Evaluating the utility of an international webinar as a platform to educate students and doctors on the UK Core Surgical Training Portfolio

Siddarth Raj

King's College London Guy's, King's and St Thomas' Medical School

Harroop Bola (✉ harroop.bola19@imperial.ac.uk)

Imperial College School of Medicine

Amar Rai

Imperial College School of Medicine

Sarika Grover

King's College London Guy's, King's and St Thomas' Medical School

Anisha Bandyopadhyay

King's College London Guy's, King's and St Thomas' Medical School

Vinci Naruka

Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust

Research Article

Keywords: Webinar, Core Surgical Training, Portfolio

Posted Date: August 24th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-832696/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Core Surgical Training (CST) is a competitive two-year postgraduate training program in the UK that is scored based on three equally weighted stations: management, clinical and portfolio. Preparing a surgical portfolio can start in medical school, however, there is limited guidance on what forms a competitive portfolio with the majority of advice coming from surgical societies and mentors, which are variable throughout the country. Our aim was to assess the utility of a webinar to educate students and doctors on the CST portfolio to address this disparity.

Methods

Pre- and post-event questionnaires that included demographic data, 10-point Likert scales to self-report confidence on understanding of the CST portfolio and its domains, and questions on utilising webinars were distributed to attendees. Pre- and post-event responses were paired, and scores were assessed for normality via the Shapiro-Wilk test; the Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess statistical significance. Cohen's d effect sizes were calculated to report standardised differences between pre- and post-event scores.

Results

A total of 177 participants from over 24 countries attended and responded to both questionnaires. A statistically significant improvement in awareness of how to score maximum points was demonstrated across all nine CST domains ($p < 0.0001$). Almost half of the participants stated a preference for a webinar over an in-person format and only less than a third felt that webinars limited their ability to network and socialise at such events. Interestingly, most attendees did not feel that their university had provided them with adequate information on preparing for a surgical career with over half of the attendees stating that they had to attend events by external organisations to obtain such information.

Conclusions

This study has demonstrated that a webinar can be effectively utilised to educate students and doctors on the various domains of the CST portfolio and how to maximise points in each section. Such events could address the variability of societies and mentors across the country and provide equal opportunities for students. Further studies that directly compare webinars with in-person events and investigate long-term outcomes, such as success in CST applications, are required.

Introduction

Core Surgical Training (CST) is a two-year training programme in the United Kingdom (UK) that sits between the foundation programme and higher surgical training. CST is extremely competitive with 2322 applications for only 605 posts in 2020, a competition ratio of 3.84 [1]. Shortlisting for the CST programme is based on self-assessment and evidence verification of the surgical portfolio. This portfolio, alongside the equally weighted 10-minute management and clinical stations, make up the scoring system that is used to rank CST applicants.

Creating a competitive application is largely dependent on the preparation of a surgical portfolio, which can begin as early as in medical school. A competitive application is tailored in accordance with the scoring criteria for the CST portfolio, which is divided into nine distinct categories: commitment to speciality; post-graduate degrees, qualifications, and additional degrees; prizes/awards; quality improvement/clinical audit; teaching experience; training in teaching; presentations; publications; and leadership and management [2].

At present, there is limited formal guidance made available to students on what forms a competitive portfolio for CST. The majority of guidance on pursuing a career in surgery in the UK comes from surgical societies and mentors, which are variable throughout the country [3]. However, existing national surgical organisations, such as the Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) and the Association of Surgeons in Training (ASiT), along with medical school surgical societies can play a role in providing information on how to pursue a career in surgery [2, 4]. One way this variability could be addressed is by such organisations delivering webinars on a national, or even international, level that explain how to pursue a career in surgery and how to develop a competitive CST portfolio. This could be done in conjunction with dedicated career talks as it has previously been demonstrated that such events that take place during medical school can serve as an influential factor in the decision to pursue surgery [5, 6].

During the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, access to digital mediums have become increasingly prevalent and have become an efficient medium for students to interact [7–9]. Webinar-based teaching has become the standard throughout medical schools, and it has been noted that this model of teaching is sustainable as it reduces the barriers of participation, cost, and time commitment [10]. Therefore, delivering webinars boasts a significant number of advantages by fostering the opportunity to expand exposure to an international audience with minimal costs and administration, while simultaneously improving attendance [6].

