The Effect of Counseling With Cognitive Behavior Approach on Self-esteem and Body Image in Lactating Mothers: Randomized Clinical Trial

Nasrin Zamiri-Miandoab

Tabriz University of Medical Sciences Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery

Mojgan Mirghafourvand

Tabriz University of Medical Sciences Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery

Fatemeh Nemati

University of Tabriz

mahin kamalifard (✉ kamalifardm@tbzmed.ac.ir)

Tabriz Medical University: Tabriz University of Medical Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6455-4809>

Primary research

Keywords: self-esteem, body image, cognitive behavior therapy, lactating mothers, exclusive breastfeeding

Posted Date: September 22nd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-910447/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Self-esteem and body image are the factors that change during different periods of a women's life. Some of the women experience low self-esteem and negative body image in pregnancy and postpartum. These two factors along with other factors can reduce the rate of exclusive breastfeeding among women. Cognitive-behavior therapy is one of the psychological approaches that is effective on the betterment of many of the psychological and personality disorders such as body image disorders as well as improvement of self-esteem.

The aim of this randomized control trial is to recognize the effects of cognitive-behavior counseling during pregnancy period on self-esteem, body image (primary outcome) and exclusive breastfeeding (secondary outcome).

Methods

In this randomized controlled trial, 70 eligible pregnant women referring to health centers of Tabriz- Iran were assigned to two groups of 35 as intervention and control groups using randomized blocking method. For intervention group, 8 sessions of cognitive-behavior therapy based on Cash and Strachan's body image protocol and Michael Free's for self-esteem were performed.

Rosenberg self-esteem scale and multidimensional body self-relation questionnaire (MBSRQ) were completed before intervention, immediately after intervention and 4 weeks after delivery. Likewise, exclusive breastfeeding questionnaire was completed 4 weeks after childbirth. Independent t-test, chi square and repeated measures ANOVA tests were used to analyze the data.

Results

According to repeated measures ANOVA test and with controlling baseline score, the mean scores on self-esteem (adjusted mean difference (AMD): 7.18; 95%CI: 4.43 to 9.94; $p < 0.001$) and body image (AMD: 49.74; 95%CI: 70.91 to 28.54; $p < 0.001$) in the intervention group were significantly higher than the control group. 63.6 % of intervention group mothers and 30.3% of control group mothers had exclusive breastfeeding which for the intervention group was significantly higher than the control group based on chi square test ($p = 0.007$).

Conclusions

Cognitive-behavior approach was effective on the improvement of self-esteem and body image and through which might lead to the increase of exclusive breastfeeding among women.

Trial register number: Iranian registry clinical trials (IRCT20110524006582N33). Date of registration: 2020-8-19. URL: <https://en.irct.ir/trial/43395>.

Background

Self-esteem, also known as self-respect, is a psychological concept that describes a positive or negative attitude toward oneself [1, 2]. Rosenberg believes that a person with high self-esteem recognizes and respects himself/herself as a valuable person [3]. Self-esteem is so significant that it has its own category in Maslow's hierarchy [4]. A lack of self-esteem causes a slew of issues in people's social relationships [5]. It also causes feelings of isolation and guilt, as well as sexual dysfunction, eating disorders [6], anxiety [7], and depression [8]. Throughout one's life, one's self-esteem can fluctuate [7]. Due to the physiological, anatomical, and psychological changes that women go through during pregnancy, it is one of the times when they experience low self-esteem [9]. Lack of self-esteem is associated with poor mental and physical health in mothers, and it has a negative impact on infant health as well as mother–infant attachment [10, 11].

Many factors influence self-esteem formation, including genetics, age, socioeconomic status, thought patterns [12], health conditions, parents, childhood events, and so on [7]. Similarly, social norms about the body, particularly the ideas of family members and friends, as well as deficiencies in appearance, can have a significant impact on self-esteem [13, 14]. Body image is another important and influential factor in self-esteem. Some studies show that poor body image is caused by low self-esteem, while others show that low self-esteem is caused by dissatisfaction with one's body image [15].

Body image refers to a person's beliefs and feelings about his/her physical appearance (such as height, weight, and body shape) and sexual attractiveness in comparison to society standards [16]. Although body image is an internal feeling, external factors such as friends, family members, the social environment, and the media all play important roles in shaping a person's perception and feelings about his or her appearance [17]. According to studies, body image dissatisfaction among Iranian women has increased noticeably in recent years [18]. Body image, like self-esteem, changes throughout a woman's life, including menstruation, pregnancy [19, 20], breastfeeding and postpartum [21]. Poor body image causes feelings of unattractiveness and dissatisfaction with marital relationships [22], as well as depression [23], eating disorders and low self-esteem [25]. Postpartum depression is more common in women who have a negative body image [26]. Similarly, mothers who were dissatisfied with their postpartum body image had a more negative attitude toward breastfeeding [27].

Self-esteem [28] and body image [29] are two of the most important and influential factors influencing exclusive breastfeeding. Currently, only 40% of infants worldwide receive exclusive breastfeeding. This is despite the fact that, according to UNICEF, if exclusive breastfeeding rates rise, more than 80,000 infants under the age of five will be saved from death [30]. It has been observed that when women are self-assured and properly supported by family members and others, they have a positive and long-term breastfeeding experience [31]. According to World Health Organization (WHO), starting and maintaining breastfeeding requires counseling as well as supportive and promotional programs [32].

Counseling is a process that aids in the improvement of an individual's attitude, behavior, and personality. Furthermore, counseling improves communication skills, behavior change, mental health, and self-esteem

empowerment [33]. CBT is a counseling technique that can be used alone or in conjunction with other approaches to treat personality disorders, mental disorders, depression, anxiety, and poor body image [34]. The therapist does not question the client's feelings in this approach, but rather challenges the thoughts that cause such feelings and explains to the clients the process by which their thoughts lead to their feelings [35]. This method teaches mothers to avoid prejudgment and negative assessment and to demonstrate appropriate emotional responses in stressful situations such as pregnancy, breastfeeding, and postpartum periods [28]. Given some women's low self-esteem and impaired body image, as well as the impact of these two factors on exclusive breastfeeding, we decided to investigate the effects of cognitive-behavioral pregnancy counseling on self-esteem, body image (primary outcomes), and exclusive breastfeeding (secondary outcome).

