Application of Multinomial Logistic Regression Model on Factors That Affects The Need for Children Among Ethiopian Women

Habitu Liyew Molla (✉ habeteleyew@gmail.com)

Debark University

Turufat Seifu Moges

Debark University

Research Article

Keywords: Need for children, EDHS, Multinomial Logistic regression

Posted Date: September 13th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-809361/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Need for children (desire for children), is one of the major factors influencing the population dynamics. Like other fertility preferences, it is not fixed but changes over the family life course in respect to actual fertility experiences and outcomes. The objective of this study was to identify socio-economic and demographic factors that affect the need for another child (desire for more children) among Ethiopian women of reproductive age (15-49).

Methods: The study was based on Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS), 2016 data. A total of 15,683 women with complete information were considered in the study.

A Multinomial Logistic Regression Model, a family of generalized non-linear models, were used to identify determinant factors for the need to children (desire for children) among women in Ethiopia using STATA 14 and SPSS 23.

Results: Results showed that among the reproductive age women's 65.51% were need to have childe, and their average age was 25.05, 27.18% were don't like to have child and their average age was 35.73, the rest 7.31% of the women were not decide where they need children or not and their average age was 24.8. From multinomial logistic regression model, Region, contraception using (for yes 0.658293 (0.58889, 0.73586) for undecided 0.6310024 (0.50151, 0.79394)), marital status, age of women (for yes 0.896552 (0.88968, 0.90348) for undecided 0.9282431 (0.91632, 0.94033)), number of living children, religion, age at first marriage (for yes1.057528 (1.04363, 1.07161) for undecided 1.041 (1.01434, 1.06836)), wealth index, women's employment and women's education were significant effect on the need for children among Ethiopian women.

Conclusions: This study was based on a nationwide large sample demographic and survey conducted by the Ethiopian Statistical Agency. The study has empirically investigated and identified the determinants factors that affect the need for children among Ethiopian women. The multinomial logistic regression model analysis identified that variables such as marital status, contraception using, number of living children, age at first marriage and age of women play vital role in deciding on weather women need to have children or not. Region contraceptive using women's employment, women's education level and number of living children have negatively associated with outcome variable.

Background

Fertility is one of the elements in population dynamics that has significant contribution towards changing population size and structure over time. Fertility and future projected population growth are much higher in sub-Saharan Africa than in any other region of the world [1, 2]. About eight percent of the world's population lives in high fertility countries that have experienced only limited fertility decline to date. Most of these countries are in sub-Saharan Africa [3, 4]

In 1970's Ethiopia has experienced a very high rate of population growth as in other developing countries. The country's population in 2016 was estimated around 100 million, placing it as the second most populous nation in sub-Saharan Africa and ranks twelfth in the world [5]. The Ethiopian government and international organizations have tried to reduce the pace of growth by education in family planning and providing contraceptives. Despite these efforts, there is still a higher fertility rate than what is considered. Fertility behavior influences population growth, it has consequences on resources, employment situation, health and other social facilities. The mechanism of factors affecting fertility is that intermediate variables influence fertility directly, while socio-economic and demographic variables affect fertility indirectly through intermediate variables [6].

Several factors contribute for the rapid growth in population size. Some of these factors could be literacy status, occupation, religion, child birth- death ratio, wealth status, place of residence, household headship, contraceptive use, region, reproductive life span, age at first marriage and desired number of children [7, 8]. The desire to bear more children is one main reason for rapid population growth. Desired family size can take many forms. [9] refers to desired family size as the number of children wanted in one's lifetime, and can be viewed as the demand for children. [10] defines desired family size as the number of children parents would have if there were no subjective or economic problems involved in regulating fertility. Desire for children is a biological urge and women in every part of the globe, be it a developed nation like America or a poor nation like Ethiopia, all need to bear children [1, 2].

Ethiopia has predominantly rural based society whose livelihood depends on individual level farming. In this kind of set up children are expected to help their parents in their efforts to feed their families. Regardless of their number of living children, still want to have four or more children[10]. This study was aimed to assess the need for another child and the potential factors influencing the need for children by women at reproductive age using multinomial regression models.

