Detecting Major Introgressions in Wheat and their Putative Origins Using Coverage Analysis

Jens Keilwagen (✉ jens.keilwagen@julius-kuehn.de)

Julius Kühn-Institut

Heike Lehnert

Julius Kühn-Institut

Thomas Berner

Julius Kühn-Institut

Ekaterina Badaeva

Vavilov Institute of General Genetics

Axel Himmelbach

Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research

Andreas Börner

Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research

Benjamin Kilian

Global Crop Diversity Trust

Research Article

Keywords: Introgressions , crop wild relatives (CWRs) , beneficial traits, cultivated plants

Posted Date: September 24th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-910879/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on February 3rd, 2022.
See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05865-w>.

Detecting major introgressions in wheat and their putative origins using coverage analysis

Jens Keilwagen^{1*}, Heike Lehnert¹, Thomas Berner¹, Ekaterina Badaeva^{2,3}, Axel Himmelbach⁴, Andreas Börner⁴, Benjamin Kilian⁵

Affiliations

1 – Julius Kuehn Institute, Quedlinburg, Germany

2 – N.I. Vavilov Institute of General Genetics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

3 – The Federal Research Center Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ICG SB RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia

4 – Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Gatersleben, Germany

5 – Global Crop Diversity Trust, Bonn, Germany

***Correspondence:** Jens Keilwagen; **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6792-7076>; e-mail: jens.keilwagen@julius-kuehn.de

Abstract

Introgressions from crop wild relatives (CWRs) have been used to introduce beneficial traits into cultivated plants. Introgressions have traditionally been detected using cytological methods. Recently, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based methods have been proposed to detect introgressions in crosses for which both parents are known. However, for unknown material, no method was available to detect introgressions and predict the putative donor species. Here, we present a method to detect introgressions and the putative donor species. We demonstrate the utility of this method using 10 publicly available wheat genome sequences and identify nine major introgressions. We show that the method can distinguish different introgressions at the same locus. We trace introgressions to early wheat cultivars and show that natural introgressions were utilised in early breeding history and still influence elite lines today. Finally, we provide evidence that these introgressions harbour resistance genes.

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, $2n=6x=42$, BAD) is one of the most widely grown¹ and consumed crops in the world². Due to expected population growth and declining acreage for crop cultivation, projections indicate that the wheat yield per hectare will need to increase significantly over the next decades³. More frequent and severe abiotic and biotic stresses will also affect crop yield^{4,5}, so breeding for yield stability in wheat is becoming more important⁶. As a result of rapid advances in sequencing technologies combined with decreasing costs, the first complete wheat genome has been sequenced⁷ and the first step towards a wheat pan-genome sequence has been made⁸. These resources, combined with high-density SNP matrices and high-throughput phenotyping, allow for the identification of genes related to specific traits and the improvement of breeding strategies.

Traditional breeding strategies, which are based on crossing elite cultivars and selecting the best offspring, have increased yield, but have decreased the genetic diversity of crop plants compared with that of their CWRs⁹. Interspecific hybridisation, also known as interspecies crossing, wide hybridisation,

or distant hybridisation, allows the transfer of DNA from a donor species into a crop plant. This strategy has been used in breeding to add desired traits to crop plants, for example, resistance or tolerance to biotic or abiotic stress¹⁰⁻¹². The foreign DNA that is derived from the donor species and has been integrated into the genome is called an introgression. Traditionally, large introgressions have been detected using cytological methods¹³⁻¹⁶, but these methods are low-throughput. Fortunately, the wealth of wheat reference quality assemblies (RQAs)⁸ and next generation sequencing (NGS) data for CWRs make it possible to investigate interspecific hybridisation events without cytological methods. Analyses of SNPs can be used to detect introgressed regions in crop plants^{17,18}. If the donor is unknown, coverage analysis or transposable element analysis can be used to detect candidate regions of introgressions^{8,19}. Such computational methods can be used to trace introgressed regions in breeding materials, and this information can be used in breeding programs to minimise such regions to increase wheat yield and yield stability. However, the methods mentioned above do not identify putative donor species, which is especially interesting for elite lines with multiple introgressions from different donors or for old landraces. For such wheat accessions, the origin of a genomic region that might influence an important trait is often unknown. This also hampers the search for additional beneficial alleles. Here, we describe a method for identifying introgressions and predicting putative donor species and demonstrate its applicability using 10 RQAs of wheat.

Results

Detection of nine introgressions in 10 wheat RQAs

We aimed to detect introgressions by mapping publicly available short reads to wheat reference genomes⁸ (Table S1). We identified putative introgressions as regions with decreased coverage of reads from the progenitor species of the wheat subgenomes; that is, for a genomic window, the proportion covered by reads is decreased (Methods). *Triticum urartu* ($2n=2x=14$, A^u) and *Aegilops tauschii* ($2n=2x=14$, D) are the donors of the A and D subgenomes of wheat²⁰⁻²², respectively, whereas

the donor of the B subgenome is either not known yet or extinct²³. *Aegilops speltoides* ($2n=2x=14$, S) is the most closely related remnant taxon to the wheat B subgenome donor²³⁻²⁵. For this reason, decreased coverage of reads from the subgenome donors can be expected at introgressed regions of the A and D subgenomes, but not for the B subgenome using *Ae. speltoides* as the subgenome donor. Increased coverage of reads from a wild relative in the same region indicates that it is a putative donor species of this introgression. Because several wheat relatives share a common ancestor or were subgenome donors for polyploid *Triticum* and *Aegilops* taxa, increased coverage of reads from a wheat relative at an introgressed region in an elite background provides hints about the source of the introgression, but does not necessarily identify the exact donor species.

Using this method and a wide range of wheat relatives^{8,26,27} (**Table S2**), we detected nine large introgressions in the 10 wheat RQAs, located on chromosomes 2A, 2B, 2D, 3D, and 4A (**Figure 1, Table S3, Supplementary Data 1**). For all nine introgressions, we identified a putative donor.