Methods

Study design and participants

This randomized controlled trial was conducted on 70 pregnant women admitted to Tabriz health centers in 2020. The inclusion criteria for this study were a minimum of a secondary education, a gestational age of 25–28 weeks, a first or second delivery, being a singleton, no diseases affecting the pregnancy process, not using drugs that affect mental-psychological problems, and no history of severe depression. Women who had a history of bleeding during the second stage of pregnancy, as well as a history of malignant breast diseases, were excluded from the study.

Sampling

Following ethics committee approval and registration of the study in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials under the code IRCT20110524006582N33, the sampling permission was obtained from the research deputy of the nursing-midwifery school and the deputy of the health department of East Azerbaijan province in Iran. The researcher went to Tabriz's health centers in populous and socio-culturally diverse districts and extracted a list of pregnant women from the files. The women were then contacted via phone number, and the research goals and methods were briefly explained to them over the phone. Moreover, they were analyzed based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, and if they were eligible and willing to participate in the study, they were invited to attend relevant centers at specific times. The goals and methods of the research were thoroughly explained during this face-to-face meeting, and if the pregnant mother was willing to participate in the study, written informed consent was obtained from her, and participants completed the Rosenberg self-esteem scale and the Multidimensional Body–Self Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ) [36]. The study included women who scored less than 241 on the Multidimensional Body–Self Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ) and less than 25 on the Rosenberg self-esteem scale [37–39]. Participants were then asked to fill out a socio-demographic questionnaire.

Random allocation and intervention

Participants were randomly assigned to one of two intervention and control groups (35 people each) using a random blocking method stratified by first or second delivery, with 4 to 6 people in each block. For allocation concealment, the type of intervention was written on paper by someone unrelated to the study and placed in opaque envelopes numbered sequentially.

Counseling based on a cognitive-behavioral approach was provided to the intervention group in 8 sessions of 60 to 90 minutes once a week by a master student of counseling in midwifery who had been trained for CBT by a professional psychologist. The sessions were held in a calm environment in a room in health centers considered for counseling. Body image counseling was based on Cash and Strachan's body image workbook [40], while self-esteem counseling was based on Michael Free's Cognitive Therapy in Groups: Guidelines and Resources for Practice [41]. Table 1 summarizes the content of the sessions.

Because of the COVID-19 pandemic and the need to maintain social distance, the number of participants in each session was limited to at least three and no more than five people (depending on the available space in the health centers).

Data instruments

This study's measures included socio-demographic and obstetrics questionnaires, the Rosenberg self-esteem scale, the Multidimensional Body–Self Relations Questionnaire, and an exclusive breastfeeding questionnaire. Socio-demographic and obstetric questionnaires were completed prior to the study, and the Rosenberg self-esteem scale and Multidimensional Body–Self Relations Questionnaire were completed by both groups before intervention, immediately after the completion of the intervention sessions, and then 4 weeks after childbirth. Similarly, one month after childbirth, a breastfeeding questionnaire was completed via interview.

Socio-demographic and obstetrics questionnaire

The research team designed this questionnaire, which included questions about the participant's and her spouse's age, marriage duration, the participant's and her spouse's educational level and occupation, income level, marital satisfaction, extent of spouse and family support, gestational age and fetus sex, history of pregnancy, childbirth and abortion, and successful breastfeeding history, and so on. Face and content validity were used to confirm the questionnaire's validity.

Rosenberg self-esteem questionnaire

The Rosenberg self-esteem scale was used to assess self-esteem (10 items). This questionnaire contains ten phrases, the first five of which are intended to be positive and the remaining five to be negative. The questionnaire is scored in reverse. This scale has a range of scores ranging from 0 to 30. Scores above 25 indicate high self-esteem, while scores between 15 and 25 indicate average self-esteem. Scores lower than 15 indicate low self-esteem. Cronbach's alpha coefficients for this scale were calculated 0.87 for men and 0.86 for women in the first turn and 0.88 for men and 0.87 for women in the second turn in the Makikangas study conducted in Finland [29]. Cronbach's alpha was reported to be 0.84 for the Iranian sample [42]. Cronbach's alpha was calculated to be 0.86 for the present study.

Multidimensional body self-relations questionnaire

Cash et al. developed the MBSRQ in 1990, and its reliability was also confirmed. As a multidimensional measure of attitude toward body image, this questionnaire contains cognitive, emotional, and behavioral components, and its validity is reported to be 0.81. This questionnaire contains the following subscales: appearance evaluation, appearance orientation, fitness evaluation, fitness orientation, health evaluation, health orientation, illness orientation, body area satisfaction (BASS), self-classified weight, and overweight preoccupation. The scoring on this questionnaire is in reverse. Questions 6, 15, 16, 17, 23, 25, 28, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48, and 49 are scored in the opposite order. A person's minimum score is 69, while a maximum score is 395. Higher scores indicate a higher level of physical satisfaction [30]. Rahati examined the validity and reliability of this questionnaire for Iranian samples in a study and found it to have a Cronbach's alpha of 0.88 [32]. The present study's Cronbach's alpha was calculated to be 0.89.

Exclusive breastfeeding questionnaire

The research team developed this questionnaire, which included questions about exclusive breastfeeding. Face and content validity were used to confirm the validity of this questionnaire.