Methods

Data for the study

The data for the present study was taken from the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS), a nationally representative survey that was conducted under the authority of the Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency in collaboration with the Federal Ministry of Health (FMoH) and the Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI), with technical assistance from International Consultancy Fund (ICF). The survey was conducted from January 18, 2016, to June 27, 2016, based on a nationally representative sample that provides estimates at the national and regional levels and for urban and rural areas. The survey target groups were women aged 15–49 and men aged 15–59 in randomly selected households across Ethiopia. A total of 18,008 households were selected using two-stage stratified cluster sampling method of which 17,067 were occupied. Of the occupied households, 16,650 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 98%. A total of 15,683 women were considered in this study. These women were asked questions on the background characteristics such as region, age, education

level, household headship, living children, contraception using, place of residence, wealth index, work, religion, marital status, family size, age at first marriage.

Data analysis

In this study, the variable of interest is a categorical variable. When the response or dependent variable (need for children) is a categorical which can take values (No, Yes, and Undecided), it is appropriate to use non-linear models based on non-normal distribution to describe the relationship between the dependent variable and a set of predictor variables. Logistic regression is a popular modeling approach when the dependent variable is dichotomous or polytomous. This model allows one to predict the log odds of outcomes of a dependent variable from a set of independent variables that may be continuous, discrete, categorical, or a mix of any of these,[11]. The most attractive feature of a logistic regression model is that it neither assumes linearity in the relationship between the covariates and the outcome variable, nor does it require normally distributed variables. It also does not assume homoscedasticity and in general has less stringent requirements than linear regression models [12].

Multinomial logistic regression is used to predict categorical placement in or the probability of category membership on a dependent variable based on multiple independent variables. Independent variables can be either dichotomous (i.e., binary) or continuous (i.e., interval or ratio in scale) [13, 14]. Multinomial logistic regression is a simple extension of binary logistic regression that allows for more than two categories of the dependent or outcome variable. Like binary logistic regression, multinomial logistic regression uses maximum likelihood estimation to evaluate the probability of categorical membership. Multinomial logistic regression does necessitate careful consideration of the sample size and examination for outlying cases. Like other data analysis procedures, initial data analysis should be thorough and include careful univariate, bivariate, and multivariate assessment. Specifically, multicollinearity should be evaluated with simple correlations among the independent variables. Also, multivariate diagnostics (i.e. standard multiple regression) can be used to assess for multivariate outliers and for the exclusion of outliers or influential cases [15].

Results

A total of 15,683 women were included in the study. Of all women studied, 27.18% were not need (desire) a child, 65.51% were desire to have a child and the rest 7.31% of the women were not decide whether they need child or not. The mean number of living children for those who are not willing a child was 4.1 and their average age was 35.73 in contrast the mean number of living children for those who are willing a child was 1.6 and their average age was 25.05. From the total women 65.89% live in rural areas, 44.84% of women were uneducated and 63.83% were not have any work to do. More than 62.64% women were married and More than Two third of the women households were headed by males (Details can be found in Table 1, 2, 3).

Table 1
Descriptive statistics for the need of another child.

Need for more children	FrEq.	percent	cum.
No	4,263	27.18	27.18
Yes	10,274	65.51	92.69
Undecided	1,146	7.31	100
Total	15,683	100	

Table 2
Mean and Standard deviation of the need for more children among women aged 15–49 in each category of the explanatory variables.

Need for more children	Summary of number of living children		
	Mean	std.dev.	freq
No	4.1792165	2.4385011	4,263
Yes	1.634125	2.0643704	10,274
Undecided	1.6300175	2.4134136	1,146
Total	2.3256392	2.4729884	15,683
Need for more children	Summary of women's age in years		
	Mean	std.dev.	freq
No	35.732114	8.3883071	4,263
Yes	25.054896	7.4090024	10,274
Undecided	24.804538	9.1693536	1,146
Total	27.938915	9.1596236	15,683

Table 3

Proportion of need for more children among women aged 15–49 and proportion of women in each category of the explanatory variables.

