

Perioperative outcomes of combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Shizhao Cheng (✉ chengshizhao@hotmail.com)

Tianjin Chest Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8750-9595>

Yiyao Jiang

Tianjin First Central Hospital

Xin Li

Tianjin Chest Hospital

Xike Lu

Tianjin Chest Hospital

Xun Zhang

Tianjin Chest Hospital

Daqiang Sun

Tianjin Chest Hospital

Research article

Keywords: Heart surgery, Lung surgery, Perioperative outcomes, Combined cardiothoracic surgery, Meta-analysis

Posted Date: October 8th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-86694/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery on August 9th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13019-021-01607-7>.

Abstract

Objective: The coexistence of concomitant lesions of the heart and lungs needed surgical intervention is increasing. Simultaneous cardiac surgery with pulmonary resection can solve the lesions at the same time, thus avoiding the second operation. However, concern exists regarding the potentially increased mortality and complication rate of simultaneous surgery and the adequacy of lung exposure during heart surgery. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the perioperative mortality and complication rate of combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search was performed in July 2020. PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases were searched to collect studies reported the perioperative outcomes of combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection. Two reviewers independently screened literatures, extracted data and assessed the risk of bias of included studies. Pooled proportion and its 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) was performed by R version 3.6.1 using the meta package.

Results: A total of 536 patients from 29 studies were included in this analysis. Overall, the results of this meta-analysis showed that the pooled proportion of operative mortality was 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.03) and the pooled proportion of postoperative complications was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.24, 0.57) for patients underwent combined cardiothoracic surgery. Subgroup analysis by lung pathology revealed that, for lung cancer patients, the pooled proportion of anatomical lung resection was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.00), and the pooled proportion of systematic lymph node dissection or sampling was 1.00 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.00). Subgroup analysis by heart surgery procedures found that the pooled proportion of postoperative complications of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) patients using off-pump method was 0.17 (95% CI: 0.01, 0.43), while the pooled proportion of on-pump method was 0.61 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.82).

Conclusion: This study presented that combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection had a low mortality rate and an acceptable complication rate. Subgroup analysis revealed most lung cancer patients underwent uncompromised anatomical resection and mediastinal lymph node sampling or dissection during combined cardiothoracic surgery, and showed off-pump CABG could potentially reduce the complication rate compared with on-pump CABG. While further researches are still needed.

Introduction

Although relatively few, the coexistence of concomitant lesions of the heart and lungs needed surgical intervention is increasing (1–3). Some patients, who required cardiac surgery, found asymptomatic indeterminate lung nodules during preoperative examination. Other patients, who were scheduled to receive lung tumor resection, had heart diseases needed surgical intervention. Handling patients who require both heart surgery and lung resection is a challenge. Treatment options include either simultaneous or staged surgical procedures (4–8). Without postponing the treatment of either heart or lung diseases, simultaneous surgery can solve heart and lung lesions at the same time, thus avoiding the second operation (3, 9–12). But, concern exists regarding the potentially increased mortality and complication rate of simultaneous surgery and the adequacy of lung exposure during heart surgery (8, 12–20).

As a result, we conducted this meta-analysis to systematically evaluate the perioperative mortality and complication rate of combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection. In the subgroup analysis, we also investigated the proportion of lung cancer patients underwent anatomic lung resection and mediastinal lymph node sampling or dissection, and the impact of cardiopulmonary bypass on perioperative complications.

Methods

Search strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Embase and Web of Science database in 7 July 2020 to identify citations reporting perioperative outcomes of combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection with the following search algorithm: (exp Cardiac Surgical Procedures/ or ((heart\$ or cardio\$ or cardiac or coronary or myocardial or valve\$) adj1 (surger\$ or operation\$ or graft\$ or bypass\$ or revascularization\$ or plasty or replacement)).tw.) and (exp Pneumonectomy/ or ((lung\$ or pulmon\$ or sublob\$ or wedge\$) adj1 (surger\$ or operation\$ or resect\$)).tw.). In addition, the reference lists of the retrieved articles and related reviews were checked to identify potentially relevant additional studies. No language or publication date restrictions were adopted. The flow diagram was presented in Fig. 1. The present meta-analysis was designed, performed, and reported in accordance with the standards of quality for reporting meta-analysis.

