Differential Responses of Community Nonstructural Carbohydrate to Drought Manipulation Along a Natural Aridity Gradient

Lin Song

Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9074-1894>

Wentao Luo (✉ wentaoluo@iae.ac.cn)

Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Robert J. Griffin-Nolan

Syracuse University Department of Biology

Wang Ma

Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Jiangping Cai

Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xiaoan Zuo

Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources

Qiang Yu

Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Henrik Hartmann

Max-Planck-Institute of Biochemistry

Mai-He Li

snow and landscape research WSL

Melinda D. Smith

Colorado State University

Scott L. Collins

University of New Mexico

Alan K. Knapp

Colorado State University

Zhengwen Wang

Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xingguo Han

Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Keywords: Aridity gradient, climate extremes, drought, grasslands, intraspecific variability, nonstructural carbohydrates, species turnover

Posted Date: September 13th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-797476/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Plant nonstructural carbohydrates (NSC) can reflect community and ecosystem responses to environmental changes such as water availability. Climate change is predicted to increase aridity and the frequency of extreme drought events in grasslands, but it is unclear how community-scale NSC will respond to drought or how such responses may vary along aridity gradients. We experimentally imposed a 4-year drought in six grasslands along a natural aridity gradient and measured the community-weighted mean of leaf soluble sugar (SS_{CWM}) and total leaf NSC (NSC_{CWM}) concentrations. We observed a bell-shape relationship across this gradient, where SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were lowest at intermediate aridity, with this pattern driven primarily by species turnover. Drought manipulation increased both SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations at intermediately arid grassland but decreased total NSC_{CWM} concentrations at one site. These differential responses to experimental drought depended on the relative role of species turnover and intraspecific variation in driving SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} . Specifically, the synergistic effects of species turnover and intraspecific variation driven the responses of leaf NSC concentrations to drought, while their antagonistic effects diminished the effect of drought on plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations. Plant resource strategies were more acquisitive, via increasing chlorophyll $_{CWM}$ content, to offset reduced NSC_{CWM} concentrations with increasing aridity at drier sites, but more conservative (i.e., decreased plant height $_{CWM}$) to reduce NSC consumption at more mesic sites. The relationship between water availability and NSC_{CWM} concentrations may contribute to community drought resistance and improve plant viability and adaptation strategies to a changing climate.

Introduction

Grasslands cover over 40% of the earth's terrestrial surface and provide many critical ecosystem services, such as soil stability, water conservation, biodiversity, and forage for livestock (Gao et al. 2016). Climate change projections for the 21st century suggest that summer droughts will become more frequent (IPCC 2013) and grasslands are particularly sensitive to drought (Knapp et al. 2015; Wilcox et al. 2015), given they are primarily water-limited ecosystems (Craine et al. 2012; Hsu et al. 2012; Hoover et al. 2014). Thus, understanding the physiological and community mechanisms by which grasslands respond to drought is important for determining how climate change will impact these essential ecosystems (Smith 2011).

Many plant physiological responses to drought can be interpreted from measuring non-structural carbohydrates (NSC). Plant NSCs are produced during photosynthesis and are essential for maintaining plant metabolism during all life stages (Bouma 2005; Li et al. 2008; Hartmann and Trumbore 2016). Additionally, NSCs are used to maintain cell turgor via osmotic adjustment as water becomes limiting (Gersony et al. 2020). When resources are plentiful, NSC production generally exceeds demand, leading plants to store the excess NSC in their vacuoles or plastids for later use (Hartmann and Trumbore 2016; Ai et al. 2017). During drought, plants reduce stomatal conductance to improve water use efficiency (Chapin et al. 1990), and access stores of NSC to meet metabolic demands (Poorter and Kitajima 2007; Li

et al. 2018). When stored NSC concentrations fall below a critical threshold required for plant survival, further reductions in water availability can trigger plant mortality and potential shifts in plant community composition (McDowell et al. 2008; Jin et al. 2018). Due to their central role in plant function, NSC dynamics have been used in vegetation models to represent metabolism, species habitat range shifts, plant vulnerability to climate extremes, and even species extinction risks (Rosas et al. 2013). Thus, an in-depth understanding of long-term impacts of drought on community scale NSC is potentially important for forecasting shifts in ecosystem processes and functioning during drought.

