

Telephone-Based Reminder To Improve Safety After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Jeffrey Chidester (✉ jeffrey.chidester@phhs.org)

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Daniel Bennett

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Chris Mathew

Parkland Health & Hospital System

Tiffany Denkins

Parkland Health & Hospital System

Rebecca Vigen

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Tayo Addo

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Sandeep Das

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

Research Article

Keywords: Adherence, Coronary Interventions, Patient Safety, Quality of Care

Posted Date: September 21st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-858611/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Title: Telephone-Based Reminder to Improve Safety After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Authors:

Corresponding Author: Jeffrey Chidester, MD

Affiliation: University of Texas Southwestern

Email: jeffrey.chidester@phhs.org

Telephone: 757-575-7942

Mailing Address:

6939 Patricia Avenue

Dallas Texas, 75223

Daniel Bennett, MD

Affiliation: University of Texas Southwestern

Current Affiliation: Revere Health

Chris Mathew, PharmD

Affiliation: Parkland Health and Hospital System

Tiffany Denkins, RN

Affiliation: Parkland Health and Hospital System

Rebecca Vigen, MD

Affiliation: University of Texas Southwestern

Tayo Addo, MD

Affiliation: University of Texas Southwestern

Sandeep Das, MD

Affiliation: University of Texas Southwestern

Word Count Abstract: 150

Word Count Submission: 785

Prior Presentations:

American Heart Association Quality of Care and Outcomes Research 2019 Scientific Sessions. April 5, 2019, Washington, DC

High Value Practice Academic Alliance 2019 Conference. November 17, 2019, Baltimore, MD

Abstract

Introduction: Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (DAPT) is a class I guideline indication after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI). Our population is high-risk for low medication adherence. With a multidisciplinary team we developed a telephone-based intervention to improve DAPT adherence post-PCI.

Methods: Patients undergoing PCI at our center were contacted by nursing staff via telephone at 1 week, 30 days, and 60 days post-procedure. Calls included a reminder of the importance of DAPT and elicited any patient concerns. Concerns were relayed to the team who could take appropriate action. For patients filling their medications at any pharmacies within our closed system the proportion of days covered (PDC) was calculated. These were compared to data for patients undergoing PCI in the seven months prior to program initiation. Information on interventions performed as a result of calls was also collected.

Results: During the study period, 452 patients underwent PCI. Of these, 70% were contacted and 244 filled their prescription at our system pharmacies. Twelve-month median PDC was 74%, with 45% of patients having PDC >80%. There was no significant difference when compared to the group prior to the intervention, median PDC 79% and 50% of patients having PDC >80%. In 26 patients calls led to interventions, removing barriers that would have otherwise prevented continued adherence.

Conclusion: A telephone-based reminder system led to directed interventions in nearly 1 in 10 patients contacted. It was not able to significantly improve PDC when compared to a contemporary sample. This highlights the difficulty in using PDC to detect barriers to adherence.

Keywords: Adherence, Coronary Interventions, Patient Safety, Quality of Care

Introduction

Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (DAPT) to reduce in-stent thrombosis is a class I guideline indication after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) (1). Unfortunately, studies from our group and others have shown adherence to DAPT post-PCI among the urban poor to be suboptimal (2) (3). Follow up contact with adherence counseling, medication reconciliation and collaborative care has been shown to improve adherence (4) (5). With a team from Internal Medicine, Cardiology, Nursing, and Pharmacy, we developed a telephone-based intervention to improve DAPT adherence post-PCI.

Methods

Patients who underwent PCI at our center from September 2017 through August 2018 were contacted by nursing staff via telephone at 1 week, 30 days, and 60 days post-procedure. Phone calls were made by staff fluent in English or Spanish depending on the documented preference of the patient, with a translation service utilized for other languages. Calls included a reminder of the importance of DAPT and elicited any patient concerns. These concerns were then relayed to the remainder of the team who were able to appropriately intervene. Interventions included scheduling appointments with a Physician or Pharmacist, altering of prescribed medication or filling pharmacy, or simply checking prescription status to provide patient reassurance. These interventions were documented.

For patients filling their medications at any of the 11 hospital-managed pharmacies within our closed system, the proportion of days covered at one year after PCI (PDC) was calculated based on manual chart review of pharmacy fill data. Adjustments were not made for recurrent admissions. These were compared to fill data for patients undergoing PCI in the seven months prior to program initiation, the only period during which this data was available prior to our project implementation. We used chi-

square testing to compare the median PDC and proportion of patients with PDC >80% in these two periods.

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Institutional Review Board deemed this project non-regulated research and it was approved by the Parkland Health and Hospital System Research Office; accordingly, the requirement for informed consent was waived by both entities. All methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Results

From September 2017 to September 2018, 452 patients underwent PCI. Of those patients undergoing PCI, 70% were successfully contacted by at least one phone call answered by the patient or a member of their household. Of all 316 PCI patients successfully contacted, 244 filled their DAPT prescription at our system pharmacies. Demographics for this group compared with patients in the seven months preceding the intervention are included as Table 1. In the intervention group there was a significantly higher proportion of patients with prior PCI. For this group, the twelve-month median PDC was 74%, with 45% of patients having PDC >80%. Among the 316 patients contacted during our intervention, 26 required an intervention (Table 2). There was no significant difference in median PDC or percentage of patients with PDC >80% when compared to the group prior to the intervention, with median PDC 79% and 50% of patients having PDC >80% (Table 3).

