Structure and Function of an Atypical Homodimeric Actin Capping Protein from the Malaria Parasite

Ábris Ádám Bendes

University of Oulu

Petri Kursula

University of Oulu

Inari Kursula (✉ inari.kursula@uib.no)

University of Oulu <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5236-7056>

Research Article

Keywords: actin polymerization, capping protein, crystal structure, homodimer, Plasmodium, polymerization kinetics

Posted Date: September 24th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-905037/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences on February 1st, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-021-04032-0>.

Abstract

Apicomplexan parasites, such as *Plasmodium* spp., rely on an unusual actomyosin motor, termed glideosome, for motility and host cell invasion. The actin filaments are maintained by a small set of essential regulators, which provide control over actin dynamics in the different stages of the parasite life cycle. Actin filament capping proteins (CPs) are indispensable heterodimeric regulators of actin dynamics. CPs have been extensively characterized in higher eukaryotes, but their role and functional mechanism in Apicomplexa remain enigmatic. Here, we present the first crystal structure of a homodimeric CP from the malaria parasite and compare the homo- and heterodimeric CP structures in detail. Despite retaining several characteristics of a canonical CP, the homodimeric *Plasmodium berghei* (*Pb*)CP exhibits crucial differences to the canonical heterodimers. Both homo- and heterodimeric *Pb*CPs regulate actin dynamics in an atypical manner, facilitating rapid turnover of parasite actin, without affecting its critical concentration. Homo- and heterodimeric *Pb*CPs show partially redundant activities, possibly to rescue actin filament capping in life cycle stages where the β -subunit is downregulated. Our data suggest that the homodimeric *Pb*CP also influences actin kinetics by recruiting lateral actin dimers. This unusual function could arise from the absence of a β -subunit, as the asymmetric *Pb*CP homodimer lacks structural elements essential for canonical barbed end interactions suggesting a novel CP binding mode. These findings will facilitate further studies aimed at elucidating the precise actin filament capping mechanism in *Plasmodium*.

Introduction

Alongside other members of the vast phylum of Apicomplexa, the unicellular parasites responsible for malaria (*Plasmodium* spp.) use a special actomyosin motor, the glideosome, for motility and host cell invasion during their complex life cycle [1]. Unlike other Apicomplexa, *Plasmodium* parasites encode two non-canonical actin isoforms [2, 3]. In *Plasmodium falciparum*, the causative agent of the most deadly form of malaria in humans, actin isoform I (*PfActI*) is constitutively expressed, encompassing the complete parasite life cycle [4]. With < 80% sequence identity with actins from opisthokonts [3, 5], *PfActI* polymerizes into short, highly dynamic filaments *via* the canonical nucleation-elongation mechanism [5, 6]. Fast gliding motility of the parasite requires rapid filament turnover and tight spatial and temporal control. This is conveyed through the innate instability of the *PfActI* filaments [7–9] and a modest set of 10-15 actin-binding proteins (ABPs) [10–12]. The *Plasmodium* genome lacks identifiable orthologs of nucleating factors, such as the Arp2/3 branching complex and WASP homologs, the majority of end cappers like gelsolin and tropomodulin, multiple filament-severing and cross-linking agents, and regulators like ENA/VASP proteins [11–13]. The central apicomplexan ABPs include profilin [14], essential for ookinete motility and blood stages [15], nucleation-promoting formins [16], involved in host cell invasion [17] and intracellular replication [18], filament-severing actin-depolymerizing factors [19], vital during the pathogenic erythrocytic stages [20] and implicated in sporogony [21], and actin capping proteins (CPs) [22, 23]. Several of these proteins have atypical or moonlighting properties in comparison to their canonical counterparts [13].

CPs are ubiquitous proteins, usually present in eukaryotes as multiple isoforms [24]. CPs play a crucial role in actin dynamics by binding to the fast-growing barbed end of filamentous actin (F-actin) with high affinity in a Ca^{2+} -independent manner [25], limiting protomer exchange to the pointed end. Metazoan CPs characterized to date nucleate polymerization, decrease the elongation rate in preseeded systems, block dilution-induced depolymerization from barbed ends, and increase the critical concentration to the level of the pointed end [24]. CPs are present in various cytoskeletal structures, such as lamellipodial protrusions [26], dynactin [27], and in the sarcomere, linking microfilaments to the Z-disks [28]. CPs are essential for human and zebrafish morphogenesis [29] and belong to the core set of proteins needed to reconstitute actin-based motility *in vitro* [30]. The average cytosolic concentration of CP in eukaryotes is in the range of 0.5-1.5 mM [31, 32], which, considering the high affinity and 1:1 stoichiometry of CP towards actin filaments, leads to a high number of constantly capped barbed ends *in vivo* [32]. However, formation of free barbed ends is essential for rapid actin network assembly [33, 34], and control of CP expression levels is required for optimal actin-based cellular functions [30, 31]. Thus, the mechanism of capping/uncapping is modulated by various external factors. Steric and allosteric regulators of CPs include polyphosphoinositides (PIPs), V-1/myotrophin, and CARMIL proteins, while indirect barbed end competitors include formins and ENA/VASP proteins [24].

CPs are comprised of CP α and CP β subunits, each with a molecular weight of 32-36 kDa [24]. The individual subunits are conserved in most eukaryotes, but the sequence identity between the subunits is typically low [24]. Remarkably, CP α and CP β share a strikingly similar fold, resulting in a quaternary structure where the two subunits take up a compact arrangement with a pseudo two-fold symmetry [35]. Even though subunits and isoforms are expressed at different levels during different stages and cell types [36], the individual subunits are largely insoluble and non-functional when expressed alone *in vitro*, indicating that they only exist as heterodimers [37].

All currently available structures of heterodimeric CPs have a characteristic shape of a stipitate mushroom [27, 35, 38]. Three N-terminal antiparallel helices form the “stalk” domain flanked by a β -stranded “globule” domain of each monomer. The “cap” is comprised of a well-ordered arrangement of two 5-stranded antiparallel β -sheets, crested by a backbone of four helices formed conjunctly by the subunits. Emerging from the cap structure are the C-terminal “tentacles” of each subunit (termed α - and β -tentacle, respectively) containing a longer flexible loop region and an amphipathic helix [35]. The barbed-end binding of canonical CPs is largely reliant on an electrostatic interaction between a positively charged basic patch of the CP and a negatively charged acidic patch of the last two actin protomers of the filament [39–41]. The basic patch is mainly formed by a localized group of residues on the cap and the α -tentacle. The β -tentacle locks the complex by binding to the hydrophobic pocket of the last actin subunit. However, its main role is to exclude other regulators from binding to this pocket, thus regulating branched actin network assembly [41].

Contrary to the majority of eukaryotes, *Plasmodium* spp. encode only one isoform of each CP subunit [42]. Individual *PbCP* subunits have evolved distinct biological functions.