To date, much research has focused on assessing NSC responses to drought at the individual species level, whereas such studies are less common at the community scale, especially in grasslands. However, changes in NSC dynamics in response to drought are more relevant to community structure and ecosystem functions when assessed at the community level (Violle et al. 2012). Shifts in plant community NSC concentrations in response to drought can be due to species turnover (i.e., species with different NSC concentrations replacing others and a shift in relative abundance of each species) and/or intraspecific variation (i.e., plasticity and genetic differentiation) in NSC concentrations (Albert et al. 2010, Violle et al. 2012). The synergistic effects of species turnover and intraspecific variation in NSC concentrations can magnify community NSC responses to drought, whereas antagonistic effects can weaken responses. Shorter-term shifts in water availability may impact communities through shifts in both species turnover and intraspecific variation, while longer-term droughts are likely to influence community functional responses primarily through species turnover (Volf et al. 2016). Therefore, quantifying the relative contributions of species turnover and intraspecific variation is important for understanding the responses of community NSC concentrations to water limitation.

We established a coordinated 4-yr drought experiment at six grasslands sites spanning an aridity gradient in northern China. At each site, we measured plant NSC concentrations of all species cumulatively representing ~ 90% of total plant biomass to estimate community-weighted mean NSC (NSC_{CWM}) concentrations. We tested the hypothesis that experimental drought would have no effect on NSC_{CWM} concentrations due to the opposing effects of intraspecific trait responses (i.e., stomatal closure and reductions in NSC reserves) and species turnover (i.e., increased abundance of drought tolerant species with high NSC concentrations). Additionally, we predicted that NSC_{CWM} would be maximized at the extremes of the aridity gradient as xeric-adapted species accumulate NSC for osmotic regulation and more productive mesic-adapted species produce more photosynthate in general. Thus, this trend would largely be driven by species turnover.

Material And Methods

Study sites and experimental design

In 2014, we selected six sites arrayed across the east-west extent of the grassland biome in northern China (Table S1). These six sites encompass the three major grassland types in China (i.e. meadow steppe, typical steppe and desert steppe) and vary in mean annual precipitation (MAP), mean annual

temperature (MAT), plant species composition and edaphic properties. We extracted climatic variables (e.g., MAT, MAP, and potential evapotranspiration (PET, mm)) for each site from the global Worldclim data set with a resolution of $0.0083^{\circ} \times 0.0083^{\circ}$ (<http://www.worldclim.org>). We defined the aridity index (AI) of each site as $1 - \text{MAP}/\text{PET}$ (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2013). Among the six sites, aridity increases from east (SMR) to west (UDR) (Table S1). Soil texture also varies across the six sites from sandy to clay loams, as well as other soil characteristics (Table S1). All six sites had not been grazed by domestic herbivores for the 3 years prior to the 2015 drought. The dominant species are *Stipa baicalensis* and *Leymus chinensis* in the meadow steppe, *S. baicalensis* and *L. chinensis* in the typical steppe, and *S. breviflora* and *Peganum harmala* in the desert steppe.

We established experimental drought infrastructure at each site which remained in place from 2015 to 2018. Using a randomized complete block design, we established twelve 6×6 m plots per site (6 drought; 6 control) in a topographically uniform area. Plots were located at least 2 m from each other and were hydrologically isolated by trenching the perimeter to a depth of 1 m and installing 6-mm-thick plastic barriers to prevent lateral water flow. We constructed large rainout shelters to block 66% of ambient growing season precipitation from May to August in each year (Figure S1). These rainout shelter roofs were built with transparent polyethylene panels covering 66% of the surface and were supported by a light scaffolding structure. To allow for air flow below the panels and minimize any potential greenhouse effect, we installed shelters 2 m above the ground (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2017). Similar experimental infrastructure has been used in previous experiments with minimal effects on light environment (permitting nearly 90% transmission) (Yahdjian and Sala 2002; Wilcox et al. 2015). For control plots, we established similar scaffolding structures but did not install polyethylene panels.

Sampling and measurements

Each plot contained a 4×4 m sampling plot, which we divided into four 1×1 quadrats. In August 2017, we randomly selected one of these quadrats and further divided it into four 50×50 cm sub-quadrats. We designated two diagonal sub-quadrats for destructive measurements of plant biomass, and the other two for surveys of plant traits (Luo et al. 2019).

We estimated aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) in the two designated sub-quadrats by clipping plant material at the ground level during peak growth. We sorted biomass by species before oven-drying (48 hr at 65 °C) and weighing to estimate species-specific ANPP. We calculated species relative abundance as the species' percent contribution towards total ANPP.