Discussion

This project was designed as a quality improvement initiative and much of its value came from analysis and adjustment of its implementation. A telephone-based reminder program identified adherence barriers in 1 of 10 contacted patients despite no detectable difference in PDC. This discordance is important as PDC is often used as a proxy for a patient actually taking a medication as prescribed. PDC can be readily calculated and implemented within EHR-based tools; however, factors

including large volume fills, intercurrent hospital admissions, and overlapping prescriptions limit its ability to detect gaps in medication adherence. Furthermore, discontinuations or other changes would not be well captured. By contrast, direct patient contact enabled identification of barriers to adherence which would otherwise be clinically meaningful. This was perhaps the most important finding of the program; we had expected PDC to track well with actual adherence. We found contact success rate satisfactory; nevertheless, there was room for improvement in confirming patient contact information. The ability for rapid dissemination to a diverse care team enabled easy implementation of solutions to identified barriers. Finally, the barriers we identified through the calls were broad, including financial, medication side effects, and health literacy limitations. The personalized nature of the intervention allowed tailoring to these diverse needs. This low-resource project easily enabled us to contact and meaningfully impact a substantial proportion of our patients who have low health literacy and can be difficult to reach post-procedurally. In an era where telemedicine has become increasingly important, models that can improve patient's care have characteristics that can benefit patients in other domains. In our case these included the use of a multidisciplinary team and patient-focused communication. Given the proportion of patients positively impacted, the practical superiority to EHR-derived PDC, and the positive response of involved team members, we plan to continue this program for all patients post-PCI at our institution to optimize their outcomes.

References

1. Levine G, Bates E, Bittl J, et al. "2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines." *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 152(5): 1243-1275.
2. Khalili H, Singh R, Wood M, et al. "Premature Clopidogrel Discontinuation After Drug-Eluting Stent Placement in a Large Urban Safety-Net Hospital." *Am J Cardiol* 117(4): 522-525.

3. Khera R, Valero-Elizondo J, Das S, et al. Cost-Related Medication Nonadherence in Adults With Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in the United States, 2013 to 2017. *Circulation*. 2019 Dec 17;140(25):2067-2075. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.041974. Epub 2019 Nov 25.
4. Rinfret S, Rodes-Cabau J, Bagur R, et al. "Telephone contact to improve adherence to dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation." *Heart* 99(8): 562-569.
5. Ho PM, Lambert-Kerzner A, Carey EP, et al. Multifaceted Intervention to Improve Medication Adherence and Secondary Prevention Measures After Acute Coronary Syndrome Hospital Discharge A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174(2):186–193. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.12944

Table 1 - Demographics of Contacted Patients

		Pre-Intervention	Intervention
	Total	133	244
	Age median ± IQR	58 ± 10	58 ± 10
	Women n (%)	32 (24)	60 (25)
Language	Spanish n (%)	62 (47)	87 (36)
	English n (%)	64 (48)	143 (59)
	Other n (%)	7 (5)	14 (6)
P2Y12i	Clopidogrel n (%)	81 (61)	174 (71)
	Ticagrelor n (%)	45 (34)	64 (26)
	Prasugrel n (%)	7 (5)	6 (3)
Comorbidities	Diabetes n (%)	74 (56)	143 (59)
	Tobacco Use n (%)	27 (20)	59 (24)
	Prior CAD n (%)	44 (33)	105 (43)
	Prior PCI n (%) *	35 (26)	99 (41)
	CHF n (%)	30 (23)	63 (26)
	EF <40% n (%)	23 (17)	37 (15)

* = p < 0.05

Table 2 – Selected Performed Interventions

Patient	Contact Interval	Barrier	Response
Woman - 58	30 days	No remaining medication	Clinic contacted, provided refill
Man – 57	60 days	Unable to afford prasugrel	Prescription changed to clopidogrel
Man - 59	7 days	Neglected to fill medication	Patient initiated fill
Man – 47	30 days	Neglected to submit coverage paperwork	Given GoodRx coupon
Man – 31	30 days	Coverage paperwork not yet processed	Low-cost refill ordered
Woman – 50	60 days	Unable to afford medication for one week	Coordinated loading and maintenance dose at alternate pharmacy
Spouse of ‘Woman – 50’	n/a	Lack of medication	Provided alternate pharmacy refill
Woman – 60	7 days	Reported dizziness and confusion regarding medications	Appointment scheduled with pharmacist for education– she was taking all Metoprolol on Mondays and Lisinopril on Fridays

Table 3 – PDC Rates

	Pre-Intervention	Intervention
Mean PDC \pm SD	69 \pm 30	68 \pm 29
Median PDC (IQR)	79	74
PDC >80%, n (%)	66 (50)	109 (45)