The heterodimeric *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ is expressed in the motile extracellular zoite and ookinete stages and is able

to reduce the average filament length of heterologous non-muscle actin (β -actin) *in vitro* [22]. *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ also readily binds to homologous *PfActI* filaments reducing their pelletable form [43]. *Plasmodium knowlesi* *CP* $\alpha\beta$ caps heterologous skeletal muscle actin (α -actin) *in vitro* in a Ca^{2+} -independent, but PIP_2 -dependent manner, thus reducing filament length [44]. Disparate from the other subunit, *PbCP* β is upregulated in the insect vector stages and is essential for the locomotion and salivary gland invasion of the highly motile sporozoite stages [22], possibly in its heterodimeric *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ form [23]. *PbCP* α , on the other hand, is moderately upregulated in the blood-stage schizonts [23], in which *PbCP* β is phenotypically silent. *PbCP* α is essential for asexual blood stage replication, and loss of it could be rescued by the cognate *PfCP* α in blood stages, but not in the insect host or in the absence of the α -tentacle domain [23]. Interestingly, a mixed complementation of the CP subunits by *P. berghei* and *P. falciparum* genes was incapable of alleviating the defective mosquito stage. Only a double complementation of *PfCP* $\alpha\beta$ was able to rescue the phenotype. *In vitro*, *PbCP* α forms homodimers (*PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$), capable of capping homologous *PfActI* [43] and heterologous β -actin filaments also in the absence of the α -tentacle [23]. These results suggest that the insect cell stages are regulated by the heterodimeric form, while the pathogenic blood stages are governed by *PbCP* α , perhaps in a homodimeric form [23, 43].

Here, we present the first crystal structure of a homodimeric CP from the rodent malaria parasite *P. berghei*. While retaining some similarities, the structure demonstrates critical differences compared to canonical heterodimeric CPs. We complement our structural findings with biochemical characterization, showing that the homo- and heterodimers have distinct functions that differ from the canonical CP heterodimer (*CapZ* $\alpha\beta$) and are specific to the *Plasmodium* actin filaments.

Materials And Methods

Protein expression and purification

PbCP $\alpha\beta$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta\text{C20}}$, *CapZ* $\alpha\beta$, α -actin, and *PfActI* were prepared as described previously [6, 43].

Crystallization and structure determination

PbCP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta\text{C20}}$ was crystallized at 4°C using the vapor diffusion method. Crystals were grown from a 1:1 drop ratio of 10-15 mg/mL protein and precipitant [100 mM MES pH 6.5, 100-150 mM tri-ammonium citrate, 10-12% (w/v) PEG 20000, and 0.5 M NDSB-195 (Hampton, US)] and subsequently improved by iterative micro-seeding. The crystals were soaked for 1-2 min in 1 M NaBr before flash freezing in 15% (v/v) glycerol. Diffraction datasets were collected on the I04-1 beamline at Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK) using a Pilatus 6M detector and 0.916 Å beam wavelength. Datasets from multiple crystals were integrated, scaled, and merged using DIALS[69] in the xia2 pipeline [70]. The initial experimental phases and polyaniline main-chain trace were obtained from SHELX[71]. The structure was refined using the CCP4 package [72] and Phenix[73], with iterative rebuilding in Coot [74].

Structural bioinformatics

Model of $PbCP\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ capped $PfActI$ filament was prepared by aligning 5 protomers of $PfActI$ filament (PDB ID: 5OGW [7]) and $PbCP\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ to the CapZ $\alpha\beta$ capped barbed end of the Arp1 filament in dynactin (PDB ID: 6F1T [48]) using TM-align [75]. Gaps in the structure of $PbCP\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ were modeled in using SWISS-MODEL. The relative orientation of $PbCP\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ was refined with RosettaDock [76], while residues involved in the interface were energy minimized with UCSF Chimera. Electrostatic potential surfaces were calculated using APBS [77]. EMBOSS Matcher [78] was used for local sequence alignments and ESPript [79] for their visualization. 21 non-redundant *Plasmodium* CP α sequences from PlasmoDB were analyzed, with conservation score subsequently mapped on the structure of $PbCP\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ using ConSurf [80]. CapZ $\alpha\beta$ was assessed similarly, using automatically retrieved homolog sequences. Details of the surface area and r.m.s.d. calculations are found in Supporting Information. Database searches against homologs and motifs were carried out using the PlasmoDB database [81], ScanProsite [82], MyHits [83], and PATTINPROT [84].

Small-angle X-ray scattering

SEC-SAXS data of $PbCP\alpha$ and $PbCP\alpha\beta$, at respective concentrations of 12 and 5.8 mg/mL, were collected on the B21 beamline at Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK). Data processing, *ab initio* DAMMIN [85] modeling, and visualization were carried out as previously described [43]. Initial models of the homodimers were prepared by extending the crystal structure of $PbCP\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ using SWISS-MODEL [86]. The tentacle domain of $PbCP\alpha_1$ was modeled using EOM [87]. A model of $PbCP\alpha\beta$ was assembled from the modeled $PbCP\alpha_2$ subunit and I-TASSER [88] modelled $PbCP\beta$ monomer using the structure of dynactin-bound *S. scrofa* CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (PDB ID: 6F1T [48]) as a template. The models were corrected against major steric clashes using Coot [74] and energy minimized using UCSF Chimera [89]. The $PbCP$ models were split into domains and refined with normal mode analysis using SREFLEX [90].

Actin-CP interaction assays

Fluorescence-based polymerization assays were carried out in triplicate and analyzed as previously described [6] with minor modifications. C_{capp} was determined using a dilution series prepared from 10 μ M actin polymerized together with 10 μ M CP for $PfActI$, and 0.4 μ M CP for α -actin. 4 μ M total actin concentration was kept in the polymerization assays. 0.5 μ M polymerized unlabeled $PfActI$ was used as nuclei in the preseeded polymerization assays. In the depolymerization assays 4 μ M $PfActI$ was polymerized together with the CPs for 16 h at 20°C before dilution in F-buffer below C_{capp} . The polymerization data were despiked before averaging. The depolymerization data were shifted to match the baseline of the actin control. No pointed end cappers were used in the assays to limit subunit exchange to the barbed end.

Electron microscopy

20 μM *PfAct1* was polymerized with or without 25 μM CPs in F-buffer at 20°C for 16 h. Prior sample application, the carbon-coated 300-mesh copper grids (Gilder, US) were glow-discharged for 1 min. After diluting *PfAct1* to 1 μM with F-buffer, grids were immediately prepared using a previously reported protocol [8]. Negative stained grids were imaged using a Tecnai G2 Spirit microscope (FEI, US) operated at 120 kV with a pixel size of 0.592 nm. Micrographs were analyzed using the Ridge Detection plugin [91] of Fiji[92]. Particles smaller than 12 nm were excluded to omit bias caused by any unbound CP. Mann-Whitney tests were carried out using OriginPro (OriginLab, US).

Results

Crystal structure of the PbCP $\alpha\alpha$ homodimer

To gain structural insight into the unusual homodimerization of *PbCP $\alpha\alpha$* , we crystallized and determined the structure of a C-terminally truncated *PbCP $\alpha\alpha$* homodimer (*PbCP $\alpha\alpha$* ^{ΔC20}) to 2.2-Å resolution (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The two *PbCP α* ^{ΔC20} subunits form a structure that is less compact and intertwined than the canonical heterodimer (Fig. 1a, b), verifying earlier small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and thermal stability analyses [43]. The quaternary structure closely resembles the mushroom shape of metazoan CPs, despite the low sequence conservation (Fig. S1). Albeit being a homodimer, the structure is not completely symmetric. Thus, we will refer to chain A of the crystal structure as *PbCP α_1* ^{ΔC20} and chain B as *PbCP α_2* ^{ΔC20} . Superposition of the two subunits reveals that structural deviations are mainly present at the dimer interface, possibly to accommodate complementary intersubunit interactions (Fig. 1c). In canonical CP heterodimers, the stalk domain of the β -subunit pivots relative to the core structure, to allow a denser packing. In *PbCP $\alpha\alpha$* ^{ΔC20} , the presence of two identical stalk domains disrupts the formation of a compact structure (Fig. 1d).

