Best Practices for Human Milk Collection for COVID-19 Research

Michelle K McGuire (✉ smcguire@uidaho.edu)

University of Idaho <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8214-2229>

Antti Seppo (✉ antti_seppo@urmc.rochester.edu)

University of Rochester

Ameena Goga

University of Pretoria

Danilo Buonsenso

Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS

Maria Carmen Collado

Institute of Agrochemistry and Food Technology-National Research Council

Sharon M. Donovan

University of Illinois

Janis A. Muller

Ulm University Medical Center

Gaston Ofman

University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center

Michele Monroy-Valle

Unidad de Investigación en Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutricional

Deborah L. O'Connor

University of Toronto

Ryan M. Pace

University of Idaho

Philippe Van de Perre

University of Montpellier

Method Article

Keywords: human milk, breastmilk, COVID-19, SARS-CoV2, methods, collection, protocol, vertical transmission

Posted Date: October 2nd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-84403/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
[Read Full License](#)

Best Practices for Human Milk Collection for COVID-19 Research

Michelle K. McGuire, PhD,¹ Antti Seppo, PhD,² Aameena Goga, MBChB and PhD,³ Danilo Buonsenso, MD⁴, María Carmen Collado, PhD,⁵ Sharon M. Donovan, PhD RD,⁶ Janis A. Müller, Dr.rer.nat.,⁷ Gaston Ofman, MD,⁸ Michele Monroy-Valle, MSc RD,⁹ Deborah L O'Connor, PhD RD,¹⁰ Ryan M. Pace, PhD,¹ Philippe Van de Perre, MD PhD¹¹

¹Margaret Ritchie School of Family and Consumer Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, USA

²Department of Pediatrics, Division of Allergy and Immunology, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, NY, USA

³Health Systems Research Unit and HIV Prevention Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa; Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

⁴Department of Woman and Child Health and Public Health, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy; Dipartimento di Scienze Biotechnologiche di Base, Cliniche Intensivologiche e Perioperatorie, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, 00168 Rome, Italy

⁵Institute of Agrochemistry and Food Technology-National Research Council (IATA-CSIC), Valencia, Spain

⁶Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA

⁷Institute of Molecular Virology, Ulm University Medical Center, Ulm, Germany

⁸Division of Newborn Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA

⁹Unidad de Investigación en Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutricional, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacia Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala, Guatemala City, Guatemala; School of Public Health, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada

¹⁰Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

¹¹Pathogenesis and Control of Chronic Infections, INSERM, University of Montpellier, Etablissement Français du Sang, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Running title: Collecting Human Milk for COVID-19 Research

Word count for abstract: 232

Word count for text: 3500

Number of tables: 3

Number of figures: 0

Key words: human milk | breastmilk | COVID-19 | methods | collection | pathogen

For correspondence

Dr. Michelle McGuire
Margaret Ritchie School of Family and Consumer Sciences
University of Idaho
875 Perimeter Drive MS 3183
Moscow, ID 83844-3183
Fax: 208-225-5951
Phone: 208-885-6698

40 e-mail: smguire@uidaho.edu

41

42 **Sources of Support:** There was no committed funding for this project.

43 **Abstract**

44 In addition to providing life-giving nutrients and other substances to the breastfed infant, human milk can also represent
45 a vehicle of pathogen transfer. As such, when an infectious disease outbreak, epidemic, or pandemic occurs –
46 particularly when it is associated with a novel pathogen – the question will naturally arise as to whether the pathogen
47 can be transmitted via breastfeeding. Until high-quality data are generated to answer this question, abandonment of
48 breastfeeding due to uncertainty can result. The COVID-19 pandemic, which was in full swing at the time this document
49 was written, is an excellent example of this scenario. During these times of uncertainty, it is critical for investigators
50 conducting research to assess the possible transmission of pathogens via milk, whether by transfer through the
51 mammary gland or contamination from respiratory droplets, skin, breast pumps, and milk containers, and/or close
52 contact between mother and infant. To promote the most rigorous science, it is critical to outline optimal methods for
53 milk collection, handling, storage, and analysis in these situations, and investigators should openly share their methods
54 in published materials. Otherwise, the risks of inconsistent test results from pre-analytical and analytical variation, false
55 positives, and false negatives are unacceptably high and the ability to provide public health guidance poor. Here we
56 provide “best practices” for collecting human milk samples for COVID-19 research with the intention that this will also
57 be a useful guide for future pandemics.

58 **Background**

59 Human milk is a complex emulsion consisting of a vast array of constituents providing not only nutrition but also
60 protection from pathogens. Concentrations of these constituents vary within an individual, across the lactation period,
61 and even within a feed. Although we do not understand all the factors driving this variability, we know a substantial
62 amount regarding how some factors influence concentrations of some milk constituents. For instance, total lipid content
63 of milk is affected by time postpartum, time of day, time since last feeding, portion of an individual feed (fore- vs.
64 hindmilk), maternal body fat level, and in some cases maternal diet.¹⁻⁴ To complicate matters, lipids and cells can adhere
65 to some types of collection containers which can impact research results,⁵ and some milk constituents (e.g., viral
66 particles and RNA) can be entrapped in the lipid fraction or other compartments such as exosomes.⁶⁻¹⁰ Researchers
67 studying human milk composition should, therefore, consider these factors when designing their protocols for collecting

human milk to study its composition. For example, collecting a foremilk sample in the morning using an inappropriate collection container may easily lead to inaccurate quantification of milk's lipophilic compounds. Another example is host RNA which, although in relatively high concentrations in milk, is quickly degraded by intrinsic RNases;^{3,11} as such, milk must be immediately processed or snap frozen for accurate quantification of host RNA. For other constituents (e.g., iron and lactose), concentrations in milk are less prone to variation;^{12,13} in these situations, sample collection and storage protocols can be less stringent. Milk composition may even vary between breasts – especially regarding immune factors; this fact has been particularly important in the study of HIV transmission via breastfeeding.¹⁴ For other milk components, such as microbiota, there exists very little research characterizing modifiable factors (e.g., time of day, time within feed) related to variation; in these situations, best practices and standardization (albeit not optimization) are typically employed to ensure that samples are collected in a way that reduces risk of contamination and allows data to be compared across studies.