In the other two diagonal sub-quadrats, we measured plant traits of the most abundant species (i.e., cumulatively representing at least 90% of the total ANPP). First, we estimated plant height for three individuals of each species per plot. We then collected the youngest, fully expanded leaf from the same individuals (Perez-Harguindeguy et al. 2016) and oven-dried these leaves at 105°C for 30 min to stop enzymatic activity before drying at 65°C until constant weight. We estimated foliar soluble sugar (SS) and starch content spectrophotometrically (ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer 723 S, Yoke Precision Instruments Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) at 620 nm using the Sulfuric acid-Anthrone method (Li et al.

2008b). We used the sum of starch and SS concentrations as an estimate of total NSC concentration for each species. The concentrations of SS and total NSC have been widely used as indicators of NSC (Martinez-Vilalta et al. 2016).

In August 2018, we sampled leaves of the same species to determine leaf chlorophyll content. We stored samples at -20°C until foliar chlorophyll was extracted using spectra-analyzed grade N, N dimethylformamide. We measured absorbance at 663, 646 and 480 nm using a Shimadzu UV-1700 spectrophotometer (Wellburn 1994; Chen et al. 2013) and total chlorophyll content was estimated according to Porra et al. (1989).

Data analysis

We used R statistical programming (R version i386 3.6.1) to run all data analyses described below. First, we used Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests to confirm the normality and heteroscedasticity of all trait data. Based on this confirmation, we used the original non-transformed data in all statistical analyses. We calculated community weighted mean (CWM) traits (i.e. plant height, chlorophyll content, SS concentrations, starch concentrations and total NSC concentrations) weighted by each individual species' relative contribution to biomass in each plot (Khasanova et al. 2013; Griffin-Nolan et al. 2018).

To determine the spatial relationships between aridity and NSC concentrations, we regressed AI against CWM of SS and total NSC concentrations using linear or curvilinear (quadratic) equations. Based on both explained variation and Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) values, we found that the relationships between AI and both SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were best described by a second-order polynomial, with lowest levels at a site with intermediate aridity. To determine trait-trait relationships, we used mixed linear models to relate SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations to $height_{CWM}$ and $chlorophyll_{CWM}$ concentration along the sampled aridity gradient as well as on each side of the aridity gradient (i.e., moist vs. dry regions) with blocks nested within site as random effect. Here, the two sites with intermediate aridity were included as both moist and dry regions. To determine the effect of experimental drought on both SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations, we ran a mixed model analysis of variance with drought treatment and site as fixed factors and block as a random factor. As interactive effects of drought treatment and site were all significant ($P < 0.05$), mixed-effect models were applied separately for each site with drought treatment as fixed factor and block as a random factor. Additionally, the total effects of experimental drought on SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were analyzed with mixed models with drought treatment as a fixed factor and site and block as random factors.

Shifts in plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} can be attributed to both species turnover (C_{Turn}) and intraspecific variation (C_{Intra}); thus, we isolated their relative contributions using the following equation (Jung et al. 2014; Luo et al. 2018): $C_{Turn} = N_{Dr^*} - N_{Ct}$ and $C_{Intra} = N_{Dr} - N_{Dr^*}$, where N_{Ct} and N_{Dr} are SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations in the control and drought plots, respectively, calculated from relative biomass and SS and total NSC concentrations of each species measured in their respective plot. N_{Dr^*} is

SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations in the drought plots, recalculated using a species' relative biomass in the drought plots, but the SS and total NSC concentrations measured in the control plots.

Results

Along the aridity gradient, plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were lowest at the IMG site with intermediate aridity, and highest at the driest and wettest sites (Fig. 1). In contrast, plant height_{CWM} and chlorophyll_{CWM} concentrations were highest at the intermediate aridity site (Figure S2). Accordingly, plant SS_{CWM} concentrations were negatively related to plant height_{CWM} ($R^2 = 0.79$, $P = 0.004$) and chlorophyll_{CWM} concentrations ($R^2 = 0.68$, $P = 0.096$), and plant total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were negatively related to plant height_{CWM} ($R^2 = 0.76$, $P = 0.003$) and chlorophyll_{CWM} concentration ($R^2 = 0.64$, $P = 0.012$) along the entire natural aridity gradient (Figure S3).