Selection Criteria

Articles included in this study had to meet all the following criteria: the exposure of interest was combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection whether benign or malignant; the outcome of interest was incidence rates of operative mortality and postoperative complications; the study design was case-control, retrospective or prospective study; and the proportion estimates with their corresponding 95% CIs were reported or sufficient data were provided to calculate them. Operative mortality was defined as death within 30 days of operation or during hospitalization. Postoperative complications were defined as the occurrence of complications within 30 days of operation or during the postoperative stay.

Selected publications focused on lung tumor resection. Publications were excluded if lung transplantation surgery, trauma surgery, lung infectious disease surgery, esophageal surgery, mediastinal surgery were included. If they were reviews, editorial commentaries, or no extractable data were available.

Quality Assessment

Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the quality of included researches by two independent reviewers (Yiyao Jiang, Xin Li). NOS is a 9-star system, which includes 3 dimensions: selection (4 items), comparability (1 item), and exposure/outcome (3 items). Each item represents 1 point, except for comparability (2 points). A study with ≥ 7 points was considered as a high-quality study.

Data Extraction

Data were extracted independently by the two reviewers (Shizhao Cheng, Yiyao Jiang) and any discrepancies were resolved by discussion. The following data from each included study were recorded: publication details, sample size, lung tumor histological type, operative mortality and postoperative complication proportion estimates with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs).

Statistical Analysis

All quantitative analysis was performed in R version 3.6.1 using the meta package. For the meta-analysis of the operative mortality and postoperative complications proportions, both fixed and random effects approaches were adopted using the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation method (21). Subgroup analyses were performed based on different lung pathology or heart surgery procedures. Heterogeneity across included studies was assessed with the Cochran Q test (the level of significance was set at 0.1) (22). The I^2 score was used to determine the degree of heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$, no obvious heterogeneity; $I^2 > 50\%$, large or extreme heterogeneity). In sensitivity analysis, meta-analysis was conducted after omitting each study in turn. Potential publication bias was evaluated Begg's test and Egger's test (23).

Results

Study searches and characteristics

The detailed process of literature retrieval procedures is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 29 eligible studies were finally included in the present meta-analysis (3, 5–20, 24–35). These studies were carried out in the following geographical regions: five in USA (8, 19, 20, 32, 35), four in China (3, 11, 25, 26), four in Netherlands (7, 13, 30, 33), three in Italy (14, 18, 29), two in Czech Republic (12, 24), two in Japan (10, 16), two in Turkey (9, 15), one in Australia (34), one in Belgium (6), one in Canada (31), one in Germany (5), one in Greece (27), one in Poland (28), and one in UK (17). All of them were retrospective observational studies, which were published between 1990 and 2019. Of these studies, the sample sizes ranged from 2 to 79 patients. Therefore, 29 studies containing 536 patients who received combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection were subjected to final analysis. In each study, the proportion estimates with their corresponding 95% CIs of the operative mortality and postoperative complications were calculated from available information from original article. Quality scores evaluated by the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) ranged from 5 to 7. The main characteristics of all included studies have been summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis.

