Municipal Wastewater Irrigation Quality and Its Impacts on Selected Soil Properties Around Harar City, Eastern Ethiopia

Tasisa Temesgen Tolossa (✉ tasisatemesgen@gmail.com)

Haramaya University

Research Article

Keywords: Municipal Wastewater, Irrigation water quality, Soil physico-chemical, Heavy metal

Posted Date: August 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-833825/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

In many arid and semiarid countries, wastewater irrigation is becoming a common practice in agriculture. Commitment of government and social intervention for more effective waste management are the major constraints. The study was conducted in eastern Ethiopia to identify the impacts of municipal wastewater soil physicochemical properties and irrigation water quality. Soil samples were collected from different farm fields to determine the physical and chemical properties of soil, and heavy metal accumulation at different irrigation farms and wastewater samples were also analyzed. Municipal wastewater taken from different study sites was categorized into three groups: control (nonirrigated), municipal wastewater irrigated farmland and municipal wastewater irrigated farmland. The results showed that bulk density was negatively decreased and moisture contents and total porosity were significantly increased. The soil EC, cadmium, lead available phosphorus, OM, and CEC levels significantly increased with increasing wastewater application. The concentrations of Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb and Cr are higher in irrigated effluent than in nonirrigated effluent. Untreated and treated MWW were tested for heavy metal presence and accumulation. In the present study, soil OM increased as the content in the soil also increased as the number of irrigations increased. The most effective way to eliminate the impact of this municipal wastewater on the soil is to develop and implement an effective wastewater management plan. Limited studies have been done, and they should be encouraged to address municipal wastewater impacts on plants and farmers. The government should be focused on awareness of creation and management systems.

1. Background

In the increase of urban irrigation agriculture, scarcity of water availability is a major problem, and use of treated waste water for irrigation agriculture is becoming common practice [1&2]. As human interests on natural resources increased, problem of natural resources like water availability is unquestionable [3 & 4]. Due to high water scarcity problem wastewater used for domestic and agricultural practice without any proper management and quality standard use, but this makes human health problem and yield failed [5]. Water insufficiency and wastewater generation is the major nervousness that impacts livelihood.

In Ethiopia, due to poor government policy on urban wastewater management, towns and cities in the country are becoming sources of solid and liquid waste. There are some activities of waste treatment use for agriculture, but there are very few, especially communities that are involved in wastewater treatment and used for irrigation and fertilizers. Nonetheless, limited studies have been done thus far on the impact of municipal waste in particular on health and agricultural productivity. Some researchers have assessed the contents of wastewater generated from this city. However, the effect of municipal wastewater on soil properties and their irrigation water quality has not been well investigated.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to characterize municipal wastewater with selected chemical and physical properties, analyze its irrigation water quality and determine the impacts of wastewater irrigation on selected soil physical and chemical properties.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in Harar City, which is known as African Mecca and was founded in 1007 Harari National Regional State, which is located in the eastern part of Ethiopia (Fig. 1). The Population of the cities is more than 215,000. The city of Harar is the capital of Harari Regional State and capital city of eastern Hararghe zone of oromia region which is located in the East at a distance of 525 km from Addis Ababa. The site is located at latitude 9°24'57" N, longitude 42°2'23" E and altitude 2023 meters above sea level. The site is representative of a subhumid mid-altitude agro-climatic zone. The rainfall distribution is bimodal, the short rainy season is from March to May, and the long rainy season is from June to October. The area receives a mean annual precipitation of 760 mm. The mean annual maximum and minimum temperatures are 23.40°C and 8.25°C, respectively.

In this city, municipal wastewater originated from different sources like, such as from Harar Brewery Factory, offices, health centers, universities and colleges, schools, and marketies. which are produces high organic loading effluent. Farmers in downstream areas have been using this wastewater for many years. Due to developing countries, these ecosystems are often discharged into natural ecosystems without previous treatment.

