewhere indicating differential movement between sexes (Fielder 2016; Raby et al. 2018), we evaluated sex-based patterns of mobility using netting data collected in Black Bay. Finally, given previous research documenting the role of the environment in shaping Walleye habitat occupancy and production (Chu et al. 2004; Lester et al. 2004), we examined the degree to which seasonal environmental changes were associated with Walleye movement patterns.

Methods

Fish capture and tagging

Adult Walleye were captured via trapnets, electrofishing, short set gill nets, and angling within and immediately outside of Black Bay, and along the lower Black Sturgeon River from 2016–2018 (Table S1; Figure S1). Fish were measured (total length, mm), and the second dorsal spine was taken for age and growth assessment. Fish were intra-coelomically fitted with Vemco V16 (2016–2018: $n = 180$, random transmission intervals between 60 and 180 s) and V13 (2017: $n = 8$, random transmission intervals between 120 and 240 s; 2018: $n = 4$, random transmission intervals between 60 and 180 s) acoustic tags and released at their respective capture sites. Two experienced biologists tagged 192 Walleye in 2016 ($n = 94$), 2017 ($n = 61$), and 2018 ($n = 37$) following Canadian Council on Animal Care approved procedures (Lakehead University file # 1465777; Supplemental Information).

Fish tracking

During the open water season of 2016 (May–November), acoustic receivers ($n = 32$; Vemco VR2W, VR2AR; 69 kHz) with omnidirectional hydrophones were deployed within and outside of Black Bay to detect acoustically tagged Walleye. Receivers were suspended 0.5–1.5 m off bottom with an anchor and float system and arranged in 5 gates at significant ecological boundaries, with 800 m spacing between receivers (Fig. 1). These gates mark the boundary between the north and south end of Black Bay at Bent Island (BEI; $n = 9$), the mouth of Black Bay at George Point (GEP; $n = 5$), Edward Island outside of the mouth of Black Bay (EDI; $n = 7$), and the tips of the Sibley (SIP; $n = 2$) and Black Bay Peninsulas (BLP; $n = 3$). Six receivers were also deployed in sentinel positions throughout the bay.

During winter (November–May), receivers in water shallower than 5 m were removed to prevent damage, leaving 24 receivers. All gate receivers were re-deployed for the open water season of 2017 (May–

November), as well as a grid-work of receivers in the north end of Black Bay ($n = 13$, 5 km spacing), and sentinel positions ($n = 4$). All gates were redeployed again in 2018 with lower receiver coverage (BEI $n = 5$, GEP $n = 3$, EDI $n = 4$, SIP $n = 2$, BLP $n = 2$). In 2019, only the peninsular gates (SIP, BLP) were redeployed, each with one receiver (used for evaluating long-range movement). Data was downloaded each spring and fall, and detection efficiency was assessed at the BEI, GEP, and EDI receiver gates by simulating receiver line performance based on range testing carried out on the receivers in the study area (Supplemental Information).

Movement and survival

Walleye movement and survival was assessed from May 2016–October 2017 using a multistate mark-resight model (Brownie et al. 1993; Hestbeck et al. 1991; Supplemental Information) analyzed in Program MARK (White & Burnham 1999). These years were chosen as they preceded changes in gate deployment for other studies focusing on the inner bay not described here (Fig. 1). States were designated as the areas between receiver gates (Fig. 1), and state occupancy by each fish for each sighting occasion was determined by a weighted average of detections across gates and sentinel receivers at the north end of Black Bay. This produced a condensed encounter history for each acoustically tagged Walleye describing movement throughout the study area.

To determine the appropriate time scale for evaluating patterns, movement and survival were assessed with sighting occasions at monthly and bi-weekly time intervals. Candidate models were constructed and run in Program MARK, and top explanatory models for both time intervals were selected on the basis of AIC_c , where models within ΔAIC_c of 2 of the top model were considered to be equivalent (Burnham & Anderson 2002). The top models were used to determine time- and state-dependence on transition, survival, and sighting probabilities. Parameter estimates from the top candidate models were used to assess the probability of transitioning between states and the proportion of surviving fish in each state during each occasion.