In summary, because human milk composition is highly variable within and among women and can be influenced by many biological and methodological factors, it is fundamentally important that researchers consider and report core aspects of milk collection, handling, and storage when studying it. These aspects include expression mode (electric pump or manual expression), time of day, time postpartum, complete vs. partial expression (and if the latter, whether foremilk or hindmilk was collected), breast preparation (was the breast cleaned and if so with what), collection container material (and whether it was sterile), and storage conditions (e.g., time until refrigeration or freezing, temperature and duration of storage). In addition, sometimes chemical preservatives are utilized, and these should be carefully evaluated as to whether they might impact the researcher's ability to detect the milk constituent of interest.

The primary purpose of this document is to, using evidence gleaned from the literature and expert opinion, delineate a “best practices” framework related to human milk collection, handling, and storage for COVID-19 research related to breastfeeding. Although we recognize that each microbe is unique, it was our hope that this framework will also be applicable to other pathogenic RNA viruses, DNA viruses, bacteria, and maybe even other organismal taxa. In addition to including information related to the study of presence/absence and viability of these types of pathogens, we provide information on how one might best collect milk for the study of immunoglobulins, cytokines and other soluble

factors, and immune cells as these components are typically studied in this context. Investigators collecting milk for research during an outbreak, epidemic, or pandemic are urged to consider this framework and best practices both in designing their methods and in reporting their findings. Depending on the research question, not all elements of the framework may be relevant; nor may each element be feasible given the patient population and environmental context. However, it is critical for the interpretation of results and to guarantee comparability of findings across studies that key elements of milk collection, handling, and storage be described in published materials. It is noteworthy that this framework and associated best practices will undoubtedly shift as new data emerge related to the nature of the pathogen and how collection and storage conditions do or do not impact the ability to detect and quantify them. Indeed, "best practices" will need to be periodically revised to reflect the evolving state of the science.

Basic Working Definitions Related to Human Milk Research

To help investigators navigate the somewhat unique vocabulary of human milk and lactation research, selected terms have been briefly defined and are provided in **Table 1**. Many of these definitions are adapted from those provided in LactaPedia,¹⁵ which is an excellent resource in this respect.

Framework

Here, we briefly review the literature describing whether or not selected factors known to impact the concentration and/or stability of some milk components impact a set of components particularly pertinent to research related to potential transmission of pathogens from mother to infant via human milk and/or breastfeeding. These components and attributes include viral DNA and RNA, bacterial DNA, microbial viability, immunoglobulins, cytokines and other soluble components, and immune cells. This information is important because it informs what should be considered, controlled for, or at least reported when human milk is being collected, handled, and stored for this type of research. **Table 2** provides a summary of these factors and provides guidance as to whether they should be controlled for and/or reported in studies related to transmission of a pathogen in milk. It is noteworthy that the state of the science for many of these factors is insufficient, and additional research is urgently needed to fill these knowledge gaps.

Time postpartum Whereas little is known regarding whether time postpartum *per se* impacts viral RNA, DNA, and viral viability, some evidence suggests that bacterial profiles and load in milk change over time and particularly

118 between colostrum and mature milk.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In addition, myriad studies have documented an effect of time postpartum on
119 concentrations of immunoglobulins, cytokines soluble factors, and immune cell populations.¹⁹⁻²¹ For instance, IgA
120 concentrations in milk decline precipitously from birth to 2 weeks postpartum;²² macrophages, lymphocytes, and
121 lactoferrin continue to decrease through 3 months postpartum;²³ and lysozyme increases.²⁰ Immune cell concentrations
122 also increase during involution, which is generally associated with later times after parturition,²³ and during mastitis,
123 which is most common in the first several weeks of lactation.^{24,25} As such, an attempt should be made to standardize
124 and/or control for time postpartum and breast health (subclinical/clinical mastitis versus none) when comparing data
125 across cohorts (e.g., infected vs. noninfected breastfeeding women), and time postpartum when milk was collected
126 should always be reported in publications. In addition, because composition of milk can be affected by premature
127 delivery, whether the infant was born premature or full-term should be noted.

128 Time of day There is very little published literature rigorously investigating if there is diurnal variation in
129 concentrations of bacterial and viral RNA and DNA, bacterial and viral viability, immunoglobulins, and cytokines and
130 other soluble factors in milk. Limited data, however, suggest that antibody and cytokine concentrations may vary over
131 the course of a day.^{26,27} A variety of hormones (many of which are known to impact immune function) also vary
132 throughout the day and night.²⁸ Cell content of human milk may also influenced by the circadian cycle of cortisol, but
133 very little is known about this.²⁹ As such, if collecting repeated milk samples from a woman over time, researchers might
134 consider standardizing the time of day the samples were collected. Alternatively, researchers could employ the “gold
135 standard” approach of collecting complete breast expressions for a 24-hour period and analyzing a representative
136 (composite) sample. If this 24-hour collection methodology is not used, researchers should consider recording time of
137 sample collection in the metadata.