Interestingly, two introgressions were detected in all 10 RQAs; one was close to, but downstream of the centromere of chromosome 2A (**introgression 3**) and the other was on chromosome 4AL (**introgression 9**). The putative donor of these two introgressions was *Ae. speltoides*. Interestingly, we also detected regions with decreased coverage on chromosomes 2A and 4AL in *Triticum boeoticum* ($2n=2x=14$, A^b) and *Triticum monococcum* ($2n=2x=14$, A^b), while no decrease in coverage in these regions was detected in polyploid relatives including *Triticum dicoccoides* ($2n=4x=28$, BA), *Triticum dicoccon* ($2n=4x=28$, BA), *Triticum spelta* ($2n=6x=42$, BAD), and *Triticum sphaerococcum* ($2n=6x=42$, BAD) (**Figure 2**), suggesting that these introgressions may be common to all these polyploid taxa. One exception was accession K240104 of *T. dicoccoides* originating from Syria²⁷, in which a low-coverage region was detected on chromosome 2A. This low-coverage region on chromosome 2A is located at about 395–407 Mb in Chinese Spring and harbours 17 annotated protein-coding genes (TraesCS2A01G257500 – TraesCS2A01G259100). Upstream of this introgression is another small low-coverage region overlapping with the position of the centromere^{8,26,27}. Similar small low-coverage regions overlapping with the centromere were also detected on chromosomes 1A to 5A.

Chromosome 4A is structurally highly rearranged and has retained a large portion of chromosome 7BS through a species-specific translocation^{28,29}. Studies using cytological and NGS methods have clearly shown the rearrangement on 4AL^{30,31}. Interestingly, we did not detect regions with increased coverage of reads from wheat chromosome 7B in *T. urartu*, *T. boeoticum*, or *T. monococcum*. Since both introgressions (**introgressions 3 and 9**) are very old and were found in all wheat RQAs as well as in the polyploid CWRs, they were not treated as introgressions in a recent RQA analysis⁸.

We also detected four previously described introgressions. Firstly, two introgressions on chromosome 2B and 2BL (**introgressions 4 and 5**), potentially originating from *Triticum timopheevii* ($2n=4x=28$, GA^t), were detected in cv. LongReach Lancer and cv. Julius, respectively. Both cultivars are descendants of cv. Wisconsin-245^{8,32}, which has an introgression of the nearly complete chromosome 2G of *T. timopheevii* (**Figure S1**). This introgression harbours the resistance gene *Sr36* on chromosome 2BS^{33,34}. Interestingly, cv. LongReach Lancer has a long introgression spanning chromosome 2BS and 2BL⁸, whereas cv. Julius harbours a much smaller introgression on chromosome 2BL that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been previously associated with *T. timopheevii*.

Secondly, an introgression was detected only in cv. LongReach Lancer on chromosome 3DL with the putative donor *Thinopyrum ponticum* ($2n=10x=70$, EEE^{StESt}) (**introgression 8**). A previous study of cv. LongReach Lancer detected an introgression on chromosome 3DL from *Th. ponticum*⁸.

Thirdly, an introgression on chromosome 2AS (**introgression 1**) was detected in four cultivars; cv. CDC Stanley, cv. Jagger, cv. Mace, and cv. SY Mattis, with *Aegilops comosa* ($2n=2x=14$, M) and *Aegilops uniaristata* ($2n=2x=14$, N) identified as the putative diploid donors (**Figure 3**). It is known from their pedigree that these four cultivars harbour an introgression from tetraploid *Aegilops ventricosa* ($2n=4x=28$, DN)³⁵⁻³⁷, which shares the N subgenome with *Ae. uniaristata*³⁸. Using recently published whole genome sequencing (WGS) data for *Ae. ventricosa*⁸, a high-coverage region was detected in the same region on chromosome 2AS (**Figure 3**).

In the same region on chromosome 2AS, a second introgression (**introgression 2**) was detected in the remaining six cultivars (cv. ArinaLrFor, cv. CDC Landmark, cv. Chinese Spring, cv. Julius, cv. LongReach

Lancer, and cv. Norin61), with *Aegilops markgrafii* ($2n=2x=14$, C) identified as the putative diploid donor (**Figure 3**). Recently, an introgression from *Ae. markgrafii* was produced for this region³⁹, but it cannot be the source for these six cultivars because some of them were released a long time ago. Nevertheless, it shows that an introgression from *Ae. markgrafii* is likely in this region.

For chromosome 2D, an introgression (**introgression 6**) was detected in four cultivars (cv. ArinalrFor, cv. Jagger, cv. Julius, and cv. SY Mattis) with the putative donor identified as *Ae. markgrafii* or *Ae. umbellulata* ($2n=2x=14$, U). A previously reported introgression in this region¹⁹ is enriched in elite materials from Western Europe (Lehnert *et al.*, unpublished data) and explains the highest proportion of variance in the grain yield of these materials when cultivated under optimum conditions⁴⁰.

Finally, an introgression on 3DS (**introgression 7**) from the putative diploid donor species *Ae. comosa* ($2n=2x=14$, M) or *Ae. uniaristata* ($2n=2x=14$, N) was detected in four cultivars (cv. CDC Landmark, cv. CDC Stanley, cv. Julius, and cv. SY Mattis). We suggest that, due to the very narrow native distribution range of these two species, a polyploid taxon such as *Ae. ventricosa* ($2x=4n=28$, DN) or *Ae. geniculata* ($2x=4n=28$, MU), which are much more widespread and share a subgenome with these diploid putative donors³⁸, is more likely to be the donor of this introgression, similar to the observation for introgression 1. To our knowledge, introgression 7 has not yet been described in the literature.

Most of these introgressions were detected using low cost and low-coverage GBS data^{26,27}. To further reduce the costs of genotyping, we tested how much data needs to be generated from CWRs to reasonably describe introgressions in a species. To determine how much data is required to detect an introgression, reads of *Ae. markgrafii* mapped to cv. Julius were exemplarily subsampled from 100% to 1%. Again, the percentage of fixed-size chromosomal windows that was covered by reads was determined (**Figure S2**). When using 10% and 1% of the data, higher values were detected for the introgressed region compared with the flanking regions, indicating that even 1% of these low-coverage GBS data is enough to detect introgressions.

Besides the nine introgressions, several additional patterns were detected in the coverage profiles (**Supplementary Data 1**). These patterns included several chromosomes with increased coverage of

reads from *T. boeoticum* and *T. monococcum*, while the coverage profile of the A subgenome donor *T. urartu* was not decreased. Such patterns were detected on chromosome 1AS in cv. ArinaLrFor and cv. Norin61; on chromosome 5AL in cv. ArinaLrFor, cv. CDC Stanley, cv. Jagger, cv. Julius, cv. LongReach Lancer, and cv. SY Mattis; on chromosome 6AS and 6AL in all 10 cultivars; and on chromosome 7AL in cv. CDC Stanley, cv. Mace, and cv. LongReach Lancer (**Supplementary Data 1**). Previous studies have reported introgressions on wheat chromosomes 5AL and 7AL from *T. monococcum*⁴¹ and *T. boeoticum*⁴², respectively, although the introgressed regions differ. In contrast, the introgression on chromosome 1AS has been described for cv. Norin61 without any evidence for its donor species or putative origin⁴³. Smaller patterns were also detected, for instance, on chromosome 2AL in cv. CDC Stanley and cv. SY Mattis, and on chromosome 5DS in all 10 wheat genomes. These patterns were quite diverse and need to be analysed in more detail in further studies. In the remainder of this manuscript, we focus on the previously uncharacterised introgressions on chromosomes 2AS, 2DL, and 3DS (**introgression 2, 6, 7**).