Walleye tagged and detected in 2016 were assigned as either 'migrant' or 'resident' for each year where receiver gates were present (2016–2018) based on the maximum outbound extent from the northern end of Black Bay; Walleye detected beyond the George Point (GEP) receiver line (mouth of Black Bay) were considered migratory for a given year, while Walleye whose maximum outbound detection was at, or within the George Point receiver line were considered resident. Similarly, Walleye tagged and detected in 2017 were assigned annual migration patterns for the years 2017 and 2018. Walleye not detected in a year following tagging were denoted as "not-detected." Pearson's chi-squared tests were used to determine if Walleye migration patterns in the year they were tagged remained consistent in subsequent years. Following significant chi-squared results, the contribution of each cell (corresponding to migratory, resident, or not-detected in each year) to this significance was determined by calculating cell standardized residuals. Residuals greater than 2 indicate that the cell value is significantly higher than expected by random distribution, while residuals less than -2 indicate the cell value is significantly less than expected (Sharpe 2015). Differences in age and total length between Walleye captured in 2016 with

clearly defined ageing structures (n = 53) and identified as either migratory or resident were evaluated with Welch two sample *t*-tests.

Growth

Growth patterns of Walleye defined as migratory or resident in both 2016 and 2017 were determined from dorsal spine annuli by back calculating length at age using the Fraser-Lee method (Borkholder & Edwards 2001). Only spines with clearly defined annuli were used in this analysis (n = 53). Dorsal spine annuli measurements were taken from the focus to the edge of each annulus along the horizontal elongated transect using Image J (Schneider et al. 2012). Von-Bertalanffy growth curves were fitted to both migratory and resident Walleye back calculations of length (Supplemental Information). For both migratory and resident groups of Walleye, error around parameter estimates were generated using bootstrapping, drawing each size at age estimate within a group independently with replacement. Parameter estimates and associated confidence intervals were compared to determine if significant differences existed between the growth patterns of resident and migratory Black Bay Walleye.

Habitat use

Optimal Walleye habitat area was defined as the available optimal Thermal-Optical Habitat Area (TOHA), or the benthic area where temperature and light conditions were optimal for Walleye productivity (Lester et al. 2004). For three states within the study system (north of Bent Island, between Bent Island and George Point, and the region beyond Edward Island) monthly Secchi disk readings were taken during the 2017 open water season. Surface illuminance for 2017 was collected from the IISD Experimental Lakes Area (IISD-ELA) near Kenora, Ontario using a Kipp and Zonen SP Lite Sensor. The IISD-ELA site shares similar latitude and climate patterns to Black Bay (Columbo et al. 2007), and no closer illuminance data were available. The hourly maximum and minimum depths at which optimal light conditions existed for Walleye in Black Bay were calculated as in Lester et al. (2004; Supplemental Information). Hourly maximum and minimum optical depth preferences were averaged for each monthly period corresponding to the multistate mark-resight model to determine the depth range providing Walleye with preferred light intensities. Vertical temperature profiles were also created for the northernmost state (max depth = 14 m), the state between Bent Island and George Point (max depth = 36 m), and the southernmost state (max depth = 70 m) using temperature loggers deployed in these regions at 1m intervals from 2–20 m depth, 5 m intervals from 20–40 m depth, and a logger at 50 m depth. Monthly temperature averages at each depth were used to determine the depth range at which conditions were optimal for Walleye (18°C to 22°C; Chu et al., 2004; Lester et al., 2004). Depths of optimal thermal and optical habitat were then used to calculate TOHA for the three states during each monthly sighting occasion by calculating the bottom area that fell within the optimal depth ranges using ArcGIS 10.5 (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, California) using a 30 m by 30 m cell raster digital bathymetry model.

Walleye habitat occupancy for each monthly sighting occasion was determined by the number of tagged fish assigned to each state in the multi-state mark-resight model. To evaluate the role of TOHA in driving

Walleye occupancy, linear regressions were applied to monthly occupancy estimates within individual states, as well as a linear regression across combined data for all three states.

Data from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Fall Walleye Index Netting (FWIN) program (see Berglund 2014) was used to assess if spatial differences existed in the relative abundance of male and female Black Bay Walleye. The relative number of male and female Walleye captures in each state of the study area were compared using Pearson's chi-squared tests for both juvenile (0–3 years old) and adult (4 + years old) Walleye captured in FWIN nets set during September and October of 2002 to 2017. Because only one juvenile Walleye was captured in the southernmost state in any of the FWIN netting programs, this state was removed from analysis in juvenile fish to avoid violating the chi-square assumption that expected frequency of at least 80% of cells be at least five (Bewick et al. 2004). Where chi-square tests showed statistical significance, standardized residuals were calculated to assess the contribution of each cell to this significance (Sharpe 2015).