138 Foremilk vs hindmilk There is substantial evidence that the lipid content of milk is lower in foremilk than in
139 hindmilk,^{2,30-32} and that this is likely related to the time since last feeding.³³ Whereas some studies have documented
140 lower protein content in foremilk than hindmilk,³⁴⁻³⁶ others found no difference³³ or the opposite.^{37,38} Limited evidence
141 also suggests that cell content is higher in hindmilk than foremilk.³⁹ To our knowledge, there are no published data using
142 molecular methods relating foremilk vs. hindmilk to variation in detectable microbial communities (or their viability),

143 although Rodríguez-Cruz and colleagues found no difference in microbial profiles between whole milk and skim milk.³⁸
144 Nonetheless, if the microbe of interest is lipophilic it is possible that it might be found in lower abundance if only
145 foremilk is collected. Because of the potential for differential milk composition within a feed (expression) and the dearth
146 of data related to this factor and microbes, antibodies and other soluble factors, and cells in milk, researchers should
147 report whether and how a complete expression was collected, and if not whether foremilk or hindmilk was primarily
148 obtained, and time since last feed.

149 Expression mode Breast pump parts (including tubing) can be contaminated. Consequently, if breast pumps are
150 used, they must be thoroughly disinfected and rinsed to remove all viral/bacterial DNA and RNA and disinfectant. Since
151 foremilk is generally lower in fat than hindmilk, expression mode (hand versus other and complete expression versus
152 partial) might also impact ability to detect a pathogen if it compartmentalizes to the lipid fraction of milk. Nonetheless,
153 Rodríguez-Cruz and colleagues found no difference in microbial profiles between collected via manual expression and
154 that collected with a pump.³⁹ If hand expression is employed, subjects should thoroughly wash their hands and/or wear
155 clean gloves. Researchers should report if milk was collected using a manual pump, electric pump (and type), or hand
156 expression. Importantly, both researchers and study participants should follow recommended infection prevention and
157 control measures during the collection and handling of the milk. Depending on the cultural norm, women may be
158 comfortable using breast pumps or using hand expression to express milk; but if not, instruction should be provided by a
159 qualified lactation consultant or personnel with suitable expertise.

160 Inter-breast variation and inflammation For some milk constituents there can be inter-breast variation, and
161 mammary inflammation is known to drive some of these differences. For instance, levels of HIV RNA can differ in milk
162 produced by each breast,⁴⁰ largely due to differences in mammary inflammatory status.⁴¹ Almost nothing is known about
163 inter-gland difference in other types of pathogens. Pannaraj and colleagues compared milk microbiomes between
164 healthy human breasts and found no difference;⁴² although studies of dairy cows clearly show that mastitic and healthy
165 glands produce milk with different microbiomes.⁴³ It is likely that whether there are differences in milk microbiome
166 between mammary glands can depend on mammary health. Because of potential differences in microbial proteins in
167 milk produced by each breast (likely due to inflammation), researchers should ideally collect milk from both breasts; if

168 that is not possible, they should aim to evaluate mammary inflammation either visually (e.g, redness) or chemically in
169 the milk sample (e.g., Na/K ratios, cytokines, somatic cell count).

170 Breast preparation Depending on whether the pathogen is primarily blood-borne, respiratory-borne, or
171 environmental, it is possible that some pathogens may be present on the breast skin. Nonetheless, whether the breast
172 should or should not be cleaned is related to the question at hand. If the question relates to whether the pathogen is
173 incorporated into milk in the mammary gland, then the breast should be cleaned prior to milk collection. If the question
174 relates to whether the infant might be exposed to the pathogen through breastfeeding or the consumption of pumped
175 milk, then the breast should not be cleaned. If the question relates to antibody or cytokine content of milk, breast
176 cleaning is irrelevant. Researchers should design their collection methods to suit their research question and describe
177 whether/how the breast was cleaned if it was.

178 Collection containers Some milk components (e.g., lipids and cells) can adhere to certain materials common to
179 collection containers. Others (e.g., IgA) have been demonstrated to be stable in both glass and plastic containers.⁴⁴
180 Given the inadequate state of the science regarding the importance (or lack-thereof) of collection container materials to
181 studying microbes in milk, however, there is no recommendation as to what sort of collection container should be used.
182 This information should be reported, however, in any publication.

183 Temperature and storage Refrigeration, freezing, thawing, and application of heat can all affect the stability of
184 many milk components.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ In addition, some subpopulations of cells can only be isolated from fresh milk, as they are
185 destroyed or altered by freezing and/or thawing. Conversely, HIV RNA levels have been shown to be remarkably stable
186 in whole milk after three freeze-thaw cycles and for up to 30 hours at room temperature,⁴⁸ and some data suggest that
187 milk can be stored at 4 °C for up to 48 hours or at -20 °C or -80 °C for at least 6 months without losing its immunological
188 properties.⁴⁹ For bacterial DNA, Doyle et al. found very little impact of refrigeration temperature (2, 4, or 6 °C) and
189 storage duration (up to 96 hours) on bacterial profiles (via 16S rRNA analysis) in bovine milk.⁵⁰ There are similar findings
190 for human milk.^{51,52} Although findings are somewhat mixed,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ there is substantial evidence that some soluble factors
191 and characteristics (e.g., antioxidant capacity) in milk can be influenced by refrigeration and freezing; whereas others