Therefore, our aim with hosting a free webinar on the CST portfolio was to address the variability in access to surgical societies and mentors across the country and provide an equal opportunity to students from all demographics so that they can understand what the CST portfolio entails. Additionally, this cross-sectional study aims to identify students and doctors' existing understanding of the CST portfolio and to report on the utility of a digital webinar as a platform to educate medical students and professionals at various stages of training on how to create a competitive CST portfolio.

Methods

This study was reported in line with the STROBE guidelines, which includes a checklist for cross-sectional studies [11].

Webinar

A free webinar session was organised in March 2021 that was open to anyone, ranging from pre-medical and current medical students to foundation doctors and core trainees. The webinar covered each domain of the CST portfolio and utilised real-world examples to demonstrate how to score maximum points in each domain. The webinar was conducted over Zoom® (Zoom Video Communications, USA), a video conferencing software that is the most frequently used in medical education [12]. This webinar was designed and delivered by a cardiothoracic surgical trainee in the UK who had prior experience in preparing a CST portfolio and of delivering similar national webinars.

Feedback

A pre-event questionnaire was distributed to attendees to respond to prior to the event (Appendix A). This questionnaire included demographic questions on sex, medical school, country of origin and stage of medical training. A 10-point Likert scale was used for statements pertaining to participants' interest in surgery, self-rated awareness of the CST portfolio and each of its domains, and the extent to which their university has provided them with adequate information on how to pursue a career in surgery. "Strongly disagree" was assigned a score of zero and "strongly agree" was assigned a score of 10. This scale was utilised as it effectively enables qualitative information to be quantified for comparison and further statistical analysis.

The post-event questionnaire included 12 of the same questions from the pre-event questionnaire along with feedback on the presenter's knowledge and ability to communicate (Appendix B). This questionnaire also included questions on how useful participants found the session, which was also scored using a 10-point Likert scale. Participants were also asked a handful of questions that focused on comparisons between webinars and in-person events to establish their preferences (Appendix B).

Both pre- and post-webinar questionnaires were hosted on Google Forms (Google, USA) and were anonymised after pre- and post-event responses were paired using Google Sheets (Google, USA). Only participants that filled out both questionnaires were eligible for analysis.

Statistical methods

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess whether the data was normally distributed. As the data displayed a nonparametric distribution, a Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate whether there was a significant difference between pre- and post-event statements. The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California, USA). Thereafter, Cohen's d effect size was calculated to report the standardised difference between the pre- and post-event Likert scores.

Patient and public involvement

No patients were included in this cross-sectional study. All participants in this study provided informed consent for their data to be used anonymously for both research and educational purposes. Participants had the opportunity to opt out of completing either questionnaire at any point. The data collected from both pre- and post-event questionnaires were anonymised prior to data analysis and were stored in password-protected files to comply with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

Results

A total of 177 attendees completed both the pre- and post-event surveys, 59.9% of which were female. Although participants from 24 countries attended, the majority were predominantly from the UK and were either pre-clinical or clinical medical students (Table 1).

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics of attendees

Characteristics	Number of participants (%) N=177
Sex	
Female	106 (59.9%)
Male	70 (39.5%)
Prefer not to say	1 (0.6%)
Stage of Training	
Pre-medical student	3 (1.7%)
Pre-clinical medical student	55 (31.1%)
Clinical medical student	51 (28.8%)
Intercalating	8 (4.5%)
Foundation Doctor	39 (22.0%)
Core Trainee	5 (2.82%)
Speciality Trainee	3 (1.7%)
Physician Associate Student	1 (0.6%)
Other	12 (6.8%)
Region of origin	
United Kingdom	112 (63.3%)
Asia	
India	23 (13.0%)
Pakistan	8 (4.5%)
Bangladesh	1 (0.6%)
China	1 (0.6%)
Malaysia	1 (0.6%)
Nepal	1 (0.6%)
Philippines	1 (0.6%)
Sri-Lanka	1 (0.6%)
Europe	
Georgia	5 (2.8%)
Armenia	3 (1.7%)
Czech Republic	3 (1.7%)
Bulgaria	2 (1.1%)
Latvia	2 (1.1%)
Ireland	1 (0.6%)
Portugal	1 (0.6%)
Ukraine	1 (0.6%)
Africa and Middle East	
Jordan	4 (2.3%)
Sudan	2 (1.1%)
Egypt	1 (0.6%)
Iraq	1 (0.6%)
Nigeria	1 (0.6%)
Saudi Arabia	1 (0.6%)
South America	
Colombia	1 (0.6%)