Results

Walleye tagged across all years (2016–2018) were similar in age and length (Table S2). Of 192 Walleye fitted with acoustic transmitters between 2016–2018, 184 were detected at least once on the receiver array between 2016–2019. The annual proportion of fish detected at each receiver gate remained consistent throughout the study period (Table 1). 179 of the tagged Walleye were detected north of the Bent Island gate at least once. Walleye were detected within the north end of Black Bay throughout the tracking period, but most of the fish congregated in this area over winter with most final fall and initial spring detections (between which north end receivers were removed) occurring north of the Bent Island each year. Walleye detections on the George Point and Edward Island receiver gates began in June, with occasional June detections as far as the peninsular gates. Walleye detections on the peninsular receiver gates increased in July, and detections on all receivers outside of Black Bay remained highest between August and October of each year (Figure S2). Between 2016 and 2019, 86 Walleye were detected beyond the George Point receiver gate. Individual movement patterns were highly variable with some fish exhibiting frequent movement back and forth between gates, while others traveled in a more linear fashion (Figure S3).

Table 1

Annual proportion of acoustically tagged Black Bay Walleye detected on each receiver gate (relative to the total number of Walleye detected at least once in that year). Note: BEI, GEP, and EDI gates had reduced receiver coverage in 2018, and were not present in 2019. SIP and BLP coverage was reduced to one receiver in 2019. BEI-Bent Island, GEP-George Point, EDI-Edward Island, SIP-Sibley Peninsula, BLP-Black Bay Peninsula.

	2016	2017	2018	2019
BEI	78%	75%	71%	NA
GEP	63%	57%	49%	NA
EDI	45%	45%	41%	NA
SIP	22%	20%	18%	18%
BLP	5%	5%	4%	6%

The top candidate models from the multistate mark-resight modelling for both monthly and bi-weekly sighting occasions were identical, indicating that monthly time bins captured sufficient resolution of movement in Black Bay (Table S3). Models from both time periods indicated that Walleye movement was not time dependent (Table S3). Transition probabilities from one state to another did, however, depend on the previous state (Fig. 2). The probability of acoustically tagged Walleye being detected was not state dependent, but varied with time, with detection probabilities remaining above 80% except for the months of October-February (Figure S4). Finally, the monthly survival probability of acoustically tagged Walleye was 97.9% and was consistent across states and time (78% annual survival).

While almost all Walleye were detected in the north end of Black Bay during the winter months, two distinct sub-groups – migrators and residents – were identified within the population based on their outbound extent of migration. Individual migration patterns of Walleye tagged in either 2016 or 2017 followed non-random patterns from year to year (2016: $\chi^2_5 = 93.04$, $p < 0.0001$; 2017: $\chi^2_2 = 29.65$, $p < 0.0001$), with cell standardized residuals indicating that patterns of migration are highly conserved between years (Table 2). The 2017 migration contingency table violated the chi-squared test assumption that expected values in at least 80% of cells be at least 5, but this was only true for fish not detected in 2018, and data inspection indicated that annual migration strategies were highly conserved. Migratory and resident Walleye did not differ in mean age ($t = -0.03$, $df = 50.66$, $p = 0.97$) however, total length of migratory Walleye (mean = 629 +/- 10.67 mm) was greater than residents (mean = 588 +/- 9.21 mm; $t = -2.91$, $df = 50.21$, $p = 0.005$).

Table 2

(A) The number of 2016 tagged Black Bay Walleye deemed migratory or resident in 2016, and subsequently deemed migratory, resident, or not detected in 2017 and 2018. (B) The number of 2017 tagged Black Bay Walleye deemed migratory or resident in 2017, and subsequently deemed migratory, resident, or not detected in 2018. Standardized residuals shown in parentheses with an asterisk indicating statistically significant values.

A	Migratory-2016		Resident-2016	
Migratory-2017	31	*(4.25)	0	*(-4.04)
Resident-2017	2	*(-3.65)	34	*(3.47)
Not Detected-2017	4	(-0.53)	7	(0.51)
Migratory-2018	23	*(3.44)	1	*(-3.27)
Resident-2018	9	*(-2.13)	29	*(2.02)
Not Detected-2018	5	(-0.94)	11	(0.89)
B	Migratory-2017		Resident-2017	
Migratory-2018	18	*(3.13)	1	*(-2.89)
Resident-2018	5	*(-2.20)	23	*(2.03)
Not Detected-2018	0	(-1.17)	3	(1.08)

Asymptotic lengths (L_{∞}) differed significantly between resident and migratory Walleye based on bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals of back-calculated size at age (Migratory: L_{∞} =655 mm 95%CI = 642–667 mm; Resident: L_{∞} =600 mm 95%CI = 591–610 mm; Fig. 3). However, the curvature parameter (Brody's k) of residents and migrators overlapped significantly (Migratory: k = 0.37 95%CI = 0.34–0.39; Resident: k = 0.40 95%CI = 0.38–0.43).