(e.g., HMO) are extraordinarily stable.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ It has been shown that prolonged storage at 4°C reduces the infectious titer of hepatitis C and Zika virus that has been spiked into milk.⁵⁹⁻⁶¹

To date, little is known about the stability of SARS-CoV-2 during cold storage, although work from our group suggests that SARS-CoV-2 RNA in milk may be stable for 2 days at 4 °C and 7 days at -20 °C and can withstand several freeze/thaw cycles.^{62,63} It is unclear what the impact of freezing and thawing on infectivity would be. However, Walker and colleagues⁶⁴ provide evidence that Holder pasteurization (but not cold storage) inactivates SARS-COV2; Unger et al.⁶⁵ and Chambers et al.⁶⁶ have also shown that Holder pasteurization inactivates SARS-CoV-2 and the former that holding milk at room temperature for 30 minutes also reduces infectious viral titers.

Because they can be destroyed and/or inactivated by temperature changes, care should also be taken to avoid repeat free thaw cycles of milk collected to measure the antibodies to SARS-CoV-2.^{67,68} In considering the cytopathic effects of viruses (e.g. SARS-CoV-2, Ebola), researchers need to be aware that the multitude of immune components in human milk with significant antiviral activity may immediately impact cytopathic activity when milk is held a room temperature or 4 °C.^{64,69}

In summary, researchers are encouraged to consider whether the milk component of interest is stable under the available storage conditions and, like all important factors, report the temperature at which milk was stored prior to analysis. If in doubt, it is always safest to analyze fresh milk or freeze it at the lowest temperature possible as soon as possible and keep it frozen until it is analyzed. Creating aliquots of the sample is often advised to avoid freeze-thaw cycles of samples.

Milk fraction When detecting SARS-CoV-2 RNA via qPCR in spiked milk samples, defatted milk yielded better recovery rates than did whole milk.⁶³ Conversely, up to one-third of HIV RNA in milk produced by infected women may be sequestered in the lipid fraction.⁷ Rodríguez-Cruz and colleagues found no difference in bacterial profiles between whole milk and skim milk.³⁹

Best Practices for Milk Collection and Storage

Below, we provide “best practices” for research purposes in various settings, recognizing that what is possible, ethical, and desirable depends greatly on the research question, context, and capabilities of each research group as well as maternal and infant factors.

Step 1. Breast Cleaning – If the research question is related to exposure of the infant to the pathogen via the complex process of breastfeeding (“breastfeeding transfer”) or consuming pumped milk, it is unnecessary to clean the breast. However, if the research question is related to whether the pathogen is transmitted through the mammary gland into milk (“milk transfer”), the breast should be thoroughly cleaned prior to milk collection – particularly if the pathogen may be transmitted into milk via respiratory droplets. After donning face covering and a glove on the hand which will clean the breast, research personnel or the mother (depending on cultural acceptability) should clean the “study breast” thoroughly with soap and water or aseptic wipes. The purpose of this step is to physically remove skin pathogens.

Step 2. Milk Collection – Using one of the methods detailed below, collect milk from the chosen breast. Depending on which milk constituent is of interest, this milk can be foremilk, hindmilk, or a combination, thereof. If, for some reason, not enough milk can be expressed from the chosen breast, it is generally acceptable to combine milk from both breasts and document how the composite sample was created. If this is needed, the “second” breast should be cleaned (as appropriate to the research question) as described in Step 1 prior to collecting milk. The following options *are equally* acceptable.

Option A: Hand expression: With a newly gloved hand, the mother should express the needed volume of milk into the sterile collection container. The goal is to obtain a “clean catch” sample that drips or squirts directly from the nipple into the sterile container.

Option B: Electric or manual pump: Using a sterile or thoroughly cleaned and disinfected pump (including attachments, and tubing), have the mother express the needed amount of milk into the sterile collection container.

Step 3. Milk Partitioning and Storage

238 Option A (preferred): To be used when refrigeration/cold box is available at site and freezer is available in nearby
239 laboratory

- 240 • Place milk immediately in refrigerator, ice, ice box, or cold box. If the research question is related to isolating
241 infectious virus, the sample might need to be snap frozen or analyzed immediately.
- 242 • If possible, aliquot milk; transfer milk using sterile pipet into sterile storage containers.
- 243 • As soon as possible, freeze milk at -20 °C or (preferable) -80 °C.

244
245 Option B: To be used when refrigeration/freezing is not available

- 246 • Within 30 minutes of milk collection, treat milk with appropriate and validated chemical preservative (e.g.,
247 Norgen Biotek Corporation's Milk DNA Preservation and Isolation Kit). Preservatives should have been tested
248 and validated for use with human milk to ensure that they do not destroy or destabilize milk components of
249 interest or interfere with assays. These preservatives likely impact viral/bacterial viability.
- 250 • Store in a cool place, at ambient temperature, or as described in manufacturer's instructions.

251 When milk supply is limited Special care should be taken in situations when milk supply is limited and/or when the
252 infant's health is at risk, for example in the very early postpartum period (colostrum samples) and when the infant is
253 very preterm and/or at risk for developing necrotizing enterocolitis. In these situations, researchers are encouraged to
254 modify the methods described above so that the volume of milk available to the infant is not jeopardized. In general,
255 only very small amounts (typically < 1 mL) of colostrum should be collected. Sufficient milk may sometimes be obtained
256 from a sterile swab to enable testing of SARS-CoV-2 gene targets via RT-qPCR testing.⁷⁰ In many clinical settings, enteral
257 feeds for very preterm or hospitalized infants are prepared in a central milk preparation room in a batch to last 12 to 24
258 hours. Often a small volume of milk that would otherwise be discarded can be collected for research purposes and
259 uniquely reflects what an infant would receive the following day.