These relationships showed a strong tradeoff between these two functional traits and plant NSC concentrations, but the strength of the tradeoff differed by region (Fig. 2). Specifically, in the moist region, plant SS_{CWM} concentrations and plant total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were more strongly related to chlorophyll_{CWM} concentration ($R^2 = 0.69$ and 0.68 , respectively; $P < 0.001$) than plant height_{CWM} ($R^2 = 0.15$ and 0.15 , respectively; $P < 0.01$). In the dry region, however, plant SS_{CWM} concentrations and plant total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were more strongly correlated with plant height_{CWM} ($R^2 = 0.52$ and 0.67 , respectively; $P < 0.001$) than chlorophyll_{CWM} concentrations ($R^2 = 0.09$ and 0.24 , respectively; $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2).

The treatment×site interactions were significant for SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations, suggesting that drought effect on these variables depended on the site characteristics (Table 1). Experimental drought led to an increase in SS_{CWM} at the IMG site but showed negligible effects at the other sites (Fig. 1). Plant total NSC_{CWM} concentrations significantly increased with experimental drought at the IMG site, decreased at the EFS site and remained unchanged at the remaining sites (Fig. 1). The overall effect of experimental drought on plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations was non-significant with site as a random effect (Figure S4). Plant total starch_{CWM} concentrations significantly decreased with experimental drought at the EFS site and remained unchanged at the remaining sites (Figure S5).

Table 1
Results of mixed-effect model analysis of plant community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total nonstructural carbohydrate (NSC) concentrations. Drought treatment (drought vs. control) and site (six grassland sites in northern China) were used as fixed factors and block as a random factor. Both *F*- and *P*-values are shown for fixed effects and their interactions; n = 6.

	Soluble sugar		Total NSC	
	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Treatment	0.466	0.498	0.894	0.349
Site	43.568	<0.001	41.481	<0.001
Treatment×Site	2.937	0.020	3.474	0.009

Shifts in plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} along the aridity gradient were primarily caused by species turnover, which explained more than 90% of the variance (data not shown). In contrast, changes in plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations caused by experimental drought were due to both intraspecific variation and species turnover (Fig. 3). The effects of species turnover and intraspecific variation were synergistic for plant SS_{CWM} at the IMG site and for total NSC_{CWM} at the IMG and EFS sites (Fig. 3). In contrast, the effects were antagonistic for plant SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations for the remaining grassland sites (Fig. 3).

Discussion

In our study, SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations were lowest in the site with intermediate aridity and highest in sites at the opposing extremes of the gradient (Fig. 1). This U-shaped relationship is perhaps due to differences in resource-use tradeoffs exhibited by plants on opposing extremes of the gradient. We document a clear tradeoff between plant investment in acquisitive growth-related traits (e.g., height and chlorophyll) and conservative resource storage traits (e.g., NSC). It is well established that water limitation leads to stomatal closure at the expense of photosynthesis and NSC production (Casson and Gray 2008). In extreme environments, plants often exhibit resource conservative strategies to decrease metabolism and consumption of stored NSC (Gao et al. 2015; Yue et al. 2019). This was demonstrated by reduced plant height with increased natural aridity in the drier regions (Figs. 2 and S2). In more mesic sites, however, plants adopted a resource acquisitive strategy as evidenced by increased plant chlorophyll concentration in response to increased aridity (Figs. 2 and S2). Taken together, these results confirm previous findings that plants adopt opposing resource-use strategies on either extreme of a resource gradient (Chaves et al. 2002; Claeys and Inze 2013) and that there is an intermediate aridity threshold where this shift in resource-use strategy occurs, resulting in lower NSC_{CWM} concentrations, but perhaps higher mortality (Sandel et al. 2010; Wei et al. 2016).

Interestingly, this intermediate aridity site experienced the greatest increase in NSC_{CWM} concentrations following experimental drought (Fig. 1), which suggest the co-occurrence of species with divergent resource-use strategies manifests as a higher capacity for community NSC adjustment following drought. These results were consistent with the positive covariation observed between species turnover and intraspecific variation at this site (Fig. 3), which implies that experimental drought acted as an environmental filter selecting both species with inherently higher NSC_{CWM} concentrations and those with a greater potential to increase NSC_{CWM} concentrations in response to drought (Jung et al. 2014). Consistent with our expectation, plant total NSC_{CWM} significantly reduced with drought treatment at the EFS site, which was caused by the reduced starch_{CWM} concentrations with drought but not reduced SS concentrations. This result is in line with the combined effects of species turnover and intraspecific variation in leaf total NSC concentrations and suggests experimental drought selected for plant species with lower starch concentrations but similar SS concentrations at this grassland site (Jung et al. 2014).