PbCP α contains a sequence insertion, not present in metazoan CPs or *PbCP β* (Fig. S1). Similar insertions are found in several other apicomplexan ABPs [13]. Their functions are often unknown but could be involved in parasite-specific protein-protein interactions or immune evasion [14, 45]. The 23-residue *Plasmodium* CP α -specific insert is located between the globule and cap b-sheets (Ser136-Ala159). In *PbCP α_1* ^{ΔC20} , this loop protrudes from the structure (Fig. 1a) making space for the long H5 helix (Ile243-Arg281), which would be followed by the α -tentacle in the full-length protein. The base of the insert is stabilized by a partial disulfide bond between Cys158 and Cys179 in the *PbCP α_1* ^{ΔC20} subunit but not in *PbCP α_2* ^{ΔC20} . *PbCP α_2* ^{ΔC20} is notably more disordered, due to the reduced number of intersubunit and crystal contacts and has a higher average B-factor than *PbCP α_1* ^{ΔC20} (Fig. S1, S2), similarly to the crystal structure of CapZ $\alpha\beta$ [38]. Consequently, the *Plasmodium*-specific insert is not visible in *PbCP α_2* ^{ΔC20} . The H5 helix of *PbCP α_1* ^{ΔC20} is broken at His267 and turns back towards the same subunit in a b-hairpin-like structure (Leu268-Leu286), instead of extending into *PbCP α_2* ^{ΔC20} , resulting in very different C termini in

the subunits (Fig. 1a, c). Interestingly, the first short β -strand of this hairpin motif ends with Ala270, which is the previously identified C-terminal degradation point in full-length *PbCP* α [43]. This implies that the C-terminal hairpin-fold of *PbCP* $\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ might be biologically relevant and not an artifact arising from the α -tentacle-truncated *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ construct.

Homodimeric PbCP assembly lacks canonical interaction sites

The observed structural differences result in a non-canonical dimeric CP structure and an example of a rare asymmetric homodimer among proteins in general [46]. It is unclear, however, how these deviations would impact the possible barbed-end binding mode of *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$. To understand whether a canonical binding mode is compatible with homodimeric CPs, we used molecular docking to generate a model of a *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ -capped *PfActl* filament (Fig. 2a). The expression of Arp1 in *P. berghei* [47] could implicate the existence of *PbCP*-capped Arp1 filaments in *Plasmodium*, in a similar manner as the metazoan dynactin [27]. Therefore, we used the cryo-EM structure of CapZ $\alpha\beta$ -capped Arp1 filament in *Sus scrofa* dynactin [48] as a basis for modeling.

The electrostatic potential surfaces at the actin filament barbed end (Fig. 2b) and CPs (Fig. 2c) reveal the absence of canonical charged interaction points in the *Plasmodium* proteins (Fig. S4), even though residues involved in forming these interaction surfaces are conserved (Fig. S1, S3, S5). In *PfActl*, flanking residues mask the apparent charge of the patch (Fig. 2b, S4a). Differences in surface electrostatic potential [49] could also play a large role in the divergent nucleation and polymerization properties of *PfActl* [6]. Residues of the basic patch in *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ are more buried in the structure, not in close proximity to each other, and the slight asymmetry of the homodimer does not compensate significantly for the loss of contributing residues of *PbCP* β . The putative basic patch spans a larger area in the *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ model, only loosely resembling the arrangement in CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (Fig. S4b). Possibly due to the absence of CARMIL proteins in *Plasmodium* [12], the positively charged CARMIL-binding pocket of canonical CPs [50] is less prevalent in the *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ model (Fig. S4b) and absent in *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (Fig. 2c). Upon binding the barbed end, the β -tentacle of CapZ $\alpha\beta$ locks the complex by binding the hydrophobic pocket of the terminal actin subunit [39, 40]. In our model, the *Plasmodium*-specific insert of *PbCP* $\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ is close to the expected position of the β -tentacle (Fig. 2d). This insert shares many possible hydrophobic or electrostatic interaction points with the β -tentacle. These residues are mainly conserved across *Plasmodium* spp. (Fig. S1), suggesting a possible role for the insert in barbed-end binding in the absence of the β -tentacle. Mapping per-residue sequence conservation of *Plasmodium* CP α sequences on the structure of *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ reveals that core residues, especially those involved in the intersubunit surface, are highly conserved, suggesting that CP α homodimers likely exist in other *Plasmodium* spp. Canonical binding partner interaction points present in CapZ $\alpha\beta$ lack localized sequence conservation in *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (Fig. 2e, S1).

The differences in the CARMIL-binding pocket instigated us to take a deeper look into other CP regulators in *Plasmodium*. While the majority of these are absent from *Plasmodium* [12], we cannot exclude the possibility of other, so far uncharacterized, regulators. Our search for V-1/myotrophin homologs and the CP-binding and uncapping motif of CARMIL proteins among known *Plasmodium* transcripts, or for the S100B interaction motif in *Plasmodium* CPs resulted in no clear hits. To date, PIP₂ is the only described direct CP regulator in *P. knowlesi* with HSC70 mentioned as a binding partner uninvolved in the capping activity[44]. Residues involved in canonical interactions with protein regulators are generally not conserved in *Plasmodium* CPs (Fig. S1, S3), with the exception of several basic residues (K278 and K282 in *PbCPα*, R233 in *PbCPβ*), which are involved in canonical F-actin interaction and PIP₂ binding [39, 40, 51].

Conservation of the CP domain structure

Canonical CP subunits share a strikingly similar fold, despite low sequence identity. Compared to the heterodimeric CPs, the homodimeric *PbCPα*^{ΔC20} has an increased surface area but a significantly decreased dimer interface area (Table S2). Expectedly, the canonical CP heterodimers are more similar to each other than to the *Plasmodium* CP homodimer (Table S3). Despite the low sequence identity, both *PbCPα*^{ΔC20} subunits are strikingly similar to canonical CPα isoforms (Table S4). This confirms our previous findings [43] and is further substantiated by the identifiable hits of CP-related CATH domains (1.20.1290.20 and 2.40.160.80) in the *PbCPα*^{ΔC20} structure. The identified domains in *PbCPα*^{ΔC20} superimpose well with canonical CP subunits (Table S5), suggesting the importance of conserved structural elements in the actin filament capping function among broad taxa, despite the lack of sequence conservation. Indeed, during the erythrocytic stages of *P. berghei*, where *PbCPβ* is phenotypically silent and homodimeric *PbCPα* takes over functionally, *PbCPα* can be complemented by its *PfCPα* cognate despite the fact that they only share 52% sequence identity [23].

Plasmodium CPs form similar-shaped homo- and heterodimers in solution

Our previous SAXS studies [43] agree well with the crystal structure suggesting that the homodimeric structure is a native conformation and not an artifact resulting from crystal packing or the absence of the α-tentacle domains. To gain further insight into the homo- and heterodimerization of *PbCPs*, we carried out further SAXS and homology modeling studies based on the crystal structure of *PbCPα*^{ΔC20}.