Some introgressions are derived from natural interspecific hybridisations

The observed introgressions were classified into three groups based on their first appearance. The first group (**introgressions 3 and 9**) consisted of ancient introgressions that probably date back to the time of tetraploidisation of wheat. The second group (**introgressions 1, 4, 5, and 8**) could be assigned to known interspecific crosses during research and breeding in recent decades. The third group consisted of introgressions whose time of first appearance is still unknown; namely **introgression 2** on chromosome 2AS, **introgression 6** on chromosome 2DL, and **introgression 7** on chromosome 3DS. We checked whether these introgressions were present in some old wheat cultivars that were released before interspecific hybridisation was introduced as a breeding method. For example, the old French wheat cultivar Vilmorin-27, which was released in 1928, is an ancestor of cv. Julius and many contemporary European elite cultivars³². Based on publicly available genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) data for cv. Vilmorin-27¹⁹, no decreased coverage was detected for the introgressed regions in cv. Julius, indicating that these introgressions were already present in cv. Vilmorin-27 (**Figure S3**). To

further explore the distribution of these introgressions, the ancestors of cv. Vilmorin-27 were extracted from the pedigree and their seeds were obtained from the genebank at Gatersleben (**Table S4**). The whole genomes of four plants of each of these cultivars were re-sequenced with low sequencing depth and compared with the cv. Julius reference genome (**Figure S3**).

For the low-coverage region on chromosome 2AS (**introgression 2**), cv. Gros Bleu and cv. Japhet showed a small drop in coverage at the end of the region, while the other cultivars showed uniform and high coverage in the complete region, indicating that this introgression was potentially widely utilised in the 19th century.

For chromosome 2DL (**introgression 6**), three different states were observed: First, cv. Hatif Inversable, cv. Dattel, cv. Ble Seigle, and cv. Noe had low coverage in this region, indicating that the analysed individuals did not carry the introgression; second, cv. Japhet had high coverage only at the beginning of the region; and third, cv. Gros Bleu and cv. Bon Fermier had low coverage only at the end of the region. We did not find the complete introgression in any individual of the analysed ancestors.

Three different states were also observed for chromosome 3DS (**introgression 7**): First, cv. Noe and cv. Dattel had low coverage in this region, indicating that the analysed individuals did not carry the introgression; second, cv. Japhet and cv. Gros Bleu had low coverage only at the very end of this region; and third, cv. Hatif Inversable, cv. Bon Fermier, and cv. Ble Seigle had high coverage in the complete region.

Some of the observed patterns can be traced in the pedigree of cv. Vilmorin-27. For instance, cv. Bon Fermier probably obtained the introgression on chromosome 2DL from cv. Gros Bleu and that on chromosome 3DS from cv. Ble Seigle. Other observed patterns, for example, the introgression on chromosome 2DL in cv. Gros Bleu, could not be explained by the pedigree or the current data. Notably, genebank accessions can be heterogeneous, so we may have missed individuals harbouring the complete introgression. Some descendants of cv. Noe may have inherited the complete introgression on chromosome 2DL from cv. Vilmorin-27. Interestingly, cv. Vilmorin-27 is the oldest of the analysed

cultivars that carries all three complete introgressions. Nevertheless, single introgressions or parts thereof, as well as combinations, were detected in old cultivars.

Wide heterogeneity exists within and among genebank accessions

To search for the first occurrence of introgressions on chromosome 2DL in wheat, the genomes of old cultivars maintained *ex situ* were sequenced. To avoid missing introgressions, we did not use materials descended from single seeds in these analyses. Hence, seeds obtained directly from the genebank stocks at Gatersleben were used. The DNA of four individuals per accession was isolated and sequenced (**Table S4**), and the coverage profiles were compared among the four individuals. We detected remarkable differences in coverage profiles among the four individuals in six of the eight accessions (**Supplementary Data 2**). Thus, at least one of these four plants carried a chromosomal modification. An extreme example is the three different profiles detected for chromosome 6B in cv. Krymka (shown in red, green, and blue/black in **Figure 4**). The differences were large, consisting of several megabases. Interestingly, there were no obvious differences in the phenotypes of these four individual plants when cultivated under greenhouse conditions (**Figure S4** shows the spikes of four individual cv. Krymka plants). We also analysed some CWR accessions using this method. For *Aegilops cylindrica*, one individual from genebank accession AE 656 showed a different coverage profile for chromosomes 1D, 3D, 4D, and 5D, indicating that it also carries introgressions (data not shown). This is plausible given earlier studies on the potential for gene transfer in *Ae. cylindrica*⁴⁴.

Introgressed regions harbour homologues of resistance genes

Introgressions have often been initiated to transfer resistance genes from CWRs into breeding materials. Often, the resistance locus is then tracked with linked markers in the introgression or in its flanking sequences⁴⁵. We utilised recently published markers to identify the candidate gene *PGSB_gene_1945*³⁵, which may be homologous to the leaf rust resistance gene *LrM*⁸⁹. In addition, we

224 used the recently described yellow rust resistance genes *Yr5* and *Yr7*⁴⁶ for homology-based gene
225 prediction within the described introgression regions in the 10 RQAs. Besides a high amino acid
226 sequence identity in a pairwise alignment of predicted and reference proteins ($\geq 80\%$), all predicted
227 genes had the same number of coding exons (ce) as the reference gene (rce) and encoded a protein of
228 similar length (aa vs. raa) (**Table S5**).

229 For *PGSB_gene_1945*, homology-based gene prediction using GeMoMa predicted 10 genes – one in
230 each RQA on chromosome 2AS located in the region of the introgression. Interestingly, there were
231 only two sequences of these predicted genes. For cv. CDC Stanley, cv. Jagger, cv. Mace, and cv. SY
232 Mattis, the predicted gene product showed 100% amino acid sequence identity to the product of
233 *PGSB_gene_1945*. The other six cultivars harboured a gene whose predicted product showed 95.6%
234 amino acid sequence identity to the product of *PGSB_gene_1945*. These two groups of cultivars were
235 completely consistent with the clusters formed based on the introgression on chromosome 2AS.