In contrast, plant NSC_{CWM} concentrations did not respond significantly to experimental drought at all other experimental sites. This result is in line with the antagonistic effects of species turnover and intraspecific variation in leaf NSC concentrations. For example, at the XTR and UDR sites, mean NSC concentrations of all species were higher in control plots compared to drought plots (intraspecific variation), while species with high-NSC concentrations gradually replaced those with low-NSC concentrations (species turnover) in response to experimental drought (Figs. 3 and S6). The opposing effects of species turnover and intraspecific trait variability have been also observed for plant nutrient responses to drought (Luo et al. 2018). These results indicated that species turnover and intraspecific trait variability interact to stabilize NSC_{CWM} concentrations in response to extreme drought (Leps et al. 2011). The influences of both intraspecific trait variability and species turnover should be considered simultaneously when evaluating the function of community trait structure (Volf et al. 2016).

While plant NSC_{CWM} concentrations changed along the aridity gradient (Fig. 1), it did not respond strongly to experimental drought treatments (Figs. 1 and S4). The mismatch between experimental drought and aridity trends is not surprising and can be explained by differences in the temporal scale of aridity. Manipulative experiments reveal the initial plastic responses of plant communities and ecosystem functioning following extreme drought (Smith 2011; Yuan et al. 2017). In contrast, natural aridity gradients allow for observations of the long-term responses of plant communities to water-limitation as well as shifts towards optimal function for a given aridity level (Smith 2011; Yuan et al. 2017). Thus, manipulative and gradient experiments measure the plastic and evolutionary responses to drought, respectively. Ecosystem models should thus incorporate both experimental and gradient data to properly assess the responses of plant community structure and functioning to climate change such as increased drought frequency and intensity.

From these observed trends, we propose a conceptual model to evaluate the effects of short- and long-term water limitation on patterns of SS_{CWM} and total NSC_{CWM} concentrations in grassland ecosystem (Fig. 4). We propose a three-phase hypothetical process by which plant NSC_{CWM} concentrations respond

to aridity. Initially (phase A), there is a short-term plastic response during which a community shows stochastic fluctuation over time around some equilibrium NSC concentrations. Over time (phase B and C; long-term evolutionary response), plant NSC_{CWM} concentrations showed a U-shape relationship with increasing aridity, with an aridity threshold at intermediate levels of long-term aridity. In areas before this threshold (Phase B), plants adopt an acquisitive strategy (e.g., increasing leaf chlorophyll) to enhance photosynthetic capacity to offset the reduced NSC concentrations due to increased aridity. However, the resource acquisitive strategies cannot overcome the detrimental effects of reduced stomatal conductance on NSC concentrations under long-term aridity. At this critical aridity threshold, minimum NSC concentrations are reached to maintain the communities, but with potential high plant mortality. Plant NSC_{CWM} concentrations are most vulnerable to experimental drought at this intermediate aridity threshold. Once the aridity threshold is passed over time, plants favor a conservative strategy (e.g., decreasing plant height) to reduce the NSC consumption in areas above this threshold. In this conceptual model, we substitute space for time, which has inherent caveats. Thus, we suggest further experimentation and collection of long-term data is needed to test the hypotheses described here.

Declarations

Author contribution

W.L. conceived the research; L.S., X.Z., Q.Y., W.M., and J.C. performed experiments; L.S., and W.L. analyzed data and drafted the manuscript, and all authors, especially Z.W., R.J.G., M.H.L., S.L.C., M.D.S., and H.H. contributed to further revising of the text. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by funding from National Natural Science Foundation of China (41603080 and 41320104002).