PbCPα^{ΔC20}, *PbCPα*, and *PbCPβ* all form similar pseudo-symmetric dimers in solution (Fig. 3). The SAXS data indicate folded, globular proteins containing flexible parts, with *PbCPβ* being somewhat more compact than the homodimers (Fig. 3a, b). Interparticle distances are similar for all *PbCPs*, with the heterodimer showing slight bimodality (Fig. 3c). Modeling into the SAXS data suggests that *PbCPβ* forms a more canonical tight structure, whereas both subunits of the homodimers adopt a loose

arrangement, similar to the *PbCP* $\alpha\Delta^{C20}$ crystal structure (Fig. 3d). The orientation of the *Plasmodium*-specific insert and the tentacle domains of both subunits cannot be reliably deduced from the SAXS data, indicating that they are disordered in solution, which is characteristic of the tentacle domains in canonical CPs as well [35, 38, 52].

PbCPs control actin polymerization in a non-canonical manner

CPs typically block the barbed end, increasing its apparent critical concentration (C_{capp}) to that of the pointed end [24]. *PbCPs* increase the supernatant fraction of polymerized *PfActl* in pelleting assays [43], which could be explained either by filament shortening or by limited depolymerization due to the increase of C_{capp} . However, in dilution series of pyrene-labeled *PfActl* filaments, none of the *PbCPs* affected the fluorescence signal of *PfActl*, even at high stoichiometric ratios (Fig. 4a). Similar behavior was observed with heterologous α -actin (Fig. 4b), despite *PbCPs* being able to modulate α -actin [44] or β -actin polymerization [22, 23]. Furthermore, gelsolin, which is a major barbed end capper [53] absent from *Plasmodium* spp. [11], does not affect the C_{capp} of *PfActl* filaments (Fig. S6) or *PfActl* depolymerization dynamics [6]. The decreased amount of pelletable actin in the presence of *PbCPs* and the lack of effect on the fluorescence signal in the pyrene assay are likely due to a decrease in filament length to oligomers that do not sediment. Another possibility would be CP binding to the barbed end of actin in either monomeric or dimeric form, thus increasing its fluorescence signal similarly to polymerization.

In higher eukaryotes, CPs nucleate filaments, abolishing the lag phase, but block subunit exchange at the barbed end [24], reducing both the initial elongation velocity and steady-state filament mass. Contrary to expectations, *PbCPs* increased *PfActl* elongation rates and considerably raised the steady-state fluorescence levels, indicating elevated amounts of actin in non-monomeric forms (Fig. 5). The effect of *PbCPs* on nucleation was ambiguous, due to the nature of *PfActl* polymerization curves, which lack a pronounced lag phase [6]. The heterodimeric CPs did not modulate the steady-state fluorescence levels of *PfActl* to the extent seen with the *PbCP* homodimers, which could also be observed as slope differences in the C_c assays (Fig. 4). Interestingly, all *PbCPs* seem to block the barbed end of α -actin, similarly to CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (Fig. S7). However, they do not display any notable nucleation activity, and unlike heterodimeric CPs, the *PbCP* homodimers increase the initial fluorescence levels. *PbCP* $\alpha\Delta^{C20}$ displays an only slightly diminished capping effect, despite the absence of the tentacle domains [39, 40]. Despite its *in vivo* indispensability [23] the reduced importance of the *Plasmodium* α -tentacle domain in *PbCPs* has been suggested before [43].

Major differences between the homo- and heterodimers are seen in the steady-state *PfActl* fluorescence levels (Fig. 5a). We also measured length distributions of *PfActl* filaments polymerized with and without homo- and heterodimeric CPs from negative-stained electron micrographs (Fig. 6). *PfActl* alone forms mainly short, non-helical structures with a sporadic presence of long helical filaments [8]. Upon incubation with CPs, the long filaments were completely removed (Fig. 6a) and the shorter species

became more abundant. The average filament length is reduced by half (Fig. 6b, c) as also seen for canonical actins [22, 23, 44]. In line with the polymerization assays, the reduction in filament length is more pronounced with the homodimeric *PbCPs*, and the tentacle domain is at least partly dispensable for the *PbCP* function.

PfActl filaments are highly dynamic and unstable [5–7] with high disassociation rates at both ends [9]. Upon dilution below their $C_{c,app}$, filaments decompose rapidly, albeit slower than α -actin if filament end concentration is taken into account [6]. To investigate the barbed-end blocking efficiency of *PbCPs*, we followed the disassembly of fluorescently labeled actin filaments. In contrast to canonical CPs [24], both homo- and heterodimeric *PbCPs* facilitate the depolymerization of both *PfActl* and α -actin filaments (Fig. 7, S8). The increased velocity can be partly attributed to an increased filament end concentration [6], caused by shortening of the filaments (Fig. 6). However, this may not be the only explanation. Interestingly, CapZ $\alpha\beta$ also increased the rate of *PfActl* depolymerization (Fig. S8). This is in line with CapZ $\alpha\beta$ increasing the initial elongation rate of *PfActl* in the polymerization assays (Fig. 5a).

Discussion

Owing to the intertwined and complementary nature of the canonical CP α and CP β subunits, both are usually required to reconstitute a functional CP *in vitro* [37, 54]. Thus, the presence of a homodimeric CP in *Plasmodium* is surprising [23]. Sequence conservation mapped on *Plasmodium* CP α subunits reveals highly conserved residues located mainly at intersubunit interfaces in the *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ structure. This suggests that CP $\alpha\alpha$ homodimers likely are conserved across the different *Plasmodium* species, as underlined by previous *PfCP* complementation experiments [23]. So far, we have not been able to detect any evidence of CP $\beta\beta$ homodimers, although the presence of such or CP α or CP β monomers in the parasite cannot be ruled out. It is notable that *Drosophila melanogaster* CP $\alpha\beta$ subunits are also encoded by single genes with independent *in vivo* functions implicated, but the protein still remains an obligate functional heterodimer [55, 56]. *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ is an example of an asymmetric homodimer, not very common among proteins [46]. The deviations from the homodimeric symmetry perturb the canonical binding sites for actin [39, 41], PIP₂ [51], and other CP regulators [57, 58]. In line with this, we could not identify any regulatory proteins for CP in the *Plasmodium* genome.

CPs characterized to date cap filament barbed ends through a highly conserved mechanism [27, 39, 41]. They play critical roles in regulating actin dynamics by increasing Cc, nucleating filament formation, and decreasing F-actin elongation and disassembly rates [24]. The actin binding of homodimeric *PbCP* in the absence of canonical barbed-end binding motifs, however, challenges our current understanding of parasite actin filament capping. Despite large structural differences, homo- and heterodimeric *PbCPs* affect filament kinetics of both parasite and canonical actins. Also *PfActl* differs greatly from α -actin in terms of filament structure [5, 7], dynamics [6, 8] and barbed-end electrostatics. Furthermore, C-terminally truncated *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ retains its ability to bind both β -actin [23] and *PfActl* filaments [43]. This implies that actin filament capping by *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ is independent of the α -tentacle and the basic patch. The *Plasmodium*-specific insert contains several highly conserved residues and could compensate for the missing

conventional interaction points. The presence of two of such inserts and a non-canonical cap structure indicates an atypical barbed-end binding mode and maybe a distinct functional role for the CP homodimers, as compared to the canonical or parasite CP heterodimers [22, 23, 43].