260 Ethical considerations All procedures should be approved by local, regional, and/or national ethics boards (as
261 appropriate) to protect participants' rights and ensure that subjects' identities are not linked to resultant data. For
262 instance, samples and data should always be deidentified from subjects' names. Each mother should provide informed
263 consent for milk collection, understand the purpose of the study, and be reassured that samples will be neither used for

other purposes nor sold. Not all the mothers will have the same educational level, so it may be necessary to take extra care when communicating the purpose of the study. Particularly in studies with indigenous populations, researchers must understand how participants may view the collection of human milk and its use for biochemical analysis within the prevailing worldview.

Regarding safety Researchers working directly with infected breastfeeding women should always follow all infection control and safety recommendations put forth by local, national, and international organizations – including the use of masks, gowns, and gloves. In addition, samples should be processed in an appropriate biosafety cabinet, if available.

Checklist for collecting human milk in light of an infectious disease A checklist of important factors that should be considered, documented, and reported when collecting human milk to study potential transmission of a pathogen via breastfeeding is provided in **Table 3**.

Regarding the State of the Science and Urgency

As briefly described in this document, there are myriad gaps in knowledge related to studying the presence/absence of pathogens in human milk; their origin, when they are present; and their ability to be adequately characterized in terms of load and viability. This dearth of knowledge makes quickly assessing risk vs. benefit of breastfeeding during a pandemic difficult. In these situations, we encourage researchers to work collaboratively and quickly to develop specialized protocols as needed and openly share information with other researchers so that accurate answers are gleaned in a timely fashion. To facilitate this, granting agencies are encouraged to make emergency funding available to engaged and qualified research groups, and to facilitate contact between groups in the interest of collaboration.

Author disclosure: The authors have no real or perceived conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgements: We greatly appreciate the careful review of this manuscript by Dr. Aleksandra Wesolowska, Dr. Jennifer Jourkavitch, Melinda Boss, Dr. Melanie Martin, and Dr. Janet Williams.

References

1. Bzikowska A, Czerwonogrodzka-Senczyna A, Weker H, et al. Correlation between human milk composition and maternal nutritional status. *Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig* 2018;69:363-367.
2. Mitoulas LR, Kent JC, Cox DB, et al. Variation in fat, lactose and protein in human milk over 24h and throughout the first year of lactation. *Br J Nutr* 2002;88:29-37.
3. Yahvah KM, Brooker SL, Williams JE, et al. Elevated dairy fat intake in lactating women alters milk lipid and fatty acids without detectable changes in expression of genes related to lipid uptake or synthesis. *Nutr Res* 2015;35:221-228.
4. Yang T, Zhang Y, Ning Y, et al. Breast milk macronutrient composition and the associated factors in urban Chinese mothers. *Chin Med J* 2014;127:1721-1725.
5. Chang YC, Chen CH, Lin MC. The macronutrients in human milk change after storage in various containers. *Pediatr Neonatol* 2012;53:205-209.
6. Admyre C, Johansson SM, Qazi KR, et al. Exosomes with immune modulatory features are present in human breast milk. *J Immunol* 2007;179:1969-1978.
7. Becquart P, Foulongne V, Willumsen J, et al. Quantitation of HIV-1 RNA in breast milk by real time PCR. *J Virol Methods* 2006;133:109-111.
8. Chahar HS, Bao X, Casola A. Exosomes and their role in the life cycle and pathogenesis of RNA viruses. *Viruses* 2015;7:3204-3225.
9. Crenshaw BJ, Gu L, Sims B, et al. Exosome biogenesis and biological function in response to viral infections. *Open Virol J* 2018;12:134-148.
10. Manca S, Upadhyaya B, Mutai E, et al. Milk exosomes are bioavailable and distinct microRNA cargos have unique tissue distribution patterns. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:11321.
11. Lemay DG, Hovey RC, Hartono SR, et al. Sequencing the transcriptome of milk production: milk trumps mammary tissue. *BMC Genomics* 2013;14:872.
12. Allen LH. Maternal micronutrient malnutrition: effects on breast milk and infant nutrition, and priorities for intervention. *SCN News* 1994;11:21-24.
13. Ballard O, Morrow AL. Human milk composition: nutrients and bioactive factors. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2013;60:49-74.
14. Sanosyan A, Rutagwera DG, Molès JP, et al. Increased Epstein-Barr virus in breast milk occurs with subclinical mastitis and HIV shedding. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95(27):e4005.
15. LactaResearch Group. LactaPedia [Internet]. Boss M, Hartmann P, editors. Frauenfeld (CH): Family Larsson-Rosenquist Foundation; 2018. Available from: <https://www.lactapedia.com>.
16. Cabrera-Rubio R, Collado MC, Laitinen K, et al. The human milk microbiome changes over lactation and is shaped by maternal weight and mode of delivery. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;96:544-551.
17. Williams JE, Carrothers JM, Lackey KA, et al. Human milk microbial community structure is relatively stable and related to variations in macronutrient and micronutrient intakes in healthy lactating women. *J Nutr* 2017;147:1739-1748.
18. Khodayar-Pardo P, Mira-Pascual L, Collado MC, et al. Impact of lactation stage, gestational age and mode of delivery on breast milk microbiota. *J Perinatol* 2014;34:599-605.
19. Chollet-Hinton LS, Stuebe AM, Casbas-Hernandez P, et al. Temporal trends in the inflammatory cytokine profile of human breastmilk. *Breastfeed Med* 2014;Dec:530-537.