References

1. Ai ZM, Xue S, Wang GL, Liu GB (2017) Responses of non-structural carbohydrates and C:N:P stoichiometry of *Bothriochloa ischaemum* to nitrogen addition on the Loess Plateau, China. *J Plant Growth Regul* 36:714–722. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-017-9673-y>
2. Albert CH, Thuiller W, Yoccoz NG, Douzet R, Aubert S, Lavorel S (2010) A multi-trait approach reveals the structure and the relative importance of intra- vs. interspecific variability in plant traits. *Funct Ecol* 24:1192–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01727.x>
3. Bouma TJ (2005) Understanding plant respiration: Separating respiratory components versus a process-based approach. *Plant Respiration : From Cell to Ecosystem* 18:177–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3589-6_10

4. Casson S, Gray JE (2008) Influence of environmental factors on stomatal development. *New Phytol* 178:9–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02351.x>
5. Chapin FS, Schulze ED, Mooney HA (1990) The ecology and economics of storage in plants. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 21:423–447. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.002231>
6. Chaves MM, Pereira JS, Maroco J, Rodrigues ML, Ricardo CPP, Osorio ML, Carvalho I, Faria T, Pinheiro C (2002) How plants cope with water stress in the field. Photosynthesis and growth. *Ann Bot* 89:907–916. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcf105>
7. Chen LP, Zhao NX, Zhang LH, Gao YB (2013) Responses of two dominant plant species to drought stress and defoliation in the Inner Mongolia steppe of China. *Plant Ecol* 214:221–229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-012-0161-y>
8. Claeys H, Inze D (2013) The agony of choice: how plants balance growth and survival under water-limiting conditions. *Plant Physiol* 162:1768–1779. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.220921>
9. Craine JM, Nippert JB, Elmore AJ, Skibbe AM, Hutchinson SL, Brunsell NA (2012) Timing of climate variability and grassland productivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 109:3401–3405. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1118438109>
10. Delgado-Baquerizo M, Maestre FT, Gallardo A, Quero JL, Ochoa V, Garcia-Gomez M, Escolar C, Garcia-Palacios P, Berdugo M, Valencia E, Gozalo B, Noumi Z, Derak M, Wallenstein MD (2013) Aridity modulates N availability in arid and semiarid mediterranean grasslands. *PloS One* <https://doi.org/ARTN e5980710.1371/journal.pone.0059807>
11. Gao Q, Zhu W, Schwartz MW, Ganjurjav H, Wan Y, Qin X, Ma X, Williamson MA, Li Y (2016) Changes in global grassland productivity during 1982 to 2011 attributable to climatic factors. *Remote Sensing* 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050384>
12. Gao RR, Yang XJ, Liu GF, Huang ZY, Walck JL (2015) Effects of rainfall pattern on the growth and fecundity of a dominant dune annual in a semi-arid ecosystem. *Plant Soil* 389:335–347. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2366-4>
13. Gersony JT, Hochberg U, Rockwell FE, Park M, Gauthier PP, Holbrook NM (2020). Leaf carbon export and nonstructural carbohydrates in relation to diurnal water dynamics in mature oak trees. *Plant Physiol* 183, 1612–1621. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.20.00426>
14. Griffin-Nolan RJ, Bushey JA, Carroll CJW, Challis A, Chieppa J, Garbowski M, Hoffman AM, Post AK, Slette IJ, Spitzer D, Zambonini D, Ocheltree TW, Tissue DT, Knapp AK (2018) Trait selection and community weighting are key to understanding ecosystem responses to changing precipitation regimes. *Funct Ecol* 32:1746–1756. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13135>
15. Hartmann H, Trumbore S (2016) Understanding the roles of nonstructural carbohydrates in forest trees - from what we can measure to what we want to know. *New Phytol* 211:386–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13955>
16. Hoover DL, Knapp AK, Smith MD (2014) Contrasting sensitivities of two dominant C-4 grasses to heat waves and drought. *Plant Ecol* 215:721–731. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-014-0345-8>