Both homo- and heterodimeric *PbCPs* increase the amount of *PfActl* present in the soluble fraction after pelleting the filaments [43]. Here, we show that this is due to filament shortening and not due to an increase in the steady-state G-actin concentration, thus explaining the inability of *PbCPs* to raise the C_{capp} . While homo- and heterodimeric *PbCPs* regulate actin kinetics *in vitro* in a similar manner, it seems that the homodimers may also be able to stabilize or sequester short, non-nucleating structures, perhaps lateral dimers, which are a significant species in *PfActl* at least *in vitro* [6]. Such a function could be facilitated by the presence of two *Plasmodium*-specific inserts in the homodimer. The sequestration of dimers would explain the increased steady-state and initial fluorescence levels in polymerization assays and the disappearance of filaments. It is possible that *PbCPs* cannot completely block the barbed end or that the C_c for *PfActl* is equal at both filament ends, as proposed by a similar k_{off} at each end [9]. Subunit exchange at the CP-bound barbed end would suggest a “wobbly” capping behavior, found in processive barbed end cappers [24], such as formins [53]. The existence of flexible domains in *PbCPs* and their positive effect on filament assembly correspond well with the properties of a wobbly capper.

PbCPs appear to lack prominent nucleating capabilities. Thus, the acceleration of actin depolymerization by *PbCPs* could be explained by severing. However, such mode of action has been disproven for CapZ $\alpha\beta$ [59, 60]. Another explanation could stem from the innate fragility of *PfActl* filaments and their propensity to fragmentation [8]. Collision of *PbCPs* with the barbed end could promote severing events and depolymerization instead of forming a stable cap structure. After such an interaction they could dissociate or remain weakly bound to the filaments. This hypothesis is supported by the inability of CapZ $\alpha\beta$ to co-sediment with *PfActl* filaments, yet still increasing the soluble actin fraction [43] and reducing the average filament length.

Intracellular eukaryotic parasites undergo reductive genome evolution towards a streamlined set of proteins [61]. A significantly reduced repertoire of ABPs in *Plasmodium* has given rise to atypical functions for many of the proteins [13]. One outcome of this adaptation may be the emergence of a homodimeric form of CP [23, 43]. Motility and host cell invasion of *Plasmodium* parasites rely on rapid turnover of short, unbranched filaments [62]. As *PfActl* is less polymerization competent and less stable than canonical filaments [5], stringent F-actin capping might be too restrictive for the parasite motility requirements. Wobbly capping by *PbCPs* that promotes turnover and reduces the length of *PfActl* filaments may be suited for the parasitic life style. Despite the functional redundancy *in vitro*, *PbCP $\alpha\alpha$* and *PbCP $\alpha\beta$* cannot complement each other *in vivo* [23]. Thus, the adaptation of the homo- and heterodimeric forms to the cellular niches of their respective insect and vertebrate hosts stems from their inherent structural differences.

Heterodimeric *PbCP* has two different interfaces with the last two actin protomers at the barbed end, which could enable it to selectively bind to filaments but not to symmetric dimers. *PbCP $\alpha\beta$* is required for

sporozoite motility and salivary gland invasion [22]. The quick utilization of actin monomers during these processes is possibly enabled by the reduced dimer-binding capability of *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ as compared to *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$. The β -tentacle of *CapZ* $\alpha\beta$ is crucial for the controlled growth of a branched actin network [41]. In the absence of the Arp2/3 branching complex and nucleation promoting factors [12], *Plasmodium* only has short linear actin filaments. Thus, without the need for a such control element, *PbCP* β has evolved a divergent C-terminal domain. While the conserved hydrophobic residues implied in a canonical barbed-end interaction are still present, it also contains additional stretches of residues, possibly enabling looser capping of the filaments.

Homodimeric *PbCP* contains two nearly identical interaction surfaces and might thus be more suited for binding two actin monomers, like the active form of gelsolin [63]. As gelsolin is missing from the *Plasmodium* genome [42], a similar activity could have evolved in *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$. The proposed dimer binding by *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ could play a role in restricting the number of filaments during the non-motile erythrocytic stages, during which the homodimers are indispensable [23]. In the absence of the Arp2/3 complex or gelsolin, formin remains the only characterized actin nucleator in *Plasmodium* [17]. Formins are localized at the moving junction (MJ) during erythrocytic invasion by non-motile merozoites [64]. As the correct formation and stringent cytoskeletal control of the MJ is critical [65], the wobbly capper formins could partially compensate for the phenotypic lack of *PbCP* β [22]. The existence of an actin:CP:formin ternary complex [66] supports this hypothesis.

Based on our kinetic data, *PbCP*s have at least an order of magnitude lower affinity towards *PfAct*i filaments than *CapZ* $\alpha\beta$ to α -actin. Left unregulated, canonical CPs would constantly cap actin filaments, completely arresting cell motility [32]. Due to the reduced set of CP regulators, *PbCP*s might have evolved a low-affinity wobbly capping behavior, allowing filament turnover without the need for external modulating agents. Without such regulators in the genome, canonical regulator interaction sites are also missing. The self-sufficiency of *PbCP* regulation is further underlined by the reduced intersubunit interface area of *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ together with the low thermal stability of the *PbCP*s, and a broader unfolding peak of *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ [43]. These may reflect subtle temperature-induced structural changes, possibly relevant for the transitioning of the parasite between the cold-blooded insect host where *PbCP* β is upregulated [67], and the warm-blooded vertebrate host where the *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ form is relevant [23].

Concluding remarks

CPs are essential regulators of the cytoskeleton and conserved among metazoans as heterodimeric proteins, which are important *e.g.* for lamellipodial protrusions at the leading edge of crawling cells [26]. Apicomplexan parasites display fast gliding motility without changes in cell shape [68]. It seems that due to different cytoskeletal requirements in different lifecycle stages, these parasites have adopted a homodimeric form of CP [43] as part of their limited repertoire of ABPs [13]. In contrast to canonical CPs [24] homo- and heterodimeric *PbCP*s facilitate the rapid turnover of the dynamic *Plasmodium* actin filaments supporting the unusual actomyosin machinery of the parasite.

Declarations

Funding: This work was financially supported by the Academy of Finland, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, and the Norwegian Research Council. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material: The atomic coordinates and structure factors of *PbCPaa*^{ΔC20} have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/> (PDB ID: 7A0H).

Authors' contributions: I.K. and P.K. conceived the study. Á.Á.B. performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. Á.Á.B., I.K. and P.K. solved and refined the crystal structure. Á.Á.B. and I.K. wrote the final manuscript and all authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Herwig Schüler for providing the parasite CP cDNA constructs and Dr. Shuichi Takeda for the CapZαβ plasmid. We acknowledge the supportive comments of Dr. Ikuko Fujiwara and Dr. Jari Yläanne on the manuscript. The use of the facilities and expertise of the Biocenter Oulu Structural Biology Core Facility is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank the excellent beamline support at Diamond Light Source (B21 and I04-1) and the crystallographic community for their helpful comments on the structure of *PbCPaa*^{ΔC20}.

