

# Modeling and Optimization of Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria Isolated from Rhizospheric Soils of the Coffee Plant using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Response Surface Methodology (RSM).

## ermias girma aklilu ( z jeremiahgirma@gmail.com )

Jimma University College of Engineering and Technology: Jimma University Institute of Technology https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7532-5617

## Yasin Ahmed

Jimma University College of Engineering and Technology: Jimma University Institute of Technology

## **Mohammed Seid**

Jimma University College of Engineering and Technology: Jimma University Institute of Technology

## Venkata Ramayya

Jimma University College of Engineering and Technology: Jimma University Institute of Technology

## **Research Article**

**Keywords:** Artificial neural network, Optimization, Phosphate solubilization, Response surface methodology, Rhizobacteria,

Posted Date: August 31st, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-833195/v1

**License:** (a) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

2 Coffee Plant using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Response Surface Methodology (RSM).

3	Ermias G. Aklilu
4	Researcher and Assistant professor at Jimma University, Institute of Technology, School of Chemical Engineering,
5	email address: jeremiahgirma@gmail.com/ermias.girma@ju.edu.et, P.O.Box: 378 Ethiopia
6	Yasin A. Waday
7	Lecturer at Jimma University, Institute of Technology, School of Chemical Engineering, email address:
8	ayasin858@gmail.com P.O.Box: 378 Ethiopia
9	Mohammed S. Bultum
10	Lecturer at Samara University, College of Engineering and Technology Department of Chemical Engineering, email
11	address: Mohamed.seid2233@gmail.com P.O.Box: 132 Ethiopia
12	Ancha V. Ramayyad
13	Professor at Jimma University, Institute of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering,
14	email address: venkata99999@yahoo.com P.O.Box: 378 Ethiopia
15	*Corresponding Author: Email: ermias.girma@ju.edu.et /jeremiahgirma@gmail.com
16	Institutional email/ORCID number: ermias.girma@ju.edu.et/ <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7532-5617</u>

#### 17 Abstract

18 Phosphorus is often found inaccessible to plants, as it forms precipitates with cations and can be converted to 19 accessible forms by using Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB). In the present study, isolation and characterization 20 of phosphate solubilizing bacteria from rhizospheric soil of coffee plants were performed. The influence of four 21 independent variables (incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size) was investigated and 22 optimized using an artificial neural network and response surface methodology on the solubility of phosphate and 23 indole acetic acid production. The bacterium that can dissolve phosphate were isolated in Pikovskaya's agar 24 containing insoluble tricalcium phosphate. Total, six Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria were isolated and three of them 25 (PSB1, PSB3, and PSB4) were found to be effectively solubilizing phosphate. Based on phosphate solubilizing index 26 results Pseudomonas bacteria (PSB1) was selected for modeling. The results showed that both models performed 27 reasonably well, but properly trained artificial neural networks have the more powerful modeling capability 28 compared to the response surface method. The optimum conditions were found to be incubation temperature of 37.5 29 °C, incubation time of 9 days, pH of 7.2, and inoculum size of 1.89 OD. Under these conditions, the model predicted 30 solubility of phosphate of 260.69 µg/ml and production of IAA of 80.00µg/ml with a desirability value of 0.947. 31 Generally, the isolated Pseudomonas bacteria is a promising Phosphate solubilizing capability that enhances plant 32 growth and this research is a base for recommending the use of this bacterial strain for biofertilizer, as an alternative 33 to synthetic fertilizer.

Keywords Artificial neural network, Optimization, Phosphate solubilization, Response surface methodology,
 Rhizobacteria,

#### 36 Introduction

37 Phosphorus (P) is a vital macronutrient for all life forms to carry out numerous metabolic activities since it is a 38 fundamental component of the most important energy source adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP), and nucleic acid 39 [1]. In plants, phosphorus aids photosynthesis, proper plant development, and stress relief [2] Phosphorus in soils 40 can exist in both organic and inorganic forms; the inorganic forms of phosphorus are estimated to account for 35 -41 70 % of total P in soil [3]. Although, both organic and inorganic forms are present in sufficient concentration and 42 solubility in soils is very low [4]. In soil, phosphorus always forms complexes with other compounds in the form of 43 phosphates. The fixation of inorganic phosphorus into insoluble complexes renders these compounds inaccessible 44 for absorption by plants, and thus, leads to severe insufficiency in both acidic and alkaline soils. To address the 45 problem of P deficiency in different crops, phosphatic fertilizers are added in various amounts in the soil. However, 46 a large proportion of the soluble forms of P fertilizers are precipitated in insoluble form soon after application and 47 become unavailable to plants [5]. According to Elhaissoufi et al [6] the excessive and repeated application of soluble 48 P fertilizers can cause economic constraints as well as pose a serious threat to groundwater. To solve such problem, 49 biofertilizers is a sustainable and eco-friendly to environmental protection and give nutrient to plant in a safe way 50 when they needed.

51 The effect of process parameters on the phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria isolated from rhizospheric soil 52 of different plants have been studied by several researchers [7,8] however, to the best of our knowledge there was no 53 report on the solubility of phosphate and the production of indole acetic acids (IAA) rhizosphere bacteria isolated 54 from rhizospheric soil of coffee plant by coupling artificial neural network (ANN) and response surface methodology 55 (RSM). RSM is widely used in the optimization of chemical and physical processes that integrates mathematical 56 modeling and experimental design. ANN has been widely used due to its suitability for modeling and simulation of 57 various processes in real engineering applications [9]. ANN does not require a mathematical description of the 58 phenomena in the process, so the simulation of the complicated systems could be performed more efficiently [10]. 59 Compared with the RSM focusing on the statistical importance of the linear process parameters and their interactions 60 via analysis of variance (ANOVA), ANN is more reliable in capturing the nonlinear relationship between the 61 dependent variable and independent process variables. Although ANN is an efficient tool to predict and optimize any 62 complex process parameters, it cannot guarantee the globally optimal solution [11].

In the present study, the combination of ANN and genetic algorithm can generate the relationship between the optimal input operating variables and the output process under study. Subsequently, the result predicted by the ANN and RSM techniques were statistically compared, using various parameters, such as coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>), root means square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), standard error of prediction (SEP), mean of squared errors (MSE) and absolute average relative deviation (AARD) based on the validation data set for their predictive and generalization capabilities.

- 69 The phosphate-solubilizing ability and production of IAA of isolated bacteria were designed by response
   70 surface statistical experimental method using central composite design (CCD) was selected to establish the regression
- 71 model. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the effect of four parameters (incubation temperature,
- 72 incubation time, pH, and inoculum size,) on the solubility of phosphate and production of IAA and to optimize these
- 73 processes variables by employing RSM and ANN. The interaction effect of the independent variables with the
- 74 dependent variables using the response surface plots was illustrated. Meanwhile, the back-propagation ANN model
- 75 was also developed and the optimal number of hidden neurons was determined by the trial and error method. The
- 76 predictive abilities and modeling competencies of the two models are compared and confirmed. Furthermore, the
- 57 study characterizes the microorganisms at the morphological and phenotypic levels.

## 78 Materials and methods

## 79 Soil samples collection and isolation of rhizobacteria

The study sites were located in Jimma Zone, Oromia regional state, in the southwestern part of Ethiopia. Jimma town is the capital and administrative center of the zone, located 346 km away from the capital city of Ethiopia. The town's geographical coordinates are approximately 7°41' N latitude and 36° 50'E longitude with annual maximum and minimum temperature of 30 °C and 14 °C respectively [12]. The soil used for bacterial isolation was excavated from the 15-20 cm depth of roots of coffee and collected in sterilized plastic bags and stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator until the time of analysis.

86 Isolation of rhizosphere bacteria was done based on [13] method. In this method, 1 g of soil sample was 87 transferred in 9 ml of sterilized distilled water and mixed by vortex shaker for 20 seconds. The suspension was then 88 diluted up to 10<sup>-9</sup> dilutions. From each dilution, 0.1 ml of the diluted sample was poured onto a sterilized surface 89 plate of nutrient agar (NA) medium supplemented with 100 µg/ml of cycloheximide to defeat fungal growth. Finally, 90 the plate was incubated at 30°C for 5days [14]. Individual bacterial isolates on nutrient agar were further sub-cultured 91 on the PVK agar medium to obtain a pure culture by streak plate method. Highly grown bacteria culture on PVK 92 agar media was maintained at 4 °C in the refrigerator for further analysis and studies. All of the experiments were 93 done aseptically to disinfect unnecessary microbes.

## 94 Characterization of the isolate

## 95 Morphological characterization of the isolates

96 Morphological characteristics such as shape, color, edge, motility, and endospores formation, and gram stain of the

- 97 isolate were examined according to the [15] method.
- 98 Phenotypic identification of bacteria Isolates
- 99 Indole test, Urease test, Catalase test, Voges-Proskauer (VP) test, Methyl Red (MR) test, and Citrate test of the
- isolated bacteria were conducted according to Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology [16].