Need for more children		No (%)	Yes (%)	Undecided (%)	Total (%)
Contraception using	Not Using	3,065 (24.77)	8,278 (66.91)	1,028 (8.32)	12,371 (78.88)
	Using	1,198 (36.17)	1,996 (60.26)	118 (3.57)	3,312 (21.12)
Region	Tigray	442 (26.27)	1,174 (69.79)	66 (3.94)	1,682 (10.72)
	Afar	169 (14.98)	850 (75.35)	109 (9.67)	1,128 (7.19)
	Amhara	626 (36.41)	978 (56.89)	115 (6.7)	1,719 (10.96)
	Oromia	673 (35.57)	972 (51.37)	247 (13.06)	1,892 (12.06)
	Somali	167 (12.00)	1,109 (79.72)	115 (8.28)	1,391 (8.87)
	Benshangul G.	383 (34.10)	700 (62.16)	43 (3.74)	1,126 (7.18)
	SNNPE	559 (30.23)	1,120 (60.57)	170 (9.2)	1,849 (11.79)
	Gambela	332 (32.17)	662 (64.14)	41 (3.69)	1,035 (6.59)
	Harari	282 (31.12)	509 (56.18)	115 (12.7)	906 (5.78)
	Addis Ababa	344 (18.85)	819 (72.41)	99 (5.44)	1,824 (11.63)
	Dire Dawa	286 (25.28)	1,381 (75.71)	26 (2.31)	1,131 (7.23)
Sex of household head	Male	2,907 (26.78)	7,157 (65.94)	789 (7.28)	10,853 (69.20)
	Female	1,356 (28.07)	3,117 (64.53)	357 (7.4)	4,830 (30.80)
Place of Residence	Urban	1,173 (21.93)	3,831 (71.63)	344 (6.14)	5,348 (34.10)
	Rural	3,090 (29.92)	6,443 (62.64)	802 (7.44)	10,335 (65.90)

Need for more children		No (%)	Yes (%)	Undecided (%)	Total (%)
Wealth index	Poorest	1,037 (25.15)	2,785 (67.56)	300 (7.29)	4,122 (26.28)
	Poorer			169 (6.33)	2,596 (16.55)
	Middle	816 (31.43)	1,611 (62.24)	199 (17.53)	2,848 (18.15)
	Richer	791 (27.77)	1,858 (54.70)	231 (8.22)	2,811 (17.92)
	Richest	752 (26.75)		247 (7.48)	3,306 (21.10)
		867 (26.22)	1,828 (65.03)		
Women's Employment	Not working	2,492 (24.89)	6,705 (66.97)	814 (8.14)	10,011 (64.47)
	Working	1,771 (31.22)	3,569 (62.92)	332 (5.86)	5,672 (35.53)
Women's education	No Education	2,670 (37.96)	3,898 (55.42)	465 (6.62)	7,033 (44.84)
	Primary	1,091 (20.92)	3,654 (70.09)	468 (8.99)	5,213 (33.23)
	Secondary			154 (6.89)	2,238 (14.27)
	Higher	335 (14.96)	1,749 (78.15)	59 (4.93)	1,199 (7.66)
		167 (13.92)	973 (81.15)		
Religion	Orthodox	1,817 (28.33)	4,201 (65.50)	395 (6.17)	6,413 (40.89)
	Catholic			8 (8.80)	91 (0.58)
	Protestant	32 (35.16)	51 (56.04)	234 (8.33)	2,814 (17.94)
	Muslim	903 (32.08)	1,677 (59.59)	499 (8.05)	6,209 (39.59)
	Traditional	1,467 (23.62)	4,243 (68.33)	8 (8.84)	84 (0.53)
	Other	24 (29.26)		2 (2.79)	72 (0.47)
		20 (27.77)	52 (61.90)		
Marital status	Single	234 (5.46)	3,423 (80.01)	621 (14.53)	4,278 (27.27)
	Married	3,162 (32.18)	6,241 (63.52)	421 (4.3)	9,824 (62.64)
	Separated	867 (54.83)	610 (38.58)	104 (6.59)	1,581 (10.09)

Table 4.Result of multinomial logistic regression parameter estimation table with reference No.