236 Two putative homologues of the resistance gene *Yr7* were detected on chromosome 2B. The
237 homologue in this region in cv. CDC Landmark, cv. CDC Stanley, and cv. Mace, encoded a protein with
238 99.9% amino acid sequence identity to *Yr7*. The pedigree of these three cultivars indicates that *Yr7* was
239 introduced from the tetraploid durum wheat cv. Iumillo into the wheat cv. Thatcher⁴⁷. The homologue
240 in this region in cv. CDC Landmark, cv. CDC Stanley, cv. Mace, and cv. SY Mattis encoded a protein with
241 84.9% amino acid sequence identity to *Yr7*. The remaining three cultivars did not harbour any genes
242 encoding a protein with at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to *Yr7*.

243 Three homologues of the resistance gene *Yr5* were identified. The *Yr5* homologue on chromosome 2B
244 in cv. Julius and cv. LongReach Lancer encoded a protein with 91.1% amino acid sequence identity to
245 *Yr5*. This predicted gene was located within a potential introgression from *T. timopheevii*, which is
246 present on chromosome 2BL in both cultivars. The second and third predicted genes were located
247 within the described introgression on chromosome 2DL. The homologue in cv. Jagger and cv. Julius
248 encoded a protein with 99.2% amino acid sequence identity to *Yr5*, and that in cv. ArinaLrFor and cv.
249 SY Mattis encoded a protein with 98.1% amino acid sequence identity to *Yr5*. Interestingly, the

predicted genes in cv. Jagger and cv. Julius were identical to the *Yr5* allele from cv. Claire⁴⁶. Since the predicted genes were located within the introgression on chromosome 2DL, we speculate that there have been at least two independent events or mutations at this locus. The predicted genes were located in the first part of the introgression that was present in cv. Japhet, cv. Gros Bleu and cv. Bon Fermier. Hence, these cultivars might harbour an allele conferring increased resistance against yellow rust.

Discussion

Hybridisation between wheat and its wild relatives occurs naturally, but can also be conducted during breeding to introduce beneficial traits into elite wheat breeding material. Here, we have shown for the first time that introgressions and their putative donor species can be identified without prior knowledge of the pedigree. Moreover, these introgressions can be easily traced, facilitating the desirable but challenging task to decrease introgression fragments to reduce linkage drag. The described bioinformatics method can be used for GBS, whole genome exome capture, and whole genome resequencing data. Large introgressions can be detected from GBS data, which is relatively cheap to obtain. Smaller introgressions may be identified from data generated using more expensive, but also more informative techniques such as exome capture and whole genome resequencing. We have identified several known and previously unknown introgressions in 10 RQAs of wheat. Some of these introgressions are well described and were conducted in the framework of research and breeding programs. Induced introgressions in wheat were described for the first time in the last quarter of the 19th century⁴⁸. However, we also identified introgressions in old cultivars released in the first half of the 19th century. This observation is consistent with findings of introgressions from *T. monococcum* in bread wheat cv. Mediterranean⁴¹ released in 1837. We hypothesise that the introgressions found in old cultivars resulted from spontaneous natural interspecific crosses that were subsequently selected. For various reasons, it is almost impossible to determine the exact origin of

these introgressions. These reasons include (i) the common subgenomes of wheat relatives; (ii) the heterogeneity of old landraces; (iii) conservation issues over the centuries, including seed exchange and multiplication leading to the potential loss of alleles and/or contamination that have affected the integrity of genebank accessions; and (iv) the lack of data on the exact timing and location of the first occurrence of these introgressions. Nevertheless, we were able to narrow down the putative donors for several introgressions. These findings will be useful for searches for alternative alleles in donor species that might be valuable for crop improvement under changing climatic conditions and increasingly severe biotic stress.

Further, within the introgressed DNA regions, we identified genes showing strong sequence identity to known resistance genes. Further research is required to test their efficacy against pathogens. Interestingly, the old cv. Noe without the 2DL introgression was described as quite susceptible to rust. In contrast, cv. Gros Bleu and cv. Japhet, which are different selections of cv. Noe that carry a large and a small fragment of the 2DL introgression, respectively, are much more resistant to rust than is cv. Noe⁴⁹. Within the common part of this introgression, we identified a homologue of the resistance gene *Yr5*. The discovery of a *Yr5* homologue in the introgressed region on chromosome 2DL of cv. Julius and cv. Jagger proves that the *Yr5* allele of cv. Claire is indeed located on chromosome 2D and not on chromosome 2B like the original *Yr5*²⁶. In addition, we predicted another allele of *Yr5* in the introgressed region on chromosome 2DL of cv. ArinaLrFor and cv. SY Mattis, indicating that there may have been independent introgression events. We also detected *Yr5* orthologs on chromosome 2BL and 2DL in cv. Julius, demonstrating that the combination of introgressions on different chromosomes allows for stacking of homoeologous resistance genes. Finding homologue resistance genes in CWRs that can be introgressed into different subgenomes of wheat might facilitate combining resistance genes to yield durable and broadly resistant lines.

Interestingly, we detected *Yr5* at the beginning of the introgression on chromosome 2DL. Some wheat cultivars, e.g. cv. Japhet., carry only the first part of the introgression. Nevertheless, the complete introgression on chromosome 2DL is highly enriched in Western European elite winter wheat

materials, indicating that at least one other trait may be affected by this introgression, potentially by a gene or genes located in the latter part.

Genome assemblies have been published for some wheat relatives⁵⁰⁻⁵⁵, but not for all of them. Therefore, for building a wheat super-pangenome, it is more promising to conduct genome sequencing and assembly for CWRs than for elite cultivars that share a large part of the genome with already sequenced wheat cultivars⁵⁶. With the genome assemblies of wheat CWRs and the described coverage method, large collections of wheat materials could be analysed to detect natural or induced introgressions, for example, in genebank accessions or breeding material.

Genebank accessions are heterogeneous to some extent, despite careful management including splitting of phenotypically different plants within an accession⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. For this reason, introgression events and important alleles may be lost when using bulks or materials descended from a single seed⁶⁰. Therefore, it needs to be discussed whether original genebank accessions should be split into independent lines on the basis of genetic data. It has been proposed that duplicates of genebank accessions should be removed to save money and reduce the time and materials needed for their management, while preserving genetic diversity⁶¹. We suggest that duplicates should not be identified by detecting SNPs in one seed per accession, but on the basis of a combination of SNPs and coverage analysis for multiple seeds per accession. The capacity gained by removing true duplicates could be used for splitting and maintaining additional genebank accessions.