#### 101 Determination of phosphate solubilization index (PSI)

Qualitative estimation of phosphate solubilization was conducted using plate assays on PVK agar. Phosphate
 solubilization is indicated by the development of a clear zone around a growing colony and this is determined by
 calculation of PSI through the following formula [17].

105

$$PSI = \frac{\text{Total diameter (colony + halo zone)}}{\text{colony diameter}} \qquad 106 \\ 107 \qquad (1)$$

108

#### 109 P-Solubilization efficiency of isolate in broth media

110 The isolated bacteria that showed higher PSI was selected and quantitative phosphate solubilization efficiency of the

isolate was determined in PVK broth media with some modification [17]. The isolate (500 µl) was inoculated into

100 ml PVK broth media in 250 ml conical flask containing 5 g/l insoluble phosphates in the form of tricalcium
 phosphate (TCP) and incubated on a shaker incubator at 120 rpm for incubation temperature (25 - 45 °C), incubation

time (3 -15 days), pH (5-9) and inoculum size (1-3 OD). The process variables ranges were selected based on [18,19]

115 Five ml of samples were withdrawn from each treatment and analyzed for phosphorus solubilization.

#### 116 Quantification of soluble phosphorus

117 The phosphorus in the supernatant was determined according to [20]. The withdrawn samples were centrifuged at

118 15,000 rpm for 15 min to determine the optical density (OD) of the supernatant using a spectrophotometer with 700

nm wavelength and the quantity of P-solubilized by strain was extrapolated from the standard curve.

#### 120 Assay for Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) production

121 Quantitative production of IAA was determined using the colorimetric method as described by Lebrazi et al.[21].

122 The bacterial isolates were cultured in Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml of YMB supplemented with 0.1 % DL-

tryptophan, adjusted to different incubation temperatures (25-45 °C), incubation time (3-15 days), pH values (5-9),

and inoculum size (1-3 OD) on a shaker incubator at 180 rpm. The production of IAA was checked after culture

125 centrifugation using Salkowski reagent [22]. The resulting solution was examined in a spectrophotometer at 530 nm

and the concentration of IAA produced was estimated using a standard IAA curve and expressed in terms of µg/mL.

#### 127 Experimental design and statistical analysis

- 128 The relation between different parameters was evaluated by the response surface statistical experimental method
- using the design expert software version of 11.1.2.06. This study was conducted using RSM, CCD by considering
- 130 incubation temperature (A) and incubation time (B), pH (C) and, inoculum size (D) on solubilization of phosphate
- and IAA production. Generally, CCD involves sixteen factorial points, eight axial points, and six points at the center
- were carried out with a total of 30 experiments as shown in equation (2). All four factors have to be adjusted at five

133 coded levels  $(-\alpha, -1, 0, +1, +\alpha)$ . The relationship between the coded and the actual value of the variables is shown in 134 Table 1. The variables were coded according to the equation:

135 
$$N = 2^{n} + 2n + n_{c} = 2^{4} + 2 * 4 + 6 = 30$$
 (2)

where N is the total number of experiments required, n is the number of variables, and  $n_c$  is the number of replicates.

- 137
- 138

Table 1: Independent variables and levels used in the CCD for the phosphate solubilization and IAA production ofthe isolate

Factors	Unit	Coded symbol	Coded Levels				
			-α	-1	0	+1	$+\alpha$
Incubation Temperature	°C	А	25	30	35	40	45
Incubation time	days	В	3	6	9	12	15
pH	-	С	5	6	7	8	9
Inoculum size	OD	D	1	1.5	2	2.5	3

141 The second-order polynomial multiple quadratic regression equation was used to determine the relationship between142 independent variables and responses.

143 
$$Y = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} b_{ij} X_i X_j$$
(3)

where Y is the predicted response (i.e., phosphate solubilization or IAA production ), n is the number of independent variables,  $b_0$  is the constant coefficient,  $b_i$  is the linear coefficient,  $b_{ij}$  is the second-order interaction coefficient,  $b_{ii}$  is the quadratic coefficient, and  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are the coded values of the independent variables. The coefficient of determination R<sup>2</sup>, adjusted R<sup>2</sup>, and predicted coefficient R<sup>2</sup>, lack of fit from ANOVA were used in the determination of the quality of the developed model.

#### 149 ANN modeling

150 The feed-forward architecture of ANN also known as multilayered perceptron (MLP) was selected to develop a

151 predictive model with a layer of four neurons (incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size) as

152 input, two layers of neurons (solubility of phosphate and IAA production) as output, and 10 hidden layers as indicated

- 153 in Figure 1. 70% of the data points were selected for training to develop the neural network, 15% of the data set used
- 154 for validation and 15% data sets for testing. Figure 1 depicts a simplified representation of the ANN structure. The
- following expression was used to calculate the number of neurons in the hidden layer [9].

156 
$$2(n+m)^{0.5}$$
 to  $2n+1$  (4)

where n is the number of neurons in the input layer and m is the number of neurons in the output layer. Each network is trained separately, and therefore, the best network is selected based on the accuracy of the predictions within the testing phase. The feed-forward ANN in this study was trained using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm represented in Equation (5). To achieve fast convergence to the minimum mean square error (MSE), the inputs and outputs are scaled within the uniform range of 0 (new X<sub>min</sub>) to 1 (new X<sub>max</sub>) by the following equation (5) to ensure uniform attention during the training process.

163 
$$X_{normal} = \frac{X_i - X_{\min}}{X_{\max} - X_{\min}} (newX_{\max} + newX_{\min}) + newX_{\min}$$
(5)

...

...

164 where  $X_{norm}$  is the normalized data,  $X_i$  is the input/output data (data of independent and dependent variables),  $X_{max}$ 

and  $X_{min}$  are the maximum and minimum values of the particular variable, respectively. ANN Modeling using

- 166 Toolbox of MAT LAB version 8.1(R2013a) was applied to evaluate the goodness of fitting model using RSM data
- 167 output.



169 Input layer

Hidden layer

171

#### 172 Comparative analysis of RSM and ANN models

For this study, the performance of ANN and RSM have evaluated the goodness of the fitting and prediction accuracy of the constructed models by using the following error functions; RMSE, SEP, R<sup>2</sup>, MAE, MSE, and AARD. The models were acceptable as R<sup>2</sup> was closer to the value of 1 and the other error function values were as small as possible [23]. The equations representing each error function are presented by Eqn. 6 to 11. To study the comparison of experimental with predicted values obtained by the RSM and ANN models, the values are tabulated and compared with the corresponding experimental values.

#### **179** Table 2: Error functions and its equations with references

Error function	Equation		Reference
Mean-squared error	$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i,p} - Y_{i,e})^{2}$	(6)	[24]
Root mean square error	$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i,e} - Y_{i,p})^2}{n}}$	(7)	[25]
Standard error of prediction	$SEP = \frac{RMSE}{Y_e} \times 100$	(8)	[26]
Coefficient of determination	$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i,p} - Y_{i,e})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i,e} - Y_{e})^{2}}$	(9)	[27]
Mean absolute error	$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}  Y_{i,e} - Y_{i,p} $	(10)	[28]
Absolute average relative deviation	$AARD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{Y_{i,p} - Y_{i,e}}{Y_{i,e}} \right) x  100$	(11)	[29]

180 where,  $Y_{i,e}$  is the experimental data,  $Y_{i,p}$  is the predicted data obtained from either RSM or ANN,  $Y_e$  is the mean

181 value of experimental data and n is the number of the experimental data.

#### 182 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 183 Isolation and quantification of phosphate solubilization Index (PSI)

In the present study, the rhizosphere bacteria was isolated from rhizospheric soil of coffee plants on NA medium supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g/ml of cycloheximide. Individual bacterial isolates on nutrient agar were further subcultured on the PVK agar medium to evaluate in vitro for P solubilizing bacteria. A total of 6 phosphate solubilizing bacterial colonies were isolated on PVK agar medium, containing tricalcium phosphate (TCP). Out of 6 bacterial isolates, 3 isolates (PSB<sub>1</sub>, PSB<sub>3</sub>, and PSB<sub>4</sub>) were found to be potent phosphate solubilizers showing a clear halo zone around its colony.

190Qualitative estimation of P-solubilization potential was anticipated by observing the clear zones around the191bacterial colonies on PVK plates after incubation at 30 °C for 5 days. The halo diameter around the bacterial colony192and colony diameter used to calculate PSI were measured. The solubilization index based on colony diameter and193halo zone for each PSB isolate is presented in Table 3. The results showed that Maximum PSI was observed by PSB1194(5.092) followed by PSB3 (4.65) and PSB4 (4.45). The bacterial isolates produced the largest halos of approximately1955.0 mm to 15.1 mm within 5 days of incubation. This result was in agreement as reported by Anzuay et al. [30] and196Pandey et al.[31].