Walleye increased their use of habitat outside of Black Bay when the amount of available TOHA outside of the bay increased (linear regression, $F_{1,3}$ =99.26, R^2 = 0.96, p = 0.002; Fig. 4). Although turbidity increased from the southernmost to the northernmost states, Secchi readings did not vary much over time (apart from deep measurements indicating clear water at the end of summer, Figure S5), indicating that changing water temperature was the main driver of Walleye optimal habitat availability. While Walleye occupancy did not significantly vary with available TOHA in the north end of the bay ($F_{1,3}$ =0.03, p = 0.87), or the region between Bent Island and George Point ($F_{1,3}$ =5.10, p = 0.11) separately, the occupancy values fall near to those predicted by the TOHA model from outside of Black Bay (Fig. 4). A linear regression of combined occupancy and TOHA data from all states with temperature data was significant ($F_{1,13}$ =98.54, R^2 = 0.87, p < 0.0001), but violated the assumption of homoscedasticity that log-transformation did not correct.

Adult FWIN captured Walleye showed non-random spatial distribution based on sex ($\chi^2_3 = 72.43, p < 0.0001$), with standardized residuals indicating Walleye captured outside of Black Bay were mostly female and Walleye captured in the north end of Black Bay were mostly male (Table 3). Ratios of male:female juvenile Walleye were more even across states ($\chi^2_2 = 1.65, p = 0.44$; Table 3). Furthermore, a larger majority of juvenile Walleye were captured in the northernmost state compared to adults.

Table 3

The number of adult (4+ years) and juvenile (0–3 years) male and female Walleye, and the ratio of male:female Walleye captured in each state (A: North of Bent Island, B: Bent Island to George Point, C: George Point to Edward Island, D: Edward Island to peninsular gates) by Fall Walleye Index Nets set in the Black Bay study area between the years of 2002 and 2017. Shaded rows indicate areas outside of Black Bay. Standardized residuals shown in parentheses for adult fish, with an asterisk indicating statistically significant values.

	State	Number of Males	Number of Females	Male:Female
<i>Adult</i>				
	A	220	136	1.62
	B	33	51	0.65
	C	17	71	0.24
	D	2	21	0.10
<i>Juvenile</i>				
	A	283	203	1.39
	B	26	22	1.18
	C	11	13	0.85
	D	1	0	NA

Discussion

Using an acoustic telemetry network established in North America's largest lake, we identified two distinct Walleye movement strategies corresponding to different life history modalities. These movement strategies were highly conserved between years and split with almost 50% of the tagged population adopting either migratory or resident strategies. Consistent with the POLS hypothesis, more exploratory migrant fishes achieved larger body sizes than residents. Larger body size in Walleye typically confers greater fecundity (Baccante & Reid 1988), with the largest or oldest females in the population having disproportionately high reproductive success (Venturelli et al. 2010). Thus, fitness advantages in this migratory Walleye population may be achieved by reaching larger body sizes more quickly, conferring greater fecundity.

However, our study did not support all life history patterns predicted by PLOS. Whereas POLS predicts lower survival in migrants, multistate mark-resight modelling indicated no differences in survivorship between migrant and resident Black Bay Walleye. Because Black Bay is a fish sanctuary north of Bent Island (where all fish overwinter) and is closed throughout the bay to commercial Walleye fishing (Furlong et al. 2006), this removes a major source of adult mortality. Similar survivorship between groups should lead to an increased proportion of migratory Walleye in the Black Bay population due to the fitness advantage of increased size. This, perhaps, contributed to the Walleye population growth in the region observed in the FWIN netting program (Berglund 2014).

Our findings indicate that thermal habitat conditions act as an environmental control on the timing of long-range Walleye migrations. Habitat use of migratory and resident Black Bay Walleye differed noticeably from August to October, when the water outside of Black Bay reached thermal and optical optima and Walleye occupancy outside the bay increased. Outside of late summer/early fall, water temperatures outside of Black Bay were below optimal levels for Walleye, and both migratory and resident fish shared the inner basin habitat. This apparent strong dependence of Walleye migration on thermal conditions suggests that major changes in weather or climate may greatly impact the degree of migration observed in Lake Superior, such that in warmer years with longer summers (predicted to occur more frequently with climate change), migration opportunities could increase.