Study	Year	Country	Study design	Study period	No. of patients	Lung pathology (Adenocarcinoma/squamous cell carcinoma/other malignant tumors/benign tumors)	Heart surgery (CABG/other types of heart surgery)	Operative mortality proportions (95% CI)	Postoperative complication proportions (95% CI)
Li	2019	China	Case-control	2009-2016	20	13/6/1/0	20/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.17]	0.00 [0.00; 0.17]
Yeginsu	2018	Turkey	Retrospective	2014-2018	10	3/6/1/0	10/0	0.10 [0.00; 0.45]	0.40 [0.12; 0.74]
Kaku	2017	Japan	Retrospective	2008-2013	18	13/2/0/3	6/12	0.00 [0.00; 0.19]	0.00 [0.00; 0.19]
Ma	2016	China	Retrospective	2003-2014	34	18/16/0/0	34/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.10]	0.32 [0.17; 0.51]
Santavy	2015	Czech Republic	Retrospective	2010-2014	10	3/0/3/4	6/4	0.00 [0.00; 0.31]	0.10 [0.00; 0.45]
Kovacicova	2014	Czech Republic	Case-control	2002-2011	12	1/7/4/0	9/3	0.00 [0.00; 0.26]	0.75 [0.43; 0.95]
Zhang	2012	Germany	Retrospective	1999-2007	30	6/5/1/18	17/13	0.07 [0.01; 0.22]	0.10 [0.02; 0.27]
Li	2012	China	Retrospective	2008-2010	3	1/2/0/0	3/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.71]	0.00 [0.00; 0.71]
Zhang	2009	China	Retrospective	2003-2008	7	2/5/0/0	7/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.41]	0.14 [0.00; 0.58]
Cathenis	2009	Belgium	Retrospective	2000-2008	27	10/14/2/1	22/5	0.00 [0.00; 0.13]	1.00 [0.87; 1.00]
Prokakis	2008	Greece	Retrospective	2004-2006	5	1/2/0/2	1/4	0.00 [0.00; 0.52]	0.40 [0.05; 0.85]
Dyszkiewicz	2008	Poland	Retrospective	2001-2006	25	6/14/5/0	25/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.14]	0.64 [0.43; 0.82]
Schoenmakers	2007	Netherlands	Case-control	1994-2005	43	17/17/9/0	37/6	0.07 [0.01; 0.19]	0.67 [0.51; 0.81]
Caimmi	2006	Italy	Retrospective	2005-2006	2	1/1/0/0	2/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.84]	0.00 [0.00; 0.84]
Patane	2002	Italy	Retrospective	1991-1999	11	2/7/0/2	6/5	0.00 [0.00; 0.28]	0.00 [0.00; 0.28]
Koksal	2002	Turkey	Retrospective	2001-2002	2	0/2/0/0	2/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.84]	0.00 [0.00; 0.84]
Morishita	2001	Japan	Retrospective	1986-2000	6	3/2/1/0	4/2	0.00 [0.00; 0.46]	0.83 [0.36; 1.00]
Mariani	2001	Netherlands	Retrospective	1999-2000	3	2/1/0/0	3/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.71]	0.00 [0.00; 0.71]
Danton	1998	UK	Retrospective	1990-1997	13	6/3/2/2	11/2	0.00 [0.00; 0.25]	0.62 [0.32; 0.86]
Voets	1997	Netherlands	Case-control	1988-1995	24	8/14/2/0	24/0	0.21 [0.07; 0.42]	0.79 [0.58; 0.93]
Rao	1996	Canada	Retrospective	1982-1995	30	10/5/6/9	24/6	0.07 [0.01; 0.22]	0.23 [0.10; 0.42]
Francesca	1995	USA	Retrospective	1973-1990	21	11/7/3/0	18/3	0.05 [0.00; 0.24]	0.29 [0.11; 0.52]
Brutel	1995	Netherlands	Retrospective	1979-1993	79	22/48/9/0	69/10	0.06 [0.02; 0.14]	0.86 [0.76; 0.93]
Terzi	1994	Italy	Retrospective	1980-1993	10	2/3/3/2	10/0	0.10 [0.00; 0.45]	0.40 [0.12; 0.74]
Miller	1994	USA	Case-control	1965-1992	30	19/8/3/0	23/7	0.07 [0.01; 0.22]	0.50 [0.31; 0.69]
Yokoyama	1993	USA	Retrospective	1988-1992	11	6/4/1/0	9/2	0.00 [0.00; 0.28]	0.64 [0.31; 0.89]
Rosalion	1993	Australia	Retrospective	1987-1990	10	4/5/1/0	10/0	0.00 [0.00; 0.31]	0.80 [0.44; 0.97]
Ulicny	1992	USA	Retrospective	1980-1990	19	4/0/6/9	14/5	0.05 [0.00; 0.26]	1.00 [0.82; 1.00]
Canver	1990	USA	Retrospective	1982-1988	21	4/4/0/13	18/3	0.05 [0.00; 0.24]	0.10 [0.01; 0.30]

Pooled Proportion Of Operative Mortality

The pooled proportion of operative mortality was 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.03) using both fixed-effect and random-effect models. No significant heterogeneity between studies was shown ($I^2 = 0.00\%$, $P = 0.79$) (Fig. 2).

