

Determining Planting Window for Growing Upland Cotton (*Gossypium Hirsutum* L.) During Dry Season in Bandarban, Bangladesh

Abdul Hamid (✉ hamid50.arf@gmail.com)

Agrarian Research Foundation

Mrinmoy Guha Neogi

Agrarian Research Foundation

Jatish Chandra Biswas

Agrarian Research Foundation

Aung Swiy Shing Marma

PA Research Foundation

Md Abdul Mannan Mollah

Kazi Azimuddin College

Md Farid Uddin

Cotton College

Md Mahabubul Islam

USDA-ARS Soil and Water Conservation Research

Research

Keywords: Shifting cotton growing season, valley land, temperature stresses, rain damage, yield

Posted Date: October 20th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-91427/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Annals of Bangladesh Agriculture on October 26th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.3329/aba.v24i2.55780>.

Abstract

Background

Farmers in the Chattogram Hill Tracts, Bangladesh have been growing cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in their traditional system of jhum cultivation which is undergoing transformation. Growing rainfed cotton as a mono crop in the uplands is constrained by farmers' socio-economic conditions and erratic rainfall. Shifting growing season to post-monsoon dry months could be an option to sustain cotton production in hilly areas. We conducted an exploratory single variate type experiment for two seasons to explore the possibility of growing cotton in valley lands in Bandarban during dry season. Growth and yield potentials of dry season cotton were evaluated in terms of prevailing environmental conditions.

Results

Night temperature dropped to 9°C on several occasions in 2018-19 season; but it remained higher than 11°C throughout the season in 2019-20 season. In 2018-19, day temperatures surged higher past March 15 occasionally exceeding 38°C. Variability in temperatures was more in 2018-19 while average temperatures were higher in 2019-20 season. Rainfall distribution was almost similar in both the growing seasons receiving total rainfall of 757 mm and 579 mm in two seasons, respectively. Higher seed cotton yield (2,047 kg per ha) was recorded for 15 November planted crop compared with 1 December planted crop (1,186 kg per ha). December 1 planted crop was affected more by low temperatures at seedling stage resulting in poor growth and fewer bolls per plant. Late planted crop was more vulnerable to rain damage at boll opening stage. Crops of both the seasons encountered high temperatures (>32°C) during boll development.

Conclusion

Changing climate, shorter winter season and rising temperatures may allow cotton production in valley lands shifting growing season from rainy season to post-monsoon dry months. However, the window of dry season cotton growing in Bandarban, Bangladesh seems narrow. Rainfall pattern restricts planting seeds prior to mid-November. Again, high temperatures stress in March and April synchronizing boll development, and rainfall toward late April and May during boll opening may cause rain-damage leading to yield loss. Development and adoption of short duration, low temperature tolerant upland cotton varieties might be of advantage for adapting to climate change vulnerabilities.

Background

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is an important fiber crop grown widely both in tropical and sub-tropical countries. It is a major source of natural textile fiber, oil and protein meal, making it one of the most important cash crops in many countries. Bangladesh has had a long tradition of growing cotton for making the world-famous fine cloth muslin which is lost in antiquity. Indigenous varieties or landraces of a short staple, coarse type of cotton, *Gossypium arboreum* L. is grown in the southeastern Chattogram

Hill Tracts (CHT) for centuries. The indigenous cotton species is believed to have domesticated in the Indus Valley of the Indian sub-continent and was largely grown in tropical and subtropical regions of South Asia until 19th century (Moulherat et al. 2002; Choudhry and Guitchounts 2003). Hill cotton is an important cash crop for the farmers of ethnic communities in the CHT. Apart from producing handloom products, the short staple hill cotton produced by the hill farmers are used in religious functions and offering deity to the Buddhist temples. Cotton played a significant role in establishing political and business links between the peoples of the CHT and Bengal since Mughal period (Lewin 2010).