So, what is the driver of annual migratory patterns in Black Bay Walleye? Given that migratory fish tend to grow larger and faster than residents, we speculate that migration is driven by access to larger-bodied, energetically-dense coregonids such as Cisco (*Coregonus artedii*), as opposed to the need to evade poor thermal-optical habitat conditions in Black Bay. Indeed, large regions inside Black Bay always fell within the optimal thermal-optical regime for Walleye between May and October, and we found no significant relationship between TOHA and Walleye occupancy within Black Bay. Access to larger, more energy dense prey has been shown elsewhere to provide increased growth efficiency and maximum size in Walleye (Kaufman et al. 2009). Optimized forage intake accessed through improved food quality and larger prey size can lead to a greater energetic surplus despite increased metabolic costs to migration (Roff 1988; Rennie et al. 2012). Interestingly, the period (Aug-Oct) where Walleye leave Black Bay coincides with the period when female Walleye increase ovary development (Henderson et al. 1996).

Male and female Black Bay Walleye showed systematic sex-based space use differences in detailed Walleye netting surveys. We found that adult female Walleye were more likely to be captured outside of Black Bay than males, whereas adult male Walleye were more likely to be captured inside Black Bay than females. The timing of the netting survey coincides with the period when migratory Walleye leave Black Bay, supporting the hypothesis that females are more common among migratory fish than males. Indeed, the difference in growth exhibited between migratory and resident Walleye closely resembles the sexual size dimorphism displayed in this species (Henderson et al., 2003; Rennie et al. 2008). Notably, immature Walleye (which lack sexual growth differences) did not show the same differential spatial use pattern as sexually mature Walleye, suggesting a greater exploratory behaviour in mature (female) fish only. In previous studies examining Walleye movement, females travelled greater distances than males to access

cooler, deeper water (Raby et al. 2018; Matley et al. 2020). While hypotheses surrounding sex-biased movement behaviors or sexual segregation related to life history require further testing, one plausible explanation is that the sex benefiting most from resource acquisition (i.e., female Walleye) is the one that migrates to find those resources.

The tendency of mature female Walleye to be captured outside Black Bay (with no similar pattern observed in juveniles), combined with patterns in growth differences strongly suggest that migrating Walleye in our study are predominantly female. Because immature male and female Walleye grow at similar rates before maturation (Rennie et al. 2008), survival should only differ for mature fish. However, despite apparent sex-based differences adult Black Bay Walleye movement patterns, survival rates appear to be similar. This may be due to the lack of significant commercial harvest of Black Bay Walleye – which was historically a major source of mortality for this population until 2003 (Furlong et al. 2006; Berglund 2014) – providing an equalizing effect on death rates between male/female or resident/migrant individuals.

Distinct movement patterns of migration and residency corresponded to life history differences that were largely predicted by POLS. Spatial state transitions depended on the state to which fish were previously classified, but there was no time dependence of movement patterns based on multistate mark-resight modeling. This was despite the predictable observed patterns of out-migration observed between August and October, and the congregation in the north end of the bay each winter and spring. Space use by migratory Black Bay Walleye did not differ from that of residents for much of the year, with all Walleye congregating in the north end of the bay during the winter months and spring spawning. Within both migratory and resident Black Bay Walleye groups we found variation in movement patterns; some fish made direct movements between positions, while others made frequent back and forth trips. Given our telemetry array design and study objectives, we evaluated the maximum outbound extent of movement, but not the total movement (which in highly mobile resident individuals could potentially be greater than migrants). In winter, receivers were removed from shallow regions of Black Bay to avoid ice damage, contributing to a decline in resight probability during this time. Detection simulations along receiver lines (which were in place over winter) indicated that a fish crossing them would be detected 99% of the time based on 2016 and 2017 deployments, suggesting Walleye movement throughout the Bay was minimal during this period.

Conclusion

By combining acoustic telemetry and multistate mark-resight models with a back-calculation method of estimating fish growth, our study supports the POLS hypothesis that more exploratory migratory individuals are likely to achieve larger body sizes at a given age and therefore achieve greater fitness than their more cautious resident counterparts. Further, we suspect that energetic rewards of females accessing more energy-dense prey drives this pattern of migrant fishes achieving larger maximum body size. While differences in survival were not observed, we believe that this is related to the recent removal of a major source of mortality in the form of commercial fishing. Finally, because migratory opportunities

appear to be temperature-dependent, we speculate that conditions associated with climate warming may result in larger differentials in migrant vs. resident Walleye, and if these patterns are sex-dependent, increased differentials of sexual size dimorphism of Lake Superior Walleye.