**197** Table 3: Qualitative estimation of phosphate solubilization efficiency of isolates

Bacterial Isolates	Colony diameter, mm	Halo zone diameter, mm	PSI
PSB <sub>1</sub>	3.69	15.1	5.092
PSB <sub>2</sub>	2.94	4.70	2.60
PSB <sub>3</sub>	1.42	5.20	4.65
$PSB_4$	1.74	6.0	4.45
PSB <sub>5</sub>	9.3	5.3	1.57
PSB <sub>6</sub>	2.49	5.0	3.01

#### 198 Morphological and biochemical analysis of bacterial isolate

To identify the strain of the isolated PSB, morphological and biochemical tests were conducted. Morphological characterization of three PSB showing a larger clear halo zone around its colony was performed, and characteristically, all the isolates were Gram-negative, round raised colonies and of rod-shaped, motile, and most of with shiny surface (Table 4). The isolates were further characterized by a series of biochemical reactions such as Citrate utilization, Catalase Test, Indole test, Urease test, Voges-Proskauer (VP) test, and Methyl Red (MR) test to determine phenotypic properties of the isolate, and the results were summarized in Table 4.

#### Table 4: Morphological and biochemical characterization of PSB<sub>1</sub>, PSB<sub>3</sub>, and PSB<sub>4</sub> isolates

		Bacterial Isolate				
Tests		PSB <sub>1</sub>	PSB <sub>3</sub>	PSB <sub>4</sub>		
	Color	Reddish	White	Cream		

	Shape	Rod-shape	Rod-shape	Rod-shape
Morphological	Gram staining	-	-	-
	Motility	Motile	Motile	Motile
	Endospores formation	+	+	-
	Indole test	-	-	+
	Catalase Test	+	+	-
Biochemical	Urease test	-	-	-
	Voges-Proskauer (VP) test	-	+	+
	Methyl Red (MR) test	-	-	-
	Citrate utilization	-	-	+

All 3 bacteria have shown negativity for the urease and MR test. Bacteria isolate PSB<sub>1</sub> and PSB<sub>3</sub> were negative for the Citrate and indole test. However, bacteria isolate PSB<sub>4</sub> was positive for VP, Citrate, and indole test. The morphological and biochemical test results of PSB<sub>1</sub> are consistent with the many phenotypic characteristics of the genus Pseudomonas [32], whereas PSB<sub>3</sub> and PSB<sub>4</sub> are comparable with Bacillus sp. [33], and Enterobacter sp. [34] respectively. Thus, it was concluded that the isolated PSB were identified as Pseudomonas sp., Bacillus sp., and Enterobacter sp. These bacterial sp. were also identified as phosphate solubilizers by several authors [17,35,36]. Based on their PSI, PSB1 was selected for further analysis.

#### 213 Modeling and prediction using RSM

The experimental results obtained from the solubility of phosphate and IAA production based on CCD are presented in Table 4. A total number of 30 experiments were performed with different combinations of process variables to investigate and optimize the influence of independent variables (incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size) on the responses (solubility of phosphate and IAA production).

#### 218 Table 5: Composite design matrix and experimental yields

Run	Coded variable			ole		Decoded V	Dependent Variable			
								Inoculum	SizeSoluble	
	А	В	С	D	Temp (°C)	Time (day)	pН	(OD)	P(µg/ml)	IAA(µg/ml)
1	0	0	0	0	35	9	7	2	239.68	78.23
2	1	-1	-1	1	40	6	6	2.5	181.62	46.05
3	-1	-1	-1	-1	30	6	6	1.5	130.85	53.16
4	-1	1	-1	-1	30	12	6	1.5	150.58	45.16
5	1	1	1	1	40	12	8	2.5	175.44	63.18

6	-α	0	0	0	25	9	7	2	201.75	50.12
7	0	α	0	0	35	15	7	2	135.43	57.03
8	1	-1	1	-1	40	6	8	1.5	195.41	62.68
9	1	1	-1	-1	40	12	6	1.5	218.31	52.36
10	-1	1	1	1	30	12	8	2.5	225.53	52.07
11	0	0	0	0	35	9	7	2	266.34	78.62
12	0	-α	0	0	35	3	7	2	128.85	52.31
13	-1	-1	1	-1	30	6	8	1.5	190.83	45.28
14	0	0	0	α	35	9	7	3	133.38	55.56
15	0	0	0	0	35	9	7	2	260.14	81.02
16	0	0	α	0	35	9	9	2	235.59	56.01
17	1	-1	1	1	40	6	8	2.5	156.56	45.34
18	α	0	0	0	45	9	7	2	270.12	61.72
19	0	0	0	-α	35	9	7	1	165.34	58.12
20	-1	-1	-1	1	30	6	6	2.5	125.67	49.26
21	-1	1	-1	1	30	12	6	2.5	142.01	48.95
22	0	0	0	0	35	9	7	2	255.51	81.54
23	-1	1	1	-1	30	12	8	1.5	230.57	46.52
24	-1	-1	1	1	30	6	8	2.5	185.74	42.58
25	1	1	-1	1	40	12	6	2.5	202.06	50.12
26	0	0	0	0	35	9	7	2	245.65	78.62
27	1	1	1	-1	40	12	8	1.5	211.91	64.64
28	1	-1	-1	-1	40	6	6	1.5	221.16	54.52

29	0	0	0	0	35	9	7	2	249.71	77.54
30	0	0	-α	0	35	9	5	2	147.34	51.63

Table 6: Analysis of variance for response surface quadratic model of soluble phosphate and IAA

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
(A) Soluble phosphate					
Model	60323.45	14	4308.82	32.12	< 0.0001
A-Temperature	4198.41	1	4198.41	31.29	< 0.0001
B-Inoculum time	1376.07	1	1376.07	10.26	0.0059
C-pH	5897.88	1	5897.88	43.96	< 0.0001
D- Inoculum size	1996.73	1	1996.73	14.88	0.0015
AB	245.16	1	245.16	1.83	0.1965
AC	8435.96	1	8435.96	62.88	< 0.0001
AD	718.64	1	718.64	5.36	0.0352
BC	234.47	1	234.47	1.75	0.2060
BD	31.16	1	31.16	0.2323	0.6368
CD	15.82	1	15.82	0.1179	0.7361
A <sup>2</sup>	262.58	1	262.58	1.96	0.1821
B <sup>2</sup>	23135.59	1	23135.59	172.45	< 0.0001
C <sup>2</sup>	5539.71	1	5539.71	41.29	< 0.0001
$D^2$	16785.17	1	16785.17	125.11	< 0.0001
Residual	2012.40	15	134.16		
Lack of Fit	1535.05	10	153.51	1.61	0.3130
Pure Error	477.35	5	95.47		
Cor Total	62335.85	29			
(B) IAA					
Model	4173.62	14	298.12	35.31	< 0.0001
A-Temperature	260.77	1	260.77	30.88	< 0.0001
B-Inoculum time	46.96	1	46.96	5.56	0.0324

41.27	1	41.27	4.89	0.0430
42.37	1	42.37	5.02	0.0406
23.26	1	23.26	2.75	0.1177
114.86	1	114.86	13.60	0.0022
65.00	1	65.00	7.70	0.0142
85.24	1	85.24	10.10	0.0062
90.49	1	90.49	10.72	0.0051
1.64	1	1.64	0.1948	0.6652
1156.37	1	1156.37	136.96	< 0.0001
1270.36	1	1270.36	150.46	< 0.0001
1350.93	1	1350.93	160.00	< 0.0001
1075.90	1	1075.90	127.43	< 0.0001
126.65	15	8.44		
113.51	10	11.35	4.32	0.0599
13.13	5	2.63		
4300.27	29			
	41.27 42.37 23.26 114.86 65.00 85.24 90.49 1.64 1156.37 1270.36 1350.93 1075.90 126.65 113.51 13.13 4300.27	41.27142.37123.261114.86165.00185.24190.4911.6411156.3711270.3611350.9311075.901126.6515113.511013.1354300.2729	41.27141.2742.37142.3723.26123.26114.861114.8665.00165.0085.24185.2490.49190.491.6411.641156.3711156.371270.3611270.361350.9311350.931075.9011075.90126.65158.44113.511011.3513.1352.634300.27291	41.27141.274.8942.37142.375.0223.26123.262.75114.861114.8613.6065.00165.007.7085.24185.2410.1090.49190.4910.721.6411.640.19481156.3711156.37136.961270.3611270.36150.461350.9311350.93160.001075.9011075.90127.43126.65158.44113.5113.1352.631