2.2. Sample Preparation and Laboratory Analysis of Wastewaters

2.2.1. Soil sampling, heavy metal accumulation in soil and analysis

Soil samples were collected from down of the city of different farm field locations to determine the physical and chemical properties of the soil. Soil samples were mixed thoroughly, dried at room temperature, ground and sieved through a 2 mm screen for physical analysis, whereas for OC and total nitrogen determination, soil samples were passed through a 0.5 mm sieve.

Heavy metal accumulation soil was selected randomly from wastewater for analysis of major heavy metals (Zn, Mn, Fe, Cu, Cr, As, Ni, Cd) using an atomic absorption instrument following [6]. For soil sample preparation, four grams was taken from twelve samples and then dissolved in 5 mL HNO₃, 0.5 mL HF, and 0.5 mL HCl in a Teflon vessel. Samples were dissolved in 6 mL 69% HNO₃ and 3 mL HCl in a Teflon vessel in a microwave digestion system. The digested samples were then transferred into a Teflon beaker, and the volume was brought to 50 mL with deionized water. The digested solution was filtered by using a 0.45 µm syringe filter and stored in 50 mL polypropylene tubes for analysis.

Analysis of the particle size distribution was performed by hydrometer method (differential settling within a water column) according to [7]. Organic carbon content was determined using [8] method. Soil texture was determined by the Bouyoucos hydrometer method [9]. The bulk density (BD) of the soil after crop harvest was measured from the undisturbed soil samples collected from each plot using a core sampler and determined according to the procedure described [10].

2.2.2. Water Samples Analysis

The collected wastewater samples were taken before and after wastewater treatment for the analysis of pH, EC, dissolved cation (Ca, Mg, Na and K), alkalinity (HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-}), Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and boron (B) contents in the laboratory. The collected water samples were analyzed for TSS, pH, EC, TDS, Na, Ca, Mg, Cl, SO_4 , NO_3 , NO_2 , B, CO_3 and HCO_3 . All these elements were determined according to international standards of laboratory analysis for the quality of irrigation water, such as hardness, nitrate, phosphorus content, and SAR.

The residual sodium carbonate (RSC) equation was used to determine the concentrations of HCO_3^- , CO_3^{2-} , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ions as follows:

$$\text{RSC} = (\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}) - (\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$$

where concentrations are expressed in meq/l [11], and the SAR was calculated as:

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{(\text{Na}^+)}{(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})^{0.5}}$$

where Na is the concentration of Na in the irrigation water expressed in meq/l.

2.3. Data Analysis

Statistical data analysis was performed to identify the impacts of wastewater irrigation soil properties using SAS version 9.1 and for water quality for irrigation, and a t-test at $P \leq 0.05$ was used to compare significant differences between initial and final irrigation.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1. Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Municipal Wastewater

According to the results of municipal wastewater analysis value of pH at initial and final irrigation points were 8.14 and 8.26 (Table 1). The total dissolved solid (TDS) municipal wastewater varied in the range 762.54 to 945.32 mg L^{-1} from final to initial point. The BOD and COD values in the study sites were varied from 167.0mg/l to 207.3mg/l and 246.43mg/l to 286 mg/l respectively (Table 1), Concentration of sodium in municipal wastewater varied in the range of 33.3 to 44.5 mg L^{-1} at the final to initial discharge points (Table 1). The initial and final point concentration of ammonium-nitrogen and nitrate-nitrogen were 7.56 to 14.25 mg/l and 9.43 to 11.60 mg/l respectively (Table 1).