Sample size

G-power software was used to calculate sample size, which was based on both self-esteem and body image variables. Based on a study conducted by Inanir et al. [43], with $m_1 = 118.3$ (mean score of body image) and the assumption of a 25% increase in mean score due to intervention, $m_2 = 147.875$, and power = 95 %, a sample size of 32 people was calculated for each group, with a final sample size of 35 people in each group after accounting for 20% sample attrition. According to a study conducted by Barez et al. [33] and by considering $m_1 = 28.98$ (mean score of self-esteem) and assuming a 25% increase in mean score due to intervention $m_2 = 34.776$, and power = 95%, sample size was calculated 8 people in each group, and by considering the fact that sample size based on body image score was greater, the final sample size for each group was determined to be 35 people.

Data analysis

Following data collection from all research units, the data was analyzed using SPSS 24. The K-S test confirmed the normality of the quantitative data. Chi-square, Chi-square for trend, independent-t, and Fisher's exact tests were used to examine group homogeneity in terms of socio-demographic characteristics. Before intervention, a t-test was used to compare mean scores on self-esteem and body image, as well as subscales of body image, among the groups; and repeated measures ANOVA and after controlling for baseline score and variables such as participants' and their spouses' educational level, participants' age, and intervals between deliveries was used after intervention. The Chi-square test was used to compare the frequency of exclusive breastfeeding among the groups. All of the tests were done with the intention-to-treat principle in mind.

Results

The study began in February 2020, but was halted for three months due to COVID-19 pandemic. It was resumed in May 2020, and was completed in January 2021. In this study, two people from the control group (one due to preeclampsia and the other due to being unavailable) and two people from the intervention group (due to COVID-19 pandemic and reluctance to attend the sessions on a regular basis) were excluded. Finally, 33 people from each group were studied.

The mean (SD: standard deviation) ages of participants and their spouses in the intervention group were 30.51 (4.99) and 35.69 (5.02) years, respectively, and 27.89 (5.36) and 33.69 (5.86) years, respectively, in the control group. The intervention group had a mean (SD) gestational age of 26.86 (1.33) weeks and the control group had a gestational age of 26.91 (1.34) weeks. More than half of the intervention group participants (60%) and less than half of the control group participants (45.7%) had an academic education ($p=0.013$). Two-thirds (65.7%) of the spouses in the intervention group had an academic education, while less than half (42.9%) of the spouses in the control group had a diploma ($p=0.026$). Housekeepers made up the majority of mothers in both groups (82.9% in the intervention group and 91.4% in the control group) ($p=0.259$). The majority of the spouses in both groups were self-employed (57.1 % in the intervention group and 65.7 % in the control group) ($p=0.458$). Approximately two-thirds of the mothers in both groups reported having nearly sufficient incomes (65.7 % in the intervention group and 60 % in the control group) ($p=1.000$). Almost half of both groups' participants (48.6 % in the intervention group and 54.3 % in the control group) were completely satisfied with their marital lives ($p=0.941$). Less than half of the participants in both groups received very good support from their spouses (40 % in the intervention group and 42.9 % in the control group) ($p=0.259$). Almost half of both groups' participants rated their families' support as very good (45.7 % in the intervention group and 42.9 % in the control group) ($p=0.821$). The majority of the participants (71.4 % in the intervention group and 85.7 % in the control group) became pregnant on purpose ($p=0.145$). More than half of the fetuses in the intervention group (54.3%) were girls, while more than half of the fetuses in the control group (51.4%) were boys ($p=0.632$). The majority of mothers in both groups (91.4 %) were pleased with the sex of their fetuses ($p=1.000$). More than half of the women in both groups (51.4 % in the intervention group and 54.3 % in the control group) had no history of previous delivery ($p=0.811$). The majority of participants in both groups (77.1% in the intervention group and 80% in the control group) had no prior history of abortion ($p=0.771$). The majority of mothers in the intervention group (70.6 %) and more than half of mothers in the control group (57.1 %) had no successful breastfeeding history ($p=0.245$). The average length of previous breastfeeding in the intervention group was 17.57 (9.03) months and 23.20 (5.18) months in the control group ($p=0.055$). The majority of participants in both groups had a Cesarean delivery (72.7% in the intervention group and 84.8% in the control group). The mean (standard deviation) of participants' BMI before pregnancy in the intervention group was 25.48 (4.20) and 24.26 (4.57) in the control group ($p=0.260$). Before and after intervention, BMI was calculated to be 28.43 (4.43) and 26.88 (3.86) ($p=0.304$) in the intervention group and 27.27 (4.72) and 25.81(4.67) ($p=0.321$) in the control group, respectively (Table 2).

Before the onset of counseling, the mean (SD) of self-esteem in the intervention group was 15.63 (3.18), while it was 17.83 (3.40) in the control group ($p=0.007$). Self-esteem improved to 23.36 (2.34) in the intervention group and 18.40 (2.06) in the control group immediately following the intervention. Four weeks after childbirth, the mean self-esteem score in the intervention group was 24.36 (2.50) and 17.13 (3.40) in the control group. Prior to the intervention, an independent t-test revealed a significant statistical difference between the two groups ($p=0.007$). Similarly, after the intervention, the intervention group's mean self-esteem score was significantly higher than the control group, according to repeated measures ANOVA and after controlling for baseline score and variables such as participants' and their spouses' educational level, participants' age, and intervals between their pregnancies (adjusted mean difference (AMD): 7.18; 95%confidence interval (CI): 4.43 to 9.94; $p<0.001$) (Table 3).

Before the intervention, the intervention group's mean (SD) body image was 214.48 (13.16) and the control group's was 215.63 (14.10). This score was 264.86 (15.97) in the intervention group and 214.66 (21.67) in the control group immediately after the intervention, and it was 270.07 (15.25) in the intervention group and 211.00 (17.48) in the control group 4 weeks later. Prior to the intervention, the independent t-test revealed no statistically significant difference between the two groups ($p=0.727$). However, after the intervention, the intervention group's mean body image score was significantly higher than the control group, according to repeated measures ANOVA with the baseline score and variables such as participants' and their spouses' educational level, participants' age, and intervals between their pregnancies (AMD: 49.74; 95%CI= 28.57 to 70.91; $p<0.001$) (Table 4).