Only 51 (28.8%) attendees stated that they were aware of the “2021 Core Surgical Training Self-Assessment Scoring Guidance for Candidates” document and how it is assessed. When asked which resources attendees have used to learn about the CST application process, 122 (68.9%) attendees stated that they referred to ‘friends and colleagues,’ followed by ‘social media’ and ‘websites (e.g. blogs, non-peer-reviewed articles),’ which were selected by 101 (57.1%) and 88 (49.7%) attendees, respectively (Fig. 1).

Most attendees (75.1%) did not agree that their university had provided them with adequate information on how to prepare for a career in surgery with 106 (59.9%) attendees agreeing that they felt they had to attend events organised by external societies or organisations to understand how to prepare for a career in surgery. Furthermore, prior to the event, only 24 (13.6%) attendees strongly agreed that they were aware of what the 2021 CST document entailed and how they could score maximum points overall. After the event, this number increased to 132 (74.6%, $p < 0.0001$). The pre- and post-event median scores for participants’ awareness of each domain of the CST portfolio and how to maximise points have been listed in Table 2; a statistically significant improvement in awareness was demonstrated across all nine domains ($p < 0.0001$).

Table 2

– Effect of the webinar on questionnaire statements using a 0–10 Likert Scale with p-values and Cohen's d effect sizes.

Domains	Pre-event median	Post-event median	p-value	Effect size
Interest in pursuing a career in surgery	9	9	0.0591	0.29764
Awareness of the '2021 Core Surgical Training Self-Assessment Scoring Guidance' document and how to potentially score maximum points	3	9	< 0.0001	1.75446
Commitment to specialty*	3	8	< 0.0001	1.60517
Post-graduate degrees and qualifications*	4	9	< 0.0001	1.63065
Prizes/awards*	3	9	< 0.0001	1.79296
Quality improvement and clinical audit*	4	9	< 0.0001	1.65132
Teaching experience*	4	9	< 0.0001	1.61628
Training in teaching*	4	8	< 0.0001	1.75193
Presentations*	3	8	< 0.0001	1.74888
Publications*	4	9	< 0.0001	1.55544
Leadership and management*	4	8	< 0.0001	1.62796
Confidence in creating a competitive portfolio for CST	2	8	< 0.0001	2.01016
*This included awareness of the domain and how to score maximum points.				

A median score of 10 out of 10 was given from all attendees when asked to rate how knowledgeable the presenter was regarding this subject, how effectively the presenter communicated during the session and how useful the session was overall.

In the post-event questionnaire, 88 (49.7%) attendees declared preference of an online webinar format over an in-person event; only 54 (30.5%) would have preferred an in-person event while 35 (19.8%) had no preference between either format. It was noted, however, that 95 (53.7%) were more likely to ask questions in an online webinar as opposed to at an in-person event. Out of all the participants, 176

(99.4%) stated that they would both use the tips provided in the session for their own application in the future and recommend this session to others. Overall, only 54 (30.5%) participants felt that webinars, in comparison to in-person events, limit their ability to network and socialise at events such as this and majority of attendees (164, 92.7%) stated that webinars are convenient and make it easier to attend national events such as this.

Finally, before the event, only 110 (62.1%) strongly agreed that they were interested in pursuing a career in surgery in comparison to 131 (74.0%) of attendees that strongly agreed after the event, however, this difference was statistically insignificant ($p = 0.0591$).

Discussion

In summary, the use of a digital webinar showed a statistically significant improvement in participants' understanding of each of the CST portfolio domains and how to score maximum points on each (Table 2). This should be taken in the context of this webinar having been delivered by a presenter that was deemed to be knowledgeable and able to communicate this information effectively. A statistically significant improvement in participants' confidence for creating a competitive portfolio was also noted. This was in keeping with the literature as digital webinars have previously exhibited an improvement in participant knowledge and confidence in different subjects [8].