#### 222 Multiple linear regression results and analysis of the adequacy of the fitted model

223 The ability of phosphate solubilizing bacteria (Pseudomonas sp.) to dissolve phosphate and produce IAA was 224 investigated in this study, and ANOVA was used to determine the interaction between the process factors and the 225 responses. Table 6 summarizes the model regression coefficients for each ANOVA response found. The results of 226 the ANOVA were statistically significant, implying that at least one of the model parameters could explain the 227 experimental variance in phosphate solubility and IAA production. For soluble phosphate and IAA production, the 228 ANOVA result revealed a perfect fit of the quadratic regression model (F-value of 32.12 and p < 0.0001) and F-value 229 35.31 and p < 0.0001), respectively. The p-values for "Lack of Fit" for soluble phosphate and IAA were 1.61 (p >230 (0.313) and (4.32) (p > 0.06), respectively, showing that lack of fit was not significant when compared to the pure error. 231 Therefore, the results obtained verified that the models generated were accurate enough to predict the soluble 232 phosphate and IAA production within the range of the variables studied (Equation 12 and 13). The results 233 demonstrate that A, B, C, D, AC, AD, B<sup>2</sup>, C<sup>2</sup>, D<sup>2</sup> had a significant effect on soluble phosphate, whereas AB, BC, BD,

- 234 CD, and A<sup>2</sup> had no significant effect. In the case of IAA, A, B, C, D, AC, AD, BC, BD, A<sup>2</sup>, B<sup>2</sup>, C<sup>2</sup>, D<sup>2</sup> were found to
- have a significant effect on the IAA, while AB and CD, were not significant (Table 6).

236 According to the findings, the regression model was highly significant, with  $R^2$  values of 0.9677 and 0.9705 for 237 phosphate solubility and IAA production, respectively, showing that the model suited the experimental data well and 238 that the experimental error was small. Moreover, the value of the adjusted  $R^2$  for soluble phosphate and IAA were 239 0.9376, and 0.9431 respectively, confirming the significance of the model, indicating that the experimental and 240 predicted values for the dependent variables were in good agreement. Adjusted  $R^2$  and predicted  $R^2$  should be within 241 20% to be in good agreement as suggested by Le Man et al. [37]. This requirement is satisfied in this study with a 242 predicted R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.8471 and 0.8436 for soluble phosphate and IAA production, respectively. The coefficient of 243 variation (CV %) and standard deviation of the two responses in this study was fairly low and acceptable, showing 244 high accuracy and high reliability of the experiments conducted (Table 7).

C No	Despense peremeter				
5. NO	Response parameter	Soluble phosphale	TAA production		
1	Std. Dev.	11.58	2.91		
2	Mean	195.97	58.00		
3	C.V%	5.91	5.01		
4	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.9677	0.9705		
5	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.9376	0.9431		
6	Predicted R <sup>2</sup>	0.8471	0.8436		
7	Adeq Precision	18.010	17.4029		
8	Model suggested	Quadratic	Quadratic		

Table 7: Regression coefficients of the predicted second-order model for the response variables

246

#### 247 Development of regression model equation

248

249 By applying multiple regression analysis on the experimental data, second-order polynomial equations were 250 developed for the responses (solubility of phosphate (Y) and IAA production (X)) which can express the relationship 251 between process variables (incubation temperature (A), incubation time (B), pH (C), and inoculum size (D)) and the 252 responses. The final equations obtained in terms of coded factors after excluding the insignificant terms were given 253 in Equations (12) and (13), respectively. Note the equation (12) and (13) only apply under the conditions tested: 25°C 254 < incubation temperature <  $45^{\circ}$ C, 3 day < incubation time < 15 day, 5 < pH< 9 and 1< pH < 3. 255 Phosphate solubilization (Y) = +252.84 + 13.23A + 7.57B + 15.68C - 9.12D - 22.96 AC 256 -6.70AD- 29.04B<sup>2</sup> - 14.21C<sup>2</sup> - 24.74D<sup>2</sup> (12)257 IAA production (X) = +79.26 + 3.30A + 1.40B + 1.31C - 1.33D + 2.68AC - 2.02AD + 2.31BC258 +2.38BD - 6.49A<sup>2</sup> - 6.81B<sup>2</sup>-7.02C<sup>2</sup> - 6.26D<sup>2</sup> (13)

The positive signs in the models signify the synergetic effects of factor, while the negative sign indicates the antagonistic effect. According to the linear coefficients of the second-order equation, incubation temperature, incubation time, pH showed a positive effect, while, and inoculum size had a negative influence.



262

Figure 2: Correlation between the experimental and predicted value of soluble phosphate

264

Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate that the predicted values were quite close to the experimental values and lie reasonably close to the straight line and indicated the adequate agreement with the actual data. All predicted and experimental responses fell in 45° lines, showing that the established model is suitable for predicting phosphate solubility and IAA production. From the graph, it is clear that the values derived experimentally match closely with the constructed model. Similar research has been done on optimizing a low-cost medium for the rhizobacterial strain

- 270 Pseudomonas putida Rs-198 culture isolated from salinized soils [38] and rhizobacterial strains isolated from Acacia
- 271 cyanophylla root nodules [21].
- 272



- 274 Figure 3: Correlation between the experimental and predicted value of IAA production
- 275

#### 276 **Response surface analysis of extraction process**

277 To study the interaction relationship between response and process parameters, three-dimensional (3D) surface plots 278

were generated based on the fitted polynomial equation. The 3D response surfaces plots were drawn to account for

279 the most significant interaction effects of the independent variables on the solubility phosphate and IAA production.

280 The most significant parameters for each response are demonstrated in Figures 4 and 5 through 3D response surface plots. In these figures, two factors are held constant at the optimum level, while the other two factors are variedwithin their experimental ranges.

- 283 Effect of process variables on the phosphate solubilization
- 284

285 Table 5 shows the phosphate solubilization values obtained from Pseudomonas bacteria isolated from the 286 rhizospheric soil of coffee plants, according to the CCD matrix, with corresponding process variables. Solubility of 287 phosphate obtained in this experiment was found to be in the range of  $125.67 \,\mu g/ml - 270.12 \,\mu g/ml$  (Table 5), which 288 is comparable to the phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) isolated from the rhizosphere of Allium hookeri Thwaites 289 (124.8–266.4 µg/mL) [39] and higher than phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) isolated from rhizobacterial 290 salinized soils (44.00 - 63.90 mg/L) [38]. In the present work, the higher solubility of phosphate suggests that 291 bacterial strain increases the soluble phosphate content of the soil, thereby improving phosphate absorption. 292 However, incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size are vital to process variables that could 293 have a remarkable effect on the solubility of phosphate.

294 Phosphate solubilization was directly proportional to incubation temperature, incubation time, and pH, and 295 indicated that increasing any of those parameters would result increase the phosphate solubilization until the optimum 296 value was achieved (Eq.12). The solubility of phosphate always increased if incubation temperature, incubation time, 297 and pH increased (with constant inoculum size), because each of these factors increases the solubility of phosphate, 298 giving suitable conditions of medium composition required by most of the bacterial growth in biofertilizer 299 formulation. However, further increase in incubation temperature, incubation time, and pH resulted in a decrease of 300 phosphate solubilization activity since too high those variables would lead to a reduction in the effectiveness of the 301 bacterial population to affect phosphate release from the tricalcium phosphate (TCP) substrate.

The result shows that the phosphate solubilizing activities increase with an increase in incubation time this suggestingthat there was the microbial mobilization of phosphate during this period of incubation time.

The incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size show a significant (p < 0.0001) effect on the solubility of phosphate. The solubility of phosphate increases as the incubation time increased until optimum values are achieved. However, as the incubation time increased beyond the optimum value the solubility of phosphate decreased. This reduction is may be due to the availability of a soluble form of phosphate, which has an inhibitory effect on further phosphate solubilization and the formation of an organophosphate compound induced by organic metabolites released, which in turn, reduce the amount of available phosphate [6].