17. Hsu JS, Powell J, Adler PB (2012) Sensitivity of mean annual primary production to precipitation. *Glob Change Biol* 18:2246–2255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02687.x>
18. IPCC (2013). Climate change 2013: The physical science basis In TF Stocker D Qing, GK Plattner, M Tignor, SK Allen, J Boschung, A Nauels, Y Xia, V Bex, PM Midgley (Eds.). Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1535 pp.
19. Jin Y, Li J, Liu C, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Sha L, Wang Z, Song Q, Lin Y, Zhou R, Chen A, Li P, Fei X, Grace J (2018) Carbohydrate dynamics of three dominant species in a Chinese savanna under precipitation exclusion. *Tree Physiol* 38:1371–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy017>
20. Jung V, Albert CH, Violle C, Kunstler G, Loucougaray G, Spiegelberger T (2014) Intraspecific trait variability mediates the response of subalpine grassland communities to extreme drought events. *J Ecol* 102:45–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12177>
21. Khasanova A, James JJ, Drenovsky RE (2013) Impacts of drought on plant water relations and nitrogen nutrition in dryland perennial grasses. *Plant Soil* 372:541–552. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1747-4>
22. Knapp AK, Avolio ML, Beier C, Carroll CJW, Collins SL, Dukes JS, Fraser LH, Griffin-Nolan RJ, Hoover DL, Jentsch A, Loik ME, Phillips RP, Post AK, Sala OE, Slette IJ, Yahdjian L, Smith MD (2017) Pushing precipitation to the extremes in distributed experiments: recommendations for simulating wet and dry years. *Glob Change Biol* 23:1774–1782. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13504>
23. Knapp AK, Carroll CJW, Denton EM, La Pierre KJ, Collins SL, Smith MD (2015) Differential sensitivity to regional-scale drought in six central US grasslands. *Oecologia* 177:949–957. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3233-6>
24. Leps J, de Bello F, Smilauer P, Dolezal J (2011) Community trait response to environment: disentangling species turnover vs intraspecific trait variability effects. *Ecography* 34:856–863. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.16000587.2010.06904.x>
25. Li MH, Xiao WF, Shi P, Wang SG, Zhong YD, Liu XL, Wang XD, Cai XH, Shi ZM (2008a) Nitrogen and carbon source-sink relationships in trees at the Himalayan treelines compared with lower elevations. *Plant Cell and Environ* 31:1377–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01848.x>
26. Li MH, Xiao WF, Wang SG, Cheng GW, Cherubini P, Cai XH, Liu XL, Wang XD, Zhu WZ (2008b) Mobile carbohydrates in Himalayan treeline trees I. Evidence for carbon gain limitation but not for growth limitation. *Tree Physiol* 28:1287–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/28.8.1287>
27. Li MH, Jiang Y, Wang A, Li XB., Zhu WZ, Yan CF, Du Z, Shi Z, Lei JP, Schonbeck L, He P, Yu FH, Wang X (2018c) Active summer carbon storage for winter persistence in trees at the cold alpine treeline. *Tree Physiol* 38:1345–1355. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy020>
28. Luo WT, Zuo XA, Ma W, Xu C, Li A, Yu Q, Knapp AK, Tognetti R, Dijkstra FA, Li MH, Han GD, Wang ZW, Han XG (2018) Differential responses of canopy nutrients to experimental drought along a natural aridity gradient. *Ecology* 99:2230–2239. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2444>

29. Luo WT, Zuo XA, Griffin-Nolan RJ, Xu, C, Ma W, Song L, Helsen K., Lin, YC, Cai, JP, Yu Q, Wang ZW, Smith MD, Han XG, Knapp AK (2019) Long term experimental drought alters community plant trait variation, not trait means, across three semiarid grasslands. *Plant Soil* 442:343–353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04176-w>
30. Martinez-Vilalta J, Sala A, Asensio D, Galiano L, Hoch G, Palacio S, Piper FI, Lloret F (2016) Dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates in terrestrial plants: a global synthesis. *Ecol Monogr* 86:495–516. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1231>
31. McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, Kolb T, Plaut J, Sperry J, West A, Williams DG, Yepez EA (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? *New Phytol* 178:719–739. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14698137.2008.02436.x>
32. Perez-Harguindeguy N, Diaz S, Garnier E, Lavorel S, Poorter H, Jaureguiberry P, Bret-Harte MS, Cornwell WK, Craine JM, Gurvich DE, Urcelay C, Veneklaas EJ, Reich PB, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Ray P, Enrico L et al (2016) New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide (vol 61, pg 167, 2013). *Aust J Bot* 64:715–716. https://doi.org/10.1071/Bt12225_Co
33. Poorter L, Kitajima K (2007) Carbohydrate storage and light requirements of tropical moist and dry forest tree species. *Ecology* 88:1000–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1890/06-0984>
34. Porra RJ, Thompson WA, Kriedemann PE (1989) Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with four different solvents: verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics* 975: 384–394. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2728\(89\)80347-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2728(89)80347-0)
35. Rosas T, Galiano L, Ogaya R, Penuelas J, Martinez-Vilalta J (2013) Dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates in three Mediterranean woody species following long-term experimental drought. *Front Plant Sci* 4:400. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00400>
36. Sandel B, Goldstein LJ, Kraft NJ, Okie JG, Shuldman MI, Ackerly DD, Cleland EE, Suding KN (2010) Contrasting trait responses in plant communities to experimental and geographic variation in precipitation. *New Phytol* 188:565-575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03382.x>
37. Smith MD (2011) An ecological perspective on extreme climatic events: a synthetic definition and framework to guide future research. *J Ecol* 99:656–663. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01798.x>
38. Violle C, Enquist BJ, McGill BJ, Jiang L, Albert CH, Hulshof C, Jung V, Messier J (2012) The return of the variance: intraspecific variability in community ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 27:244–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.11.014>
39. Volf M, Redmond C, Albert AJ, Le Bagousse-Pinguet Y, Biella P, Goetzenberger L, Hrazsky Z, Janecek S, Klimesova J, Leps J, Sebelikova L, Vlasata T, de Bello F (2016) Effects of long- and short-term management on the functional structure of meadows through species turnover and intraspecific trait variability. *Oecologia* 180:941–950. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3548-y>