Genomic data for entire genebank collections (<https://www.pflanzenforschung.de/de/forschung-plant-2030/projekte/274/detail#english>) will be soon be available for analysis. This could accelerate the breeding process, if accessions of crop species harbouring interesting introgressions could be identified and used immediately. Although we demonstrate the utility of the method for wheat, it could easily be applied to other species.

Methods

Plant materials and whole genome resequencing. For each selected genebank accession (**Table S4**), 10 seeds were retrieved from the Federal *ex situ* Genebank for Agricultural and Horticultural Plants of Germany maintained at the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK) in Gatersleben. Seeds were sown in small pots (Ø 5 cm) filled with a soil mixture of 70% Substrat 1 (Fa. Klasmann-Deilmann, Geeste, Germany), 20% compost, and 10% sand. Seedlings were grown under controlled greenhouse conditions (14-h/10-h day/night, ~15–18/~12–15 °C day/night). For DNA extraction, fresh leaves were cut from four plants of each accession at the two-leaf stage. The leaves were dried with silica gel at room temperature for 10 days. At the three-leaf stage, plants were transferred to pots (Ø 14 cm) filled with a soil mixture of 40% Substrat 2 (Fa. Klasmann-Deilmann), 50% compost, and 10% sand, and grown under controlled greenhouse conditions (16-h/8-h day/night, ~20–23/~17–20 °C day/night) until maturity.

Total DNA was extracted separately from dried leaf tissue of four individuals per genebank accession using a DNeasy Plant Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The WGS library (Nextera DNA Flex, genomic DNA input: 100–500 ng) was prepared according to the standard protocols of the manufacturer (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The library was quantified by qPCR (KAPA Library Quantification Kit; KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA) and sequenced on the NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina, Inc.; run type: SP PE 151) at the IPK.

Coverage analysis. Raw sequencing data were adapter- and quality-trimmed with Trim Galore (version 0.4.0; non default parameters: quality >= 30, read length >= 50; <https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore>). Trimmed reads were individually mapped against the wheat RQAs using BWA-mem (v0.7.15-r1140) (Li, 2013). Unmapped reads, supplementary reads, and non-primary alignments were removed from mapped reads using SAMtools (–F 2308) before computing depth. Finally, depth was aggregated and visualised with R⁶². For visualisation, coverage was displayed on a logarithmic scale using log(x+ε) transformation with ε=0.01.

Gene predictions. For predicting resistance genes in introgressed regions, all 10 wheat RQAs^{63,64} were analysed using GeMoMa (version 1.8), a homology-based gene prediction program that allows

353 annotations to be transferred from one genome to another. Known resistance genes located in the
354 potential introgressions were used as reference genes. We used the known resistance genes *Yr5* and
355 *Yr7*⁴⁶, as well as TraesCS2A01G040000, which was identified in Chinese Spring using the primers
356 AX_948171722AS and AX_945219402AS³⁹, and corresponds to *PGSB_gene_1945* in the cv. Jagger
357 RQA³⁵. Predicted genes were filtered based on the amino acid identity of their encoded products to
358 the reference protein (≥80%).

359 **Statement.** We confirm that experimental research and field studies on plants (either cultivated or
360 wild), including the collection of plant material, comply with relevant institutional, national, and
361 international guidelines and legislation.

362 **Data availability**

363 Publicly available data were downloaded from⁵⁵ <http://dx.doi.org/10.5447/IPK/2019/18>. Additional
364 genomic data was downloaded from EMBL ENA with the following IDs: ERR2936519 and ERR2936122
365 (GBS data of cv. Vilmorin-27), SRR2061020 (*Th. ponticum*), SRR13484813 (WGS data of *Ae.*
366 *ventricosa*), and PRJNA601245 (GBS data of *Triticum* and *Ae. triuncialis*).
367 Own sequence data is currently uploaded to ENA (EMBL-EBI).

Fig. 1 | Overview of detected introgressions and putative donor species in the 10 wheat reference quality assemblies (RQAs).

Fig. 2 | Old introgression events on chromosomes 2A (introgression 3) and 4AL (introgression 9).

Different colours indicate different accessions used for each species. We detected regions with low coverage of reads from the A-subgenome donor *Triticum urartu* and increased coverage of reads from the putative donor *Aegilops speltoides*. Interestingly, we also detected these low-coverage regions in *Triticum boeoticum* and *Triticum monococcum*, which carry only the A genome. These regions showed normal coverage in the other polyploid wheat relatives carrying at least A and B subgenomes, indicating that these relatives harbour a sequence similar to that in cv. Julius. The only exception is the *Triticum dicoccoides* accession K240104 (indicated by green line), which also harbours a low-coverage region on chromosome 2A.

Fig. 3 | Introgressions on chromosome 2AS (introgressions 1 and 2). Figure shows two clusters of cultivars with two different introgressions, each depicted in one column. In both cases, we detected a region with low coverage of reads from the A-subgenome donor *Triticum urartu* and high coverage of reads from the putative donor species. The cultivars marked with an asterisk were used as the reference genome in the corresponding column.

Fig. 4 | Difference in the coverage profile of chromosome 6B among four individual plants of cv. Krymka. Coverage profiles of the four plants are depicted in four colours (black, blue, red, and green).

Extended File 1: Summary of coverage analysis for all 10 wheat reference quality assemblies (RQAs). File is a large PDF document, where each page contains all information for one chromosome of wheat. Columns correspond to wheat RQAs and rows correspond to CWR species. Each plot shows coverage data from multiple accessions of a CWR displayed in different colours.

Extended File 2: Summary of coverage analysis for eight old wheat cultivars. Columns correspond to chromosomes and rows correspond to accessions. Each plot shows coverage data from four individual plants displayed in four different colours.

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Karyotype and coverage profiles of cv. Wisconsin-245. a) Karyotype of cv. Wisconsin-245. b–d) coverage profiles of chromosome 2B for Wisconsin-245 using cv. Chinese Spring, cv. LongReach Lancer, and cv. Julius as reference genomes. Dashed lines mark borders of the described introgressions. Normal coverage in these regions indicates that the sequence in cv. Wisconsin-245 is similar to that in cv. LongReach Lancer and cv. Julius. Hence, the introgression in cv. Wisconsin-245 might be the origin of the introgressions in cv. LongReach Lancer and cv. Julius. Furthermore, the coverage profile using cv. LongReach Lancer as the reference genome shows that the size of the introgressions in cv. Wisconsin-245 and cv. LongReach Lancer is identical.