310 The pH has the most significant effect on the solubility of phosphate whose F value is 43.96, followed by 311 incubation temperature, inoculum size, and incubation time (Table 6). The pH was directly proportional to the 312 solubility of phosphate and indicated that increasing the value of pH would result in an increasing percentage of 313 solubility of phosphate (Eq.12). The solubility of phosphate increases with increasing pH value; this is might be due 314 to phosphate solubilizing microorganisms produce a variety of organic acids from simple carbohydrates under which 315 they solubilize insoluble inorganic phosphates. However, the solubility of phosphate attained maximum value and 316 decline with further changes in the pH due to bacterial activity. A similar effect was noted in the phosphate 317 solubilizing activity of bacterial sp from solid-state culture [17]. The solubility of phosphate decreases with increasing inoculum size, this is might be due to the amount of phosphate was immobilized by the bacteria. The





Figure 4: 3D response surface plot of solubility of phosphate: (A) effects of incubation temperature and pH at constant
 incubation time and inoculum size and (B) effects of incubation temperature and inoculum size at constant pH and
 incubation time

323

324 Based on the results of the experiment conducted, it was observed that there is a negative interaction effect 325 of incubation temperature with pH and incubation temperature with inoculum size have a significant (p < 0.05) effect 326 on the solubility of phosphate (Table 6). Figure 4 A shows a 3D response surface plot of the solubility of phosphate 327 as a function of incubation temperature and pH at a fixed incubation time and inoculum size. The interaction between 328 incubation temperature and pH has the most significant effect on the solubility of phosphate (Table 6). The solubility 329 of phosphate was observed to rapidly decrease with an increased pH compared to that of incubation temperature. 330 Increasing the combined effect between incubation temperature and pH generally decreased the solubility of 331 phosphate; the highest solubility was achieved when both variables were at the minimum point. This shows that the 332 solubility of phosphate reduces with an increased interaction effect of incubation temperature with pH.

The interactive effect of incubation temperature and inoculum size on the solubility of phosphate at a constant pH and incubation time is shown in Figure 4 B. The solubility of phosphate was observed to rapidly increase with an increase in incubation temperature compared to that of inoculum size. There is a negative significant interaction between the incubation temperature and inoculum size. This shows that the solubility of phosphate reduces with an increase in the combined effects of incubation temperature and inoculum size.

338

### 339 The effect of process variables on the indole acetic acid (IAA) production

- 340 In the present study, the produced IAA within the range of  $42.58 81.54 \mu g/ml$  (Table 5), which is comparable to
- the phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) isolated from the rhizosphere of medicinal plant Stevia rebaudiana (34-
- 342 91.7 μg/ml) [41] and higher than phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) isolated from rhizobacterial salinized soils
- 343 (44.00 63.90 mg/L) [38]. Production of IAA was slightly lower than IAA produced by the cultures growing the
- isolates in YEM broth amended with 0.2 % of L-tryptophan (52.9–186.65 μg/mL) [39]. IAA production is an
- indicator of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. The results were consistent with previous work of 31.05-88.26
- **346** μg/ml IAA [18].
- In this study, IAA is found to be significantly affected by all linear process variables (Equation 13). Based on the ANOVA, the IAA production was significantly affected by linear, interactions and quadratic between process variables. Incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size showed significant (p < 0.05) effects on the IAA production (Eq. 13). The IAA production was positively influenced by incubation temperature, incubation time, and pH. The results obtained from the ANOVA showed that incubation temperature has the most significant effect on the IAA production, followed by incubation time, inoculum size, and pH. Regarding the incubation time, linear effects were verified to be statistically significant for IAA production, as indicated by the p-value in Table 6.
- 354 The interactions among the four parameters investigated for the IAA production were examined using 3D 355 surface plots (Figures 5 C and D). The interaction between incubation temperature and pH exhibited a strong 356 significant (p < 0.05) effect on the IAA production. It can be seen from Figures 5 (C and D) that the response surface 357 of the interaction of incubation temperature with pH and incubation time with inoculum size for IAA production has 358 a steep slope, indicating significant interaction between the two factors. Significant interaction indicates that the 359 factors work independently, whilst the presence of interaction indicates that the difference in IAA production at 360 different levels of a factor is not the same at all levels of another factor. From F value and P-value in Table 4, it can 361 be concluded that the order of influence of interaction term on the IAA production is: AC > BD > BC > and AD.
- 362 Figure 5 (C) shows a 3D response surface plot of the IAA production as a function of incubation temperature 363 and pH at fixed incubation time and inoculum size. RSM plot showed that IAA production increased with temperature 364 and pH up to an optimum point where further increase in temperature or pH resulted in a decrease of IAA production 365 activity. IAA production was generally increased as the interaction between incubation temperature and pH increased 366 (Eq.13). Figure 5D shows a 3D response surface plot of the IAA production as a function of incubation time and 367 inoculum size at a fixed pH and incubation temperature. For the interaction between incubation time and pH, a linear 368 effect was detected for the IAA production, which confirms that the increase in time and pH improves the solubility 369 of phosphate. The quadratic of incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size has a significant 370 effect on the IAA production. The quadratic of pH has the most significant effect on the IAA production whose F 371 value is 160.00 (Table 6). Similar findings were reported by another researcher [18].



Figure 5: 3D response surface plot of solubility of phosphate: (C) effects of incubation temperature and pH at constant
incubation time and inoculum size and (D) effects of incubation time and inoculum size at constant pH and incubation

temperature 374

#### 375 Artificial neural network-based modeling

376 The network used in this study consists of four input neurons (incubation temperature, pH, incubation time, and 377 inoculum size) in the first layer, two output neurons (solubility of phosphate and IAA production) in the third layer, 378 and 10 hidden neurons are shown in Figure b (a). Figure 6 (b) shows the scatter diagrams that compared the actual 379 versus the computed neural network data for training, testing and validation networks. The correlation coefficients 380 (R) values for training (0.99913), test (0.9802), validation (0.98834), and whole data sets (0.99268) indicating that 381 the ANN model shows better regression and fitting compared to the RSM model. Nearly the whole point has been 382 scattered around the 45° line an indication of excellent compatibility between the experimental results and ANN 383 predicted output data values. As can be seen from Figure 6 the ANN model indicating that prediction for training, 384 validation, and testing has sufficient reliability and can be used for predicting the solubility of phosphate ( $\mu$ g/ml) and 385 IAA production ( $\mu$ g/ml). 386 387

- 507
- 388
- 389
- 390
- 391

392 Table 8: Validation data set for experimentally determined ANN and RSM predicted values of solubility of phosphate

**393** ( $\mu$ g/ml) and IAA production ( $\mu$ g/ml)

Exp. No	Solubility of phosphate (µg/ml)			IAA pro	IAA production (µg/ml)		
	Experimental	Predicted value		Experimental	Predicted value		
		RSM	ANN		RSM	ANN	
1	239.68	252.84	238.46	78.23	79.26	78.22	
2	181.62	186.21	180.28	46.05	46.29	46.05	
3	130.85	125.05	129.45	53.16	54.24	53.12	
4	150.58	137.58	148.78	45.16	45.25	45.17	
5	175.44	179.76	175.36	63.18	63.59	63.18	
6	201.75	214.01	205.61	50.12	46.70	49.89	
7	135.43	151.81	134.91	57.03	54.84	56.89	
8	195.41	198.42	195.02	62.68	61.10	62.18	
9	218.31	215.53	218.24	52.36	52.93	52.36	
10	225.53	220.46	225.56	52.07	53.26	53.07	
11	266.34	252.84	264.65	78.62	79.26	79.63	
12	128.85	121.52	127.63	52.31	49.24	51.45	
13	190.83	196.66	190.05	45.28	47.53	45.56	
14	133.38	135.64	133.78	55.56	51.55	54.65	
15	260.14	252.84	259.78	81.02	79.26	80.98	
16	235.59	227.34	237.12	56.01	53.81	56.25	
17	156.56	161.99	156.75	45.34	49.01	46.12	
18	270.12	266.91	270.08	61.72	59.88	60.98	
19	165.34	172.13	164.43	58.12	56.87	58.06	
20	125.67	119.41	123.96	49.26	51.50	50.54	
21	142.01	137.52	141.26	48.95	52.02	49.03	
22	255.51	252.84	255.46	81.54	79.26	80.56	
23	230.57	224.50	229.59	46.52	47.77	47.17	
24	185.74	187.04	185.64	42.58	43.50	43.00	
25	202.06	188.66	200.12	50.12	51.64	50.65	
26	245.65	252.84	246.96	78.62	79.26	78.16	
27	211.91	210.60	211.91	64.64	66.17	66.37	
28	221.16	218.66	221.16	54.52	57.09	54.29	
29	249.71	252.84	248.85	77.54	79.26	77.36	
30	147.34	164.64	146.56	51.63	48.57	50.16	





Figure 6: Network architecture (a), correlation plots of predicted versus experimental values (b) for the developedANN model

Target

Target

(b)

#### 400 Performance assessment of the predictive capability of the developed models

401 The effectiveness of the developed RSM and ANN models to predict the solubility of phosphate and IAA production were measured statistically in terms of the RMSE, R<sup>2</sup>, MSE, SEP, MAE, and AARD. The value of R<sup>2</sup> should be close 402 403 to 1 for a good correlation between experimental and predicted values, indicating a good fit of the model. Although 404 the results showed that both models performed well, the ANN model has stronger modeling potential than the RSM 405 model for solubility of phosphate and IAA production. As can be seen, the predicted ANN values are considerably 406 closer to the experimentally measured data, which shows that the ANN model is superior to the RSM model in terms 407 of predictability (Table 9). The performance of the neural network for predicting the solubility of phosphate and IAA 408 production by Pseudomonas bacteria isolated from rhizospheric soil of coffee plants is very impressive and provides 409 a deeper understanding of the nonlinear system. The results of the statistical analysis showing the comparison 410 between ANN and RSM models are depicted in Table 9.