Abbreviations

AIC
Akaike Information Criterion
BEI
Bent Island (Receiver Gate)
BLP
Black Bay Peninsula (Receiver Gate)
EDI
Edward Island (Receiver Gate)
FWIN
Fall Walleye Index Netting
GEP
George Point (Receiver Gate)
GLATOS
Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System
IISD-ELA
International Institute for Sustainable Development-Experimental Lakes Area
OMNRF
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry
POLS
Pace of Life Syndrome Hypothesis
SIP
Sibley Peninsula (Receiver Gate)
TOHA
Thermal-Optical Habitat Area

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Procedures for tagging Walleye followed Canadian Council on Animal Care approved procedures (Lakehead University file # 1465777; Supplemental Information).

Consent for publication

No personal information is included as data in this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the GLATOS (Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System) repository, <http://glatos.glos.us/>.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

Project funding was provided by the Canada Research Chairs program and NSERC Discovery awarded to Michael Rennie; the OMNRF; and the Canadian-Ontario Agreement for Water Quality and Ecosystem Health.

Authors' Contributions

G.M. contributed to study design, data collection, and performed data analysis and writing. R.H., F.F., E.D., R.M., and T.P. contributed to study design, data collection, and editing. M.R. contributed to study design, editing, and supervised the research.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff at the Upper Great Lakes Management Unit of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (OMNRF) in Thunder Bay. Particularly we thank Captain Dave Montgomery for assistance with field work, Paul Drombolis for assistance with field work and training on fish ageing, Tony Chiodo for assistance with field work and data management, and Marilee Chase for assistance with fish surgeries. Thanks to Ken Sandilands (IISD-ELA) for providing light sensor data. We also thank Brian Shuter for his insight during this project.

References

1. Baccante DA, Reid DM. (1988). Fecundity Changes in Two Exploited Walleye Populations. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, 8(July 2015), 199–209. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675\(1988\)008](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(1988)008).
2. Berglund E. (2014). Assessment and Monitoring of Black Bay, Lake Superior Walleye Using Fall Walleye Index Netting (FWIN) 2002–2014. *Upper Great Lakes Management Unit, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Thunder Bay, Ontario*, 37pp.
3. Bewick V, Cheek L, Ball J. Statistics review 8: Qualitative data - Tests of association. *Crit Care*. 2004;8(1):46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc2428>.
4. Biro PA, Stamps JA. Are animal personality traits linked to life-history productivity? *Trends in Ecology Evolution*. 2008;23(7):361–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.04.003>.

5. Borkholder, B. D., & Edwards, A. J. (2001). Comparing the Use of Dorsal Fin Spines with Scales to Back-Calculate Length-at-Age Estimates in Walleyes. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, 21(4), 935–942. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675\(2001\)021<0935:CTUODF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2001)021<0935:CTUODF>2.0.CO;2)
6. Brownie C, Hines JE, Nichols JD, Pollock KH, Hestbeck JB. Capture-recapture studies for multiple strata including non-Markovian transitions. *Biometrics*. 1993;49(4):1173–87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00442-01>.
7. Burnham KP, Anderson DR. (2002). Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach (2nd ed). In *Ecological Modelling* (Vol. 172). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2003.11.004>.
8. Chu C, Minns CK, Moore JE, Millard ES. Impact of Oligotrophication, Temperature, and Water Levels on Walleye Habitat in the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario. *Trans Am Fish Soc*. 2004;133(4):868–79. <https://doi.org/10.1577/T03-095.1>.
9. Columbo SJ, McKenney DW, Lawrence KM, Gray Pa. (2007). Climate Change Projections for Ontario: Policymakers and Planners. *Applied Research and Development Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario*, 38pp. <https://doi.org/CCRR-05>.
10. Cooke SJ, Martins EG, Struthers DP, Gutowsky LFG, Power M, Doka SE, Dettmers JM, Crook DA, Lucas MC, Holbrook CM, Krueger CC. (2016). A moving target—incorporating knowledge of the spatial ecology of fish into the assessment and management of freshwater fish populations. *Environ Monit Assess*, 188(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5228-0>.
11. Cote J, Clobert J, Brodin T, Fogarty S, Sih A. Personality-dependent dispersal: Characterization, ontogeny and consequences for spatially structured populations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2010;365(1560):4065–76. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0176>.
12. Fielder DG. (2016). Mortality, Exploitation, Movement, and Stock Size of Saginaw Bay Walleyes, 1981–2011; 31 years of tag return analysis. *Alpena Fisheries Research Station, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Alpena, Michigan*, 58.
13. Furlong P, Foster RF, Colby PJ, Friday M. (2006). Black Sturgeon River dam: a barrier to the rehabilitation of Black Bay walleye. *Upper Great Lakes Management Unit, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry; Northern Bioscience Consulting*, 27pp. <http://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/552802/blacksturgeonreport.pdf>.
14. Harrison PM, Gutowsky LFG, Martins EG, Patterson DA, Cooke SJ, Power M. Personality-dependent spatial ecology occurs independently from dispersal in wild burbot (*Lota lota*). *Behav Ecol*. 2015;26(2):483–92. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/aru216>.
15. Hayden TA, Holbrook CM, Fielder DG, Vandergoot CS, Bergstedt RA, Dettmers JM, Krueger CC, Cooke SJ. Acoustic telemetry reveals large-scale migration patterns of walleye in Lake Huron. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(12):1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114833>.