Body image has ten subscales, the mean score of which was significantly higher in the intervention group than the control group after intervention for appearance evaluation ($p=0.010$), appearance orientation ($p=0.001$), fitness evaluation ($p=0.004$), fitness orientation ($p=0.001$), health evaluation ($p=0.001$), health orientation ($p=0.018$), and illness orientation ($p=0.002$) (Table 4).

According to the Chi-square test, 63.6% of mothers in the intervention group and 30.3 percent of mothers in the control group had exclusive breastfeeding, which was significantly higher in the intervention group than the control group ($p=0.007$).

The present study's findings confirmed the efficacy of CBT in improving self-esteem and body image among breastfeeding women, resulting in an increase in the frequency of exclusive breastfeeding among women in the intervention group. Since there were no articles comparing the effectiveness of CBT on self-esteem and body image in other population groups, articles comparing the effectiveness of CBT on self-esteem and body image in other population groups were compared.

Discussion

In the present study, cognitive-behavioral counseling improved self-esteem in women in the intervention group. In a study conducted by Vakilian et al. (2018) [28] to assess the efficacy of cognitive-behavioral counseling on the self-esteem of primiparous pregnant women, the efficacy of CBT on improving self-esteem score was confirmed. The findings of a study conducted by Farahzadi et al. (2019) [34] to

examine the effects of CBT on self-esteem in women suffering from body image dissatisfaction revealed that self-esteem improved significantly in the intervention group. The findings of Babadi's study (2019) [35] on obese women with BMIs greater than 30 demonstrated the efficacy of CBT on self-esteem. However, in Myung-Sun Hyun's study (2005) [44], self-esteem did not improve significantly in the CBT group. One of the reasons for this disparity could be the study's different research population; Myung-sun Hyun's study was conducted on adolescent boys. CBT can bring about emotional and behavioral changes, as well as improve self-esteem, by changing maladaptive thoughts and correcting fundamental misconceptions [45].

In the present study, cognitive-behavioral counseling improved body image in women in the intervention group. Similarly, in a study conducted by Navidian et al. (2016) [46] on women aged 20 to 40 three months after delivery, CBT resulted in improved body image in the intervention group compared to the control group. Ahmadi et al. (2017) [47] conducted a study on the effects of CBT on infertile women's body image and concluded that CBT improved body image. CBT improved subscales of appearance evaluation, appearance orientation, and fitness evaluation in Ahmadi's study, as it did in the present study. Furthermore, in his study, as in the present study, there was no significant difference in self-classified weight between two groups following the intervention. Appearance evaluation is a subscale selected on a global scale for assessing appearance concerns. This subscale evaluates the subject's feelings about her physical attractiveness and satisfaction with her appearance [48]. The subscale appearance orientation investigates appearance-related biases and attitudes. The fitness evaluation also relates to the general fitness assessment and a self-classified weight evaluation examines the concern of the individual regarding his/her weight [46]. CBT was also effective in improving women's body image after mastectomy in a study by Fadaei et al. (2011) [50]. By combining cognitive and behavioral approaches, cognitive-behavior therapy changes maladaptive thoughts and leads to a comprehensive understanding of body image and the factors that influence it [51].

The frequency of exclusive breastfeeding was significantly higher in the intervention group than in the control group in the present study. In Navidian's study [46], the breastfeeding rate was also examined, and no significant difference between two groups was discovered, which differed from the findings of the present study. The probable causes of this difference are cultural differences and different socioeconomic levels of the study populations in two studies. Navidian's research was carried out in one of the provinces with the lowest socioeconomic status. Furthermore, in our study, counseling sessions began during pregnancy and continued for 8 sessions; additionally, Navidian's study was conducted only among primiparous women with no previous history of breastfeeding, whereas our study included both primiparous women and women with a second delivery.

In Sikander's (2015) study [45], which was similar to ours, a cognitive-behavioral approach increased the frequency and length of exclusive breastfeeding; 59.6 percent of women in the intervention group had exclusive breastfeeding, whereas 28.6 percent of women in the control group had exclusive breastfeeding. The findings of Rahman's (2012) [52] study also demonstrate the efficacy of a cognitive-behavioral approach to exclusive breastfeeding. Psychotherapy approaches create the cognitive

environment required for behavior change [53]. CBT, for example, gives mothers the opportunity to apply their knowledge in practice by presenting assignments and practices, which leads to long-term behavior change in mothers [54] and, as a result, improvements in their health behaviors.

One of the study's strengths was the one-month follow-up with participants after delivery. One of the study's other strengths was the use of standard questionnaires. One of the study's limitations was the low number of participants in counseling groups due to the pandemic Coronavirus. Furthermore, women with high-risk pregnancies or a history of depression were excluded from the study; thus, it is suggested to investigate the effects of CBT on women with high risk pregnancies in the future studies.

Conclusion

Counseling using a cognitive-behavioral approach improved self-esteem and body image in breastfeeding women, and as a result, the group that received counseling had a higher frequency of exclusive breastfeeding. Thus, it is suggested that women have access to self-esteem and body image counseling during their pregnancy; and because midwifery consultants are competent in various approaches of psychology as well as midwifery knowledge, their presence alongside mothers during the sensitive periods of pregnancy and breastfeeding can greatly benefit mothers and the health system.

Abbreviations

CBT: cognitive behavior therapy; MBSRQ: multidimensional body self-relation questionnaire;

AMD: adjusted mean difference; SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; B.F: breastfeeding

Declarations

Acknowledgments

We sincerely appreciate all of women participated in this study and all of the health centers that cooperated with us perfectly.

Financial support

This study was performed with the support of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. The investor had no role in designing the study, collecting data, and data analysis.

