The exopolysaccharide–eDNA interaction modulates 3D architecture of *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm

Na Peng

Huazhong Agriculture University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6435-7277>

Peng Cai (✉ cp@mail.hzau.edu.cn)

Monika Mortimer

University of California

Yichao Wu

Huazhong Agriculture University

Chunhui Gao

Huazhong Agriculture University

Qiaoyun Huang

Huazhong Agriculture University

Research article

Keywords: extracellular DNA (eDNA), exopolysaccharides (EPS), *Bacillus subtilis*, biofilm formation

Posted Date: April 1st, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.18238/v2>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Microbiology on May 14th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01789-5>.

Abstract

Background Bacterial biofilms are a surface-adherent microbial community in which individual cells are surrounded by a self-produced extracellular matrix of polysaccharides, extracellular DNA (eDNA) and proteins. Interactions among matrix components within the biofilms are responsible for creating an adaptable structure during biofilm development. However, it is unclear how the interaction among matrix components contributes to the construction of the three-dimensional (3D) biofilm architecture. Results DNase I treatment could significantly inhibit *B. subtilis* biofilm formation in early phases. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and image analysis revealed that eDNA was cooperative with EPS in early stages of *B. subtilis* biofilm development, while EPS played a major structural role in the later stages. In addition, deletion of EPS production gene *epsG* in *B. subtilis* SBE1 resulted in loss of the interaction between EPS and eDNA, and reduction of biofilm biomass in pellicles at air-liquid interface. The physical interaction between these two essential biofilm matrix components was confirmed by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Conclusions The biofilm 3D structures become interconnected through surrounding eDNA and EPS. eDNA interacts with EPS in the early phases of biofilm development, while EPS mainly participates in the maturation of biofilm. The findings of this study provide better understanding of the role of interaction between eDNA and EPS in shaping the biofilm 3D matrix structure and biofilm formation.

Background

Bacterial biofilms are heterogeneous communities that exhibit a remarkable degree of spatiotemporal organization [1-3]. The spatial architecture of multicellular communities depends on the production of extracellular matrix, which is mainly composed of polysaccharides, proteins, and extracellular DNA (eDNA) [4, 5]. eDNA, as an important matrix component in biofilms [5, 6], can be used by bacteria for several vital functions; for example, as structural components of biofilms [7], nutrient sources [8], and a gene pool for horizontal gene transfer (HGT) [9]. The significance of eDNA in biofilm formation has been studied in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [6], *Staphylococcus epidermidis* [10], *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [11] and *Vibrio cholerae* [12]. In these studies, young biofilms were easily disturbed by DNase I treatment, but this treatment was not effective against aged biofilms. This loss of sensitivity to DNase I treatment suggests that in mature biofilms, either other extracellular matrix components complement or replace eDNA functions or that eDNA is shielded from enzymatic degradation when bound to other biofilm components.

Exopolysaccharide (EPS) is one of the major extracellular biofilm matrixes [13, 14, 15]. Interactions between EPS and eDNA have been investigated in some bacterial biofilms. In *Streptococcus mutans* biofilms, the interaction between eDNA and glucan results in the formation of filamentous structures that play an important role in connecting bacterial cells [16]. In the case of *P. aeruginosa*, eDNA and the exopolysaccharide Psl physically interact in biofilms to form the web of Psl–eDNA fibres, which function as a skeleton facilitating bacterial adhesion and growth [17]. Meanwhile, Psl can interact with genomic DNA from human neutrophils or strains of *S. aureus*, implying that *P. aeruginosa* can utilize genomic DNA

from other organisms to form its own community [17]. Therefore, the eDNA–EPS interaction is important for the construction of biofilm architecture.

Bacillus subtilis, a gram-positive bacterium, produces a variety of biologically active compounds with a broad spectrum of activities against plant pathogens [18-23]. Due to the role of *B. subtilis* as a biocontrol agent in agricultural settings, a growing number of studies have focused on biofilm formation under natural and artificial conditions [18, 24-26]. EPS is a key component in the *B. subtilis* matrix that promotes cell binding in structural biofilms [27]. It has been proposed that eDNA released by dead cells during the process of cannibalism in *B. subtilis* 168 could be related to matrix development [28-31]. On the other hand, eDNA released from *B. subtilis* 3610 during the stationary phase is not involved in biofilm establishment [32]. However, how interactions between eDNA and EPS modulate *B. subtilis* biofilm formation processes and architecture construction is less known.

This study focused on elucidating (1) the role of eDNA in the construction of the *B. subtilis* biofilm 3D architecture and (2) the interaction between eDNA and EPS during biofilm formation. Here, we used confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and image analysis to investigate the role of eDNA during *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm structure formation. The $\Delta epsG$ strain (deletion of one EPS production gene) was used to examine the role of EPS and its interaction with eDNA during biofilm development. To better understand the interactions of EPS and eDNA, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was used to study the thermodynamics of the interactions between these two molecules.

Methods

Bacteria strains and cultivation conditions

Bacillus subtilis SBE1, a wild-type soil isolate, was obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Huazhong Agriculture University (Wuhan, China). *B. subtilis* SBE1 has been studied in our previous work where we showed that it can form biofilms in the presence of soil clay minerals and iron oxides [33]. This strain has been deposited in the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC), and the accession number is CCTCC AB 2018210. The whole genome sequences have been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession number QPGT01000000. The $\Delta epsG$ mutant defective for EPS polymerization was constructed by using homologous recombination as previous described [34].