For example, Khajuria et al. evaluated the use of a two-day national course in the education of UK medical students of the academic foundation programme (AFP) and it was reported that participants showed a statistically significant improvement in their perceived knowledge, understanding of the application process, confidence, and preparedness in applying for the AFP [9]. This indicates that webinars could potentially serve as an alternative platform to educate participants on postgraduate medical and surgical programmes, however, further research comparing webinars and in-person events are required.

Furthermore, similar results were reported in the study by Fereydooni et al., which evaluated the use of a 65-minute-long webinar in the improvement of knowledge and preparedness of medical students for vascular surgical training [6]. This study involved testing medical students' knowledge before and after the event through questions such as "duration of vascular surgery residency training" and "minimum number of applications recommended for a successful match into vascular surgery residency training" with significant improvements observed in the post-event responses ($p < 0.001$), which supports the motion that webinars are an effective method of knowledge translation to students [13].

Moreover, our finding that participants' self-reported confidence in creating a competitive portfolio significant improved after an online webinar coincides with the findings by Serebrakian et al., which assessed the impact of a webinar on participants' knowledge of changes to the plastic surgery residency application process [14]. Residents were reported feeling more confident in matching to the plastic surgery residency programme after the webinar compared to before ($p < 0.001$) alongside medical students after the programme. This supports the use of webinars to improve participant confidence in the

delivered topics and as a useful method of information participants on training pathways [14]. In addition, our results suggest that webinars are an effective method to improve participants' awareness of postgraduate programmes, such as the CST. This is supported by the study by Yost et al., which reported that participants sustained an improved awareness of methods and tools explored in webinars [15].

On another note, national webinars could provide a standardised quality of medical education across all medical schools surrounding surgical specialities. This is supported by the literature, which reveals that there are discrepancies in students' exposure to different surgical specialities across UK medical schools [16–18]. For example, Soh et al. reviewed the exposure of oral maxillofacial surgery in the UK undergraduate programme and observed that 64.0-81.9% of medical students reported no exposure during training [16]. This is in contrast with medical students' exposure to other specialities, such as trauma and orthopaedic surgery, where 80.7% of students undertook a trauma and orthopaedics undergraduate placement as per Malik-Tabassum et al. [17]. In addition, Mayer et al. evaluated the extent to which UK medical schools' learning outcomes correlated with the ear, nose, and throat (ENT) undergraduate curriculum and found that most medical schools met the learning outcomes for ENT anatomy and physiology, but only a small proportion of medical schools met the outcomes for ENT surgical procedures [18]. This collectively highlights that medical schools provide a variation in exposure and quality of medical education in different undergraduate surgical specialities, which may result in a knowledge gap between medical students. However, webinars could potentially help standardise medical education and ensure that students around the country can meet the required learning outcomes for each speciality. Furthermore, national webinars, particularly those that are free, can provide students and doctors with equal opportunities to access standardised information when applying for national training programmes, such as CST.

Prior to COVID-19, medical students were exposed to surgical training during undergraduate education via in-person events hosted by university surgical societies, which still resulted in foundation doctors feeling neither confident nor diffident about the CST application process [19]. Interestingly, Patel et al. have described the use of a webinar to educate trainees for CST and found that webinars have previously been underused in preparation for CST applications [8]. However, given the COVID-19 pandemic, Patel et al. postulate that there will be an increased demand for high quality, engaging and informative webinars [8]. This present study with 176 participants demonstrates that webinars can serve as a useful tool in providing students and doctors with information on building a CST portfolio.

On the topic of using webinars to deliver educational content, our finding that 49.7% of participants preferred the webinar format is similar to the 55% of participants that reported a preference for webinars over face-to-face tutorials in the study by Patel et al. [8]. Attendees' preference for webinar use in education was also observed in the study by Knipfer et al., which found that international audiences preferred this format due to the reduction of time consumed and the cost of travelling to other locations [20]; this also indicates that such webinars provide a platform to reach out to a wider audience nationally or internationally. Webinars can also provide the benefit of being recorded for future use and learning [21].

If recorded, webinars can also enable those with learning difficulties to re-watch the webinar at their convenience.

Overall, webinars can improve participants' accessibility to medical education and can serve as a valuable tool when educating students and doctors regarding the CST application.