Authors' contributions

N.Z, M.K and M.M conceived and designed the study. F.N designed the CBT protocol. N.Z conducted the therapy protocol and the study. M.K and M.M critically revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study is extracted from a master's thesis that registered at IRCT (code: IRCT20110524006582N33) and has been approved by the ethics committee of Tabriz university of medical sciences, Iran. Ethics code (IR.TBZMED.REC.1398.10.99). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

No conflicts of interest

Authors' details

¹Department of midwifery, student's research committee, faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. ² Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz, Iran. ³ Faculty of Education and Psychology, Tabriz, Iran. ⁴ Department of midwifery Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

References

1. 'Self-esteem.'Merriam-Webster.com,Dictionary,Merriam –Webster, available from: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/self-esteem>, access date 1 feb,2021.
2. García JA, y Olmos FC, Matheu ML,Carreño T.P. Self esteem levels vs global scores on the Rosenberg self-esteem scale. Heliyon.2019;5(3):e01378. Doi: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01378](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01378) .
3. Rosenberg, M. Conceiving the self: Malabar (fla):RE Krieger;1986.
4. Zhang L, Yu Q, Zhang Q, Yafei G, Jianwen Ch. The relationship between explicit self-esteem and subjective well-being: The moderating effect of implicit self-esteem. The Journal of general psychology. 2020; 147(1):1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221309.2019.1609896> .
5. Harris MA, Orth U. The link between self-esteem and social relationships: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Journal of personality and social psychology. 2020;119(6):1459.
6. Zeigler-Hill V. The importance of self-esteem. In V. Zeigler-Hill (Ed.), current issues in social psychology. Self-esteem (p.1-20). 2013. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203587874> .
7. Orth, U.& Robins ,R.W. Development of self-esteem across the lifespan. In D.P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, & J. L. Tackett (Eds.), Handbook of personality development New York, NY: Guilford. 2019. (pp.328-344).

8. Sowislo JF, Orth U. Does low self-esteem predict depression and anxiety? A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological bulletin*. 2013 jan;139(1):213-240.PMID: 22730921; doi: 10.1037/a0028931.
9. Santos PC, Abreu s, Moreira C, Santos R, Ferreira M, Alves O.et al. Physical activity patterns during pregnancy in a sample of portuguese women: A longitudinal Prospective Study. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*.2016 Mar 28;18(3):e22455.doi: 10.5812/ircmj.22455.PMID:27247788 .
10. Bödecs T, Horváth B, Szilágyi E, Gonda X, Rihmer Z, Sándor J. Effects of depression, anxiety, self-esteem, and health behaviour on neonatal outcomes in a population-based Hungarian sample. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2011;154(1):45-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2010.08.021>.
11. Maçola L, do Vale IN, Carmona EV. Assessment of self-esteem in pregnant women using Rosenberg's Self-Esteem Scale. *Rev.Esc.Enferm. USP*.2010 Sep.1;44(3):570-7.Available from: <https://www.revistas.usp.br/reeusp/article/view/40578>.
12. Kendra Cherry.what is self-esteem?,very well mind. updated on March 2021. Available from: <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-self-esteem-2795868>.
13. Chang HJ, Suttikun C. The examination of psychological factors and social norms affecting body satisfaction and self-esteem for college students. *Family and consumer sciences research Journal*. 2017; 45(4):422-37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fcsr.12220>.
14. Kamalifard M, Mirghafourvand M, Ranjbar F, et al. relationship of Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy with Self-Esteem and General Health in Breastfeeding Mothers Referred to Health Centers of Falavarjan City-Iran,2015. *Community Mental Health journal*. 2019 Aug;55(6):1057-1063. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-019-00408-6>.
15. Gow ML, Tee MS, Garnett SP, Baur LA, Aldwell K, Thomas S et al. Pediatric obesity treatment, self-esteem, and body image: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Pediatric obesity*. 2020;15(3):e12600.
16. NEDA,body image: national eating disorder collabration; 2021 [cited 2021]. Available from: <https://www.nationaleatingdisorders.org/body-image-0>.
17. NEDC N. Body Image 2020. Available from: <https://nedc.com.au/eating-disorders/eating-disorders-explained/body-image/>.
18. Shoraka H, Amirkafi A, Garrusi B. Review of body image and some of contributing factors in Iranian population. *International journal of preventive medicine*. 2019;10. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2008-7802.252143>.
19. Rahmanian V, Zolala F, Mohseni M, Baneshi M, Khalili N. Relationship between body image and social participation in pregnant women of Jahrom city, Iran. *The Horizon of Medical Sciences*. 2017;23(2):111-6.

<https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.hms.23.2.111>.