Escherichia coli strains DH5 α obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology were used for standard DNA manipulations [35]. The kanamycin resistance gene in pDG780 plasmid [36] was inserted into integration sites (*epsG*) in the genome of *B. subtilis* after inducing electroporation transformation [34]. The primers designed in this study are 1-F-CTAGTCTAGACGCCCAAATGGGCAGGC, 1-R-CCGGAATTCCGGTCATGGT-CCTTTTCC; 3-F-CCGCTCGAGTTTATGCACGAGGAGCCG, 3-R-CGGGGTAC-CGAAGCTGAAAACTGATC. The relative amounts of bacterial extracellular carbohydrates were estimated by the phenol-sulfuric acid method (see details in the supplementary material) [37]. Planktonic cultures were maintained on Luria–Bertani (LB) medium (10 g of tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract,

and 10 g of NaCl per litre of broth) at 37 °C. *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms were cultivated at 37 °C in minimal salt glycerol glutamate (MSgg) medium [38].

DNase I treatment of biofilm

The role of eDNA in *B. subtilis* biofilm formation was investigated by adding DNase I (100 Kunitz units per mL) (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) to an inoculum of *B. subtilis* to degrade the eDNA produced during growth. Briefly, after incubation (see Strains and cultivation conditions above), bacteria were resuspended in MSgg medium [38] and diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. Next, 200 µL of the bacterial suspension was added to each well of a 96-well microtiter plate (Costar, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY) and incubated at 37 °C without shaking. After 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h, the supernatant from each well was removed, and then the biofilms (pellicles) were washed twice with 1 mL of sterile 0.9% NaCl. In addition, 10 µL of DNase I (final concentration: 100 Kunitz units per mL) was added either at the beginning of the experiment or after the biofilm was established. The biofilm biomass was quantified using crystal violet assays [38]. For each analysis, 1% w/v crystal violet solution (200 µL) was added to eight replicate wells, incubated for 10 min, and rinsed twice with 200 µL of sterile distilled water. The crystal violet in the residual biofilm was dissolved in 200 µL of absolute ethanol. Then, the OD at 595 nm was measured using a plate reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA).

Atomic force microscopy (AFM)

The topography of the biofilms with and without DNase I treatment (12 h) was investigated using a MultiMode 8 AFM with a NanoScope V controller (Bruker). The scanning modes used were as follows: 1) ScanAsyst mode using ScanAsyst-Air cantilevers with 0.4 N m⁻¹ nominal spring constant (Bruker) and 2) tapping mode using RTESP cantilevers with 40 N m⁻¹ nominal spring constant (Bruker) [39]. A scan size of 10×10 µm was used. Images were processed and analysed using NanoScope Analysis (Bruker).

Confocal image acquisition and analysis

The air-liquid interface biofilms were grown in 20 mm flat bottom cell culture dishes (Costar, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY). DNase I (100 Kunitz units per mL) was added to the glass chamber during inoculation. For CLSM observation, buffer was gently removed from the glass chambers to allow the pellicles to drop onto the glass bottom [33]. The biofilms were stained with a Live/Dead™ Bacterial Viability Kit (Bac Light™, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen). The arrangement of the biofilm matrix was determined by direct incorporation of fluorescent labels during synthesis of the exopolysaccharide (EPS) and eDNA matrix, which allowed the examination of the 3D structure within intact biofilms [15, 40]. The labelling of EPS and eDNA matrix was performed after biofilms were developed. Extracellular DNA in biofilms was labelled by TOTO-1 nucleic acid stain (cell impermeable, 514/533 nm; Molecular Probes) and PI nucleic acid stain (cell impermeable, 535/615 nm; Molecular Probes). The exopolysaccharide matrix was labelled by ConA (α-polysaccharides) (590/617 nm; Molecular Probes) [41]. The bacteria in biofilms were labelled by SYTO 9 nucleic acid stain (cell permeable, 485/535 nm; Molecular Probes) and

SYTO 60 nucleic acid stain (cell permeable, 652/678 nm; Molecular Probes). The imaging was performed using an Olympus FV 1000 multiphoton laser scanning microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 40× (0.95 numerical aperture) objective lens.

The confocal images were analysed by using software to visualize and quantify the bacterial cells, EPS and eDNA within intact biofilms. Imaris 7.4.2 (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland) was used to rebuild each structural component (bacteria, EPS and eDNA) within the biofilms to visualize the 3D architecture and morphology. Quantitative characterization of each structural component within the 3D biofilm images was performed as reported previously [15, 41]. The images were imported to JACoP (Fabrice P. Cordelieres, Institut Curies, Orsay, France), a plugin for ImageJ software [43]. Then, Pearson's coefficient (PC) and the thresholded Mander's coefficients tM_1 and tM_2 were calculated as described previously [42, 49].