	Solubility of phosphate (µg/ml)		IAA production (µg/ml)		
Parameters	RSM	ANN	RSM	ANN	
RMSE	8.190	1.205	2.054	1.686	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.968	0.999	0.971	0.979	
AARD (%)	0.961	0.487	3.234	2.532	
MAE	6.863	0.877	1.776	1.396	
SEP	4.179	0.615	3.541	2.907	
MSE	67.077	1.452	4.219	2.842	

411 Table 9: Comparison of predictive abilities of RSM and ANN models

412

#### 413 Optimization and Validation of the model by using response surface modeling

414 The main objectives of this study were to determine the optimal operating parameters for the maximum phosphate 415 solubilization and production of IAA by phosphate solubilization bacteria isolated from rhizospheric soils of the 416 coffee plant. The numerical optimization of phosphate solubilization and production of IAA were performed by using 417 statistical experimental design techniques. Solubility of phosphate and production of IAA was set at maximum values 418 while the value of process variables was set in the range under study. To obtain the maximum phosphate 419 solubilization and production of IAA, the predicted combination of process variables were as follows: incubation 420 temperature of 37.5 °C, incubation time of 9 days, pH of 7.2, and inoculum size of 1.89 OD. Under these conditions, 421 the model predicted solubility of phosphate of 260.69  $\mu$ g/ml and production of IAA of 80.00  $\mu$ g/ml with a desirability 422 value of 0.947. To validate the optimum conditions predicted by the model using desirability ramp, triplicate tests 423 were performed under the predicted process parameters, yielding means phosphate solubilization of  $260.45 \,\mu$ g/ml 424 and production of IAA of 79.65 µg/ml were obtained. The validity of the estimation models built through the 425 statistical experimental design was verified by the small differences (< 4 %) between the experimental and the 426 predicted responses. The results are closely related to the data obtained from optimization analysis using desirability functions, indicating CCD incorporates with desirability function could be effectively used to optimize theparameters that affect the solubility of phosphate and production of IAA.

#### 429 Discussion

430 Many studies deal with phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from rhizospheric soil [30,41-43]. In the present 431 study, the coffee rhizosphere was selected for the isolation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Analysis of phosphate 432 solubilizing ability in PVK media containing tricalcium phosphate indicated that all bacteria had phosphate 433 solubilizing ability. Out of six bacteria isolate, three of them observed maximum PSI, PSB1 (5.092) followed by 434  $PSB_3$  (4.65) and  $PSB_4$  (4.45). The formation of halo in Pikovskaya's agar media is attributed to the production of 435 different organic acids and acid phosphatase production by the PSB isolates [5,17]. This result was in agreement as 436 reported by Anzuay et al.[30,31]. Among all the tested strains, isolate pseudomonads (PSB1) exhibited significant 437 phosphate solubilization as indicated by PSI. A previous study showed that pseudomonas ps. Known to as phosphate 438 solubilizer [44,45].

439 In addition, Morphological and biochemical tests performed for the PSB isolates led to their probable identification 440 up to genus level. All the isolates were Gram-negative, round raised colonies and of rod-shaped, motile, and most of 441 with shiny surface (Table 4). Results for some of the biochemical tests performed are listed in Table 4. As shown in 442 Table 4, All 3 bacteria have shown negativity for the urease and MR test. Bacteria isolate  $PSB_1$  and  $PSB_3$  were negative for the Citrate and indole test. However, bacteria isolate PSB4 was positive for VP, Citrate, and indole test. 443 444 Phosphate solubilization potential has been attributed to the strains ability to produce soluble phosphate and IAA 445 [30,46,5,17,44,47]. The present study showed that *pseudomonas* has the potential to produce IAA and soluble 446 phosphate.

447 Solubility of phosphate obtained in this experiment was found to be in the range of  $125.67 \mu g/ml - 270.12$ 448 µg/ml (Table 5), which is comparable to the phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) isolated from the rhizosphere of 449 Allium hookeri Thwaites (124.8–266.4 µg/mL) [39] and higher than phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) isolated 450 from rhizobacterial salinized soils (44.00–63.90 mg/L) [38]. In the present work, the higher solubility of phosphate 451 suggests that bacterial strain increases the soluble phosphate content of the soil, thereby improving phosphate 452 absorption. However, incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size are vital to process variables 453 that could have a remarkable effect on the solubility of phosphate. The pH has the most significant effect on the 454 solubility of phosphate whose F value is 43.96, followed by incubation temperature, inoculum size, and incubation 455 time (Table 6). As pH increase from 6-12, it showed an increase in soluble phosphate and attained maximum 456 production (270.12 µg/ml) at a pH value of 7and started decreasing when moved through the pH range 8–12. The 457 least production was 15.33 µg/ml observed at pH 12 [48] showed the maximum phosphorus solubilization of 197 458 µg/ml at pH 6 in *Bacillus subtilis* KA (1) 5r. The effect of temperature was studied in the range of 25-45 °C whereby 459 the maximum yield of P-solubilization (184 µg/ml) was observed at 35 °C (table 6). Present results are in line with 460 [50] (197  $\mu$ g/m), where the optimum temperature for P-solubilization was at 35 °C using *Bacillus subtilis* KA (1) 5r 461 isolated from the medicinal herb. In the presence of TCP, maximum amounts of P maintained the highest values until 462 the optimum incubation period of 9 days. The positive correlation of incubation period with P-solubilization was 463 reported by Muleta et al [5]. The solubility of phosphate decreases with increasing inoculum size, this is might be 464 due to the amount of phosphate was immobilized by the bacteria. The effect of inoculums size in this study is similar 465 to previous work [40].

466 Production of IAA was slightly lower than IAA produced by the cultures growing the isolates in YEM broth 467 amended with 0.2 % of L-tryptophan (52.9-186.65 µg/mL) [39]. IAA production is an indicator of plant growth-468 promoting rhizobacteria. The results were consistent with previous work of 31.05-88.26 µg/ml IAA [18]. In this 469 study, IAA is found to be significantly affected by all linear process variables (Equation 13). Based on the ANOVA, 470 the IAA production was significantly affected by linear, interactions and quadratic between process variables. 471 Incubation temperature, incubation time, pH, and inoculum size showed significant (p < 0.05) effects on the IAA 472 production (Eq. 13). The effect of pH variation on IAA production showed that *pseudomonas* sp. produced more 473 IAA at pH 7, but this production decreased with the further increasing pH. Similarly, previous studies found that the 474 maximum amount of IAA was produced at neutral to slightly alkaline pH [49-51]. Other scholars showed that the 475 maximum vield of IAA was obtained at pH 9 [21.52]. Maximum IAA production of 81.49 µg/mL at a pH of 7 476 was reported by Baliyan et al.[18]. The variation of IAA production may due to the source of isolation of the 477 microorganisms at particular pH. In the present study, the Maximum yield of IAA (81.02 µg/mL) at 35°C was 478 obtained [53] showed the maximum IAA production at a temperature of 37° in Kl. Pneumonia whereas [14] reported 479 the maximum production of 25 µg/mL IAA using various organisms at 30°C. The result indicated that variation of 480 IAA production with temperature may depend on the bacterial species as well. The IAA production was significantly 481 increased when the incubation period increased from 3 to 12 days. Studies on the production of IAA revealed 482 exponentially increasing IAA production with an increase in the incubation period [53,54]. According to Bharucha 483 et al. [49] reported that maximum production of IAA was observed by *Pseudomonas* putida at 96hrs whereas [18] 484 at 8 days.