Table 1
Characteristics of municipal wastewater quality

Descriptive Statistics					
Parameters	Initial point	Final point	Mean	SD(+)	FAO/WHO Perm. Limits
pH	8.26	8.14	8.2	0.085	6.5–8.4
EC, dS/m	2.34	2.32	2.33	0.014	0.7-3.0
TDS, mg/l	945.32	762.54	853.93	129.24	450–2000
TSS, mg/l	67.98	49.65	58.82	12.96	50
DO, mg/l	0.0045	0.0003	0.002	0.002	5–6
BOD, mg/l	207.3	167.0	185.15	28.49	60
COD, mg/l	288	246.43	266.22	27.98	200
Na ⁺ , mg/l	44.5	33.3	38.9	7.92	200
Ca ²⁺ , mg/l	74.8	64.2	69.5	7.49	400
Mg ²⁺ , mg/l	54.3	49.6	51.95	3.32	60
K ⁺ , mg/l	66.33	51.44	58.84	10.46	< 10
HCO ₃ ⁻ , mg/l	40.5	35.3	37.9	3.676	1.5–8.5
CO ₃ ²⁻ , mg/l	24.5	19.4	21.95	3.606	< 10
NH ₄ -N, mg/l	14.25	7.56	10.91	4.73	-
NO ₃ -N, mg/l	11.60	9.43	10.52	1.53	5–30
TN, mg/l	29.6	24.78	27.19	3.408	5–30
P, mg/l	9.43	8.64	9.04	0.56	< 10
SAR	4.988	4.414	4.701	0.794	3–9
ESP %	6.538	5.538	5.763	1.096	-
DO = dissolved oxygen, TDS = total dissolved solid, TSS = total suspended solid, EC = electrical conductivity, BOD = biological oxygen demand, COD = chemical oxygen demand, per.limit = permissible limits, SAR = sodium adsorption ratio and ESP = exchangeable sodium percentage					

The mean ion concentrations in wastewater at both sample collection sites were compared by t-tests at a confidence level of 95%. Among the two sites, wastewater samples taken from the initial points and final points showed significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$), and this variation might be due to natural processes of water.

3.2. Impacts of Municipal Wastewater on Soil Physical Properties

At wastewater irrigation sites, there were no significant differences in soil moisture under MWWI < 10 and MWWI > 10. Both nonirrigated and irrigated farmland showed significant differences at the $p < 0.05$ level in the soil moisture results (Table 2). This variation might be due to the higher contents of organic matter generated from municipal wastewater. The presented result was in agreement with [12, 13 & 14] variation in bulk density, total porosity and soil moisture contents between the irrigated and control sites, which might be due to the addition of organic matter in irrigated farmland (Table 2). In other studies, the moisture contents of the wastewater irrigated plots were consistently higher than those of the control [15].

Clay contents were highly and significantly different between the nonirrigated and irrigated farmland. The highest clay content (26%) was recorded for nonirrigated farmland, whereas the lowest value (23%) was recorded for wastewater-irrigated farmland (Table 2). On the other hand, the lowest clay content was recorded at the farmland irrigated for more than ten years. The dominant soil particle in the study sites was sand followed by clay and silt. Silt content was the least at all sites.

Table 2
Selected physical properties of the soil at the study sites

Descriptive Statics	PSD %			BD (g/cm ³)	TP %	Moisture %
	Sand	Silt	Clay			
Control	56	18	26 ^a	1.56 ^a	41.1 ^C	10.86 ^b
MWWI < 10	61	15	24 ^b	1.28 ^b	51.7 ^b	24.98 ^a
MWWI > 10	58	19	23 ^c	1.15 ^b	56.6 ^a	26.2 ^a
Mean	58.33	17.3	24.33	1.33	46.4	20.68
SD (±)	2.52	2.08	1.53	0.21	5.3	8.53
SL	NS	NS	***	***	***	*
MWWI > 10 = municipal wastewater irrigated for more than ten years, MWWI < 10 = brewery, municipal wastewater irrigated for ten years, PSD = particle size distribution, SL = significance level NS = not significant; *=significance at $p < 0.05$; **=significance at $p < 0.01$; ***=significance at the $p < 0.001$ level.						