DNA purification and preparation of EPS extract

Genomic DNA of *B. subtilis* SBE1 (final concentration: 0.003 mol/L), *B. subtilis* 3610 (0.005 mol/L), *Shewanella oneidensis* MR1 (0.01 mol/L) and *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 (0.07 mol/L) was extracted by using Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kits (Promega). EPS were extracted from batch cultures of *B. subtilis* SBE1 as previously described [7]. To remove the DNA, crude EPS was treated with both enzymes, followed by DNase I (100 µg mL⁻¹) for 1 h at 37 °C and proteinase K (100 µg mL⁻¹) for 1 h at 60 °C.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

Enthalpy changes (ΔH) of the interaction between DNA and EPS were determined by isothermal calorimetry using a NANO ITC 2G (TA Instruments, USA). EPS and genomic DNA were dissolved in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4). EPS (2.25 mg mL⁻¹) were dispensed into the microcalorimetric cell (volume 1.3 mL), and the DNA solution was filled into the syringe compartment (volume 250 µL). DNA was titrated in 10 µL portions (3.14 µL for the first injection) into the EPS-containing cell under constant stirring, and the heat of reaction was plotted versus time. All measurements were performed at 25 °C. Data were analysed by using NANOANALYZE software [44].

Statistical analysis

Data were basically described by means and respective standard deviations (SD). P-values were acquired using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test to evaluate statistical significance using SPSS 17.0 software. Differences were regarded as statistically significant when $p < 0.05$.

Results And Discussions

The role of eDNA in the construction of the *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm 3D architecture

In order to understand the contribution of eDNA in the biofilm formation of *B. subtilis* SBE1, the impact of DNase I on biofilm formation was tested using a static biofilm assay. Biofilms were grown either with or without DNase I in the medium [45]. The formation of biofilms grown for 3, 6, and 12 h with DNase I was clearly suppressed compared with the untreated control, based on crystal violet assay (3 h, $P=0.0020$; 6 h, $P=0.0003$; 12 h, $P=0.0000$) (Fig. 1A). In contrast, biofilms grown with DNase I for 24 and 48 h were not significantly different from biofilms grown without DNase I (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 1B, the biofilms that were 3, 6, and 12 h old when the DNase I treatments were initiated were dissolved (3 h, $P=0.420$; 6 h, $P=0.0392$; 12 h, $P=0.0005$), whereas the biofilms that were 24 and 48 h old at the time of DNase I exposure were only affected to a minor degree. The use of DNase for biofilm removal is effective but dependent on the age of the biofilm. Young biofilms are easily removed by DNase, but DNase treatment is not effective once the biofilm has aged past a certain point. Such a transition has been documented for, for example, *S. epidermidis* (at 12 h) [10], *P. aeruginosa* (at 80 h) [6], and *Vibrio cholera* (at 72 h) [12]. What causes the resistance of the biofilm to DNase remains to be explored, but this temporary sensitivity suggests either that other extracellular matrix components replace or complement eDNA within the mature biofilm or that eDNA is bound by another component that shields it from enzymatic degradation.

The biofilm treated with DNase I after 12 h was further characterized using atomic force microscopy (AFM) to measure the depth of the furrows generated (Fig. 2). In the absence of DNase I, furrows between cells were ~200 nm in depth (Fig. 2 A, B and E). In the presence of DNase I, the furrows of the expanding biofilm were significantly deeper (up to 400 nm) than those formed in the absence of DNase I (Fig. 2 C, D and E) ($P<0.001$), suggesting that the gaps between cells in biofilms may be filled with eDNA. These results are consistent with what has been reported for other species [45-47], indicating that eDNA may be an adhesion compound enabling cell-to-cell attachment, which initiates biofilm formation. To examine how eDNA functions as a cell-cell adhesin during biofilm development, the construction of an eDNA matrix in a biofilm was examined over time. The formation and sequence of assembly of eDNA changed over time. After 12 h of biofilm development (Fig. 3A), the cells were densely packed in the eDNA matrix, forming a 3D biofilm structure (termed the eDNA-microcolony complex, highlighted with red box). After 24 h, the biofilm structures expanded in several dimensions with less eDNA-matrix enmeshed in and around the bacteria (Fig. 3B and 3C). Imaris analysis also showed that the content of eDNA in the biofilms was substantially reduced after 24 h (Fig. 4B). These results suggested that other matrix components may complement eDNA as cell-cell adhesins when the biofilm becomes mature or perhaps that eDNA in mature biofilms interacts with other biomolecules that can protect the eDNA from DNase degradation. It has been previously reported that cells can interact during the biofilm accumulation phase of *S. aureus* through recycled cytoplasmic proteins, which can be linked by eDNA [48]. In this study, eDNA was shown to promote initial biofilm formation (Fig. 1), and the cells within the biofilm were surrounded by eDNA at 12 h (Fig. 2), suggesting that eDNA may play an important role in cell-to-cell interconnection during early *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm formation. *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms at late stages were less susceptible to DNase I-induced dispersal (Fig. 1b), indicating that another biofilm component (i.e., EPS) may replace or complement eDNA within mature biofilms. At 24 h, the reduction of the amount of eDNA suggested that

EPS may replace eDNA as the backbone structural matrix in the biofilms. As shown in Fig. S1, in the following 24 h, more bacteria gathered in the biofilms. Meanwhile, the amount of eDNA increased again in the 48-h biofilm (Fig. 4), suggesting that eDNA may assist EPS during biofilm maturation. It has also been reported that peptidoglycan, as an additional essential component, is required for DNA-dependent biofilm development in *Listeria monocytogenes* [49].