20. Boscaglia N, Skouteris H, Wertheim EH. Changes in body image satisfaction during pregnancy: A comparison of high exercising and low exercising women. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2003;43(1):41-5. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0004-8666.2003.00016.x>.
21. Williams K. The relationship between body image and mother to infant attachment in the postpartum period: D.Clin.Psychol thesis, University of Leeds; 2019.
22. Rasool Heshmati, Khodaparast S, Fatemeh Koroghi-poor. The predictive role of body image, body mass index, dispositional mindfulness and alexithymia in marital satisfaction of employed women. *Journal of Women Social and Psychological Studies*. 2017;14(4):43-68.
23. Paans NP, Bot M, Brouwer IA, Visser M, Pennix BW. Contributions of depression and body mass index to body image. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 2018;103:18-25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.05.003>.
24. Murnen SK, Smolak L. The Cash effect: Shaping the research conversation on body image and eating disorders. *Body image*. 2019;31:288-93.
25. Garrusi B, Razavi Nematallahee V, Etminan A. The relationship of body image with depression and self-esteem in pregnant women. *Health and Development Journal*. 2013;2(2):117-27.
DOI: [10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_178_16](https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_178_16).
26. Chan CY, Lee AM, Koh YW, Lam SK, Lee CP, Leung Ky, et al. Associations of body dissatisfaction with anxiety and depression in the pregnancy and postpartum periods: A longitudinal study. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2020;263:582-92. DOI: [10.1016/j.jad.2019.11.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.11.032).
27. Morley-Hewitt AG, Owen AL. A systematic review examining the association between female body image and the intention, initiation and duration of post-partum infant feeding methods (breastfeeding vs bottle-feeding). *Journal of health psychology*. 2020;25(2):207-26.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105319833744>.
28. Vakilian K, Poorjandaghi M, Khorsandi M. Effectiveness of cognitive group counseling to self-esteem in nulliparous women. *Journal of Clinical Nursing and Midwifery*. 2018;4.(3):67-74.
29. Mäkikangas A, Kinnunen U, Feldt T. Self-esteem, dispositional optimism, and health: Evidence from cross-lagged data on employees. *Journal of research in personality*. 2004;38(6):556-75.
30. Brown TA, Cash TF, Mikulka PJ. Attitudinal body-image assessment: Factor analysis of the Body-Self Relations Questionnaire. *Journal of personality assessment*. 1990;55(1-2):135-44.
31. Cash TF. The multidimensional body-self relations questionnaire. Unpublished test manual, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA. 1990.

32. Rahati A. Evolutionary study of body image and its relationship with self-esteem based on comparison between adolescent, middle age and old people. Tehran: Shahed University. 2004;34.
33. Barez A, Maleki N. Self Esteem and its Associated Factors in Primiparous mothers Referred to health centers in the city of Mashhad. *Journal of Clinical Nursing and Midwifery*. 2016;5(2):19-28.
34. Farahzadi M, Maddahi ME, Khalatbari J. Comparison The effectiveness Acceptance and Commitment group therapy and Cognitive-Behavior group therapy on Perfectionism and self-esteem women with body image dissatisfaction. *Clinical Psychology & Personality (Daneshvar Raftar)*. 2019;17(1 (32)):97-108.
35. Babadi A, Kajbaf MB, Nouri A, Abedi MR. The impact of lived-experience based therapy and cognitive-behavior therapy on body mass index and self-esteem among obese women. *International Journal of Applied Behavioral Sciences*. 2019;6(3):52-60. <https://doi.org/10.22037/ijabs.v6i3.27751>.
36. Ekrami F, Mirghafourvand M, Farzipour SH. Comparison of the Body Image Between Women Seeking Female Genital Cosmetic Surgery and Women Not Interested in FGCS: A Case Control Study. *Crescent Journal of Medical and Biological Sciences*. 2018;54):337-332 .(
37. Xu ML, Leung SO. Effects of varying numbers of Likert scale points on factor structure of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*. 2018;21(3):119-28.
38. Supple AJ, Su J, Plunkett SW, Peterson GW, Bush KR. Factor structure of the Rosenberg self-esteem scale. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*. 2013 Jul;44(5):748-64.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022112468942> .
39. Barkhordary M, Jalalmanesh S, Mahmodi M. The relationship between critical thinking disposition and self esteem in third and forth year bachelor nursing students. *Iranian journal of medical education*. 2009;9(1):13-19.
40. Strachan MD, Cash TF. Self-help for a negative body image: A comparison of components of a cognitive-behavioral program. *Behavior Therapy*. 2002;33(2):235-251.
41. Free ML, Elliott, Charles H. cognitive therapy in groups: guide lines and resources for practice, *Journal of cognitive psychotherapy*. 2014;15(2). <https://doi.org/10.1891/0889-8391.15.2.147> .
42. Rajabi G, Karjo KS. A study of confirmatory structure two-factor model of the Persian version Rosenberg self-esteem scale, *Journal of Psychological models and methods*. 2012;2(6):33-43.
43. Inanir S, Cakmak B, Nacar MC, Culer A, Inanir A. Body Image perception and self-esteem during pregnancy. *Journal of womens health and reproduction sciences*, 201;3(4), 196-200.
44. Hyun M-S, Chung H-IC, Lee Y-J. The effect of cognitive–behavioral group therapy on the self-esteem, depression, and self-efficacy of runaway adolescents in a shelter in South Korea. *Applied Nursing*

Research. 2005;18(3):160-166 .DOI: [10.1016/j.apnr.2004.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2004.07.006).

45. Sikander S, Maselko J, Zafar S, Haq Z, Ahmad L, Ahmad M, et al. Cognitive-behavioral counseling for exclusive breastfeeding in rural pediatrics: a cluster RCT. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(2):e424-e31. Doi:10.1542/peds.2014-1628.

46. Navidian A, Moudi Z, Esmaealzade M. The Effectiveness of Group Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Based on Cash's Eight-Step Model in Body Image of Primiparous Women. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences*. 2017;11(2);7236.

47. Ahmadi Z, Abbaspoor Z, Behroozy N, et al. The effects of cognitive behavioral therapy on body image in infertile women. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*. 2017;19(10):0-0.

48. King TK, Matacin M, Marcus BH, Bock BC, Tripolone J. Body image evaluations in women smokers. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2000;25(4):613-618. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4603\(99\)00039-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4603(99)00039-8).

49. Annis NM, Cash TF, Hrabosky JI. Body image and psychosocial differences among stable average weight, currently overweight, and formerly overweight women: the role of stigmatizing experiences. *Body image*. 2004;1(2):155-167. DOI:[10.1016/j.bodyim.2003.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2003.12.001) .

50. Fadaei S, Janighorban M, Mehrabi T, et al. Effects of cognitive behavioral counseling on body Image following mastectomy. *Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*. 2011;16(8):1047-1054.

51. Hofmann SG, Asnaani A, Vonk IJ, Sawyer AT, Fang A. The efficacy of cognitive behavior therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Cognitive therapy and research*. 36(5),427-440.doi: [10.1007/s10608-012-9476-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-012-9476-1).