16. Henderson BA, Collins N, Morgan GE, Vaillancourt A. Sexual size dimorphism of walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci.* 2003;60(11):1345–52. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f03-115>.
17. Henderson BA, Wong JL, Nepszy SJ. Reproduction of walleye in Lake Erie: allocation of energy. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci.* 1996;53(1):127–33. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f95-162>.
18. Hestbeck JB, Nichols JD, Malecki RA. Estimates of Movement and Site Fidelity Using Mark-Resight Data of Wintering Canada Geese. *Ecology.* 1991;72(2):523–33.
19. Huveneers C, Simpfendorfer CA, Kim S, Semmens JM, Hobday AJ, Pederson H, Stieglitz T, Vallee R, Webber D, Heupel MR, Peddemors V, Harcourt RG, Reynolds J. The influence of environmental parameters on the performance and detection range of acoustic receivers. *Methods Ecol Evol.* 2016;7(7):825–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12520>.
20. Kaufman SD, Morgan GE, Gunn JM. The Role of Ciscoes as Prey in the Trophy Growth Potential of Walleyes. *North Am J Fish Manag.* 2009;29(2):468–77. <https://doi.org/10.1577/m07-117.1>.
21. Kessel ST, Cooke SJ, Heupel MR, Hussey NE, Simpfendorfer CA, Vagle S, Fisk AT. A review of detection range testing in aquatic passive acoustic telemetry studies. *Rev Fish Biol Fisheries.* 2013;24(1):199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-013-9328-4>.
22. Krueger CC, Holbrook CM, Binder TR, Vandergroot C, Hayden TA, Hondorp DW, Nate NA, Paige K, Riley S, Fisk AT, Cooke SJ. Acoustic Telemetry Observation Systems: challenges encountered and overcome in the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries Aquatic Sciences.* 2018;75(10):1755–63.
23. Lester NP, Dextrase AJ, Kushneriuk RS, Rawson MR, Ryan PA. Light and Temperature: Key Factors Affecting Walleye Abundance and Production. *Trans Am Fish Soc.* 2004;133(March):588–605. <https://doi.org/10.1577/T02-111.1>.
24. Lucas MC, Baras E. Methods for studying spatial behaviour of freshwater fishes in the natural environment. *Fish Fish.* 2000;1(4):283–316. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-2979.2000.00028.x>.
25. Luttbeg B, Sih A. Risk, resources and state-dependent adaptive behavioural syndromes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.* 2010;365(1560):3977–90. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0207>.
26. Matley JK, Faust MD, Raby GD, Zhao Y, Robinson J, MacDougall T, Hayden TA, Fisk AT, Vandergoot CS, Krueger CC. (2020). Seasonal habitat-use differences among Lake Erie's walleye stocks. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, xxxx. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2020.03.014>.
27. Montiglio PO, Dammhahn M, Dubuc Messier G, Réale D. (2018). The pace-of-life syndrome revisited: the role of ecological conditions and natural history on the slow-fast continuum. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*, 72(7). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2526-2>.
28. Nakayama S, Rapp T, Arlinghaus R. (2017). Fast-slow life history is correlated with individual differences in movements and prey selection in an aquatic predator in the wild. *J Anim Ecol*, 192–201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12603>.