327 20. Goldman AS, Garza C, Johnson CA, et al. Immunologic factors in human milk during the first year of lactation. *J*
328 *Pediatr* 1982;100:563-567.

329 21. Van de Perre P, Rubbo PA, Viljoen J, et al. HIV-1 reservoirs in breast milk and challenges to elimination of breast-
330 feeding transmission of HIV-1. *Sci Transl Med* 2012;4:143sr3.

331 22. Ogra SS, Ogra PL. Immunologic aspects of human colostrum and milk. I. Distribution characteristics and
332 concentrations of immunoglobulins at different times after the onset of lactation. *J Pediatr* 1978;92:546-549.

333 23. Witkowska-Zimny M, Kaminska-El-Hassan E. Cells of human breast milk. *Cell Mol Biol Lett* 2017;22:11.

334 24. Hughes K, Watson CJ. The Mammary microenvironment in mastitis in humans, dairy ruminants, rabbits and
335 rodents: A one health focus. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2018;23:27-41.

336 25. Willumsen JF, Filteau SM, Coutsoudis A, et al. Breastmilk RNA viral load in HIV infected South African women:
337 effects of subclinical mastitis and infant feeding. *AIDS* 2003;17:407-414.

338 26. Morais TC, Honório-França AC, Silva RR, et al. Temporal fluctuations of cytokine concentrations in human milk. *Biol*
339 *Rhythm Res* 2015;46:811-821,

340 27. Peitersen B, Bohn L, Andersen H. Quantitative determination of immunoglobulins, lysozyme, and certain
341 electrolytes in breast milk during the entire period of lactation, during a 24-hour period, and in milk from the
342 individual mammary gland. *Acta Paediatr* 1975;64:709-17.

343 28. Hahn-Holbrook J, Saxbe D, Bixby C. et al. Human milk as “chrononutrition:” implications for child health and
344 development. *Pediatr Res* 2019;85:936-942.

345 29. Alhussien MN, Dang AK (2018) Diurnal rhythm in the counts and types of milk somatic cells, neutrophil
346 phagocytosis and plasma cortisol levels in Karan Fries cows during different seasons and parity. *Biol Rhythm Res*
347 2018;49:187-199.

348 30. Hall B. Uniformity of human milk. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979;32:304-312.

349 31. Kent J, Mitoulas L, Cregan M, et al. Volume and frequency of breastfeeds and fat content of breastmilk throughout
350 the day. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:e387-e395.

351 32. Khan S, Hepworth AR, Prime DK, et al. Variation in fat, lactose, and protein composition in breast milk over 24
352 hours: associations with infant feeding patterns. *J Hum Lact* 2012;doi: 10.1177/0890334412448841.

353 33. Hassiotou F, Hepworth AR, Williams TM, et al. Breastmilk cell and fat contents respond similarly to removal of
354 breastmilk by the infant. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e78232.

355 34. Bishara R, Dunn MS, Merko SE, et al. Nutrient composition of hindmilk produced by mothers of very low birth
356 weight infants born less than 28 weeks’ gestation. *J Hum Lact* 2008;24:159–67.

357 35. Dorea JG, Horner MR, Bezerra VLV, et al. Variation in major constituents of fore and hindmilk of Brazilian women. *J*
358 *Trop Pediatr* 1982;28:303-305.

359 36. van Sadelhoff J, Mastorakou D, Weenen H, et al. Short communication: Differences in levels of free amino acids
360 and total protein in human foremilk and hindmilk. *Nutrients* 2018;10:1828.

361 37. Neville MC, Keller RP, Seacat J, et al. Studies on human lactation. I. Within-feed and between-breast variation in
362 selected components of human milk. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1984;40:635-646.

363 38. Valentine CJ, Hurst NM, Schanler RJ. Hindmilk improves weight gain in low-birth-weight infants fed human milk. *J*
364 *Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1994;18:474-477.

365 39. Rodríguez-Cruz M, Alba C, Aparicio M, et al. Effect of sample collection (manual expression vs. pumping) and
366 skimming on the microbial profile of human milk using culture techniques and metataxonomic analysis.
367 *Microorganisms* 2020;8:1278.

368 40. Neveu D, Viljoen J, Bland RM. Cumulative exposure to cell-free HIV in breast milk rather than feeding pattern per
369 se identifies postnatally infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;52:819-825.

370 41. Willumsen JF, Newell ML, Filteau SM, et al. Variation in breastmilk HIV-1 viral load in left and right breasts during
371 the first 3 months of lactation. *AIDS* 2001;15:1896-1898.

372 42. Pannaraj PS, Li F, Cerini C, Bender JM, et al. Association between breast milk bacterial communities and
373 establishment and development of the infant gut microbiome. *JAMA Pediatr* 2017;171:647-654.

374 43. Oikonomou G, Bicalho ML, Meira E, et al. Microbiota of cow's milk; distinguishing healthy, sub-clinically and
375 clinically diseased quarters. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e85904.

376 44. Goldblum RM, Goldman AS, Garza C, et al. Human milk banking. II. Relative stability of immunologic factors in
377 stored colostrum. *Acta Paediatr* 1982;71:143-144.