Extended Data Fig. 2 | Coverage profile of *Aegilops markgrafii* based on different depths of genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) data. Trimmed reads of *Ae. markgrafii* (PI 596287) were mapped against cv. Julius and subsampled: 100% (black), 10% (red), and 1% (green). The introgression on chromosome 2DL was still detected when using only 10% and 1% of the data.

Extended Data Fig. 3 | Pedigree of cv. Vilmorin-27 augmented with the coverage profiles of the potentially introgressed regions on chromosomes 2AS (left, introgression 2), 2DL (middle, introgression 6), and 3DS (right, introgression 7). Available genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) and whole genome sequencing (WGS) data for these cultivars were mapped against cv. Julius. Dashed lines

410 mark the borders of the potentially introgressed regions. Different colours indicate different
411 accessions used in analysis.

412 **Extended Data Fig. 4 | Comparison of spikes from four mature plants of the old cultivar Krymka.** No
413 obvious differences in spike characteristics or other phenotypic traits were detected among
414 individuals.

415

416 **Extended Data Tab. 1 |** Ten reference quality assemblies (RQAs) of wheat cultivars used in this study.

417 **Extended Data Tab. 2 |** Overview of wheat taxa considered, their genome formula, and links to data
418 and identification numbers where NGS data are available.

419 **Extended Data Tab. 3 |** Detected introgressions, putative donor species, and genomic coordinates for
420 the 10 reference quality assemblies (RQAs) of wheat.

421 **Extended Data Tab. 4 |** List of genebank accessions obtained from the German Federal *ex situ*
422 Genebank for Agricultural and Horticultural Crop Species. The release dates were obtained from the
423 literature.

424 **Extended Data Tab. 5 |** List of predicted resistance genes in the wheat reference quality assemblies
425 (RQAs). The table shows the cultivar, chromosome, start and end position, the strand, the identity of
426 the predicted gene, the reference gene, the number of amino acids encoded by the predicted gene
427 (aa), the number of amino acids encoded by the reference gene (raa), the number of coding exons in
428 the predicted gene (ce), the number of coding exons in the reference gene (rce), and the percentage
429 of positive scoring (pAA) and identical (iAA) amino acids in a pairwise alignment between the putative
430 proteins encoded by the predicted gene and reference gene.

431 References

- 432 1 FAOSTAT. FAOSTAT database collections, <<http://faostat.fao.org/>> (2018).
- 433 2 Venske, E., dos Santos, R. S., Busanello, C., Gustafson, P. & Costa de Oliveira, A. Bread wheat:
434 a role model for plant domestication and breeding. *Hereditas* **156**, 16, doi:10.1186/s41065-
435 019-0093-9 (2019).
- 436 3 Curtis, T. & Halford, N. Food security: the challenge of increasing wheat yield and the
437 importance of not compromising food safety. *Annals of applied biology* **164**, 354-372,
438 doi:10.1111/aab.12108 (2014).
- 439 4 Oshunsanya, S. O., Nwosu, N. J. & Li, Y. in *Sustainable Agriculture, Forest and Environmental*
440 *Management* (eds Manoj Kumar Jhariya, Arnab Banerjee, Ram Swaroop Meena, & Dhiraj
441 Kumar Yadav) 71-100 (Springer Singapore, 2019).
- 442 5 Dresselhaus, T. & Hükelhoven, R. Biotic and Abiotic Stress Responses in Crop Plants.
443 *Agronomy* **8**, doi:10.3390/agronomy8110267 (2018).
- 444 6 Hickey, L. T. *et al.* Breeding crops to feed 10 billion. *Nature biotechnology* **37**, 744-754,
445 doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0152- (2019).
- 446 7 IWGSC. Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference
447 genome. *Science* **361**, doi:10.1126/science.aar7191 %J Science (2018).
- 448 8 Walkowiak, S. *et al.* Multiple wheat genomes reveal global variation in modern breeding.
449 *Nature* **588**, 277-283, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2961-x (2020).
- 450 9 Kilian, B. *et al.* Crop Science special issue: Adapting agriculture to climate change: A walk on
451 the wild side. *Crop Sci* **61**, 32-36, doi:10.1002/csc2.20418 (2021).
- 452 10 Hao, M. *et al.* The resurgence of introgression breeding, as exemplified in wheat improvement.
453 *Frontiers in plant science* **11**, 252, doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00252 (2020).
- 454 11 Wulff, B. B. H. & Moscou, M. J. Strategies for transferring resistance into wheat: from wide
455 crosses to GM cassettes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **5**, doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00692 (2014).
- 456 12 Molnár-Láng, M., Ceoloni, C. & Doležel, J. *Alien introgression in wheat*. 1 edn, (Springer, Cham,
457 2015).
- 458 13 Benavente, E., Cifuentes, M., Dusautoir, J. C. & David, J. The use of cytogenetic tools for studies
459 in the crop-to-wild gene transfer scenario. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* **120**, 384-395,
460 doi:10.1159/000121087 (2008).
- 461 14 Friebe, B., Jiang, J., Raupp, W. J., McIntosh, R. A. & Gill, B. S. Characterization of wheat-alien
462 translocations conferring resistance to diseases and pests: current status. *Euphytica* **91**, 59-87,
463 doi:10.1007/BF00035277 (1996).
- 464 15 Badaeva, E. D., Budashkina, E. B., Badaev, N. S., Kalinina, N. P. & Shkutina, F. M. General
465 features of chromosome substitutions in *Triticum aestivum* x *T. timopheevii* hybrids.
466 *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **82**, 227-232, doi:10.1007/BF00226218 (1991).
- 467 16 Badaeva, E. D. *et al.* Genetic classification of *Aegilops columnaris* Zhuk. (2n=4x=28, U^cU^cX^cX^c)
468 chromosomes based on FISH analysis and substitution patterns in common wheat x *Ae.*
469 *columnaris* introgressive lines. *Genome* **61**, 131-143, doi:10.1139/gen-2017-0186 (2018).
- 470 17 Wendler, N. *et al.* *Bulbosum* to Go: A Toolbox to Utilize *Hordeum vulgare/bulbosum*
471 Introgressions for Breeding and Beyond. *Mol Plant* **8**, 1507-1519,
472 doi:10.1016/j.molp.2015.05.004 (2015).
- 473 18 Scholten, O. E. *et al.* SNP-markers in *Allium* species to facilitate introgression breeding in onion.
474 *BMC Plant Biology* **16**, 187, doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0879-0 (2016).
- 475 19 Keilwagen, J. *et al.* Detecting large chromosomal modifications using short read data from
476 genotyping-by-sequencing. *Frontiers in plant science* **10**, 1133, doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.01133
477 (2019).
- 478 20 Dvořák, J., McGuire, P. E. & Cassidy, B. Apparent sources of the A genomes of wheats inferred
479 from polymorphism in abundance and restriction fragment length of repeated nucleotide
480 sequences. *Genome* **30**, 680-689, doi:10.1139/g88-115 (1988).