References

1. Soldati D, Foth BJ, Cowman AF (2004) Molecular and functional aspects of parasite invasion. Trends Parasitol
2. Wesseling JG, de Ree JM, Ponnudurai T, Smits MA, Schoenmakers JGG (1988) Nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid sequence of a Plasmodium falciparum actin gene. Mol Biochem Parasitol. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851\(88\)90051-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(88)90051-5)
3. Wesseling JG, Smits MA, Schoenmakers JGG (1988) Extremely diverged actin proteins in Plasmodium falciparum. Mol Biochem Parasitol. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851\(88\)90107-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(88)90107-7)
4. Wesseling JG, Snijders PJF, van Someren P, Jansen J, Smits MA, Schoenmakers JGG (1989) Stage-specific expression and genomic organization of the actin genes of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Mol Biochem Parasitol 35:167–176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851\(89\)90119-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(89)90119-9)
5. Vahokoski J, Bhargav SP, Desfosses A et al (2014) Structural Differences Explain Diverse Functions of Plasmodium Actins. PLoS Pathog 10:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004091>
6. Kumpula EP, Pires I, Lasiwa D et al (2017) Apicomplexan actin polymerization depends on nucleation. Sci Rep 7:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11330-w>

7. Pospich S, Kumpula EP, Von Der Ecken J, Vahokoski J, Kursula I, Raunser S (2017) Near-atomic structure of jasplakinolide-stabilized malaria parasite F-actin reveals the structural basis of filament instability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:10636–10641. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1707506114>
8. Kumpula EP, Lopez AJ, Tajedin L, Han H, Kursula I (2019) Atomic view into plasmodium actin polymerization, ATP hydrolysis, and fragmentation. *PLoS Biol* 17:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000315>
9. Lu H, Fagnant PM, Trybus KM (2019) Unusual dynamics of the divergent malaria parasite PfAct1 actin filament. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 116:20418–20427. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906600116>
10. Gordon JL, Sibley LD (2005) Comparative genome analysis reveals a conserved family of actin-like proteins in apicomplexan parasites. *BMC Genom* 6:. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-6-179>
11. Schüler H, Matuschewski K (2006) Regulation of apicomplexan microfilament dynamics by a minimal set of actin-binding proteins. *Traffic* 7:1433–1439
12. Sattler JM, Ganter M, Hliscs M, Matuschewski K, Schüler H (2011) Actin regulation in the malaria parasite. *Eur J Cell Biol* 90:966–971. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2010.11.011>
13. Kumpula EP, Kursula I (2015) Towards a molecular understanding of the apicomplexan actin motor: On a road to novel targets for malaria remedies? *Acta Crystallogr Sect FStructural Biol Commun* 71:500–513
14. Kursula I, Kursula P, Ganter M, Panjekar S, Matuschewski K, Schüler H (2008) Structural Basis for Parasite-Specific Functions of the Divergent Profilin of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Structure* 16:1638–1648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2008.09.008>
15. Pino P, Sebastian S, Kim EA et al (2012) A tetracycline-repressible transactivator system to study essential genes in malaria parasites. *Cell Host Microbe*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2012.10.016>
16. Ignatev A, Bhargav SP, Vahokoski J, Kursula P, Kursula I (2012) The lasso segment is required for functional dimerization of the *Plasmodium* formin 1 FH2 domain. *PLoS One* 7:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033586>
17. Baum J, Tonkin CJ, Paul AS et al (2008) A Malaria Parasite Formin Regulates Actin Polymerization and Localizes to the Parasite-Erythrocyte Moving Junction during Invasion. *Cell Host Microbe* 3:188–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.02.006>
18. Stortz JF, Del Rosario M, Singer M, Wilkes JM, Meissner M, Das S (2019) Formin-2 drives polymerisation of actin filaments enabling segregation of apicoplasts and cytokinesis in *Plasmodium Falciparum*. *Elife*. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49030>
19. Singh BK, Sattler JM, Chatterjee M, Huttu J, Schüler H, Kursula I (2011) Crystal structures explain functional differences in the two actin depolymerization factors of the malaria parasite. *J Biol Chem* 286:28256–28264. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.211730>
20. Schüler H, Mueller A-K, Matuschewski K (2005) A *Plasmodium* Actin-depolymerizing Factor That Binds Exclusively to Actin Monomers. *Mol Biol Cell* 16:4013–4023. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e05-02-0086>

21. Doi Y, Shinzawa N, Fukumoto S, Okano H, Kanuka H (2010) ADF2 is required for transformation of the ookinete and sporozoite in malaria parasite development. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.05.155>
22. Ganter M, Schüler H, Matuschewski K (2009) Vital role for the Plasmodium actin capping protein (CP) beta-subunit in motility of malaria sporozoites. *Mol Microbiol* 74:1356–1367. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06828.x>
23. Ganter M, Rizopoulos Z, Schüler H, Matuschewski K (2015) Pivotal and distinct role for Plasmodium actin capping protein alpha during blood infection of the malaria parasite. *Mol Microbiol* 96:84–94. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12922>
24. Cooper JA, Sept D (2008) New Insights into Mechanism and Regulation of Actin Capping Protein. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* 267:183–206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1937-6448\(08\)00604-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1937-6448(08)00604-7)
25. Wear MA, Yamashita A, Kim K, Maéda Y, Cooper JA (2003) How capping protein binds the barbed end of the actin filament. *Curr Biol* 13:1531–1537. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822\(03\)00559-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(03)00559-1)
26. Mejillano MR, Kojima SI, Applewhite DA, Gertler FB, Svitekina TM, Borisy GG (2004) Lamellipodial versus filopodial mode of the actin nanomachinery: Pivotal role of the filament barbed end. *Cell* 118:363–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.07.019>
27. Urnavicius L, Zhang K, Diamant AG et al (2015) The structure of the dynactin complex and its interaction with dynein. *Science* 347:1441–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa4080>
28. Schafer DA, Waddle JA, Cooper JA (1993) Localization of CapZ during myofibrillogenesis in cultured chicken muscle. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 25:317–335. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.970250403>
29. Mukherjee K, Ishii K, Pillalamarri V et al (2016) Actin capping protein CAPZB regulates cell morphology, differentiation, and neural crest migration in craniofacial morphogenesis. *Hum Mol Genet* 25:1255–1270. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddw006>
30. Loisel TP, Boujemaa R, Pantaloni D, Cartier MF (1999) Reconstitution of actin-based motility of *Listeria* and *Shigella* using pure proteins. *Nature* 401:613–616. <https://doi.org/10.1038/44183>
31. Hug C, Jay PY, Reddy I et al (1995) Capping protein levels influence actin assembly and cell motility in dictyostelium. *Cell* 81:591–600. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(95\)90080-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(95)90080-2)
32. DiNubile MJ, Cassimeris L, Joyce M, Zigmond SH (1995) Actin filament barbed-end capping activity in neutrophil lysates: The role of capping protein-β2. *Mol Biol Cell* 6:1659–1671. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.6.12.1659>
33. Pantaloni D, Le Clainche C, Carlier MF (2001) Mechanism of actin-based motility. *Science* 292:1502–1506
34. Zigmond SH (2004) Beginning and Ending an Actin Filament: Control at the Barbed End. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 63:145–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153\(04\)63005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(04)63005-5)
35. Yamashita A, Maeda K, Maéda Y (2003) Crystal structure of CapZ: Structural basis for actin filament barbed end capping. *EMBO J* 22:1529–1538. <https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg167>