52. Rahman A, Haq Z, Sikander S, Ahmad L. Using cognitive-behavioural techniques to improve exclusive breastfeeding in a low-literacy disadvantaged population. *Maternal & child nutrition* .2011;8(1):57-71. DOI:[10.1111/j.1740-8709.2011.00362.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8709.2011.00362.x) .

53. Rahman A, Malik A, Sikander S, Roberts C. Cognitive behaviour therapy-based intervention by community health workers for mothers with depression and their infants in rural Pakistan: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2008;372(9642):902-909.Doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)61400-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61400-2).

Tables

Table 1: Contents of cognitive-behavior sessions

Sessions	Contents of each session
Session 1	Participants' introducing and getting familiar with each other and establishing the first contact, presenting the goals and rules of the group and the important issue of confidentiality, introducing methods and processes of treatment, explanation about and agreement on participatory nature of the sessions and necessity of doing homework, explanation and discussion about the meanings of self-esteem and body image and related factors
Session 2	Reviewing contents of the previous session, indicating the effects of cultural, social, and psychological pressures as well as life experiences and biography on self-esteem and body image, stating factors lowering or improving self-esteem, awareness of interactional nature of three systems, i.e. thinking, behavioral, and physiological systems, familiarity with Albert Ellis' A-B-C model, practicing self-monitoring skills and presenting homework
Session3	Reviewing contents of previous sessions, checking homework, recognizing negative self-talks about body image and low self-esteem, practicing and improving positive self-talks about body image and self-worth, teaching deep breathing technique, teaching relaxation and practicing group relaxation with the participation of all members of the group, presenting homework
Session4	Reviewing contents of previous sessions, checking homework, analyzing the role of beliefs on body image and self-esteem, taking actions to inhibit maladaptive and negative thoughts and feelings through recognition of self-humiliating beliefs and replacing them with positive and adaptive thoughts, analyzing and challenging general fundamental misconceptions related to appearance and providing logical responses to them, practicing relaxation, presenting homework
Session 5	Reviewing contents of previous sessions, checking homework, reviewing vertical arrow, familiarity with advanced vertical arrow and types of beliefs, presenting homework
Session 6	Reviewing homework of previous sessions, discussing self-humiliating behaviors concerning body image and self-esteem, training techniques for changing troublesome behavior patterns, practicing relaxation, presenting homework
Session 7	Reviewing homework of previous sessions, giving the body its rights and having a good time, training pregnant women for having pleasant times with their bodies and improving their relationships with their bodies through creative involvement and specific exercises for enjoying and admiring their physical beings, training pregnant women for facing their probable physical defects, practicing relaxation as homework
Session 8	Reviewing homework and contents of previous sessions, presenting and reviewing techniques for protecting positive body image and self-esteem, reviewing taught strategies for changing negative beliefs, practicing relaxation, getting feedback about the program from participants and providing an opportunity to finish the group therapy program

Table 2: socio-demographic and obstetric characteristic among participants

*these scores indicate the mean (SD)

The number of participants before intervention was 70 and after intervention was 66

†B.F: Breastfeeding ‡BMI: body mass index

	Intervention group(n=35)	Control group(n=35)	p- value	variable	Intervention group (n=35)	Control group (n=35)	p- value
	Number (percent)	Number (percent)			Number(percent)	Number (percent)	
ars)*	30.51 (4.99)	27.89(5.36)	0.037	Husband's education 0.026			
id's ars)*	35.69 (5.02)	33.69 (5.86)	0.130	Mid school	0 (0)	3 (8.6)	
's education 013				High school	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)	
ool	0 (0)	5 (14.3)		Diploma	11 (31.4)	(45.7) 16	
hool	0 (0)	3 (8.6)		University	23 (65.7)	(42.9) 15	
a	14 (40)	11 (31.4)		Husband's job 0.458			
ity	21 (60)	16 (45.7)		No job	0 (0)	1 (2.9)	
's job				Employee	15 (42.9)	(31.4) 11	
work	29 (82.9)	32 (91.4)		Self- employee	20 (57.1)	(65.7) 23	
ee	6 (17.1)	2 (5.7)		Family support			0.821
ee	0 (0)	1 (2.9)		Very good	16 (45.7)	15 (42.9)	
			1.000	Good	16 (45.7)	16 (45.7)	
ent	12 (34.3)	10 (28.6)		Nearly good	2 (5.7)	4 (11.4)	
ient	0 (0)	4 (11.4)		Not good	1 (2.9)	0 (0)	
nt	23 (65.7)	21 (60)		Husband's support 0.259			
ction			0.941	Very good	14 (40)	15 (42.9)	
etely d	17 (48.6)	19 (54.3)		Good	11 (31.4)	14 (40)	
sified	4 (11.4)	4 (11.4)		Nearly good	6 (17.1)	6 (17.1)	

ily d	14 (40)	12 934.3)	Not good	4 (11.4)	0 (0)		
of delivery 0.811			Planned pregnancy 0.145				
	17 (48.6)	16 (45.7)	Yes	25 (71.4)	30 (85.7)		
	18 (51.4)	19 (54.3)	No	10 (28.6)	5 (14.3)		
of abortion			fetal sex 0.632				
	8 (22.9)	7 (20)	Female	19 (54.3)	17 (48.6)		
	27 (77.1)	28 (80)	male	16 (45.7)	18 (51.4)		
of successful breastfeeding			Wanted fetal sex 1.000				
	10 (29.4)	15 (42.9)	Yes	32 (91.4)	32 (91.4)		
	24 (70.6)	20 (57.1)	No	3 (8.6)	3 (8.6)		
onal week)	26.91 (1.33)	26.85 (1.33)	Marriage duration* (years)	6.57 (4.23)	5.34 (3.42)		
se n es *	8.81 (2.42)	5.68 (2.98)	0.003	Previous B.F† duration*	17.57 (9.03)	23.20 (5.18)	
of successful B.F†			Type of delivery 0.228				
	10 (29.4)	15 (42.9)	NVD	9 (27.3)	5 (15.2)		
	24 (70.6)	20 (57.1)	CS	24 (72.7)	28 (84.8)		
ve B.F†			BMI‡ before pregnancy			25.48 (4.20)	24.26 (4.57)
	21 (63.6)	10 (30.3)	before intervention BMI‡	28.43 (4.43)	27.27 (4.43)		
	12 (36.4)	23 (69.7)	after intervention BMI‡	26.88 (3.86)	25.81 (4.67)		

Table 3: The mean (SD) of self-esteem before, immediately after intervention & 4 weeks after child birth.