29. Peake S, Mckinley RS, Scruton DA. Swimming performance of walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum*). *Can J Zool.* 2000;78:1686–90.
30. Poe GL, Lauber TB, Connelly NA, Creamer S, Ready RC, Stedman RC. (2013). *Net benefits of recreational fishing in the Great Lakes Basin: A review of the literature* (Issue HDRU Series No 13 – 10). <http://www2.dnr.cornell.edu/hdru/pubs/HDRUReport13-10.pdf>.
31. Raby GD, Vandergoot CS, Hayden TA, Faust MD, Kraus RT, Dettmers JM, Cooke SJ, Zhao Y, Fisk AT, Krueger CC. Does behavioural thermoregulation underlie seasonal movements in Lake Erie walleye? *Can J Fish Aquat Sci.* 2018;496(January):1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0145>.
32. Réale D, Dingemanse NJ, Kazem AJN, Wright J. Evolutionary and ecological approaches to the study of personality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.* 2010a;365(1560):3937–46. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0222>.
33. Réale D, Garant D, Humphries MM, Bergeron P, Careau V, Montiglio P-O. Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B Biological Sciences.* 2010b;365(1560):4051–63. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0208>.
34. Rennie MD, Ebener MP, Wagner T. Can migration mitigate the effects of ecosystem change? Patterns of dispersal, energy acquisition and allocation in Great Lakes lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*). *Advances in Limnology.* 2012;63:455–76. <https://doi.org/10.1127/advlim/63/2012/455>.
35. Rennie MD, Purchase CF, Lester N, Collins NC, Shuter BJ, Abrams PA. Lazy males? Bioenergetic differences in energy acquisition and metabolism help to explain sexual size dimorphism in percids. *J Anim Ecol.* 2008;77(5):916–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01412.x>.
36. Robson DS, Regier HA. Sample size in Petersen mark-recapture experiments. *Trans Am Fish Soc.* 1964;93(3):215–26. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659\(1964\)93](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1964)93).
37. Roff DA. The evolution of migration and some life history parameters in marine fishes. *Environ Biol Fishes.* 1988;22(2):133–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00001543>.
38. Royauté R, Berdal MA, Garrison CR, Dochtermann NA. (2018). PACELESS life? A meta-analysis of the pace-of-life syndrome hypothesis. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72(64).
39. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods.* 2012;9(7):671–5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>.
40. Sharpe D. Your chi-square test is statistically significant: Now what? *Practical Assessment Research Evaluation.* 2015;20(8):1–10.
41. Venturelli P, Murphy C, Shuter B, Johnston T, van Coeverden de Groot P, Boag P, Casselman J, Montgomerie R, Wiegand M, Leggett W. Maternal influences on population dynamics: evidence from an exploited freshwater fish. *Ecology.* 2010;91(7):2003–12. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1218>.
42. White GC, Burnham KP. Program MARK: survival estimation from populations of marked animals. *Bird Study.* 1999;46(sup001):120–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00063659909477239>.

Figures




Figure 1

Black Bay GLATOS receiver deployment (2016-2019) with multistate mark-resight model states delineated using single letters. GLATOS arrays for gates: BEI-Bent Island, GEP-George Point, EDI-Edward Island, BLP-Black Bay Peninsula, SIP-Sibley Peninsula.




Figure 2

Walleye monthly transition probability between states in the Black Bay study area (A: North of Bent Island, B: Bent Island to George Point, C: George Point to Edward Island, D: Edward Island to peninsular gates; May 2016-October 2017). Standard error bars shown. Note: Probabilities of remaining in the same state were determined from subtraction of transitions to other states from 1, and do not have error bars (marked with an asterisk). Additional missing error bars are hidden by points (source state A).




Figure 3

Growth trajectories of resident and migratory acoustically tagged Black Bay Walleye. Von-Bertalanffy growth curves fit to estimated length at age, back-calculated using the Fraser-Lee method (Red: Migratory Walleye, Blue: Resident Walleye; Solid lines represent growth curves of data points, dashed lines represent growth curves of opposite movement strategy).




Figure 4

Relationship of monthly Walleye occupancy with respect to available Thermal-Optical Habitat Area in three regions of the Black Bay study system. Blue triangles represent data from the southernmost state, red squares represent data from the state between Bent Island and George Point, and black circles represent data from the northernmost state. The solid line shows the significant relationship for the southernmost state. The dashed trend line shows the relationship for all data combined. Inset shows data for the two states found within the box at the bottom left of the main plot. Monthly time periods (MJ, May 15-June 14, JJ, June 15-July 14, JA, July 15-August 14, AS, August 15-September 14, SO, September 15-October 14) of data points shown, with MJ and JJ both falling on (0,0) for the southernmost state.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [MovEcolBBWalleyeSI.docx](#)