EPS and eDNA colocalization in *B. subtilis* SBE1 3D biofilms

The spatial assembly of EPS and eDNA at each developmental stage of wild-type biofilms was examined at 12, 24 and 48 h by CLSM (Fig. 4). Fig. 4Aa shows the 3D evolution of the EPS-eDNA interaction over time. The cross-sectional images at each time point are shown in Fig. 4Ab-j. At the 12-h time point, the biofilms contained considerable eDNA, which connected bacteria (Fig. 4A a (1)); at the 24-h time point, most eDNA was peripherally localized, and EPS was found to concentrate inside the biofilms (Fig. 4Aa (2)); at the 48-h time point, eDNA and EPS covered the entire structure (Fig. 4Aa (3)).

This observation was supported by the quantitative colocalization analysis. As shown in Fig. 5, Pearson's coefficient (PC) defines the quality of a linear relationship between two signals. Mander's coefficients are based on Pearson's correlation coefficient, and the average intensity values are obtained from the mathematical expression. The thresholded Mander's coefficients were calculated by setting the threshold to the estimated value of background instead of zero [50, 51]. The analysis of seven image stacks by these methods showed the complete colocalization of eDNA-EPS in wild-type biofilms (Fig. 5A). The thresholded Mander's tM_1 (M1thr) indicated the fraction of eDNA (TOTO-1 signal, green) overlapping with EPS (ConA signal, orange), and tM_2 (M2thr) indicated the fraction of EPS overlapping eDNA. The PC (black bar) will tend to 0 when random noise is added to complete colocalizing structures. At 12 h, a wider distribution of eDNA was observed in the intercellular space compared to EPS. Most of the EPS overlapped with the eDNA (M1thr < 0.5, M2thr > 0.5). At 24 h, most of the eDNA overlapped with the EPS (M1thr > 0.5, M2thr < 0.5). At 48 h, the eDNA and EPS were completely colocalized (M1thr > 0.5, M2thr > 0.5). This quantitative analysis was consistent with the CLSM observations, suggesting that eDNA was cooperative with EPS in early stages, while EPS might play a larger role in the later stages of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm development.

Spatial assembly of EPS and eDNA in biofilms of the *B. subtilis* SBE1 *epsG* mutant

To further confirm the spatial assembly of EPS and eDNA during the development of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms, an EPS defective mutant was constructed. It has been reported that the major EPS component of all *B. subtilis* biofilms is synthesized by the products of the 15-gene operon *eps A-O* (referred to as the *eps* operon) [27, 52-55]. The molecular structure of EPS has yet to be elucidated. To date, only a subset of EPS genes have been studied individually. EpsA and EpsB act as tyrosine kinase modulators and tyrosine kinases, respectively, and both are required for biofilm formation [54]. EpsE is a bifunctional protein that coordinates the production of EPS with the cessation of motility [55]. EpsG is a protein that is presumably involved in EPS polymerization [27]. Among these genes, the deletion of *epsG* could prevent surface-

adhered biofilm formation even in the $\Delta sipW$ suppressor strain [56]. The above-described data indicate that eDNA may cooperate with EPS, which promotes cell-cell adhesion during early biofilm development. To confirm this, the $\Delta epsG$ mutant was constructed to weaken the function of surface adhesion of EPS during biofilm formation. The genome of *B. subtilis* SBE1 also contains homologous *epsA-O* genes (the genetic locus for the *epsG* gene is as follows: open reading frame Query_1049240 [*epsG*]). As shown in Fig. S2, the $\Delta epsG$ mutant produced significantly less cell-associated EPS than wild-type cells. The deletion of the EPS production gene *epsG* in *B. subtilis* SBE1 also resulted in a reduction in biofilm biomass in pellicles at the air-liquid interface (Fig. S1). Then, the spatial assembly of EPS and eDNA at each developmental stage of $\Delta epsG$ mutant biofilms was also examined at 12, 24 and 48 h by CLSM (Fig. 6). Quantitative image analysis showed that there was a substantial reduction in the contents of both eDNA and EPS in the biofilms of the $\Delta epsG$ mutant compared to wild-type *B. subtilis* SBE1 (Fig. 4 and 6). Biofilms formed by the $\Delta epsG$ mutant contained eDNA that did not colocalize with EPS in the biofilm (Fig. 6A). A similar pattern has been observed in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. The Pel and Psl polysaccharides contribute to eDNA release and distribution during PAO1 biofilm development. Biofilms formed by the PAO1 $\Delta pelA$ mutant contained eDNA in the inner parts of microcolony structures. Biofilms formed by the PAO1 $\Delta ps/BCD$ mutant contained a small amount of eDNA close to the substratum of biofilms [57]. Therefore, it is possible that *epsG* may be involved in eDNA release and distribution during *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm formation.

By using the DNA dye TOTO-1 along with the EPS dye ConA, quantitative colocalization analysis showed that eDNA colocalized with EPS in *B. subtilis* SBE1 pellicles but not in $\Delta epsG$ strain pellicles. In addition, the eDNA and EPS in $\Delta epsG$ mutant biofilms exhibited partial colocalization (12 h, 24 h) ($M1thr < 0.5$, $M2thr < 0.5$) (Fig. 5B). The colocalization of eDNA and EPS observed in native extracellular matrix provided evidence for direct interactions between eDNA and EPS in *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms. These results indicated that eDNA interacts with EPS in the early phases of biofilm development, while EPS participates in the maturation of biofilms. The transition of the role of eDNA from initial construction of the 3D extracellular matrix to matrix microaggregation is similar to the role of eDNA and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) in biofilms of *Streptococcus mutants* [58].