378 45. Slutzah M, Codipilly CN, Potak D, et al. Refrigerator storage of expressed human milk in the neonatal intensive care
379 unit. *J Pediatr* 2010;156:26-28.

380 46. Ahrabi AF, Handa D, Codipilly CN, et al. Effects of extended freezer storage on the integrity of human milk. *J*
381 *Pediatr* 2016;177:140-143.

382 47. Welsh JK, Arsenakis M, Coelen RJ, May JT. Effect of antiviral lipids, heat, and freezing on the activity of viruses in
383 human milk. *J Infect Dis* 1979;140:322-328.

384 48. Ghosh MK, Kuhn L, West J, et al. Quantitation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in breast milk. *J Clin*
385 *Microbiol* 2003;41:2465-2470.

386 49. Ramírez-Santana C, Pérez-Cano FJ, Audí C, et al. Effects of cooling and freezing storage on the stability of bioactive
387 factors in human colostrum. *J Dairy Sci* 2012;95:2319-2325.

388 50. Doyle CJ, Gleeson D, O'Toole PW, et al. High-throughput metataxonomic characterization of the raw milk
389 microbiota identifies changes reflecting lactation stage and storage conditions. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2017;255:1-6.

390 51. Schwab C, Voney E, Ramirez Garcia A, et al. Characterization of the cultivable microbiota in fresh and stored
391 mature human breast milk. *Front Microbiol* 2019;10:2666.

392 52. Marín ML, Arroyo R, Jiménez E, et al. Cold storage of human milk: effect on its bacterial composition. *J Pediatr*
393 *Gastroenterol Nutr* 2009;49:343-348. doi:10.1097/MPG.0b013e31818cf53d

394 53. Akdag A, Sari FN, Dizdar EA, et al. Storage at -80°C preserves the antioxidant capacity of preterm human milk. *J*
395 *Clin Lab Anal* 2014;28:415-418.

396 54. Marinković V, Ranković-Janevski M, Spasić S, et al. Antioxidative activity of colostrum and human milk: Effects of
397 pasteurization and storage. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2016;62:901-906.

398 55. Butts CA, Paturi G, Blatchford P, et al. Microbiota composition of breast milk from women of different ethnicity
399 from the Manawatu-Wanganui region of New Zealand. *Nutrients* 2020;12(6):E1756.

400 56. Hanna N, Ahmed K, Anwar M, et al. Effect of storage on breast milk antioxidant activity. *Arch Dis Child Fetal*
401 *Neonatal Ed* 2004;89:F518-F520.

402 57. Hines EP, Rayner JL, Barbee R, et al. Assays for endogenous components of human milk: comparison of fresh and
403 frozen samples and corresponding analytes in serum. *J Hum Lact* 2007;23:144-156.

404 58. Păduraru L, Dimitriu DC, Avasiloaiei AL, et al. Total antioxidant status in fresh and stored human milk from
405 mothers of term and preterm neonates. *Pediatr Neonatal* 2018;59:600-605.

406 59. Pfaender S, Heyden J, Friesland M, et al. Inactivation of hepatitis C virus infectivity by human breast milk. *J Infect*
407 *Dis* 2013;208:1943-1952.

408 60. Pfaender S, Vielle NJ, Ebert N, et al. Inactivation of Zika virus in human breast milk by prolonged storage or
409 pasteurization. *Virus Res* 2017;228:58-60.

410 61. Conzelmann C, Zou M, Groß R, et al. Storage-dependent generation of potent anti-ZIKV activity in human breast
411 milk. *Viruses* 2019;11:591.

412 62. Pace RM, Williams JE, Järvinen KM, et al. COVID-19 and human milk: SARS-CoV-2, antibodies, and neutralizing
413 capacity. *medRxiv* 2020.09.16.20196071.

414 63. Groß R, Conzelmann C, Müller JA, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in human breastmilk. *Lancet* 2020;6736.

415 64. Walker GJ, Clifford F, Bansal N, et al. SARS-CoV-2 in human milk is inactivated by Holder pasteurization but not
416 cold storage. *medRxiv* 2020.06.18.20134395.

417 65. Unger S, Christie-Holmes N, Guvenc F, et al. Holder pasteurization of donated human milk is effective in
418 inactivating SARS-CoV-2. *CMAJ* 2020;cma.201309. doi:10.1503/cma.201309.

419 66. Chambers C, Krogstad P, Bertrand K, et al. Evaluation for SARS-CoV-2 in breast milk from 18 infected women.
420 *JAMA* 2020;e2015580. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.15580.

421 67. Lawrence RA. Storage of human milk and the influence of procedures on immunological components of human
422 milk. *Acta Paediatr Suppl* 1999;88:14-18.

423 68. Liebhaber M, Lewiston NJ, Asquith MT, et al. Alterations of lymphocytes and of antibody content of human milk
424 after processing. *J Pediatr* 1977;91:897-900.

425 69. Hamilton Spence E, Huff M, Shattuck K, et al. Ebola virus and Marburg virus in human milk are inactivated by
426 Holder pasteurization. *J Hum Lact* 2017;33:351-354.