3.3. Impacts of Municipal Wastewater on Soil Chemical Properties

The results in Table 3 indicated that all soil chemical properties were slightly affected by the wastewater. At the study sites, the soil reaction was slightly alkaline in municipal wastewater-irrigated farmland. A higher pH value (7.74) was recorded with nonirrigated (control) farmland, and the lowest pH value (7.34) was recorded with irrigated farmland with municipal wastewater for less than ten years (Table 3). The variation in soil pH might be due to the presence of a high content of relatively ammonium in the wastewater

(Table 1), resulting in its accumulation in the soil. This suggestion was supported by the finding of [16] that soil pH decreased with wastewater irrigation due to the oxidation of organic compounds and nitrification of ammonium. Nitrification of this ammonium would serve as a source of hydrogen ions, which may lead to a decrease in the soil pH [13].

The total soluble salt content expressed as electrical conductivity (EC) is an important indicator of soil health. It affects crop yields, plant nutrient availability, and the activity of soil microorganisms, which influence key soil processes [17]. The electrical conductivity of the soils was significantly influenced by the wastewater. The highest electrical conductivity (0.0328 dS/m) was recorded for municipal wastewater for more than ten years (MWWI > 10) of irrigation, whereas the lowest value of EC was recorded for the nonirrigated site (0.062 dS/m) (Table 3). At nonirrigated and irrigated farmland, the differences in soil electrical conductivity were significant ($P < 0.001$).

Wastewater irrigated farmland; soil electrical conductivity increased with increasing years of wastewater application. Additionally, the soil EC significantly increased at MWWI < 10 than at the MWWI > 10 sites. The increase in EC (electrical conductivity) of the soil due to irrigation with wastewater is mainly attributed to the high level of TDS (total dissolved solids) of the wastewater that would accumulate in the soil with continuous wastewater application. This result is in harmony [18 & 19].

Table 3
pH, EC (dS/m), and heavy metal (mg/kg) concentration of soil at different irrigation sites

Descriptive statistics	Parameter						
	pH	EC	Cu	Zn	Cd	Pb	Cr
Control	7.74	0.062 ^c	5.6	6.85	1.67 ^c	0.29 ^b	0.54
MWWI < 10	7.34	0.230 ^b	3.2	5.06	2.5 ^b	0.57 ^a	0.36
MWWI > 10	7.68	0.328 ^a	4.53	8.43	3.33 ^a	0.57 ^a	0.54
SD (±)	0.22	0.13	1.20	1.67	0.83	0.16	0.10
SL	NS	***	NS	NS	***	*	NS
FAO Perm. Limits	6.5	< 10	1–12	12–60	0.02–0.5	0.3–10	0.002-0.2
MWWI > 10 = municipal wastewater irrigated for more than ten years, MWWI < 10 = municipal wastewater irrigation for 10 years, SL = significance level NS = not significant; *=significant at $p < 0.05$; **=significant at $p < 0.01$; ***=significant at the $p < 0.001$ level.							

3.4. Total heavy metals

The concentrations of Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb and Cr at MWWI > 10 (municipal wastewater irrigated land for more than ten years) were 8.43, 4.53, 3.33, 0.57 and 0.54 mg/kg, respectively (Fig. 2). However, at the nonirrigated site, the recorded results were 6.85, 5.6, 1.67, 0.29 and 0.54 mg/kg for Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb and Cr, respectively. The concentrations of Cd and Pb were significantly higher at irrigated sites than in nonirrigated areas. This might be due to the release of these elements by the fermentation process and their entry into the soil with

irrigation water. According to [20 & 21]. A large volume of waste water generation that is concentrated in metals is high in city, but use of treated waste water irrigation is low in developing countries.