Need for children

Yes

Undecide

Parameter	RRR (95% CI)		Z	p > z	RRR(95%CI)		Z	p > z
Region								
(Ref. Tigray)	1.50199	(1.13952, 1.97976)	2.89	0.004	4.72637	(3.06335, 7.29219)	7.02	0.000
Afar	0.39735	(0.32751, 0.4820)	-9.36	0.000			-0.48	0.631
Amhara	0.35947	(0.29182, 0.44279)	-9.62	0.000	0.91746	(0.64578, 1.30344)	3.55	0.000
Oromia	3.10598	(2.35840, 4.09053)	-7.04	0.000	1.89695	(1.33252, 2.70048)	8.07	0.000
Somali	0.44467	(0.35482, 0.55726)	-4.50	0.000			-2.26	0.024
Benshangul G.	0.59777	(0.47780, 0.74787)	-5.95	0.000	6.03279	(3.89810, 9.33651)	2.35	0.019
SNNPE	0.47369	(0.37028, 0.60598)	-8.26	0.000			-1.51	0.130
Gambela	0.59777	(0.47780, 0.74787)	-3.91	0.000	0.59645	(0.38013, 0.93419)	3.21	0.001
Harari	0.47369	(0.37028, 0.60598)	-3.91	0.000	0.59645	(0.38013, 0.93419)	-0.72	0.470
Addis Ababa	0.47369	(0.37028, 0.60598)	-3.91	0.000	0.59645	(0.38013, 0.93419)	-3.61	0.000
Dire Dawa	0.34319	(0.26624, 0.44240)			1.58441	(1.07881, 2.32696)		
	0.69952	(0.55381, 0.88358)			0.69318	(0.43125, 1.11422)		
	0.61019	(0.47636, 0.78161)			1.9592	(1.29887, 2.95523)		
					0.85894	(0.56856, 1.29765)		
					0.37251	(0.21805, 0.63641)		
Contraception using								
(Ref. No)	0.65829	(0.58889, 0.73586)	-7.36	0.000	0.63100	(0.50151, 0.79394)	-3.93	0.000
Yes								

Place of residence							
(Ref. urban)	1.00151 1.15477)	(0.86859,	0.02	0.983	0.99962 (0.80050, 1.24828)	-0.00	0.997
Rural							
Sex of household head							
(ref. male)	0.97147 1.09623)	(0.86093,	-0.47	0.639	0.89343 (0.74225, 1.07540)	-1.19	0.233
Female							
Wealth index							
(Ref. poorest)	0.85143 0.98784)	(0.73386,	-2.12	0.034	0.86858 (0.67714, 1.11414)	-1.11	0.267
Poorer			0.71	0.475		0.27	0.788
Middle	1.05713 1.23131)	(0.90758,	1.66	0.096	1.03498 (0.80518, 1.33036)	1.49	0.136
Richer m	1.14255 1.33693)	(0.97642,	-0.01	0.993		-0.30	0.76
Richest	0.99933 1.17302)	(0.85136,			1.21076 (0.94148, 1.55706)		
					0.96140 (0.74223, 1.24529)		
Women employment							
(Ref. Not employed)	0.92648 1.02298)	(0.83899,	-1.51	0.131	0.72142 (0.61209, 0.85026)	-3.89	0.000
Working							
Women's education							
(Ref. NO Edu.)	0.89644 1.01092)	(0.79493,	-1.78	0.075	0.78638 (0.64503, 0.95871)	-2.38	0.017
Primary	1.15645 1.38668)	(0.96445,	1.57	0.117		-2.81	0.005
Secondary			4.00	0.000	0.66296 (0.49770, 0.88310)	-1.66	0.097
Higher	1.60852 2.03094)	(1.27396,			0.71951 (0.48778, 1.06134)		
Religion							
(Ref. Orthodox)							
Catholic	0.52693 0.94085)	(0.29511,	-2.17	0.030	0.65508 (0.26629,	-0.92	0.357