#### 485 Conclusions

486 The results of the present study provide substantial evidence that phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria isolated 487 from rhizospheric soil of coffee plants facilitate solubilization of free phosphate in soil, and also enhance the growth 488 of the plant. Three of the best P dissolving strains were characterized using different morphological and biochemical 489 tests and the isolate strains were identified as Pseudomonas, Bacillus, and Enterobacter species. Pseudomonas species 490 demonstrated high P dissolving capacities (PSI=5.092) followed by Bacillus (PSI=4.65) and Enterobacter 491 (PSI=4.45). The performance of both the models was compared based on prediction accuracy of the solubility of 492 phosphate and production of IAA. The study revealed that all four variables linearly affect the solubility of phosphate 493 and the production of IAA. Based on the values of errors functions for validation data sets, the ANN model was 494 demonstrated to be more efficient than the RSM model both in data fitting and prediction capabilities. The finding 495 of the study indicated that incubation temperature of 37.5 °C, incubation time of 9 days, pH of 7.2, and inoculum 496 size of 1.89 OD is the best combination of process parameters that result in the highest phosphate solubilization of 497 260.45 μmg/ml and production of IAA of 79.65 μg/ml. The significant increase in the P content of PSB-treated plants

- emphasizes the potential of an economically and eco-friendly means of achieving higher levels of phosphorus.
- 499 Therefore, these P-solubilizers can be used as a plant biofertilizer and may be used to develop an economic cultivation
- 500 strategy from the rhizospheric soil of coffee plants.
- 501
- Acknowledgments: This work was supported by Jimma institute Technology center of energy Excellency. The
   authors gratefully acknowledge Jimma Institute of Technology Ethiopia for financial support.
- **Authors' contributions**: All authors contributed to the conception and experimental design study. The work was conceptualized by Yasin and Ermias. Material preparation, data collection, and analyses were performed by Mohammed, Yasin, and Ermias. Funds were obtained by Prof. Venkata and Yasin. Supervision was performed by prof. Venkata. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Ermias, Mohammed, Yasin, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 509 Funding: This work was supported by Jimma University, Jimma Institute of Technology Center of Excellence-
- 510 CRGE RESOURCE CART (Climate Resilient Green Economy Resource Centre for Advanced Research and
- 511 Training Linking Energy with Water and Agriculture).
- 512 Availability of data and materials: All data analyzed during this study are included in this research article.
- 513 Competing of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this514 research article.
- 515 **Ethics approval:** Not applicable.
- 516 **Consent to participate:** Not applicable
- 517 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable
- 518 **DECLARATION:** I hereby declare that this manuscript entitled "Modeling and optimization of phosphate
- 519 solubilizing bacteria isolated from rhizospheric soils of the coffee plant using artificial neural network (ANN) and
- response surface methodology (RSM)" is the original work not previously published in similar form and not
- 521 currently under consideration by another Journal. Ana also each Author has seen and approved the content of the
- submitted manuscript.

#### 523 References

- Suleman M, Yasmin S, Rasul M, Yahya M, Atta BM, Mirza MS (2018) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria with
   glucose dehydrogenase gene for phosphorus uptake and beneficial effects on wheat. PLOS ONE 13
   (9):e0204408-e0204408. <u>https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0204408</u>
- Kalayu G (2019) Phosphate Solubilizing Microorganisms: Promising Approach as Biofertilizers. International Journal of Agronomy:1-7. <u>https://doi:10.1155/2019/4917256</u>
- 529 3. Sharon JA, Hathwaik LT, Glenn GM, Imam SH, Lee CC (2016) Isolation of efficient phosphate solubilizing
  530 bacteria capable of enhancing tomato plant growth. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 16 (2):525-536.
  531 https://doi:10.4067/S0718-95162016005000043

- 4. Anzuay MS, Ciancio MGR, Ludueña LM, Angelini JG, Barros G, Pastor N, Taurian T (2017) Growth
  promotion of peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) and maize (Zea mays L.) plants by single and mixed cultures of
  efficient phosphate solubilizing bacteria that are tolerant to abiotic stress and pesticides. Microbiological
  Research 199:98-109. https://doi:10.1016/j.micres.2017.03.006
- 5. Muleta D, Granhall U, Assefa F, Bo E (2013) Phosphate-solubilising rhizobacteria associated with Coffea
  arabica L. in natural coffee forests of southwestern.73-84. <u>https://doi:10.1016/j.jssas.2012.07.002</u>
- Elhaissoufi W, Khourchi S, Ibnyasser A, Ghoulam C, Rchiad Z, Zeroual Y, Lyamlouli K, Bargaz A (2020)
   Phosphate Solubilizing Rhizobacteria Could Have a Stronger Influence on Wheat Root Traits and Aboveground
   Physiology Than Rhizosphere P Solubilization. Frontiers in Plant Science 11 (July):1-15. https://doi:10.3389
   /fpls.2020.00979
- 542 7. Baliyan N, Dhiman S, Dheeman S, Kumar S (2021) Optimization of Indole-3-acetic acid using response surface
  543 methodology and its effect on vegetative growth of chickpea. Rhizosphere 8 (3):253–262. <u>https://doi:10.1016/j</u>
  544 .rhisph.2021.100321
- Anbalagan P, Krishnaswamy VG (2017) Optimisation of Growth Factors for Effective Use of Phosphate
   Solubilizing Bacterial Strains and Its Use as Bioinoculants for the Growth of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea)
   Plant. Biotechnology Journal International 19 (4):1-16. https://doi:10.9734/BJI/2017/36243
- 548 9. Aklilu EG (2021) Modeling and optimization of pectin extraction from banana peel using artificial neural
  549 networks (ANNs) and response surface methodology (RSM). Journal of Food Measurement and Characte
  550 rization 15 (3):2759-2773. https://doi:10.1007/s11694-021-00852-7
- 551 10. Yu A, Liu Y, Li X, Yang Y, Zhou Z, Liu H (2021) Modeling and Optimizing of NH4+ Removal from
  552 Stormwater by Coal-Based Granular Activated Carbon Using RSM and ANN Coupled with GA. Water 13
  553 (5):608-608. <u>https://doi:10.3390/w13050608</u>
- 11. Banerjee A, Varshney D, Kumar S, Chaudhary P, Gupta VK (2017) Biodiesel production from castor oil : ANN
  modeling and kinetic parameter estimation. International Journal of Industrial Chemistry 8 (3):253-262.
  https://doi:10.1007/s40090-017-0122-3
- 12. Alemu A, Abebe G, Tsegaye W, Golassa L (2011) Climatic variables and malaria transmission dynamics in
   Jimma town, South West Ethiopia. Parasites and Vectors 4 (1):1-11. <u>https://doi:10.1186/1756-3305-4-30</u>
- 559 13. Somasegaren P, Hoben HJ (1994) Quantifying the growth of rhizobia. In: Garber, R.(Ed.), Handbook for
  560 Rhizobia: Methods in LegumeRhizobia Technology. Springer, New York,
- 14. Midekssa MJ, Löscher CR, Schmitz RA, Assefa F (2015) Characterization of phosphate solubilizing
  rhizobacteria isolated from lentil growing areas of Ethiopia. African Journal of Microbiology Research 9
  (25):1637-1648. https://doi:10.5897/AJMR2015.7473
- 15. Isenberg HD (1998) Essential procedures for clinical microbiology. American Society for Microbiology.
   doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122949
- 566 16. Holt DHB, John G (1994) Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. Ed., 9th edn. Baltimore : Williams
  567 & Wilkins,

- For the solubilizing bacteria and their efficiency on the growth of maize. Journal of Genetic Engineering
  and Biotechnology 15 (2):379-391. https://doi:10.1016/j.jgeb.2017.06.005
- 571 18. Baliyan N, Dhiman S, Dheeman S, Kumar S, Maheshwari DK (2021) Optimization of indole-3-acetic acid
  572 using response surface methodology and its effect on vegetative growth of chickpea. Rhizosphere 17:100321.
  573 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2021.100321
- 574 19. Abd. Samad MY, Zulkefly S, Adekunle Salisu M, Ahmad Kamil MJ (2017) Optimization of Liquid Medium
  575 for High Phosphate Solubilization by Serratia Marcescens Strain AGKT4. Turkish Journal of Agriculture 576 Food Science and Technology 5 (12):1626-1626. https://doi:10.24925/turjaf.v5i12.1626-1632.1495
- 577 20. Watanabe FS, Olsen SR (1965) Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and
  578 NaHCO extracts from soil. Soil Sci Soc Am J 29:677-678
- 579 21. Lebrazi S, Niehaus K, Bednarz H, Fadil M, Chraibi M, Fikri-Benbrahim K (2020) Screening and optimization of indole-3-acetic acid production and phosphate solubilization by rhizobacterial strains isolated from Acacia cyanophylla root nodules and their effects on its plant growth. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology 18 (1):71-71. https://doi:10.1186/s43141-020-00090-2
- 583 22. Gordon SA, Weber RP (1951) Colorimetric estimation of indole acetic acid. Plant Physiol 26:192-195.
- 584 23. Monzón L, Villanueva E (2021) Ultrasound-assisted extraction of polyphenols from avocado residues :
   585 Modeling and optimization using response surface methodology and artificial neural networks. Scientia
   586 Agropecuaria Web 12 (1):33-40
- 587 24. Wu S-w, Yang J, Cao G-m (2021) Prediction of the Charpy V-notch impact energy of low carbon steel using a
  588 shallow neural network and deep learning. Int J Miner Metall Mater. <u>https://doi:10.1007/s12613-020-2168-z</u>
- 589 25. Nasrudin NA, Jewaratnam J, Hossain MA, Ganeson PB (2020) Performance comparison of feedforward neural
   590 network training algorithms in modelling microwave pyrolysis of oil palm fibre for hydrogen and biochar
   591 production. Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering 15 (1):1-16. https://doi:10.1002/apj.2388
- 592 26. Gendy TS, Ghoneim SA, Zakhary AS (2020) Comparative Appraisal of Response Surface Methodology and
  593 Artificial Neural Network Method for Stabilized Turbulent Confined Jet Diffusion Flames Using Bluff-Body
  504 Development of Flames LT and the second stabilized to the second stabilized stabilized stabilized to the second stabilized stabi
- Burners. World Journal of En gineering and Technology 8:121-143. <u>https://doi:10.4236/wjet.2020.81011</u>
- 595 27. Shukla V, Bandyopadhyay M, Pandya V, Maulik APA (2020) Artificial neural network based predictive
   596 negative hydrogen ion helicon plasma source for fusion grade large sized ion source. Engineering with
   597 Computers. <u>https://doi:10.1007/s00366-020-01060-5</u>
- 28. Awolusi TF, Oke OL, Akinkurolere OO, Sojobi AO, Aluko OG (2019) Performance comparison of neural network training algorithms in the modeling properties of steel fiber reinforced concrete. Heliyon 5 (1):e01115e01115. <u>https://doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01115</u>
- Sodeifian G, Sajadian SA, Ardestani NS (2015) Evaluation of the response surface and hybrid artificial neural
   network-genetic algorithm methodologies to determine extraction yield of Ferulago angulata through
   supercritical fluid. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers:1-9. https://doi:10.1016/j.jtice.201
   5.11.003