40. Wei HX, Luo TX, Wu B (2016) Optimal balance of water use efficiency and leaf construction cost with a link to the drought threshold of the desert steppe ecotone in northern China. *Ann Bot* 118:541–553. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw127>
41. Wellburn AR (1994) The Spectral Determination of Chlorophyll-a and Chlorophyll-B, as Well as Total Carotenoids, Using Various Solvents with Spectrophotometers of Different Resolution. *J Plant Physiol* 144:307–313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617\(11\)81192-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(11)81192-2)
42. Wilcox KR, von Fischer JC, Muscha JM, Petersen MK, Knapp AK (2015) Contrasting above- and belowground sensitivity of three Great Plains grasslands to altered rainfall regimes. *Glob Change Biol* 21:335–344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12673>
43. Yahdjian L, Sala OE (2002) A rainout shelter design for intercepting different amounts of rainfall. *Oecologia* 133:95–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-002-1024-3>
44. Yuan ZY, Jiao F, Shi XR, Sardans J, Maestre FT, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Reich PB, Penuelas J (2017) Experimental and observational studies find contrasting responses of soil nutrients to climate change. *Elife* 6. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.23255>
45. Yue XY, Zuo XA, Yu Q, Xu C, Lv P, Zhang J, Knapp AK, Smith MD (2019) Response of plant functional traits of *Leymus chinensis* to extreme drought in Inner Mongolia grasslands. *Plant Ecol* 220:141–149. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-018-0887-2>

Figures

Figure 1

Plant community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total nonstructural carbohydrate (NSC) concentrations in plots exposed to experimental drought and control plots for six grassland sites along a natural aridity gradient in northern China. Soluble sugar and total NSC concentrations are shown as mean $\pm$ 1 SE (n = 6). Statistical significance is represented by asterisks: *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Figure 2

Relationships between plant soluble sugar and total nonstructural carbohydrate (NSC) concentrations and plant maximum height and chlorophyll concentration at the community-scale in moist (purple symbols) vs. dry (orange symbols) regions in grasslands in northern China (see text for details of how each region was defined). Filled and open symbols indicate control and drought plots, and different symbols indicate different sites. Values of R^2 and P are shown for significant relationships ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 3

Changes in plant community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total nonstructural carbohydrate (NSC) concentrations in response to drought in grasslands of northern China due to species turnover and intraspecific variation or species turnover only for each site. Black squares correspond to community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total NSC concentrations in control and drought plots; Red circles correspond to community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total NSC concentrations in the drought plots recalculated from soluble sugar and total NSC concentrations in the control plots. Arrows indicate the contributions of species turnover (red arrows) and intraspecific variation (black arrows) to the change in community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total NSC concentrations. Species turnover and intraspecific variation are expressed as percentages of their cumulative magnitude. A parallel direction of shift in species turnover and intraspecific variation effects indicates positive (synergistic) covariation, whereas an opposite direction of shift in species turnover and intraspecific variation indicates negative (antagonistic) covariation. Community-weighted mean of soluble sugar and total NSC concentrations are shown as mean $\pm$ SE ($n = 6$).

Figure 4

A three-phase process conceptual model to evaluate the effects of short- and long-term drought on the patterns of community nonstructural carbohydrates in grassland ecosystems.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supportinginformation.docx](#)