The colocalization of eDNA and EPS in *B. subtilis* SBE1 pellicles suggested the potential physical interaction between these two components. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is an important technique to study the thermodynamics of molecular interactions. It has been used to study the molecular interaction between protein and lipid polysaccharide (LPS) [59]. The interaction between DNA and EPS could be determined by entropy changes (ΔH) because of the binding between them. Consistently, isothermal titration calorimetry results showed that the total heat produced from DNA from *B. subtilis* SBE1, *B. subtilis* 3610, *Shewanella oneidensis* MR1 and *P. putida* KT2440 binding to EPS was $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$, $(2.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$, $(9.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ and $(8.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ KJ ($n = 3$), respectively (Fig. S3E). The calculated binding enthalpy (the binding heat per DNA molecule) of EPS was 222.72 ± 26.34 , 162.77 ± 17.82 , 338.46 ± 45.23 and 398.50 ± 38.67 kJ/mol ($n = 3$) for *B. subtilis* SBE1, *B. subtilis* 3610, *Shewanella oneidensis* MR1 and *P. putida* KT2440, respectively (Fig. S3F), indicating that the interaction

between DNA from MR1 and KT2440 and EPS is more exothermic than DNA from SBE1 and 3610. This selectivity between DNA and EPS may be driven by a small energy difference of the interactions, such as electrostatic and van der Waals forces [60]. However, EPS of *B. subtilis* SBE1 can interact with DNA from these bacteria, which might enable *B. subtilis* SBE1 cells to bind eDNA from these bacteria, leading to biofilm formation.

Conclusions

eDNA and EPS, two essential matrix components of the *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm, cooperate by physically interacting in bacterial biofilms. Over time, the biofilm 3D structures become interconnected through surrounding eDNA and EPS. eDNA interacts with EPS in the early phases of biofilm development, while EPS mainly participates in the maturation of biofilms. Based on our research, we proposed a model to describe how the eDNA-EPS interaction mediates the construction of the complex 3D biofilm architecture and establishes spatial heterogeneities in *B. subtilis* SBE1. Complex 3D biofilms form in the following sequence: (1) initial aggregation, bacterial cells are connected and bridged by eDNA and EPS; (2) accumulation, a core of EPS-enmeshed bacterial cells is formed to provide a supporting framework; and (3) maturation, bacterial cells divide and accumulate, and EPS and DNA are evenly distributed in the biofilm (Fig. 7). The interaction between eDNA and EPS plays a vital role in the construction of 3D biofilm architecture. In addition, the eDNA-EPS interaction might increase the survival of *B. subtilis* SBE1 in different environments by allowing eDNA from other microbial species to act as a scaffold on which a community can grow.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

No human/animal experiment is involved in this study.

Consent to publish

Yes

Availability of data and materials

Yes, available from corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41877029, 41961130383), Royal Society-Newton Advanced Fellowship (NAF\R1\191017), the National Key Research Program of China (2016YFD0800206) and Wuhan Science and Technology Bureau (2019020701011469).

Authors' contributions

N. P. conceived the experimental strategy, conducted experiments, acquired data, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. P. C. acquired funding, conceived the experimental strategy, wrote the manuscript. M. M wrote the manuscript. Y. C. W and C. H. G analyzed data. Q. Y. H wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Zhe Hu for the help in technical matters of SIM.

Authors' Information

State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China

Na Peng, Peng Cai, Yichao Wu, Chunhui Gao, Qiaoyun Huang

Bren School of Environmental Science and Management and Earth Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

Monika Mortimer

References

- [1] M. Ghannoum and G.A. O'Toole. Microbial Biofilms, Washington, DC: *ASM Press* (2004).
- [2] P.S. Stewart and M.J. Franklin. Physiological Heterogeneity in Biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6 (2008) 199-210.
- [3] D. López, R. Kolter. Functional microdomains in bacterial membranes. *Genes Dev* 24 (2010) 1893–1902.
- [4] S.S. Branda, Vik Å, L. Friedman and R. Kolter. Biofilms: the matrix revisited. *Trends Microbiol* 13(1) (2005) 0-26.
- [5] H-C. Flemming, J. Wingender. The biofilm matrix. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8 (2010) 623–633.
- [6] C.B. Whitchurch, T. Tolker-Nielsen, P.C. Ragas, J.S. Mattick. Extracellular DNA required for bacterial biofilm formation. *Science* 295 (2002) 1487.