36. Hart MC, Korshunova YO, Cooper JA (1997) Vertebrates have conserved capping protein α isoforms with specific expression patterns. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 38:120–132.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0169\(1997\)38:2<120::AID-CM2>3.0.CO;2-B](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0169(1997)38:2<120::AID-CM2>3.0.CO;2-B)
37. Haus U, Hartmann H, Trommler P, Noegel AA, Schleicher M (1991) F-Actin capping by cap32/34 requires heterodimeric conformation and can be inhibited with PIP₂. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 181:833–839. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X\(91\)91265-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(91)91265-E)
38. Eckert C, Goretzki A, Faberova M, Kollmar M (2012) Conservation and divergence between cytoplasmic and muscle-specific actin capping proteins: Insights from the crystal structure of cytoplasmic Cap32/34 from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *BMC Struct Biol* 12:.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6807-12-12>
39. Narita A, Takeda S, Yamashita A, Maéda Y (2006) Structural basis of actin filament capping at the barbed-end: A cryo-electron microscopy study. *EMBO J* 25:5626–5633.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601395>
40. Kim T, Cooper JA, Sept D (2010) The Interaction of Capping Protein with the Barbed End of the Actin Filament. *J Mol Biol* 404:794–802. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2010.10.017>
41. Funk J, Merino F, Schaks M, Rottner K, Raunser S, Bieling P (2021) A structure-derived mechanism reveals how capping protein promotes nucleation in branched actin networks. *bioRxiv* 2021.03.15.435411. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.15.435411>
42. Gardner MJ, Hall N, Fung E et al (2002) Genome sequence of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01097>
43. Bendes ÁÁ, Chatterjee M, Götte B, Kursula P, Kursula I (2020) Functional homo- and heterodimeric actin capping proteins from the malaria parasite. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 525:681–686.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.02.119>
44. Tardieux I, Baines I, Mossakowska M, Ward GE (1998) Actin-binding proteins of invasive malaria parasites and the regulation of actin polymerization by a complex of 32/34-kDa proteins associated with heat shock protein 70kDa. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 93:295–308. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-6851\(98\)00044-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-6851(98)00044-9)
45. Moreau CA, Bhargav SP, Kumar H et al (2017) A unique profilin-actin interface is important for malaria parasite motility. *PLoS Pathog* 13:e1006412. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006412>
46. Swapna LS, Srikeerthana K, Srinivasan N (2012) Extent of structural asymmetry in homodimeric proteins: Prevalence and relevance. *PLoS One* 7:.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036688>
47. Siden-Kiamos I, Schüler H, Liakopoulos D, Louis C (2010) Arp1, an actin-related protein, in *Plasmodium berghei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 173:88–96.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2010.05.008>
48. Urnavicius L, Lau CK, Elshenawy MM et al (2018) Cryo-EM shows how dynactin recruits two dyneins for faster movement. *Nature* 554:202–206. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25462>
49. Crevenna AH, Naredi-Rainer N, Schonichen A et al (2013) Electrostatics control actin filament nucleation and elongation kinetics. *J Biol Chem* 288:12102–12113.

<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.456327>

50. Kim T, Ravilious GE, Sept D, Cooper JA (2012) Mechanism for CARMIL protein inhibition of heterodimeric actin-capping protein. *J Biol Chem*. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.345447>
51. Kim K, McCully ME, Bhattacharya N, Butler B, Sept D, Cooper JA (2007) Structure/function analysis of the interaction of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate with actin-capping protein: Implications for how capping protein binds the actin filament. *J Biol Chem* 282:5871–5879. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M609850200>
52. Zwolak A, Fujiwara I, Hammer JA, Tjandra N (2010) Structural basis for capping protein sequestration by myotrophin (V-1). *J Biol Chem* 285:25767–25781. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.135848>
53. Pollard TD (2016) Actin and Actin-Binding Proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 8:. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a018226>
54. Remmert K, Vullhorst D, Hinssen H (2000) In vitro refolding of heterodimeric CapZ expressed in *E. coli* as inclusion body protein. *Protein Expr Purif* 18:11–19. <https://doi.org/10.1006/prep.1999.1132>
55. Amândio AR, Gaspar P, Whited JL, Janody F (2014) Subunits of the *Drosophila* actin-capping protein heterodimer regulate each other at multiple levels. *PLoS One* 9:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096326>
56. Kiger AA, Baum B, Jones S et al (2003) A functional genomic analysis of cell morphology using RNA interference. *J Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-4924-2-27>
57. Takeda S, Minakata S, Koike R et al (2010) Two distinct mechanisms for actin capping protein regulation-steric and allosteric inhibition. *PLoS Biol* 8:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000416>
58. Takeda S, Koike R, Fujiwara I et al (2021) Structural insights into the regulation of actin capping protein by twinfilin C-terminal tail. *J Mol Biol* 166891. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2021.166891>
59. Caldwell JE, Heiss SG, Mermall V, Cooper JA (1989) Effects of CapZ, an Actin Capping Protein of Muscle, on the Polymerization of Actin. *Biochemistry* 28:8506–8514. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00447a036>
60. Cooper JA, Pollard TD (1985) Effect of Capping Protein on the Kinetics of Actin Polymerization. *Biochemistry* 24:793–799. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00324a039>
61. Keeling PJ (2004) Reduction and Compaction in the Genome of the Apicomplexan Parasite *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Dev Cell* 6:614–616. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807\(04\)00135-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(04)00135-2)
62. Skillman KM, Diraviyam K, Khan A, Tang K, Sept D, Sibley LD (2011) Evolutionarily divergent, unstable filamentous actin is essential for gliding motility in apicomplexan parasites. *PLoS Pathog*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002280>
63. Ditsch A, Wegner A (1994) Nucleation of Actin Polymerization by Gelsolin. *Eur J Biochem*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1994.tb20015.x>
64. Shen B, Sibley LD (2012) The moving junction, a key portal to host cell invasion by apicomplexan parasites. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol*

65. Bargieri D, Lagal V, Andenmatten N, Tardieux I, Meissner M, Ménard R (2014) Host Cell Invasion by Apicomplexan Parasites: The Junction Conundrum. *PLoS Pathog.*
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004273>
66. Shekhar S, Kerleau M, Kühn S et al (2015) Formin and capping protein together embrace the actin filament in a ménage à trois. *Nat Commun* 6:. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9730>
67. Matuschewski K, Ross J, Brown SM, Kaiser K, Nussenzweig V, Kappe SHI (2002) Infectivity-associated changes in the transcriptional repertoire of the malaria parasite sporozoite stage. *J Biol Chem* 277:41948–41953. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M207315200>
68. Heintzelman MB (2006) Cellular and Molecular Mechanics of Gliding Locomotion in Eukaryotes. *Int Rev Cytol* 251:79–129
69. Winter G, Waterman DG, Parkhurst JM et al (2018) DIALS: Implementation and evaluation of a new integration package. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D Struct Biol* 74:85–97.
<https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798317017235>
70. Winter G (2010) xia2: an expert system for macromolecular crystallography data reduction. *J Appl Crystallogr* 43:186–190. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889809045701>
71. Sheldrick GM (2010) Experimental phasing with SHELXC/D/E: Combining chain tracing with density modification. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr* 66:479–485.
<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909038360>
72. Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD et al (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr*
73. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkóczi G et al (2010) PHENIX: A comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr* 66:213–221.
<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909052925>
74. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr* 66:486–501. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493>
75. Zhang Y, Skolnick J (2005) TM-align: A protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33:2302–2309. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki524>
76. Moretti R, Lyskov S, Das R, Meiler J, Gray JJ (2018) Web-accessible molecular modeling with Rosetta: The Rosetta Online Server that Includes Everyone (ROSIE). *Protein Sci.*
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3313>
77. Jurrus E, Engel D, Star K et al (2018) Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. *Protein Sci* 27:112–128. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3280>
78. Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J et al (2019) The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz268>
79. Robert X, Gouet P (2014) Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku316>