Limitations

As a cross-sectional analysis, our study is inherently limited by a small sample size based on the number of attendees at a single webinar. Additionally, despite having a diverse and international study population, we did not perform subgroup analysis on each respective country or region of origin as these populations were smaller in size, thus limiting the power of a potential analysis.

The study may also be affected by self-selection bias as the webinar was predominantly attended by individuals who already had an existing interest in surgery and therefore may have been somewhat familiar with the various CST domains and terms used, thus making it easier for them to improve their understanding. Similarly, sampling bias could have also affected the nature of responses received on the topic of preference between webinars and in-person events as those who prefer the former are inherently more likely to attend this type of event.

Additionally, the data is susceptible to recency bias as attendees completed the post-event questionnaire immediately after the event, which means that attendees could have overestimated their understanding of the CST portfolio and its respective domains. The outcomes in this study are self-reported and therefore subjective, which limits the conclusions we can draw regarding participants' knowledge retention.

Future studies could utilise knowledge-based data as an outcome along with longer-term outcomes, such as whether participants were successful in their respective CST applications. More research could also be carried out to directly compare the utility of webinars with in-person events.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that a webinar can be effectively utilised as a tool to educate students and doctors on the various domains of the CST portfolio and how to maximise points in each section. This should be taken in the context of the webinar having been delivered by someone who is knowledgeable and able to effectively communicate this information. The majority of attendees did not feel that their university had provided them with adequate information on preparing for a surgical career, and over half of the attendees stated that they had to attend events by external organisations to obtain such information. Almost half of the participants noted their preference for an online webinar over an in-person event. More than half of all participants stated that they were more likely to ask questions in a webinar. Only less than a third of participants felt that webinars limited their ability to network and socialise at

such events. Most attendees strongly agreed that webinars are convenient and make it easier to attend such national events.

Such events could address the variability of surgical societies and mentors throughout the country and could provide students and doctors with equal opportunities, especially if held as a free event. However, further studies that compare the utility of webinars with in-person events and investigate the impact of such events on long-term outcomes, such as success in CST applications, are required.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We adhered to ethical principles throughout this study. All participants in this study provided informed consent for their data to be anonymously used for both research and educational purposes. All participants had the opportunity to opt out of completing the questionnaires at any point. The data collected from both pre- and post-event questionnaires were anonymised prior to data analysis and were stored in password-protected files to comply with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). This study was reported in line with the STROBE guidelines, which includes a checklist for cross-sectional studies.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author, Harroop Bola.

Competing interests

All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Funding

The authors did not receive any support or funding from any organization for the submitted work.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization: SR, HB, AR, VN. **Methodology:** SR, HB, AR, VN. **Investigation:** SR, HB, AR, SG, AB, VN. **Formal analysis:** SR, HB, SG. **Writing – Original Draft:** SR, HB, AR, SG. **Writing – Review and Editing:** SR, HB, AR, SG, AB. **Supervision:** VN. **Project Administration:** SR, HB, VN.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. 2020 Competition Ratios. *Health Education England*; 2021 Available from: <https://specialtytraining.hee.nhs.uk/Portals/1/2020%20Competition%20Ratios.pdf>. Accessed 23 May 2021.
2. 2021 Core Surgical Training Self-Assessment Scoring Guidance for Candidates. *Health Education England*; 2021 Available from: <https://coresurgeryinterview.com/resources/2021-Self-Assessment-Guidance-for-Candidates..pdf>. Accessed 23 May 2021.
3. Sutton PA, Mason J, Vimalachandran D, McNally S. Attitudes, motivators, and barriers to a career in surgery: a national study of U.K. undergraduate medical students. *J Surg Educ*. 2014;71(5):662–667. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.03.005
4. Foundation and Core Trainees. *Royal College of Surgeons of England*. Available from: <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/careers-in-surgery/trainees/foundation-and-core-trainees/>. Accessed 23 May 2021.
5. Core Surgical Training. *Association of Surgeons in Training*. Available from: https://www.asit.org/about_us/specialities/core_surgical_training. Accessed 23 May 2021.
6. Fereydooni A, Ramirez JL, Dossabhoy SS, Brahmandam A, Dardik A, Ochoa Chaa CI. A national post-Match webinar panel improves knowledge and preparedness of medical students interested in vascular surgery training. *J Vasc Surg*. 2020;71(5):1733–1740.e5. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2019.08.282
7. Zingaretti N, Contessi Negrini F, Tel A, Tresoldi MM, Bresadola V, Parodi PC. The Impact of COVID-19 on Plastic Surgery Residency Training. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2020;44(4):1381–1385. doi:10.1007/s00266-020-01789-w
8. Patel NM, Khajuria A, Khajuria A. Utility of a webinar to educate trainees on UK core surgical training (CST) selection - A cross sectional study and future implications amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)*. 2020;59:35–40. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2020.08.054
9. Khajuria A, Cheng K, Levy J. Effect of a national focused course on academic medicine for UK candidates applying for a Clinical Academic Programme. *J R Coll Physicians Edinb*. 2017;47(1):65–69. doi:10.4997/JRCPE.2017.115
10. McMahon CJ, Tretter JT, Faulkner T, Krishna Kumar R, Redington AN, Windram JD. Are e-learning Webinars the future of medical education? An exploratory study of a disruptive innovation in the COVID-19 era. *Cardiol Young*. 2021;31(5):734–743. doi:10.1017/S1047951120004503
11. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2008;61(4):344–349. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008
12. Camargo CP, Tempski PZ, Busnardo FF, Martins MA, Gemperli R. Online learning and COVID-19: a meta-synthesis analysis. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)*. 2020;75:e2286. Published 2020 Nov 6.