Variable	Intervention group n=33	Control group n=33	AMD (95% CI) ‡	p-value
	Mean (SD†)	Mean (SD)		
Before intervention	15.63 (3.18)	17.82 (3.39)	-2.20 (-3.77 to -0.63)	0.007
Immediately after intervention	23.36 (2.34)	18.40 (2.06)	7.18 (4.43 to 9.94)	<0.001
4 weeks after childbirth	24.36 (2.50)	17.13 (3.40)		

The independent t-test was used for comparison of the groups before the intervention and repeated measure ANOVA with controlling baseline score and variables of participants & their spouse's education level, participant's age and interval between deliveries was used for comparing them after the intervention.

The number of participants before intervention was 70 and after intervention was 66.

†Standard Deviation

‡Adjusted Mean Difference (95% Confidence Interval)

Table 4: The mean (SD) of body image and its subscales before, immediately after and 4 weeks after childbirth

variable	Intervention group n=33	Control group n=33	AMD ± (95%CI)	p-value
	Mean (SD†)	Mean (SD)		
Total body image				
Before intervention	214.48 (13.16)	215.63 (14.10)	-1.14 (-7.65 to 5.36)	0.727
Immediately after intervention	264.86 (15.97)	214.67 (21.67)	49.74 (28.57 to 70.91) <0.001	
4 weeks after delivery	270.07 (15.25)	211.0 (17.48)		
Appearance evaluation				
Before intervention	17.97 (2.87)	19.80 (4.30)	-1.83 (3.57 to -0.85)	0.041
Immediately after intervention	27.28 (4.60)	21.53 (4.88)	6.64 (1.80 to 11.48) 0.010	
4weeks after delivery	28.14 (4.90)	19.93 (3.08)		
Appearance orientation				
Before intervention	44.77 (6.34)	42.43 (5.15)	2.34 (-0.41 to 5.10)	0.094
Immediately after intervention	50.21 (3.62)	40.33 (6.44)	9.26 (4.71 to 13.82) 0<001	
4 weeks after delivery	50 (4.62)	41.66 (5.42)		
Fitness evaluation				
Before intervention	7.60 (1.63)	8.06 (1.89)	-0.46 (-1.30 to 0.38)	0.283
Immediately after intervention	11.14 (2.38)	7.53 (1.81)	3.30 (1.20 to 5.40)	0.004
4 weeks after delivery	11.57 (2.47)	7 (1.60)		
Fitness orientation				
Before intervention	37.17(6.43)	38.03 (6.06)	-0.86 (-3.84 to 2.12)	0.568
Immediately after intervention	47.71 (6.17)	38.87 (6.63)	10.96 (5.06 to 16.85) 0.001	

4 weeks after delivery	49.28 (5.61)	35.07 (5.42)		
Health evaluation				
Before intervention	17.88 (2.16)	18.6 (2.81)	-0.71 (-1.91 to 0.48)	0.238
Immediately after intervention	23.57 (3.63)	18.27 (1.83)	5.73 (2.71 to 8.74) 0.001	
4 weeks after delivery	23.86 (2.82)	18.07 (2.63)		
Health orientation				
Before intervention	26.51 (2.92)	24.91 (3.54)	1.60 (0.05 to 3.15)	0.043
Immediately after intervention	32.28 (3.73)	25.47 (2.85)	4.53 (0.87 to 8.19) 0.018	
4 weeks after delivery	32.93 (2.84)	25.87 (3.77)		
Illness orientation				
Before intervention	18.71 (2.89)	18.31 (2.63)	0.40 (-0.92 to 1.72)	0.547
Immediately after intervention	20.71 (2.64)	17.47 (3.70)	4.37 (1.81 to 6.92) 0.002	
4 weeks after delivery	21.36 (2.24)	18.13 (2.47)		
Pre BASS				
Before intervention	25.23 (4.43)	26.71 (4.41)	-1.48 (-3.60 to 0.62)	0.165
Immediately after intervention	34.43 (5.62)	27.67 (6.18)	5.74 (-0.44 to 11.92) 0.067	
4 weeks after delivery	35.36 (5.34)	27.53 (5.19)		
Self-classified weight				
Before intervention	2.68 (0.98)	3.08 (1.02)	-0.40 (-0.88 to 0.08)	0.098
Immediately after intervention	2.68 (0.46)	2.9 (0.85)	-0.15 (-0.75 to 0.45) 0.594	
4 weeks after delivery	1.34 (0.23)	1.40 (0.48)		

Overweight preoccupation				
Before intervention	0.73 (0.10)	0.70 (0.12)	0.03 (-0.02 to 0.08)	0.217
Immediately after intervention	2.82 (0.61)	2.57 (0.47)	-0.18 (-0.77 to 0.40) 0.524	
4 weeks after delivery	2.82 (0.51)	2.65 (0.54)		

The independent t-test was used for comparison of the groups before the intervention and repeated measure ANOVA with controlling baseline score and variables of participants and their spouse's education level, participant's age & interval between deliveries was used for comparing them after the intervention.

The number of participants was 70 before the intervention and 66 after the intervention.

†standard deviation ‡mean difference (confidence interval 95%)

Figures

Figure 1

The study follow chart