Pooled Proportion Of Postoperative Complications

The pooled proportion of postoperative complications was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.24, 0.57) using the random-effect model. Significant heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 91.60\%$, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3). Furthermore, we divided the postoperative complications into three categories: reopening for bleeding; respiratory complications and cardiac complications. The pooled proportion of reopening for bleeding was 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.02) using both fixed-effect and random-effect models. No significant heterogeneity between studies was shown ($I^2 = 2.10\%$, $P = 0.43$) (Fig. 4). The pooled proportion of respiratory complications was 0.11 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.19) using the random-effect model. Significant

heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 76.00\%$, $P < 0.01$). The pooled proportion of cardiac complications was 0.15 (95% CI: 0.08, 0.23) using the random-effect model. Significant heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 72.00\%$, $P < 0.01$).

Subgroup Analysis

For further assessing the incidence rates of operative mortality and postoperative complications of patients who received combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection, we classified all cases into several subgroups according to lung pathology and heart surgery procedures.

In the subgroups classified by lung pathology, 16 studies (3, 7–9, 11, 13–16, 19, 26, 28, 30, 32–34) contained 327 patients who received combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection, while the other 13 studies (5, 6, 10, 12, 17, 18, 20, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, 35) enrolled both malignant and benign diseases. Because malignant and benign diseases were analyzed together in the 13 studies (5, 6, 10, 12, 17, 18, 20, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, 35), further assessment of benign diseases was not done. So, we quantitatively pooled the data of 327 lung cancer cases from the 16 studies (3, 7–9, 11, 13–16, 19, 26, 28, 30, 32–34). The pooled proportion of operative mortality of patients who received combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection was 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.04) using both fixed-effect and random-effect models. No significant heterogeneity between studies was shown ($I^2 = 2.20\%$, $P = 0.43$). The pooled proportion of postoperative complications of patients who received combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection was 0.45 (95% CI: 0.27, 0.64) using the random-effect model. Significant heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 87.80\%$, $P < 0.01$).

To further estimate the quality control of lung cancer surgery, we concerned about whether patients with lung cancer had underwent anatomical resection and systematic lymph node dissection or sampling. The pooled proportion of lung cancer patients underwent anatomical resection was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.00) using the random-effect model. Significant heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 54.70\%$, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 5). Among the 16 studies (3, 7–9, 11, 13–16, 19, 26, 28, 30, 32–34), 1 study did not provide enough information about lymph node dissection or sampling (7). Therefore, 15 studies (3, 8, 9, 11, 13–16, 19, 26, 28, 30, 32–34) containing 303 patients who received combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection were used to analyze the proportion of systematic lymph node dissection or sampling. The pooled proportion of lung cancer patients underwent systematic lymph node dissection or sampling was 1.00 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.00) using both fixed-effect and random-effect models. No significant heterogeneity between studies was shown ($I^2 = 4.20\%$, $P = 0.41$) (Fig. 6).

In the subgroups classified by heart surgery procedures, 10 studies (3, 9, 11, 14, 15, 18, 26, 28, 30, 34) contained 123 patients who received combined coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery and lung tumor resection, while the other 19 studies (5–8, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31–33, 35) enrolled both CABG surgery and other types of heart surgery procedures. Because CABG surgery and other types of heart surgery procedures were analyzed together in the 19 studies (5–8, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31–33, 35), further assessment of heart surgery procedures other than CABG was not done. So, we quantitatively pooled the data of 123 CABG cases from the 10 studies (3, 9, 11, 14, 15, 18, 26, 28, 30, 34). The pooled proportion of operative mortality of patients who received combined CABG surgery and lung tumor resection was 0.00 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.01) using both fixed-effect and random-effect models. No significant heterogeneity between studies was shown ($I^2 = 0.00\%$, $P = 0.76$). The pooled proportion of postoperative complications of patients who received combined CABG surgery and lung tumor resection was 0.26 (95% CI: 0.07, 0.50) using the random-effect model. Significant heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 79.80\%$, $P < 0.01$).

To further evaluate the impact of cardiopulmonary bypass on postoperative complications, we compared off-pump with on-pump on postoperative complications of CABG patients. The pooled proportion of postoperative complications of CABG patients using off-pump method was 0.17 (95% CI: 0.01, 0.43) using the random-effect model. Significant heterogeneity between studies was noted ($I^2 = 79.40\%$, $P < 0.01$). The pooled proportion of postoperative complications of CABG patients using on-pump method was 0.61 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.82) using fixed-effect model. No significant heterogeneity between studies was shown ($I^2 = 67.40\%$, $P = 0.08$) (Fig. 7).