- 30. Anzuay MS, Frola O, Fabra A, Taurian T (2013) Genetic diversity of phosphate-solubilizing peanut (Arachis
  hypogaea L.) associated bacteria and mechanisms involved in this ability.143-154. https://doi:10.1007/s131 99013-0250-2
- 31. Pandey A, Trivedi P, Kumar B, Palni LMS (2006) Characterization of a Phosphate Solubilizing and
  Antagonistic Strain of Pseudomonas putida (B0) Isolated from a Sub-Alpine Location in the Indian Central
  Himalaya. 53:102-107. <u>https://doi:10.1007/s00284-006-4590-5</u>
- 611 32. Paul D, Sinha SN (2017) Isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilizing bacterium Pseudomonas
  612 aeruginosa KUPSB12 with antibacterial potential from river Ganga, India. Annals of Agrarian Science 15
  613 (1):130-136. https://doi:10.1016/j.aasci.2016.10.001
- 614 33. Patel G, Singh S, Saxena DSK, Kaur DKJ (2016) Isolation, Biochemical Characterization and Production of
   615 Biofertilizer from Bacillus megaterium. The International Journal of Life-Sciences Scientific Research 2 (6).
   616 <u>https://doi:10.21276/ijlssr.2016.2.6.16</u>
- 617 34. Abbas MN (2014) Desalination and Water Treatment Phosphorus removal from wastewater using rice husk
  618 and subsequent utilization of the waste residue. (December):37-41. <u>https://doi:10.1080/19443994.2014.922494</u>
- 5. Deepa CK, Dastager SG, Pandey A (2010) Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting bacteria
  from non-rhizospheric soil and their effect on cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) seedling growth. World
  Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 26 (7):1233-1240. https://doi:10.1007/s11274-009-0293-y
- 36. Sadiq HM, Jahangir GZ, Nasir IA, Iqtidar M, Iqbal M (2013) Isolation and characterization of phosphatesolubilizing bacteria from rhizosphere soil. Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment 27 (6):4248-4255.
  <u>https://doi:10.5504/bbeq.2013.0091</u>
- 37. Le Man H, Behera SK, Park HS (2010) Optimization of operational parameters for ethanol production from
   korean food waste leachate. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 7 (1):157-164.
   <u>https://doi:10.1007/BF03326127</u>
- 38. Peng BL, Dhar N, Liu HL, Tam KC (2011) Chemistry and applications of nanocrystalline cellulose and its
  derivatives: A nanotechnology perspective. Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 89 (5):1191-1206.
  https://doi:10.1002/cjce.20554
- 39. Kshetri L, Pandey P, Sharma GD (2018) Rhizosphere mediated nutrient management in Allium hookeri
  Thwaites by using phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria and tricalcium phosphate amended soil Rhizosphere
  mediated nutrient management in Allium hookeri Thwaites by using phosphate solubilizing rhi. JOURNAL OF
  PLANT INTERACTIONS 9145 (May):256-269. <u>https://doi:10.1080/17429145.2018.1472307</u>
- 40. Davis KER, Joseph SJ, Janssen PH (2005) Effects of Growth Medium, Inoculum Size, and Incubation Time on
  Culturability and Isolation of Soil Bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71 (2):826-834.
  https://doi:10.1128/AEM.71.2.826-834.2005
- 638 41. Chandra S, Askari K, Kumari M (2018) Optimization of indole acetic acid production by isolated bacteria from
  639 Stevia rebaudiana rhizosphere and its effects on plant growth. Journal of Genetic Engineering and
  640 Biotechnology 16 (2):581-586. <u>https://doi:10.1016/j.jgeb.2018.09.001</u>

- 42. Mohite B (2013) Isolation and characterization of indole acetic acid (IAA) producing bacteria from rhizospheric
  soil and its effect on plant growth. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition 13 (ahead):0-0. https://doi:
  10.4067/S0718-95162013005000051
- 644 43. Paiter A, Freitas G, Pinto L, Hass L, Barreiros M, Oliveira A, Grange L (2019) IAA production and phosphate
  645 solubilization performed by native rhizobacteria in western Paraná. Agronomy Science and Biotechnology 5
  646 (2):70-70. https://doi:10.33158/ASB.2019v5i2p70
- 647 44. Rajasankar R, Manju Gayathry G, Sathiavelu A, Ramalingam C, Saravanan VS (2013) Pesticide tolerant and
  648 phosphorus solubilizing Pseudomonas sp. strain SGRAJ09 isolated from pesticides treated Achillea clavennae
  649 rhizosphere soil. Ecotoxicology 22 (4):707-717. https://doi:10.1007/s10646-013-1062-0
- 45. Sharma SB, Sayyed RZ, Trivedi MH, Gobi TA (2013) Phosphate solubilizing microbes: Sustainable approach
  for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. SpringerPlus 2 (1):1-14. <u>https://doi:10.1186/2193-</u>
  1801-2-587
- 46. Apine OA, Jadhav JP (2011) Optimization of medium for indole-3-acetic acid production using Pantoea
  agglomerans strain PVM. Journal of Applied Microbiology 110 (5):1235-1244. <u>https://doi:10.1111/j.1365-</u>
  2672.2011.04976.x
- 47. Walpola BC, Noh J-G, Kim CK, Kyung K-C, Kong W-S, Yoon M-H (2013) Optimization of Indole-3-Acetic
  production by phosphate solubilization bacteria isolated from waste mushroom bed of Agaricus bisporus.
  Journal of Mushroom 11 (2):53-62. <u>https://doi:10.14480/jm.2013.11.2.053</u>
- 48. Sharma S, Sood G, Chauhan A (2020) Optimization of IAA production and P- solubilization potential in
  Bacillus subtilis KA (1) 5r isolated from the medicinal herb Aconitum heterophyllum -growing in western
  Himalaya , India. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 9 (1):2008-2015
- 49. Bharucha U, Patel K, Trivedi UB (2013) Optimization of Indole Acetic Acid Production by Pseudomonas
  putida UB1 and its Effect as Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria on Mustard (Brassica nigra). Agricultural
  Research 2 (3):215-221. https://doi:10.1007/s40003-013-0065-7
- 50. Majeed A, Abbasi MK, Hameed S, Imran A, Rahim N (2015) Isolation and characterization of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria from wheat rhizosphere and their effect on plant growth promotion. Frontiers in
  microbiology 6:198-198
- 51. Thokal PJ, Shelar BL, Shaikh SH, Adhapure NN (2013) Microbial optimized production of indole acetic acid
  and assessment of other plant growth promoting activities. Int J Sci Nat 4 (4):627-632
- 52. Raut V, Shaikh I, Naphade B, Prashar K, Adhapure N (2017) Plant growth promotion using microbial IAA
  producers in conjunction with azolla: a novel approach. Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture
  4 (1):1-11
- 53. Jasim B, Jimtha John C, Shimil V, Jyothis M, Radhakrishnan EK (2014) Studies on the factors modulating
  indole-3-acetic acid production in endophytic bacterial isolates from Piper nigrum and molecular analysis of
  ipdc gene. Journal of applied microbiology 117 (3):786-799. <a href="https://doi:10.1111/jam.12569">https://doi:10.1111/jam.12569</a>
- 54. BİLkay IS, Karakoç Ş, Aksöz N (2010) Indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellic acid production in Aspergillus
  niger. Turkish Journal of Biology 34 (3):313-318