427 70. Kirtsman M, Diambomba Y, Poutanen SM, et al. Probable congenital SARS-CoV-2 infection in a neonate born to a
428 woman with active SARS-CoV-2 infection. *CMAJ* 2020;192:E647-E50

429

Table 1: Definition of selected terms relevant to collection of human milk for analysis of its composition

<p>Foremilk vs. hindmilk</p>	<p>1 Foremilk: Milk removed from the mammary gland at the beginning of a feed or just before the commencement of a breastfeed or breast expression. This milk often has lower fat and higher cellular contents than milk secreted at the end of a feed.</p> <p>2 Hindmilk: Milk removed from the mammary gland at the end of a feed or immediately after the completion of a breastfeed or breast expression. This milk often has higher fat and lower cellular contents than milk secreted at the beginning of a feed.</p>
<p>Complete breast expression</p>	<p>The process of obtaining nearly all the milk within the mammary gland at a particular pumping or hand expression session. A complete breast expression contains foremilk, hindmilk, and all the milk in between. Obtaining a complete breast expression provides the most representative milk sample possible and is often ideal when there are no data as to whether its composition changes from foremilk to hindmilk for a particular milk component. When complete breast expressions are obtained, researchers should return excess milk to the mother so she can provide it to her infant.</p>
<p>Colostrum vs. transitional milk vs. mature milk</p>	<p>Colostrum: The usually yellowish viscous secretion of the breast synthesized during the first 48 hours postpartum. It is synthesized by the lactocytes of the mammary gland in small volumes (about 30 mL in the first 24 hours after birth). Compared to mature milk, colostrum has high concentrations of sodium, chloride, protein (particularly IgA), and low concentrations of lactose and citrate.</p> <p>Transitional milk: A description of milk as it shifts from colostrum to mature milk after secretory activation (also referred to as “milk coming in”). Transitional milk is yet to be defined objectively, but it is generally considered to extend from about 48 hours after birth to 2 to 3 weeks postpartum.</p> <p>Mature milk: The secretion produced by the mammary gland following secretory activation (also referred to as “milk coming in”). Human milk is currently considered to be mature after about 2-3 weeks postpartum (provide a ref for this window b/c some reports extend to six weeks).</p>
<p>Exclusive breastfeeding vs. partial breastfeeding vs. complementary feeding</p>	<p>Exclusive breastfeeding: When the infant receives only human milk via breastfeeding and/or expressed human milk (own mother's or from a donor). This definition often allows the infant to receive drops, syrups (vitamins, minerals, medicines) but does not allow the infant to receive anything else, including water.</p> <p>Partial breastfeeding: When an infant receives both human milk and any other food or liquid including water, nonhuman milk, and formula before about 6 months of age.</p> <p>Complementary feeding: Nutrient-containing first foods given during the transition from exclusive breastfeeding to family foods while breastfeeding is maintained. Complementary breastfeeding commences during weaning.</p>
<p>Hand expression</p>	<p>The process whereby milk is obtained using manual breast expression by hand alone without the use of a manual or electronic breast pump.</p>
<p>Subclinical mastitis vs. clinical mastitis</p>	<p>Subclinical mastitis: Asymptomatic inflammation of the mammary gland(s) that is not noticeable to the woman or to an observer. Often characterized by a sodium to potassium (Na/K) ratio in milk ≥ 1.</p> <p>Clinical mastitis: Inflammation of the breast accompanied by pain, swelling, heat/redness, and/or fever.</p>
<p>Milk fraction</p>	<p>Milk has several collections of components, including cells, lipids, and lactoserum (the aqueous, cell-free phase, whey). These are often referred to as “milk fractions.”</p>

433
434

Table 2: Milk components often evaluated when studying transmission of a pathogen in milk, and whether various factors are known to impact their concentrations in milk

Factor that can impact milk composition	Viral DNA/RNA, bacterial DNA and microbial viability	Antibodies	Cytokines and other soluble factors	Immune Cells
Time of day	Very little known	Very little known	Very little known	May follow diurnal pattern
Time postpartum	Bacterial profiles likely vary in early lactation	Varies substantially over lactation	Varies substantially over lactation	Varies substantially over lactation
Hand expression vs pump	Contamination can occur	Very little known	Very little known	Very little known
Foremilk vs hindmilk vs complete expression	If pathogen is lipophilic it might be more abundant in hindmilk	Very little known	Very little known	Host cells might be higher in hindmilk
Left vs right breast	May vary with inflammation	May vary with inflammation	May vary with inflammation	May vary with inflammation
Cleaning breast	DNA and RNA might be on the breast	Not important to control for document	Not important to control for document	Not important to control for document
Collection container material	Very little known	Very little known	Very little known	Very little known

435
436

Table 3: Metadata to Consider, Collect, and/or Report when Studying Human Milk in Light of an Infectious Disease

Related to milk collection and handling methods		
✓ Type of milk collected		Foremilk, hindmilk, complete breast expression, composite
✓ Mode of collection		Hand expression, electric pump, manual pump
✓ Breast(s) collected		Right, left, both
✓ Collection/storage containers		Glass, polypropylene, sterile, etc.
✓ Preservative added?		If yes, what kind?
✓ Storage conditions		Temperature, duration, freeze/thaw cycles
Additional metadata that should be collected and reported if possible		
✓ Time postpartum, and term vs. preterm		Colostrum, transitional milk, mature milk, gestational age (preterm vs. term)
✓ Time of day		Morning, afternoon, evening
✓ Time since last feed		Hours since last feeding or pumping session
✓ Breastfeeding practices		Exclusively breastfed at the breast, fed pumped milk, mixed feeding

✓ Inflammatory state of breast		Na/K ratio, cytokine profile, somatic cell count, breast redness, breast pain
--------------------------------	---	---