Sensitivity Analysis And Publication Bias

Sensitivity analysis showed that no individual study influenced the overall results (Fig. 8). Funnel plot for publication bias of the pooled proportion of postoperative complications for all studies was shown in Fig. 9. Begg's test ($P = 0.42$) and Egger's test ($P = 0.07$) demonstrated non-significant publication bias.

Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis involved a total of 536 patients who received combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection from 29 observational studies. Our study included comprehensive articles as far as we know. From the comprehensive data we analyzed, we demonstrated that combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection had a low mortality rate and an acceptable complication rate. The incidence rate of reopening for bleeding was quite low. The incidence rates of postoperative respiratory complications were similar to that of cardiac complications. Overall, we believe that combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection is safe with low mortality and complication rate.

Before our research, a systematic review by Tourmousoglou and associates included 15 retrospective studies from 1994–2012 (36). They compared operative mortality rate, reoperation rate, and survival rate between combined and staged surgery for patients with both coronary artery disease and lung cancer. Without quantitative comparison, the authors found that the operative mortality rate of combined procedures was 0–20.8% and of staged procedures was 0–10%. The reoperation rate for bleeding of combined procedures was 0–11% and of staged procedures was 0%. Similar to our results, a more recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Bablekos and co-workers included 22 retrospective studies from 1985–2011 (37). They summarized the operative mortality rate, complication rate, and survival rate of lung cancer patients complicated with heart disease. Without a subgroup analysis of simultaneous or staged surgery, they found the pooled operative mortality rate was 5.26% (95% CI: 3.47, 7.62) and the pooled complication rate was 45.59% (95% CI: 35.62, 55.74).

Patients who undergo combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection face a potential risk of postoperative bleeding. This concern arises from cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) use during heart surgery and, while performing heart and lung surgery simultaneously, the more complicated surgical procedure. Potential bleeding might relate to excessive heparin use, inadequate heparin neutralization, or platelet dysfunction during CPB (38, 39). Bleeding might arise from the area of the lung resection, extensive mediastinal lymph node dissection and, not less frequently, intrapulmonary hemorrhage (20, 40). Excessive bleeding increases the reexploration rate and eventually increases the mortality and complication rate. Off-pump CABG is one solution to reduce the potential risk of postoperative bleeding. During off-pump CABG, intraoperative heparin dose was reduced and the platelet functions were less affected, leading to decreased bleeding (41). Alternatively, when CPB was necessary, the lung resection and lymph node dissection was best performed before heparinization or after reversal of heparin by protamine sulfate (19, 35). Furthermore, compared with wedge excisions, anatomical resections of the lung were preferred to reduce the risk of intrapulmonary hemorrhage (20, 40).

In the subgroups classified by lung pathology, we focused on patients who underwent combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection. As median sternotomy was often employed as a single incision to perform the cardiac and pulmonary surgery simultaneously, concern existed regarding the safety and adequacy of oncological pulmonary and lymph node resection (6, 8, 14, 17). Through a median sternotomy, left lower lobectomy was technically believed to be the most difficult pulmonary lobectomy (9, 12, 16, 33, 42). The intraoperative view of left lower lobectomy was obscured by the heart. Retraction of the heart was often needed, but possibly led to arrhythmias and hemodynamic instability. To facilitate exposure of the left lower lobe, CPB could be used to reduce the heart volume. Also, adding a lateral thoracotomy to the median sternotomy or extending the median sternotomy into the intercostal space could be adopted. Compared with a lateral thoracotomy, mediastinal lymph node dissection was more difficult and technic and time consuming through a median sternotomy. Although systematic mediastinal lymph node dissection could be performed through a median sternotomy, subcarinal and posterior mediastinal lymph nodes were particularly difficult to sample (8, 31). One solution was to open the posterior pericardium and mobilize the right pulmonary artery, allowing a complete dissection of the subcarinal space. Also, adding a lateral thoracotomy to the median sternotomy could be adopted.