3.5. Organic matter (OM) and soil organic carbon (SOC)

According to [22], organic matter is widely regarded as a vital component of soil fertility because of its role in physical, chemical and biological processes to supply plants with nutrients and to help soil maintain moisture. At wastewater irrigation sites, the organic matter content (OM) was positively and significantly influenced by wastewater. The highest organic matter (OM) content (2.69%) was observed for the soil irrigated for more than ten years, whereas the lowest value of OM was recorded for the nonirrigated sites (Table 4). This might be due to the release of organic compounds by the brewing process and their entry into the soil with irrigation water. The present study was consistent with the finding [23], who reported that the soil organic matter content (OM) increased with wastewater irrigation application and depended on the period of application. According to [24], the organic matter content in the soil also increased as the number of irrigations increased, showing a benefit to the soil. The organic carbon contents of the soil were not significantly influenced by wastewater irrigation (Table 4). Soil organic carbon (SOC) is the most important indicator of soil quality and plays a major role in nutrient cycling [25].

Table 4
SOM (%), SOC (%), AVP (mg/kg) and TN (%) concentrations at different sites.

Descriptive Statistics	Parameters			
	SOM	SOC	AVP	TN
Control	1.35 ^c	0.999	9.71 ^c	0.19
MWWI < 10	1.72 ^b	0.781	11.27 ^b	0.20
MWWI > 10	2.69 ^a	1.562	13.10 ^a	0.21
SD (±)	0.60	0.40	1.69	0.005
SL	**	NS	***	NS
SOM = soil organic matter, SOC = soil organic carbon, AVP = available phosphorus, TN = total nitrogen SL = significant level NS = not significant; *=significant at p < 0.05; **=significant at p < 0.01 and ***=significant at p < 0.001 level.				

3.6. Concentration of different MWWI Chemicals

The soil AVP was significantly different (p < 0.001) in nonirrigated (control), municipal wastewater irrigation farmland for less than ten years (MWWI < 10) and municipal wastewater irrigated farmland for more than ten years (MWWI > 10).

The CEC was significantly different along the nonirrigated and irrigated farmland, as shown in Fig. 3. The highest CEC value was recorded in municipal wastewater-irrigated farmland for more than ten years, and the

lowest value was observed in nonirrigated land. CEC was significantly higher in MWWI > 10 than in MWWI < 10 and nonirrigated (control) plants.

3.7. Pearson's Correlation between Chemical Properties of Wastewater and

Wastewater Irrigated Soils

The relationships between different chemical properties and ion concentrations of wastewater and wastewater irrigated soils were analyzed by Pearson's correlation coefficient. A high correlation coefficient (near + 1 or -1) means a good relation between two variables, and zero means no relationship between them at a significance level of 0.05%. $r > 0.7$ indicates a strong correlation, whereas the r value is between 0.5 and 0.7, and it shows a moderate correlation between the two parameters.

Table 5. Pearson's correlation between chemical properties of wastewater and soils of different sites
Wastewater parameters

	PH	EC	Ca	Mg	Na	K	TN	AVP	SAR	ESP
PH	1									
EC	0.994*	1								
Ca	0.963	0.927	1							
Mg	0.999**	0.997*	0.952	1						
Na	0.930	0.965	0.795	0.943	1					
K	0.995*	0.977	0.985	0.990*	0.887	1				
TN	0.999**	0.989	0.971	0.997*	0.917	0.998	1			
AVP	-0.914	-0.954	-0.771	-0.929	-0.999**	-0.868	-0.900	1		
SAR	0.999**	0.994*	0.961	0.999**	0.931	0.994*	0.999**	-0.916	1	
ESP	0.999**	0.988	0.974	0.996*	0.912	0.998**	0.999**	-0.895	0.999**	1
EC = electrical conductivity; TN = total nitrogen; AVP = available phosphorus; SAR = sodium adsorption ratio and ESP = exchangeable sodium percentage.										
** Correlation is significant at 0.001 and * correlation is significant at 0.05										

The results in Table 5 indicated that there was a significant and positive correlation between pH, Mg, TN, SAR and ESP ($P \leq 0.001$) and that these parameters were significantly negatively correlated with available phosphorus and significantly correlated with pH, EC and K^+ ($p \leq 0.05$).