481 21 Dvořák, J., Terlizzi, P. d., Zhang, H.-B. & Resta, P. The evolution of polyploid wheats:
 482 identification of the A genome donor species. *Genome* **36**, 21-31, doi:10.1139/g93-004 %M
 483 18469969 (1993).

484 22 Kihara, H. Die Entdeckung des DD-Analysators beim Weizen. *Agric. and Horticult* **19**, 889-890
 485 (1944).

486 23 Feldman, M. & Levy, A. A. in *Alien Introgression in Wheat: Cytogenetics, Molecular Biology,
 487 and Genomics* (eds Márta Molnár-Láng, Carla Ceoloni, & Jaroslav Doležel) 21-76 (Springer
 488 International Publishing, 2015).

489 24 Feldman, M. & Levy, A. Allopolyploidy—a shaping force in the evolution of wheat genomes.
 490 *Cytogenetic and genome research* **109**, 250-258, doi:10.1159/000082407 (2005).

491 25 Kilian, B. *et al.* Independent wheat B and G genome origins in outcrossing *Aegilops* progenitor
 492 haplotypes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **24**, 217-227, doi:10.1093/molbev/msl151 (2007).

493 26 Bernhardt, N. *et al.* Genome-wide sequence information reveals recurrent hybridization
 494 among diploid wheat wild relatives. *The Plant Journal* **102**, 493-506, doi:10.1111/tpj.14641
 495 (2020).

496 27 Hyun, D. Y. *et al.* Genotyping-by-Sequencing Derived Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms Provide
 497 the First Well-Resolved Phylogeny for the Genus *Triticum* (Poaceae). *Frontiers in Plant Science*
 498 **11**, doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00688 (2020).

499 28 Liu, C. J., Atkinson, M. D., Chinoy, C. N., Devos, K. M. & Gale, M. D. Nonhomoeologous
 500 translocations between group 4, 5 and 7 chromosomes within wheat and rye. *Theoretical and*
 501 *Applied Genetics* **83**, 305-312, doi:10.1007/BF00224276 (1992).

502 29 Jorgensen, C. *et al.* A High-Density Genetic Map of Wild Emmer Wheat from the Karaca Dağ
 503 Region Provides New Evidence on the Structure and Evolution of Wheat Chromosomes.
 504 *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**, doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01798 (2017).

505 30 Jiang, J. & Gill, B. S. Different species-specific chromosome translocations in *Triticum*
 506 *timopheevii* and *T. turgidum* support the diphyletic origin of polyploid wheats. *Chromosome*
 507 *Research* **2**, 59-64, doi:10.1007/BF01539455 (1994).

508 31 Berkman, P. J. *et al.* Sequencing wheat chromosome arm 7BS delimits the 7BS/4AL
 509 translocation and reveals homoeologous gene conservation. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*
 510 **124**, 423-432, doi:10.1007/s00122-011-1717-2 (2012).

511 32 Martynov, S. & Dobrotvorskyi, D. *Genetic resources information and analytical system (GRIS)*
 512 *for wheat and triticales*. URL <http://wheatpedigree.net/>. <<http://wheatpedigree.net/>> (2012).

513 33 McIntosh, R. A. *et al.* in *12th International Wheat Genetics Symposium* (Yokohama, Japan,
 514 2013).

515 34 Allard, R. & Shands, R. Inheritance of resistance to stem rust and powdery mildew in
 516 cytologically stable spring wheats derived from *Triticum timopheevi*. *Phytopathology* **44**, 266-
 517 274 (1954).

518 35 Gao, L. *et al.* The *Aegilops ventricosa* 2NvS segment in bread wheat: cytology, genomics and
 519 breeding. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **134**, 529-542, doi:10.1007/s00122-020-03712-y
 520 (2021).

521 36 Bariana, H. & McIntosh, R. Cytogenetic studies in wheat. XV. Location of rust resistance genes
 522 in VPM1 and their genetic linkage with other disease resistance genes in chromosome 2A.
 523 *Genome* **36**, 476-482, doi:10.1139/g93-065 (1993).

524 37 Helguera, M. *et al.* PCR assays for the Lr37-Yr17-Sr38 cluster of rust resistance genes and their
 525 use to develop isogenic hard red spring wheat lines. *Crop Science* **43**, 1839-1847,
 526 doi:10.2135/cropsci2003.1839 (2003).

527 38 Kilian, B. *et al.* in *Wild crop relatives: genomic and breeding resources* 1-76 (Springer, 2011).

528 39 Rani, K. *et al.* A novel leaf rust resistance gene introgressed from *Aegilops markgrafii* maps on
 529 chromosome arm 2AS of wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **133**, 2685-2694,
 530 doi:10.1007/s00122-020-03625-w (2020).

531 40 Voss-Fels, K. P. *et al.* Breeding improves wheat productivity under contrasting agrochemical
 532 input levels. *Nature Plants* **5**, 706-714, doi:10.1038/s41477-019-0445-5 (2019).