In the subgroups classified by heart surgery procedures, we focused on patients who underwent combined CABG surgery and lung tumor resection. We compared off-pump with on-pump on postoperative complications of CABG patients. We found that off-pump CABG could reduce the complication rate. Many disadvantages of CPB have been described. With higher doses of heparin, CPB could increase the risk of bleeding (39). Manufactured from synthetic materials, CPB circuits could impair platelet function and enhance systemic inflammatory response syndrome (43). CPB could cause edema of the peribronchial and lung tissue, as well as lung injury (44–46). CPB could also impair the immune system and promote tumor cell metastasis (47). After eliminating the shortcomings of CPB, off-pump CABG might reduce the complication rate.

Several limitations should be acknowledged in the meta-analysis. First, heterogeneity between included studies was significant, which was likely due to clinical and methodological differences between studies. Second, all included studies were retrospective observational studies. Third, survival data were not included in our study. Our study focused on perioperative measures like incidence rates of operative mortality and postoperative complications.

Conclusion

Our systematic meta-analysis demonstrated that combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection had a low mortality rate and an acceptable complication rate. According to the subgroup analysis, although technic and time consuming, most lung cancer patients underwent anatomical resection and mediastinal lymph node sampling or dissection successfully. As for patients receiving combined CABG surgery and lung tumor resection, off-pump CABG could potentially reduce the complication rate compared with on-pump CABG. Considering the limitations of this study, our findings need to be further confirmed in large-scale prospective research.

Abbreviations

CABG: Coronary artery bypass grafting

CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81800214), Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province(1808085QH236).

Authors' contributions

Shizhao Cheng and Yiyao Jiang collected the data and drafted the manuscript.

Shizhao Cheng, Xin Li, Xike Lu, Xun Zhang and Daqiang Sun edited the manuscript, participated in the study design and coordination, and helped to draft the manuscript.

The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

References

1. Barta JA, Powell CA, Wisnivesky JP. Global epidemiology of lung cancer. *Annals of global health*. 2019;85(1).
2. Khan MA, Hashim MJ, Mustafa H, Baniyas MY, Al Suwaidi SKBM, AlKatheeri R, et al. Global Epidemiology of Ischemic Heart Disease: Results from the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Cureus*. 2020;12(7).
3. Li Z, Liu B, Ge W, Zhang W, Gu C, Liu J, et al. Effect of simultaneous surgical treatment of severe coronary artery disease and lung cancer. *J Int Med Res*. 2019;47(2):591-9.
4. Ciriaco P, Carretta A, Calori G, Mazzone P, Zannini P. Lung resection for cancer in patients with coronary arterial disease: analysis of short-term results. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2002;22(1):35-40.
5. Zhang R, Wiegmann B, Fischer S, Dickgreber NJ, Hagl C, Kruger M, et al. Simultaneous cardiac and lung surgery for incidental solitary pulmonary nodule: learning from the past. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2012;60(2):150-5.
6. Catheris K, Hamerlijnc R, Vermassen F, Van Nooten G, Muysoms F. Concomitant cardiac surgery and pulmonary resection. *Acta Chir Belg*. 2009;109(3):306-11.
7. Voets AJ, Joesoef KS, van Teeffelen ME. Synchronously occurring lung cancer (stages I-II) and coronary artery disease: concomitant versus staged surgical approach. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 1997;12(5):713-7.
8. Miller DL, Orszulak TA, Pairolero PC, Trastek VF, Schaff HV. Combined operation for lung cancer and cardiac disease. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1994;58(4):989-93; discussion 93-4.
9. Yeginsu A, Vayvada M, Karademir BC, Erkilinc A, Tasci AE, Buyukbayrak F, et al. Combined Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting and Lung Resection in Patients with Lung Cancer Accompanied by Coronary Artery Disease. *Braz*. 2018;33(5):483-9.
10. Kaku R, Teramoto K, Ishida K, Igarashi T, Hashimoto M, Kitamura S, et al. Simultaneous resection of pulmonary tumor following cardiovascular surgery. *Asian J*. 2017;40(2):123-8.