- [7] D.M. Dominiak, J.L. Nielsen, and P.H. Nielsen. Extracellular DNA is abundant and important for microcolony strength in mixed microbial biofilms. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13 (2011) 710–721.
- [8] Finkel, S.E., and Kolter, R. DNA as a nutrient: novel role for bacterial competence gene homologs. *J Bacteriol* 183 (2001) 6288–6293.
- [9] S. Molin, T. Tolker-Nielsen. Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in biofilms and induces enhanced stabilization of the biofilm structure. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 14 (2003) 255–261.
- [10] Z. Qin, Y. Ou, L. Yang, Y. Zhu, T. Tolker-Nielsen, S. Molin, D. Qu. Role of autolysin-mediated DNA release in biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Microbiology* 153 (2007) 2083–2092.
- [11] L. Hall-stoodley, L. Nistico, K. Sambanthamoorthy, B. Dice, D. Nguyen, J.W. Mershon, C. Johnson, F.Z. Hu, P. Stoodley, G.D. Ehrlich and J.C. Post. Characterization of biofilm matrix, degradation by DNase treatment and evidence of capsule downregulation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* clinical isolates. *BMC Microbiol.* 8 (2008) 173.
- [12] A. Seper, V.H.I. Fengler, S. Roier, H. Wolinski, S.D. Kohlwein, A.L. Bishop, A. Camilli, J. Reidl and S. Schild. Extracellular nucleases and extracellular DNA play important roles in *Vibrio cholerae* biofilm formation. *Mol. Microbiol.* 82 (2011) 1015–1037.
- [13] L. Ma, M. Conover, H. Lu, M.R. Parsek, K. Bayles and D.J. Wozniak. Assembly and development of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix. *PLoS Pathog* 5 (2009) e1000354.
- [14] Sutherland, I. W. in *Comprehensive Glycoscience* Vol. 2 (ed. Kamerling, J. P.) 521–558 (Elsevier, Doordrecht, 2007).
- [15] J. Xiao, M.I. Klein, M.L. Falsetta, B. Lu, C.M. Delahunty, J.R. Yates, A. Heydorn, H. Koo. The exopolysaccharide matrix modulates the interaction between 3D architecture and virulence of a mixed-species oral biofilm. *PLoS Pathog* 8 (2012) e1002623.
- [16] S. Liao, M.I. Klein, K.P. Heim, Y. Fan, J.P. Bitoun, S.J. Ahn, R.A. Burne, H. Koo, L.J. Brady, Z. Wen. *Streptococcus mutans* extracellular DNA is upregulated during growth in biofilms, actively released via membrane vesicles, and influenced by components of the protein secretion machinery. *J Bacteriol* 196 (2014) 2355-2366.
- [17] S. Wang, X. Liu, L. Zhang, Y. Guo, S. Yu, D.J. Wozniak, L.Z. Ma. The exopolysaccharide Psl–eDNA interaction enables the formation of a biofilm skeleton in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 7(2) (2015) 330-340.
- [18] H.P. Bais, R. Fall, J.M. Vivanco. Biocontrol of *Bacillus subtilis* against infection of Arabidopsis Roots by *Pseudomonas syringae* is facilitated by biofilm formation and surfactin production. *Plant Physio* 134(1) (2004) 307-319.

- [19] T. Stein, S. Dusterhus, A. Stroh, K.D. Entian. Subtilosin production by two *Bacillus subtilis* subspecies and variance of the *sbo-alb* cluster. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70 (2004) 2349–2353.
- [20] R.A. Butcher, F.C. Schroeder, M.A. Fischbach, P.D. Straight, R. Kolter, C.T. Walsh, J. Clardy. The identification of bacillaene, the product of the PksX megacomplex in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104 (2007) 1506–1509.
- [21] K. Nagorska, M. Bikowski, M. Obuchowski. Multicellular behaviour and production of a wide variety of toxic substances support usage of *Bacillus subtilis* as a powerful biocontrol agent. *Acta Biochim Pol* 54 (2007) 495–508.
- [22] M. Ongena, E. Jourdan, A. Adam, M. Paquot, A. Brans, B. Joris, J.L. Arpigny, P. Thonart. Surfactin and fengycin lipopeptides of *Bacillus subtilis* as elicitors of induced systemic resistance in plants. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9 (2007) 1084–1090.
- [23] M. Ongena, P. Jacques. *Bacillus* lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease biocontrol. *Trends Microbiol* 16 (2008) 115–125.
- [24] Y. Chen, S. Cao, Y. Chai, J. Clardy, R. Kolter, J.H. Guo, R. Losick. A *Bacillus subtilis* sensor kinase involved in triggering biofilm formation on the roots of tomato plants. *Mol Microbiol* 85 (2012) 418–430.
- [25] Y. Chen, F. Yan, Y. Chai, H. Liu, R. Kolter, R. Losick, J.H. Guo. Biocontrol of tomato wilt disease by *Bacillus subtilis* isolates from natural environments depends on conserved genes mediating biofilm formation. *Environ Microbiol* 15 (2013) 848–864.
- [26] P.B. Beauregard, Y. Chai, H. Vlamakis, R. Losick, R. Kolter. *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm induction by plant polysaccharides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110 (2013) E1621–1630.
- [27] S.S. Branda, F. Chu, D.B. Kearns, R. Losick, R. Kolter. A major protein component of the *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm matrix. *Mol Microbiol* 59 (2006) 1229–1238.
- [28] R.P. Sinha, V.N. Iyer. Competence for genetic transformation and the release of DNA from *Bacillus subtilis*[J]. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Nucleic Acids and Protein Synthesis* 232(1) (1971) 61-71.
- [29] D. López, H. Vlamakis, R. Losick, and R. Kolter. Cannibalism enhances biofilm development in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 74 (2009) 609–618.
- [30] W.D. Crabb, U.N. Streips, and R.J. Doyle. Selective enrichment for genetic markers in DNA released by competent cultures of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 155 (1977) 179–183.
- [31] A.L. Ibáñez de Aldecoa, O. Zafra, & J.E. González-Pastor. Mechanisms and regulation of extracellular DNA release and its biological roles in microbial communities. *Front Microbiol* 8 (2017) 1390.