Protestant	0.87135 (0.74240, 1.02271)	-1.69	0.092	1.61153)	-1.27	0.206
Muslim	1.17848 (1.02881, 1.34991)	2.37	0.018	0.84795 (0.65683, 1.09469)	-0.28	0.782
Traditional		1.94	0.053		1.96	0.050
Other	1.78872 (0.99317, 3.22151)	1.33	0.182	0.96911 (0.77568, 1.21078)	-0.78	0.436
	1.55154 (0.81407, 2.95707)			2.46786 (1.00083, 6.08531)		
				0.54914 (0.12148, 2.48245)		
Marital status						
(Ref. Single)	1.34549 (1.11293, 1.62665)	3.07	0.002	0.16851 (0.12709, 0.22342)	-12.37	0.000
Married		11.54	0.000		-11.71	0.000
Separated	0.29732 (0.24198, 0.36532)			0.16018 (0.11788, 0.21765)		
Age of women	0.89655 (0.88968, 0.90348)	27.81	0.000	0.92824 (0.91632, 0.94033)	-11.28	0.000
Number of living children	0.74009 (0.71528, 0.76576)	-17.30	0.000	0.85049 (0.80415, 0.89951)	-5.66	0.000
Family size	1.00564 (0.98028, 1.03165)	0.43	0.666	1.03535 (0.99862, 1.07345)	1.88	0.059
Age at first marriage	1.05753 (1.04363, 1.07161)	8.29	0.000	1.041 (1.01434, 1.06836)	3.04	0.002
Cons	247.93 (170.89,359.69)	29.04	0.000	14.82 (8.34, 26.37)	9.17	0.000

The results from multinomial logistic regression model given in Table 4, showed that Region, contraception using, marital status, age of women, number of living children, religion, age at first marriage, wealth index, women's employment and women's education were statistically significant predictors for the outcome variable.

The parameters of observed variables can be interpreted as:

The relative risk of comparing regions, women need children to no need for children. The relative risk for having children of women who live in Afar and Somali regions was increased by a factor of 1.501 and 3.1059 than Tigray region respectively, but the relative risk for having children of women who live in Addis Ababa, Amhara, Oromia, Benshangul Gumuz, SNNPE, Gambela, Harari and Dire Dawa was decreased by a factor of 0.6995, 0.3973, 0.3594, 0.4440, 0.5977, 0.4736, 0.3431 and 0.6101 than Tigray region respectively. Moreover, relative risk of comparing regions, undecided women about children to no need for children, for regions Afar, Oromia, Somali, SNNPE and Harari was increased by a factor of 4.72, 1.89, 6.03, 1.58 and 1.95 respectively than Tigray region, for regions Benshangul Gumuz and Dire Dawa the relative risk was decreased a factor of 0.5964 and 0.3725 respectively than Tigray region given that other variables held constant.

From the Table 4 below, women need children to no need for children. For contraception users relative to non-users, the relative risk for having children for contraception users is decreased by a factor of 0.6582 than non-users and the relative risk for undecided women about children to no need for children for contraceptive users is decreased by a factor of 0.6310 than non-users.

The result showed that, undecided women about children to no need for children, relative risk for unemployed women's were decreased by a factor of 0.7214 than employed women's.

For comparing women's education, undecided women about children to no need for children. For primary educated women relative to uneducated, the relative risk for having children for primary educated is decreased by a factor of 0.7863 than uneducated and for secondary educated women relative to uneducated, the relative risk for having children for secondary educated is decreased by a factor of 0.6629. And the relative risk of comparing women's education, women need children to no need for children. For higher educated women relative to uneducated, the relative risk for having children for higher educated was increased by a factor of 1.608 than uneducated than uneducated women.