11. Ma X, Huang F, Zhang Z, Song F, Ou S. Lung cancer resection with concurrent off-pump coronary artery bypasses: safety and efficiency. *J. 2016;8(8):2038-45.*
12. Santavy P, Szkorupa M, Bohanes T, Lonsky V. Simultaneous cardiac surgery with pulmonary resection. *Cor et Vasa. 2015;57(2):e82-e5.*
13. Schoenmakers MC, van Boven WJ, van den Bosch J, van Swieten HA. Comparison of on-pump or off-pump coronary artery revascularization with lung resection. *Ann Thorac Surg. 2007;84(2):504-9.*
14. Caimmi PP, Di Biasi P. Combined minimally invasive coronary bypass surgery and left pulmonary lobectomy. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann. 2006;14(3):250-1.*
15. Koksál C, Sarikaya S, Zengin M, Atasalihi A. Combined off-pump coronary revascularization and lung resection. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove). 2002;45(3):119-21.*
16. Morishita K, Kawaharada N, Watanabe T, Koshima R, Fujisawa Y, Watanabe A, et al. Simultaneous cardiac operations with pulmonary resection for lung carcinoma. *Jpn J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2001;49(12):685-9.*
17. Danton MH, Anikin VA, McManus KG, McGuigan JA, Campalani G. Simultaneous cardiac surgery with pulmonary resection: presentation of series and review of literature. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 1998;13(6):667-72.*
18. Terzi A, Furlan G, Magnanelli G, Conti F, Chiavacci P, Petrilli G, et al. Lung resections concomitant to coronary artery bypass grafting. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 1994;8(11):580-4.*
19. Yokoyama T, Derrick MJ, Lee AW. Cardiac operation with associated pulmonary resection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1993;105(5):912-6; discussion 6-7.*
20. Ulicny KS, Jr., Schmelzer V, Flege JB, Jr., Todd JC, Mitts DL, Melvin DB, et al. Concomitant cardiac and pulmonary operation: the role of cardiopulmonary bypass. *Ann Thorac Surg. 1992;54(2):289-95.*
21. Barendregt JJ, Doi SA, Lee YY, Norman RE, Vos T. Meta-analysis of prevalence. *J Epidemiol Community Health. 2013;67(11):974-8.*
22. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Statistics in medicine. 2002;21(11):1539-58.*
23. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *Bmj. 1997;315(7109):629-34.*
24. Kovacicova K, Omran N, Mandak J. Combined surgical treatment of lung cancer and heart diseases. *Bratisl Lek Listy. 2014;115(12):776-80.*
25. Li W, Lei W, Li B, Chen X, Ma L, Ni Y. Experience of simultaneous coronary artery bypass grafting and lung lobectomy. *Chirurgia. 2012;25(3):173-7.*
26. Zhang Y, Wei X, Pan T. Simultaneous surgical treatment of non-small cell lung cancer and off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *Chinese Journal of Lung Cancer. 2009;12(4):332-6.*
27. Prokakis C, Koletsis E, Apostolakis E, Panagopoulos N, Charoulis N, Velissaris D, et al. Combined heart surgery and lung tumor resection. *Med Sci Monit. 2008;14(3):CS17-21.*
28. Dyszkiewicz W, Jemielity M, Piwkowski C, Kasprzyk M, Perek B, Gasiorowski L, et al. The early and late results of combined off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting and pulmonary resection in patients with concomitant lung cancer and unstable coronary heart disease. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2008;34(3):531-5.*
29. Patane F, Verzini A, Zingarelli E, di Summa M. Simultaneous operation for cardiac disease and lung cancer. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2002;1(2):69-71.*
30. Mariani MA, van Boven WJ, Duurkens VA, Ernst SM, van Swieten HA. Combined off-pump coronary surgery and right lung resections through midline sternotomy. *Ann Thorac Surg. 2001;71(4):1343-4.*
31. Rao V, Todd TR, Weisel RD, Komeda M, Cohen G, Ikonomidis JS, et al. Results of combined pulmonary resection and cardiac operation. *Ann Thorac Surg. 1996;62(2):342-6; discussion 6-7.*
32. La Francesca S, Frazier OH, Radovancevic B, De Caro LF, Reul GJ, Cooley DA. Concomitant cardiac and pulmonary operations for lung cancer. *Tex Heart Inst J. 1995;22(4):296-300.*
33. Brutel de la Riviere A, Knaepen P, Van Swieten H, Vanderschueren R, Ernst J, Van den Bosch J. Concomitant open heart surgery and pulmonary resection for lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 1995;9(6):310-3; discussion 3-4.*
34. Rosalion A, Woodford NW, Clarke CP, Buxton B. Concomitant coronary revascularization and resection of lung cancer. *Aust N Z J Surg. 1993;63(5):336-40.*
35. Canver CC, Bhayana JN, Lajos TZ, Raza ST, Lewin AN, Bergsland J, et al. Pulmonary resection combined with cardiac operations. *Ann Thorac Surg. 1990;50(5):796-9.*
36. Tourmousoglou CE, Apostolakis E, Dougenis D. Simultaneous occurrence of coronary artery disease and lung cancer: what is the best surgical treatment strategy? *Interactive cardiovascular and thoracic surgery. 2014;19(4):673-81.*
37. Bablekos GD, Analitis A, Michaelides SA, Charalabopoulos KA, Tzonou A. Management and postoperative outcome in primary lung cancer and heart disease co-morbidity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of translational medicine. 2016;4(11).*