4. Conclusions And Recommendation

Municipal wastewater is dependent on original sources of composition, and in this line, high concentrations of MWW can affect soil properties and change the composition of elements in soil. The soil physicochemical analysis showed that BD significantly decreased wastewater irrigation by 17.94% and 26.23% for less than ten years, which is taken as the benchmark and wastewater irrigation for more than ten years, respectively, in irrigated farmland compared with nonirrigated farmland. Concentrations of Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb and Cr were higher at the waste irrigation site than at the nonirrigated site. The most effective way to reduce/eliminate the impact of municipal wastewater on the soil is to develop and implement an effective wastewater management plan. Further investigations should be encouraged to address municipal wastewater impacts on plants and farmers. In general, the city municipality office and government should create a wastewater management system, establish acceptable levels or criteria related to chemicals, rule and regulation of wastewater treatment systems and recycle wastewater for sustainable use of resources and environmental protection.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

The authors I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Haramaya University Laboratory and Harari Regional state for facilitating my field and laboratory work.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this study. The authors I would like to express my deepest gratitude Haramaya University Laboratory and Harari Region state for facilitating my field and laboratory work

Author information

Tasisa Temesgen is Lecturer, Researcher and Reviewer at Natural Resources Management program, Haramaya University. He is specialized in Irrigation Agronomy from Haramaya University, Ethiopia. His research interest is on Irrigation management, Soil and Water conservation, Irrigation Urban Agriculture. Tasisa has published several internationally peer reviewed papers.

Author Contribution

Tasisa Temesgen contributed to the supervisor, proposal writing, experiment design, laboratory work, fieldwork, data collection, data analysis and interpretation using (R software version 3.6.3) and writing the manuscript.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declared that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ibrahim M. Makhadmeh, Seba F. Gharaiebeh and Ammar A. Albalasmeh. (2021). Impact of Irrigation with Treated Domestic Wastewater on Squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) Fruit and Seed under Semi Arid Conditions. *Horticulturae* 2021, 7, 226. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7080226>
2. Awoke Guadie, Asamin Yesigat, Shetie Gatew, Abebe Worku, Wenzong Liu, Mengist Minale, Aijie Wang. (2021). Effluent quality and reuse potential of urban wastewater treated with aerobic-anoxic system: A practical illustration for environmental contamination and human health risk assessment, *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, Volume 40, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101891>.
3. Rasheed, F.; Zafar, Z.; Waseem, Z.A.; Rafay, M.; Abdullah, M.; Salam, M.M.A.; Mohsin, M.; Khan, W.R. (2020). Phytoaccumulation of Zn, Pb, and Cd in *Conocarpus lancifolius* irrigated with wastewater: Does physiological response influence heavy metal uptake? *Int. J. Phytoremediat.* 22, 287–294. [CrossRef]
4. [4] Quist-Jensen, C.A.; Macedonio, F.; Drioli, E. (2015). Membrane technology for water production in agriculture: Desalination and wastewater reuse. *Desalination* 2015, 364, 17–32. [CrossRef]
5. Farhadkhani, M.; Nikaeen, M.; Yadegarfar, G.; Hatamzadeh, M.; Pourmohammadbagher, H.; Sahbaei, Z.; Rahmani, H.R. (2018). Effects of irrigation with secondary treated wastewater on physicochemical and microbial properties of soil and produce safety in a semiarid area. *Water Res.* 144, 356–364. [CrossRef]
6. Abbruzzini, T.F.; Silva, C.A.; de Andrade, D.A.; de Oliveira Carneiro, W.J. (2014). Influence of digestion methods on the recovery of Iron, Zinc, Nickel, Chromium, Cadmium and Lead contents in 11 organic residues. *Rev. Bras. Ciência Solo* 2014, 38, 166–176. [CrossRef]
7. FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization), 2008. Soil Bulletin 55, Guidelines: Land Evaluation for Irrigated Agriculture. Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. Roma, Italy.
8. Walkley A and Black A. (1934). An examination of degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method, *Soil Science*, (37): 29–37, View at Google Scholar.