- [32] O. Zafra, María Lamprecht-Grandío, C. González de Figueras, & J. E. González-Pastor. Extracellular DNA release by undomesticated *Bacillus subtilis* is regulated by early competence. *PLOS ONE*, 7 (2012).
- [33] W. Ma, D. Peng, S.L. Walker, B. Cao, C.H. Gao, & Q. Huang, C. Peng. *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm development in the presence of soil clay minerals and iron oxides. *npj Biofilms and Microbiomes*, 3 (2017) 4.
- [34] D. Dubnau. Genetic exchange and homologous recombination[M] *Bacillus subtilis* and other gram-positive bacteria. *American Society of Microbiology* (1993) 555-584.
- [35] Cue D, Lam H, Dillingham R L, et al. Genetic manipulation of *Bacillus methanolicus*, a gram-positive, thermotolerant methylotroph[J]. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63(1997) 1406-1420.
- [36] Wecke T, Bauer T, Harth H, et al. The rhamnolipid stress response of *Bacillus subtilis*[J]. *FEMS microbiology letters* 323(2011) 113-123.
- [37] Brimacombe, C.A., Stevens, A., Jun, D., Mercer, R., Lang, A.S. and Beatty, J.T. Quorum-sensing regulation of a capsular polysaccharide receptor for the *Rhodobacter capsulatus* gene transfer agent (RcGTA). *Mol Microbiol* 87 (2013) 802-817.
- [38] B.M. Coffey, & G.G. Anderson. Biofilm formation in the 96-well microtiter plate. In *Pseudomonas Methods and Protocols* (pp. 631-641). *Humana Press*, New York, NY (2014).
- [39] E.S. Gloag, L. Turnbull, A. Huang, P. Vallotton, H. Wang, L.M. Nolan & L.G. Monahan. Self-organization of bacterial biofilms is facilitated by extracellular DNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(28) (2013) 11541-11546.
- [40] M. Okshevsky, & R.L. Meyer. Evaluation of fluorescent stains for visualizing extracellular DNA in biofilms. *J Microbiol Meth* 105 (2014) 102-104.
- [41] G.H. Yu, Z. Tang, Y.C. Xu, & Q.R. Shen. Multiple fluorescence labeling and two dimensional FTIR–¹³C NMR heterospectral correlation spectroscopy to characterize extracellular polymeric substances in biofilms produced during composting. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45 (2011) 9224-9231.
- [42] J. Xiao, H. Koo. Structural organization and dynamics of exopolysaccharide matrix and microcolonies formation by *Streptococcus mutans* in biofilms[J]. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 108 (2010) 2103-2113.
- [43] W.S. Rasband. ImageJ. US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, U.S.A (1997–2006).
- [44] B. Ni, Z. Huang, Z. Fan, C.Y. Jiang, and S.J. Liu. *Comamonas testosteroni* uses a chemoreceptor for tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates to trigger chemotactic responses towards aromatic compounds. *Mol Microbiol* 90 (2013) 813–823.

- [45] L. Tang, A. Schramm, T.R. Neu, N.P. Revsbech, R.L. Meyer. Extracellular DNA in adhesion and biofilm formation of four environmental isolates: a quantitative study. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 86 (2013) 394–403.
- [46] S. Vilain, J.M. Pretorius, J. Theron, V.S. Brözel. DNA as an adhesin: *Bacillus cereus* requires extracellular DNA to form biofilms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75 (2009) 2861–8.
- [47] M. Lappann, H. Claus, T. van Alen, M. Harmsen, J. Elias, S. Molin, U. Vogel. A dual role of extracellular DNA during biofilm formation of *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 75 (2010) 1355–1371.
- [48] L. Hobley, C. Harkins, C.E. Macphee, N.R. Stanleywall. Giving structure to the biofilm matrix: an overview of individual strategies and emerging common themes. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 39 (2015) 649-69.
- [49] M. Harmsen, M. Lappann, S. Knøchel, S. Molin. Role of extracellular DNA during biofilm formation by *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76 (2010) 2271–2279.
- [50] E. Manders, J. Stap, G. Brakenhoff, R. van Driel & J. Aten. Dynamics of three-dimensional replication patterns during the Sphase, analysed by double labelling of DNA and confocal microscopy. *J. Cell Sci.* 103 (1992) 857–862.
- [51] S. Bolte, & F.P. Cordelieres. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. *J. Microsc.* 224 (2006) 213-232.
- [52] S.S. Branda, J.E. González-Pastor, S. Ben-Yehuda, R. Losick, R. Kolter. Fruiting body formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98 (2001) 11621-11626.
- [53] D.B. Kearns, F. Chu, S.S. Branda, R. Kolter, R. Losick. A master regulator for biofilm formation by *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* 55 (2005) 739–749.
- [54] J. Gerwig, T.B. Kiley, K. Gunka, N. Stanley-Wall, J. Stulke. The protein tyrosine kinases EpsB and PtkA differentially affect biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Microbiology* 160 (2014): 682–691.
- [55] S.B. Guttenplan, K.M. Blair, D.B. Kearns. The EpsE flagellar clutch is bifunctional and synergizes with EPS biosynthesis to promote *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm formation[J]. *PLoS Genet* 6 (2010) e1001243.
- [56] R. Terra, N.R. Stanley-Wall, G. Cao, B.A. Lazazzera. Identification of *Bacillus subtilis sipW* as a bifunctional signal peptidase that controls surface-adhered biofilm formation. *J. Bacteriol.* 194 (2012) 2781–2790.
- [57] L. Yang, Y. Hu, Y. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Ulstrup, S. Molin. Distinct roles of extracellular polymeric substances in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development. *Environ Microbiol* 13 (2011) 1705-1717.
- [58] M.C. Castillo Pedraza, T.F. Novais, R.C. Faustoferri, R.G. Quivey, A. Terekhov, B.R. Hamaker, & M.I. Klein. Extracellular DNA and lipoteichoic acids interact with exopolysaccharides in the extracellular matrix of *Streptococcus mutans* biofilms. *Biofouling* 33 (2017) 722–740.