- 41 Chen, S. *et al.* Stripe rust resistance gene Yr34 (synonym Yr48) is located within a distal translocation of *Triticum monococcum* chromosome 5AmL into common wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, doi:10.1007/s00122-021-03816-z (2021).
- 42 The, T. Chromosome location of genes conditioning stem rust resistance transferred from diploid to hexaploid wheat. *Nature New Biology* **241**, 256-256, doi:10.1038/newbio241256a0 (1973).
- 43 Shimizu, K. K. *et al.* De Novo Genome Assembly of the Japanese Wheat Cultivar Norin 61 Highlights Functional Variation in Flowering Time and Fusarium-Resistant Genes in East Asian Genotypes. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **62**, 8-27, doi:10.1093/pcp/pcaa152 (2020).
- 44 Zemetra, R. S., Hansen, J. & Mallory-Smith, C. A. Potential for gene transfer between wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and jointed goatgrass (*Aegilops cylindrica*). *Weed Science* **46**, 313-317, doi:10.1017/S0043174500089475 (1998).
- 45 Bush, W. S. & Moore, J. H. Chapter 11: Genome-Wide Association Studies. *PLoS Comput Biol* **8**, e1002822, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002822 (2012).
- 46 Marchal, C. *et al.* BED-domain-containing immune receptors confer diverse resistance spectra to yellow rust. *Nature Plants* **4**, 662-668, doi:10.1038/s41477-018-0236-4 (2018).
- 47 Hayes, H. K. *et al.* Thatcher wheat. (1936).
- 48 Wilson, S. II . Wheat and Rye Hybrids. *Transactions of the Botanical Society of Edinburgh* **12**, 286-288, doi:10.1080/03746607309469536 (1873).
- 49 Vilmorin, H. L. *Les meilleurs blés: description et culture des principales variétés de froments d'hiver et de printemps.* (Vilmorin-Andrieux et cie, 1880).
- 50 Ling, H.-Q. *et al.* Genome sequence of the progenitor of wheat A subgenome *Triticum urartu*. *Nature* **557**, 424-428, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0108-0 (2018).
- 51 Luo, M.-C. *et al.* Genome sequence of the progenitor of the wheat D genome *Aegilops tauschii*. *Nature* **551**, 498-502, doi:10.1038/nature24486 (2017).
- 52 Maccaferri, M. *et al.* Durum wheat genome highlights past domestication signatures and future improvement targets. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 885-895, doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0381-3 (2019).
- 53 Li, L.-F. *et al.* Genome sequences of the five *Sitopsis* species of *Aegilops* and the origin of polyploid wheat B-subgenome. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.07.05.444401 (2021).
- 54 Avni, R. *et al.* Wild emmer genome architecture and diversity elucidate wheat evolution and domestication. *Science* **357**, 93-97, doi:10.1126/science.aan0032 (2017).
- 55 Avni, R. *et al.* Genome sequences of *Aegilops* species of section *Sitopsis* reveal phylogenetic relationships and provide resources for wheat improvement. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2008.2009.455628, doi:10.1101/2021.08.09.455628 (2021).
- 56 Khan, A. W. *et al.* Super-Pangenome by Integrating the Wild Side of a Species for Accelerated Crop Improvement. *Trends in Plant Science* **25**, 148-158, doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2019.10.012 (2020).
- 57 Hamilton, N. R. S., Engels, J. M. & Van Hintum, T. J. *Accession management: combining or splitting accessions as a tool to improve germplasm management efficiency.* (Bioversity International, 2002).
- 58 Cross, R. J. & Wallace, A. R. Loss of genetic diversity from heterogeneous self-pollinating genebank accessions. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **88**, 885-890, doi:10.1007/BF01254001 (1994).
- 59 Lehmann, C. O. & Mansfeld, R. Zur Technik der Sortimentserhaltung. *Die Kulturpflanze* **5**, 108-138, doi:10.1007/BF02095492 (1957).
- 60 Kyratzis, A. C., Nikoloudakis, N. & Katsiotis, A. Genetic variability in landraces populations and the risk to lose genetic variation. The example of landrace 'Kyperounda' and its implications for *ex situ* conservation. *PloS one* **14**, e0224255, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0224255 (2019).
- 61 Singh, N. *et al.* Efficient curation of genebanks using next generation sequencing reveals substantial duplication of germplasm accessions. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 650, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-37269-0 (2019).

- 62 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria*. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>. (2019).
- 63 Keilwagen, J. *et al.* Using intron position conservation for homology-based gene prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**, e89-e89, doi:10.1093/nar/gkw092 (2016).
- 64 Keilwagen, J., Hartung, F., Paulini, M., Twardziok, S. O. & Grau, J. J. B. B. Combining RNA-seq data and homology-based gene prediction for plants, animals and fungi. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 189, doi:10.1186/s12859-018-2203-5 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We thank Edit Lantos and Ines Walde for excellent technical assistance. We are greatly indebted to Marta Molnár-Láng, Frank Ordon, Antje Rohde, Shivali Sharma, Hakan Özkan, Carolina Sansaloni, Peter Werner for discussions and support. This work was supported by the Julius Kuehn Institute (JKI, Quedlinburg, Germany), the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Research (IPK, Gatersleben, Germany), the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 21-76-30003); and the Crop Wild Relatives Project (*Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change: Collecting, Protecting and Preparing Crop Wild Relatives*) which is supported by the Government of Norway and managed by the Global Crop Diversity Trust [<https://www.cwrdiversity.org/>].

Author contributions

J.K. designed the study; J.K., T.B. conducted bioinformatics analyses; A.B. grew the plants and extracted DNA; A.H. sequenced the samples; E.B. provided the karyotype for Wisconsin-245; J.K., H.L., E.B., B.K. interpreted the data and wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Fig. 1 | Overview of detected introgressions and putative donor species in the 10 wheat reference quality assemblies (RQAs).

Fig. 2 | Old introgression events on chromosomes 2A (introgression 3) and 4AL (introgression 9). Different colours indicate different accessions used for each species. We detected regions with low coverage of reads from the A-subgenome donor *Triticum urartu* and increased coverage of reads from the putative donor *Aegilops speltoides*. Interestingly, we also detected these low-coverage regions in *Triticum boeoticum* and *Triticum monococcum*, which carry only the A genome. These regions showed normal coverage in the other polyploid wheat relatives carrying at least A and B subgenomes, indicating that these relatives harbour a sequence similar to that in cv. Julius. The only exception is the *Triticum dicoccoides* accession K240104 (indicated by green line), which also harbours a low-coverage region on chromosome 2A.

Fig. 3 | Introgressions on chromosome 2AS (introgressions 1 and 2). Figure shows two clusters of cultivars with two different introgressions, each depicted in one column. In both cases, we detected a region with low coverage of reads from the A-subgenome donor *Triticum urartu* and high coverage of reads from the putative donor species. The cultivars marked with an asterisk were used as the reference genome in the corresponding column.

Fig. 4 | Difference in the coverage profile of chromosome 6B among four individual plants of cv. Krymka. Coverage profiles of the four plants are depicted in four colours (black, blue, red, and green).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementalFigures.pdf](#)
- [SupplementalTablesed.pdf](#)
- [supplement1.pdf](#)
- [supplement2.pdf](#)