For comparing religion of women, women need for children to women no need for children. For Catholic relative to orthodox follower women, the relative risk for having children for Catholic is decreased by a factor of 0.5269 than the orthodox religion followers, and For Muslim relative to orthodox follower women, the relative risk for having children for Muslim religion followers is increased by a factor of 1.1784 than the orthodox religion followers. More over for Traditional religion followers the relative risk for undecided women to have children for was increased by a factor of 2.4678 than the orthodox religion followers.

When we see the marital status women, women need children to no need for children. For married relative to single, the relative risk for having children for married women's is increased by a factor of 1.3454 than single women and the relative risk for undecided women to no need for children for married women is

decreased by a factor of 0.1685 than single women. Moreover for comparing separated to single women, the relative risk for having children for separated women's is decreased by a factor of 0.2973 than single women and the relative risk for undecided women to no need for children for separated women is decreased by a factor of 0.1601 than single women given that other variables held constant.

A one unit increase in in the variable age of women and number of living children is associated with 0.8965, 0.74 decrease in the relative log of women who need child than doesn't need child respectively. But a one unit increase in the variable age at first marriage is associated with 1.0575 increase in the relative log of women who need child than doesn't need child. A one unit increase in the variable age of women and number of living children is associated with 0.9282 and 0.850 decrease in the relative odds of women with undecided about need of child than who don't need child respectively. In contrast a one unit increase in the variable age of women is associated with 1.041 increase in the relative odds of women with undecided about need of child than who don't need child.

Discussion

This study was based on data from the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS), a nationally representative survey that was conducted under the authority of the Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency in collaboration with the Federal Ministry of Health (FMoH) and the Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI). A total of 15,683 women of age between 15 and 49 from the 2016 EDHS data were included in the study.

The study explores on the determinant factors that affect the need for children among Ethiopian women of reproductive age (15–49) using multinomial logistic regression model.

From the model in Table 4, the estimated RRR for women's age is positive and show that a unit increment in women's age leads to decline in the relative log of women who need child than doesn't need child. this finding was similar to the result of a study by [16, 17]. In literature most of the studies report a negative relationship between the need of children and age of women. They indicate that younger women have higher likelihood of having higher desired number of children.

A one unit increment in the variable number of living children is associated with 0.74 decline in the relative log of women who need child than doesn't need child. This implies as the number of living child increases the need for another child decreases, this finding is consistent to study by [18] showed that women having large number of living children were more likely to desire for additional children than those who had small number of living children.

From this study, a one unit increase in the variable age at first marriage is associated with 1.0575 increase in the relative log of women who need child than doesn't need child in other words the need for children shows an increment with the increase in age at marriage of the mother. This finding was contrary to the result study by [19]. This inconsistency might be methodology difference, sampling technique and sample size difference.

The relative risk for having children for contraception users was small than non-users This finding is in agreement with study by [20]. This observation holds as a women who are using contraceptive are less likely to have their expected children than the non-user women.

The fitted model shows that the relative risk for having children for married women's is higher than single women. This finding are consistent with other studies [21]. They believed that married women's are more likely to have their expected children than those who are single women.

Conclusions

This study was based on a nationwide large sample demographic and survey conducted by the Ethiopian Statistical Agency. The study has empirically investigated and identified the determinants factors that affect the need for children among Ethiopian women. The multinomial logistic regression model analysis identified that variables such as marital status, contraception using, number of living children, age at first marriage and age of women play vital role in deciding on weather women need to have children or not. Women with little or no formal education and with no work tend to have need more children. The desire to limit the number of children increases with age at first marriage. Since the population is growing rapidly poor countries like Ethiopia should take an action in order to minimize the rapid population growth and reduce high fertility rate by preparing continuous trainings and capacity buildings related family planning to those regions with high rates of need for children. Moreover the government should work on educating women and engaging them in income generating activities should be the among priority policy agendas.

Abbreviations

EDHS

Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey; GLM:Generalized linear models; FMoH:Federal Ministry of Health; EPHI:Ethiopian Public Health Institute; ICF:International Consultancy Fund.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate: Not Applicable

Consent to publication: This manuscript has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration in any other journal.