38. Ranucci M, Pistuddi V, Di Dedda U, Menicanti L, De Vincentiis C, Baryshnikova E. Platelet function after cardiac surgery and its association with severe postoperative bleeding: the PLATFORM study. *Platelets*. 2019;30(7):908-14.
39. Kunz SA, Miles LF, Ianno DJ, Mirowska-Allen KL, Matalanis G, Bellomo R, et al. The effect of protamine dosing variation on bleeding and transfusion after heparinisation for cardiopulmonary bypass. *Perfusion*. 2018;33(6):445-52.
40. Piehler JM, Trastek VF, Pairolero PC, Pluth JR, Danielson GK, Schaff HV, et al. Concomitant cardiac and pulmonary operations. *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery*. 1985;90(5):662-7.
41. Gaudino M, Angelini GD, Antoniadou C, Bakaeen F, Benedetto U, Calafiore AM, et al. Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting: 30 Years of Debate. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2018;7(16):e009934.
42. Liu B, Chen C, Gu C, Li Q, Liu J, Pu Y, et al. Combined Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) Surgery and Lung Resection for Lung Cancer in Patients More than 50 Years-of-Age. *Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research*. 2018;24:3307.
43. Bronicki RA, Hall M. Cardiopulmonary bypass-induced inflammatory response: pathophysiology and treatment. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine| Society of Critical Care Medicine*. 2016;17(8):S272-S8.
44. Hou L, Yang Z, Wang Z, Zhang X, Zhao Y, Yang H, et al. NLRP3/ASC-mediated alveolar macrophage pyroptosis enhances HMGB1 secretion in acute lung injury induced by cardiopulmonary bypass. *Laboratory Investigation*. 2018;98(8):1052-64.
45. Apostolakis E, Filos KS, Koletsis E, Dougenis D. Lung dysfunction following cardiopulmonary bypass. *Journal of cardiac surgery*. 2010;25(1):47-55.
46. Wynne R, Botti M. Postoperative pulmonary dysfunction in adults after cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: clinical significance and implications for practice. *American journal of critical care*. 2004;13(5):384-93.
47. Hübner M, Tomasi R, Effinger D, Wu T, Klein G, Bender M, et al. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells mediate immunosuppression after cardiopulmonary bypass. *Critical care medicine*. 2019;47(8):e700-e9.

Figures




Figure 1

Flow diagram of included studies for this meta-analysis.




Figure 2

Forest plots of the pooled proportion of operative mortality for all studies.




Figure 3

Forest plots of the pooled proportion of postoperative complications for all studies.




Figure 4

Forest plots of the pooled proportion of reopening for bleeding for all studies.




Figure 5

Forest plots of the pooled proportion of lung cancer patients underwent anatomical resection of subgroup including patients who received combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection.




Figure 6

Forest plots of the pooled proportion of lung cancer patients underwent systematic lymph node dissection or sampling of subgroup including patients who received combined heart surgery and lung cancer resection.




Figure 7

Forest plot of pooled studies for the association between off-pump or on-pump method and postoperative complications rates of subgroup including patients who received combined CABG surgery and lung tumor resection.




Figure 8

Sensitivity analysis for the pooled proportion of postoperative complications for all studies.




Figure 9

Funnel plots for publication bias of the pooled proportion of postoperative complications for all studies.