80. Ashkenazy H, Abadi S, Martz E et al (2016) ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw408>
81. Aurrecochea C, Brestelli J, Brunk BP et al (2009) PlasmoDB: A functional genomic database for malaria parasites. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37:. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn814>
82. de Castro E, Sigrist CJA, Gattiker A et al (2006) ScanProsite: Detection of PROSITE signature matches and ProRule-associated functional and structural residues in proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34:. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl124>
83. Pagni M, Ioannidis V, Cerutti L et al (2007) MyHits: Improvements to an interactive resource for analyzing protein sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35:. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm352>
84. Combet C, Blanchet C, Geourjon C, Deléage G (2000) NPS@: Network protein sequence analysis. *Trends Biochem Sci* 25:147–150
85. Svergun DI (1999) Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from solution scattering using simulated annealing. *Biophys J* 76:2879–2886. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(99\)77443-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77443-6)
86. Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S et al (2018) SWISS-MODEL: Homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46:W296–W303. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427>
87. Tria G, Mertens HDT, Kachala M, Svergun DI (2015) Advanced ensemble modelling of flexible macromolecules using X-ray solution scattering. *IUCr J* 2:207–217.
<https://doi.org/10.1107/S205225251500202X>
88. Yang J, Zhang Y (2015) I-TASSER server: New development for protein structure and function predictions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43:W174–W181. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv342>
89. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC et al (2004) UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* 25:1605–1612.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084>
90. Panjkovich A, Svergun DI (2016) Deciphering conformational transitions of proteins by small angle X-ray scattering and normal mode analysis. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 18:5707–5719.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cp04540a>
91. Steger C (1998) An unbiased detector of curvilinear structures. *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/34.659930>
92. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E et al (2012) Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat, Methods*

Figures

Figure 1

Crystal structure and domain arrangement of PbCPαΔC20. **a** Crystal structure of PbCPαΔC20 with the individual domains labelled and depicted in different colors. **b** Crystal structure of CapZαβ (PDB ID: 1IZN [35]) with its domains depicted as for PbCPαΔC20. **c** Superposition of the PbCPα1ΔC20 and PbCPα2ΔC20 subunits. The rainbow color scale represents the r.m.s.d. between the subunits. Residues excluded from the alignment are colored black. **d** Superposition of PbCPα1ΔC20 to CapZα (left) and PbCPα2ΔC20 to CapZβ (right). The domains of PbCPαΔC20 are colored as in panel a. CapZαβ (PDB ID: 1IZN) is shown in light gray.

Figure 2

Atypical structural properties of PfActl and PbCPaaΔC20 suggest a divergent barbed-end binding mode. a Model of PfActl filament (green to beige colored surfaces, PDB ID: 5OGW [7]) capped by PbCPaaΔC20 (blue cartoon) in a canonical arrangement. b Electrostatic potential surface of the last (b) and penultimate (b-1) protomer of PfActl (left) and Arp1 (right, PDB ID: 6F1T [48]) filament barbed ends. c Electrostatic potential surface of PbCPaaΔC20 (left) and CapZαβ (right, PDB ID: 6F1T). d Hydrophobic

pockets of the last subunit of PfActl (left) and Arp1 (right) filament presented as green surfaces. The Plasmodium-specific insert, cap sheets, and cap helices of PbCP $\alpha\Delta$ C20 (left) are colored purple, red, and teal, respectively. The CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (right) α - and β -subunits are shown in light and dark gray, respectively. Sequence alignment (bottom) of the Plasmodium-specific insert (PbCP α _ins) with the β -tentacles of *P. berghei* (PbCP β _C: A0A509AQN8) and *S. scrofa* CP (SsCP β _C: A9XFX6), grouped and colored respective to a Risler matrix, using the ESPript convention. e Structure of PbCP $\alpha\Delta$ C20 (left) and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (right) with the surface colored by ConSurf residue conservation scores from low (cyan) to high (magenta).

Figure 3

PbCP homo- and heterodimers have a canonical shape in solution. a Experimental scattering curves of PbCP $\alpha\beta$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha$, and PbCP $\alpha\Delta$ C20 (red, green, and blue open circles, respectively) and the respective fits of DAMMIN (white dashed lines) and SREFLEX (black line) models to the data. The χ^2 values of the different models to the data are indicated. Weighted residuals of the SREFLEX model fits are denoted in

the lower graph (lines colored respectively), where $\Delta/\sigma = [I_{\text{exp}}(q) - I_{\text{mod}}(q)]/\sigma(q)$. b Dimensionless Kratky plot (colored similar to panel a). c Real-space distance distribution plot (colored similar to panel A). d Cartoon models of PbCPs (colored as in panel a, with chain A in lighter color) and the corresponding SAXS envelopes as surface representations. The tentacle domains of each structure are colored black. Experimental data for PbCP $\alpha\Delta$ C20 for comparison were used from a previous publication [43].

Figure 4

PbCPs do not affect the critical concentration of actin polymerization. a *PfActl* filaments (open black squares) capped by PbCP $\alpha\beta$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha\Delta$ C20, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (open red circles, green diamonds, blue triangles, and purple stars, respectively). The gray shading indicates the range of determined C_{capp} from two-line fits (respectively colored lines). b α -actin filaments (open black squares) capped by PbCP $\alpha\beta$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha\Delta$ C20, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (as in panel a). Errors represent SD ($n = 3$). RFU = relative fluorescent unit, normalized to the lowest concentration.

Figure 5

Atypical regulation of PfActl polymerization kinetics by PbCPs. a Polymerization curves of PfActl in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of PbCP $\alpha\beta$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha\Delta C20$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$. The PfActl-only polymerization curve is shared between measurements and the fluorescence levels are comparable. b Polymerization of PfActl on capped, preformed homologous filaments in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of PbCP $\alpha\beta$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha\Delta C20$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$. The PfActl-only polymerization curve is shared between preseeded measurements and the fluorescence levels are comparable. RFU = relative fluorescent unit.

Figure 6

CPs remove long *PfActl* filaments and reduce average filament length. **a** Representative negative stained EM micrographs of 1 μ M *PfActl* polymerized alone or together with 1.2 μ M CPs. Scale bars represent 100 nm. **b** Frequency distribution of the measured filament particles of polymerized *PfActl* alone (black, $n = 1930$) or with *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$ (red, $n = 3305$), *CapZ* $\alpha\beta$ (purple, $n = 2108$), *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ (green, $n = 3883$), and *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$ Δ C20 (blue, $n = 2251$). Filaments larger than 300 nm are excluded from the distribution plot. Inset shows the calculated means of the filament lengths. Errors represent SD. **c** Box plot representation of the filament distributions for *PfActl* alone or capped with CPs. *PfActl* filaments longer than 300 nm are displayed separately. Boxes encompass 80% of the distribution around the median (horizontal bars) and whiskers extend to the 1st and 99th percentiles. The mean values are shown as squares. Asterisks

represent significant difference (***: $p < 0.001$) between the medians when compared against the smaller PfActl species (98.5% of measured PfActl filaments) using Mann-Whitney tests.

Figure 7

CPs facilitate PfActl depolymerization. a Dilution-induced depolymerization of PfActl filaments with various concentrations of PbCP $\alpha\beta$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha$, PbCP $\alpha\alpha\Delta C20$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$. The PfActl-only depolymerization curve is shared between measurements and the fluorescence levels are comparable. Concentrations shown are after F-buffer dilution. RFU = relative fluorescent unit.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [CPmanuscriptCMLSSI.docx](#)