Availability of data and materials: The data used in this study can be obtained on the EDHS website

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Funding: No fund was obtained for the study.

Authors' Contributions: HLM and TSM conceived the study, HLM analyzed the data, HLM and TSM interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. HLM finalized the manuscript to the present form. All

authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge the Ethiopian Central Statistics Agency (CSA) for providing the data. Author Details

1*Lecturer of Statistics at Debark University, Debark, Ethiopia.

1Lecture of Economics at Debark University, Debark, Ethiopia.

References

1. Casterline, J.B., *Diffusion processes and fertility transition: selected perspectives*. 2001.
2. Bongaarts, J., *Fertility transitions in developing countries: Progress or stagnation?* Studies in family planning, 2008. **39**(2): p. 105–110.
3. Organization, W.H., *World report on ageing and health* 2015: World Health Organization.
4. Tiruneh, F.N., et al., *Factors associated with contraceptive use and intention to use contraceptives among married women in Ethiopia*. Women & health, 2016. **56**(1): p. 1–22.
5. Setegn, T., Y. Lakew, and K. Deribe, *Geographic variation and factors associated with female genital mutilation among reproductive age women in Ethiopia: A National Population Based Survey*. PloS one, 2016. **11**(1): p. e0145329.
6. Bongaarts, J., *A framework for analyzing the proximate determinants of fertility*. Population and development review, 1978: p. 105–132.
7. Wolfe, B.L. and J.R. Behrman, *The synthesis economic fertility model*. Journal of population economics, 1992. **5**(1): p. 1–16.
8. Angeles, L., *Demographic transitions: analyzing the effects of mortality on fertility*. Journal of Population Economics, 2010. **23**(1): p. 99–120.
9. Thomson, E. and Y. Brandreth, *Measuring fertility demand*. Demography, 1995. **32**(1): p. 81–96.
10. McClelland, G., *Family-size desires as measures of demand*. Determinants of fertility in developing countries: A summary of knowledge, 1983. **1**: p. 288–343.
11. Hosmer, D.W. and S. Lemeshow, *Interpretation of the fitted logistic regression model*. Applied Logistic Regression, Second Edition, 2000: p. 47–90.
12. Agresti, A., *An introduction to categorical data analysis, 2nd edn*. Hoboken, 2007, NJ: Wiley-Interscience.
13. Long, J.S. and J. Freese, *Regression models for categorical dependent variables using Stata* 2006: Stata press.
14. Karazsia, B.T. and M.H. Van Dulmen, *Regression models for count data: Illustrations using longitudinal predictors of childhood injury*. Journal of pediatric psychology, 2008. **33**(10): p. 1076–1084.

15. De Jong, P. and G.Z. Heller, *Generalized linear models for insurance data*. Vol. 10. 2008: Cambridge University Press Cambridge.
16. Greene, M.E., *Changing women and avoiding men gender stereotypes and reproductive health programmes*. IDS bulletin, 2000. **31**(2): p. 49–59.
17. Dudgeon, M.R. and M.C. Inhorn, *Men's influences on women's reproductive health: medical anthropological perspectives*. Social science & medicine, 2004. **59**(7): p. 1379–1395.
18. Puurbalanta, M.R. and W. Aselisewine, *Poisson Regression Analysis of Ideal Family Size*. Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 2016. **2**(12).
19. Uddin, I., K. Bhuyan, and S. Islan, *Determinants of desired family size and children ever born in Bangladesh*. Journal of Family Welfare, 2011. **57**(2): p. 30–38.
20. Adhikari, R., *Demographic, socio-economic, and cultural factors affecting fertility differentials in Nepal*. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2010. **10**(1): p. 19.
21. Matovu, J.K., et al., *Determinants of fertility desire among married or cohabiting individuals in Rakai, Uganda: a cross-sectional study*. Reproductive health, 2017. **14**(1): p. 2.