9. Boyadgiev, T. and Sayegh, A.H. 1992. Forms of evolution of gypsum in arid soils and soil parent materials. *Pedologie*, XL11-2, pp.171-182.
10. [10] Okalebo, J.R., Gathua, K.W. and Woomer, P.L. (2002) *Laboratory Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis: A Working Manual*. TSBF, Nairobi.
11. US Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954) *Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils*. US Department of Agriculture Handbook 60, Washington, DC.
12. Fikret Ustaoglu, Beyhan Taş, Yalçın Tepe and Halim Topaldemir, (2021) Comprehensive assessment of water quality and associated health risk by using physicochemical quality indices and multivariate analysis in Terme River, Turkey, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*
13. Elsiddig Eldaw, Tao Huang, Adam Khalifa Mohamed, Yahaya Mahama. (2021). Classification of groundwater suitability for irrigation purposes using a comprehensive approach based on the AHP and GIS techniques in North Kurdufan Province, Sudan, *Applied Water Science* 11:126
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-021-01443-z>
14. [14]Mbagwu JSC. (1992). Improving the productivity of a degraded Ultisol in Nigera using organic and inorganic amendment. Part 2. Change in physical properties, *Bioresource technology* 42.
15. Magesan GN. (2001). Change in soil physical properties after irrigation of two forested soils with municipal wastewater. *New Zealand Journal of forestry science* 31(2): 180;195.
16. Mohammad, M.J. and Mazahreh, N. (2003) Changes in Soil Fertility Parameters in Response to Irrigation of Forage Crops with Secondary Treated Wastewater. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 34, 1281-1294. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120020444>
17. Hazelton, P. and Murphy, B. (2007). *Interpreting Soil Test Results: What do all the Numbers mean?*. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, 2007. xi+152 pp. Aus\$59.95, paperback. ISBN 978-0-643092-25-9.
18. Kiran DH. Ladwani D, Krishna S, Vivek, D. Ramteke S. (2012). Impact Wastewater Irrigation on Soil Properties and Crop Yield. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 2(10): 164 -172.
19. Vieira C, Morais S, Ramos S, Delerue-Matos C and Oliveira, M.B.P.P. (2011). Mercury, cadmium, lead and arsenic levels in three pelagic fish species from the Atlantic Ocean: intra- and inter specific variability and human health risks for consumption. *Journal of Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 49: 923-932.
20. [20] Jomova K and Valko M. (2010). Advances in metal-induced oxidative stress and human disease. *Journal of Toxicology*, 283: 65–87.
21. Berglund S, Davis RD and Hernite P. (1984). *Utilization of sewage sludge on land: rates of application and long-term effects of metals*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing, 216p.
22. Rezapour S. and Samadi A. (2011). *Nutr Cycl Agroecosys* 269.
23. Mohammad Rusan, M.J., S. Hinnawi, and L. Rousan. 2007. Long term effect of wastewater irrigation of forage crops on soil and plant quality parameters. *Desalination* 215:143–152.
24. Vassanda Coumar Mounissamy, Raghbendra Singh Parihar, Anil Kumar Dwivedi, Jayanta Kumar Saha, Selladurai Rajendiran, Brij Lal Lakaria & Ashok Kumar Patra. (2021). Effects of Co-composting of Municipal Solid Waste and Pigeon Pea Biochar on Heavy Metal Mobility in Soil and Translocation to

Leafy Vegetable Spinach. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* volume 106, pages 536–544 (2021)

25. Rattan R.K., Datta S.P., Chhonkar P.K. Suribabu K. Singh A.K. (2005). Long-term impact of irrigation with sewage effluents on heavy metal content in soils, crops and groundwater—a case study. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 109 (2005) 310–322

Figures

Figure 1

Study Area Map

Figure 2

The concentration of heavy metals at the study sites.

Figure 3

Concentration of different MWWI chemicals

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Appendex.docx](#)