13. Ruta F, Gallo G, Ferrara P, et al. Translating Knowledge About Organ and Tissue Donation Using Webinars: An Exploratory Study In Italy [published online ahead of print, 2021 Jul 15]. *Transplant Proc.* 2021;S0041-1345(21)00438-3. doi:10.1016/j.transproceed.2021.06.018
14. Serebrakian AT, Ortiz R, Christensen JM, et al. Webinar during COVID-19 Improves Knowledge of Changes to the Plastic Surgery Residency Application Process. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open.* 2020;8(10):e3247. Published 2020 Sep 29. doi:10.1097/GOX.00000000000003247
15. Yost J, Mackintosh J, Read K, Dobbins M. Promoting Awareness of Key Resources for Evidence-Informed Decision-making in Public Health: An Evaluation of a Webinar Series about Knowledge Translation Methods and Tools. *Front Public Health.* 2016;4:72. Published 2016 Apr 22. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2016.00072
16. Soh TCF, Lim ZZ, Yip HM. Does the UK undergraduate medical curriculum prepare students in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery? A scoping review. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2020;58(10):1229–1234. doi:10.1016/j.bjoms.2020.06.005
17. Malik-Tabassum K, Lamb JN, Chambers A, West R, Pandit H, Aderinto J. Current State of Undergraduate Trauma and Orthopaedics Training in United Kingdom: A Survey-based Study of Undergraduate Teaching Experience and Subjective Clinical Competence in Final-year Medical Students. *J Surg Educ.* 2020;77(4):817–829. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.02.015
18. Mayer AW, Smith KA, Carrie S; Collaborative Authors. A survey of ENT undergraduate teaching in the UK. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2020;134(6):553–557. doi:10.1017/S0022215120000936
19. Bartlett RD, Momin SM, Azam S, Rotimi O, Quick TJ. Development of a "Surgical Shadowing Scheme" to improve undergraduate experiences of surgery. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* 2019;10:619–626. Published 2019 Aug 13. doi:10.2147/AMEPS205054
20. Knipfer C, Wagner F, Knipfer K, et al. Learners' acceptance of a webinar for continuing medical education. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2019;48(6):841–846. doi:10.1016/j.ijom.2018.11.010
21. Kimura S, Onishi H, Kawamata M. Characteristics and perceptions of twice-weekly webinars for primary care physicians in Japan: a qualitative study. *Int J Med Educ.* 2018;9:229–238. Published 2018 Aug 31. doi:10.5116/ijme.5b6b.21e1

Figures

Figure 1

Resources used by attendees to learn about the Core Surgical Training application process. Attendees were allowed to select multiple resources.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [AppendixAPreeventsurvey.docx](#)
- [AppendixBPosteventsurvey.docx](#)