[59] A. Gries, R. Prassl, S. Fukuoka, M. Rossle, Y. Kaconis, L. Heinbockel, T. Gutschmann, K. Brandenburg. Biophysical analysis of the interaction of the serum protein human beta2GPI with bacterial lipopolysaccharide. *FEBS Open Bio* 4 (2014) 432–440.

[60] D.S Tawfik. Accuracy-rate tradeoffs: how do enzymes meet demands of selectivity and catalytic efficiency? *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 21 (2014), 73–80

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information contains (1) additional details on the materials and methods; (2) a figure of structural arrangement of bacteria during biofilm development of *B. subtilis* SBE1 and its EPS mutant ($\Delta epsG$); (3) a figure of total carbohydrate content of EPS produced by *B. subtilis* SBE1 and its EPS mutant ($\Delta epsG$); (5) a figure of isothermal titration calorimetry measurement to determine the interaction of EPS and genomic DNA

Figures

Figure 1

Effect of eDNA removal on biofilm formation in microtiter plates. DNase I was either added at the beginning of the experiment (A) or after the biofilm had established (B). Biomass was quantified by using a crystal violet assay. White bars = DNase I treated, black bars = untreated control. The bars are means of five replicates, and the error bars represent standard deviations. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$ for comparisons of data obtained in the absence of DNase I and in the presence of DNase I.

Figure 2

AFM surface profiles of biofilms (12 h). 3D AFM images of biofilms cultured in the absence (A) and presence of DNase I (C). Scale is a relative color scale. A is scaled to 467.7 nm, and C is scaled to 490.2 nm. Measurements were taken between cells to generate a depth profile as shown in B and D. The y-axis scale is different for B and D. (E) Depths of furrows between cells from biofilms cultured in the absence (-, $n = 10$ from three AFM images) and presence (+, $n = 10$ from three AFM images) of DNase I. Error bars represent standard deviations. ***P < 0.001

Figure 3

Dynamics of morphogenesis, 3D architecture development and microbial population shifts of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms. The biofilms stained with TOTO-1 for eDNA (green) and SYTO 60 for bacteria (red). Representative 3D rendering images of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms at 12 h (A), 24 h (B) and 48 h (C). At the upper left of each panel, the two channels are displayed separately, while the merged image is displayed

at the bottom right. A magnified (close-up) view of each small box depicted in the merged image is positioned in the upper right corner of each panel.

Figure 4

Structural arrangement between EPS and eDNA during biofilm development of *B. subtilis* SBE1. (A) Representative 3D renderings of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms at 12, 24 and 48 h: (a) shows the dynamic evolution of biofilms over time. Panel (b-J) show cross sectional images of selected area for close-up views of structural organization of EPS (orange) and eDNA (green) during the development of biofilm matrix complex. (B) The biomass values of EPS and eDNA in the biofilms were calculated using Imaris 7.4.2. The data shown are mean values $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$).

Figure 5

The analysis of eDNA-EPS colocalization coefficients in *B. subtilis* SBE1 (A) and its EPS mutant (Δ epsG) (B) biofilms. Columns showed the eDNA-EPS colocalization coefficients analyzed from seven images by three methods: Pearson's correlation coefficient (PC), the thresholded Mander's tM1 (M1thr) representing fraction of eDNA overlapping EPS, and tM2 (M2thr) representing fraction of EPS overlapping eDNA.

B.

Biomass ($\mu\text{m}^3/\mu\text{m}^2$)	12 h	24 h	48 h
eDNA	0.73 ± 0.11	0.75 ± 0.12	0.77 ± 0.03
EPS	0.74 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.14	0.76 ± 0.06

Figure 6

Structural arrangement between EPS and eDNA during biofilm development of EPS mutant (ΔepsG). (A) Representative 3D renderings of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilms at 12, 24 and 48 h: (a) shows the dynamic evolution of biofilms over time. Panel (b-J) show cross sectional images of selected area for close-up views of structural organization of EPS (orange) and eDNA (green) during the development of biofilm matrix complex. (B) The biomass values of EPS and eDNA in the biofilms were calculated using Imaris 7.4.2. The data shown are mean values $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$).

Figure 7

Proposed model for how eDNA-EPS interaction modulates 3D architecture of *B. subtilis* SBE1 biofilm. Complex 3D biofilm forms in the following sequence: (1) initial aggregation, bacterial cells are connected and bridged by eDNA and EPS; (2) accumulation, a core of EPS-enmeshed bacterial cells is formed to provide a supporting framework; and (3) maturation, bacterial cells divide and accumulate, and EPS and DNA are evenly distributed in the biofilm

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [RevisedSupplementaryMaterialwithmarkedchanges.docx](#)