Comilla cotton used to be grown in the hills and mountains of south-eastern districts of Bengal as a component crop of jhum or swidden cultivation system. Jhum is a traditional system of growing crops in the sloping uplands where farmers after clearing forest land plant seeds of several crops together. For land clearing, farmers slash bushes and trees, leave the debris to dry under the sun and set on fire to clean the land before the onset of rainy season. On clearing the land after slashing and burning, farmers dibble seeds without tillage. In view of the system of land clearing, jhum is also known as slash-and-burn system of farming. Jhum or slash-and-burn system is believed to be the most ancient system of crop production in the mountainous terrain in south and Southeast Asia (Misbahuzzaman 2016). Jhum is practiced mainly by subsistent farmers (Spedding 1988) targeting mostly household food security (Nahar et al. 2020) with rice as the major crop in jhum system. Along with rice, cotton is grown as a cash crop. Jhum crops in the hills and mountains are grown rainfed usually without applying fertilizers. As economy develops, communication networks improve and market increasingly impacts on farm economy, jhum system of crop growing is undergoing transformation throughout south and southeast Asia (Padoch et al. 2007; Kingwell-Banhamand and Fuller 2012; Castella et al. 2015; Heinemann et al. 2017) making the traditional jhum system increasingly unsustainable (Datta 2014; Nath et al. 2016).

In the medium highlands of floodplains, cotton growing season starts in the month of July and ends in January of the following year. In the hills, however, jhum crops are usually planted in April-May depending on the onset of rain. The CHT, characterized by a unimodal rainfall distribution pattern, receives a rainfall of over 3,000 mm annually of which over 75% is in the rainy season, July through September. Incidence of rainfall is predicted to be more intense during the monsoon and lesser in dry season in future due to climate change (Shahid 2010) with greater degree of uncertainty and climate extremes (Khan et al. 2020). Uncertainty and uneven distribution of rainfall often causes low yields and crop loss. Early planted cotton in jhum starts boll opening in September synchronizing with late showers causing boll weathering (Hake et al. 1992) or rain damage of the first flash of bolls. Rain damage of cotton often accounts for a substantial yield loss. Conversely, early cessation of monsoon rain results in terminal drought affecting cotton growth at reproductive and boll development stages. Gao et al. (2020) reported deterioration of fiber quality due to adverse effect of drought stress on cotton plants. Earlier, Wang et al. (2016) reported that drought plants produced fewer and smaller bolls on higher fruiting branch than did the well-watered plants. Boll weight, seed index, and fiber length and strength declined linearly with decreasing mean midday leaf water potential during fruit maturation period.

Jhum being unsustainable (Nath et al. 2016; Nahar et al. 2020) in general, and the adverse effects of erratic rains and drought on jhum cotton in particular, jhum farmers tend to replace cotton with food crops like maize and banana (Anon. 2002; Jafar Ullah et al. 2020). Against the backdrop of climate change and increasing vulnerability of jhum cotton to climate extremes (e.g., drought and excessive rainfall), shifting of growing season from wet season to dry period might be an option. Shifts in plant phenology due to climate change (Wolkovich et al. 2017) may allow fitting cotton in dry season despite mild winter at the early stage of crop growth. Reports on the adverse effects of climate change on crops abound. However, cotton seems to be an exception. Gérardiaux et al. (2013) reported a positive effect of climate change from 2005 to 2050 in North Cameroon on cotton yields with an increase of $1.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in yield. With the winter season is shortened and night temperatures increased (Anon. 2018) the changing climate might open up a new opportunity of growing cotton in Bandarban during post-monsoon dry season. Temperature has regulatory role of plant growth and limiting the length of growing season (Luo et al. 2011). However, it cannot be grown in the uplands of the hills and mountainous terrain, but can be grown in the valley land where spring water is available for irrigation.

Valleys constitute less than 6% of the total land surface in the CHT; with much lesser share in Bandarban. High population pressure, increased food demand and growing market put pressure on using valleys. Valley lands are intensively cultivated with myriads of crops planted. Farmers in the valleys along the river Sangu are increasingly getting involved in growing tobacco during winter months. Assured market and easily available production credit allure farmers adopting tobacco cultivation. Expansive cultivation of tobacco is causing health hazards and environmental degradation. Being a signatory of WHO tobacco regulatory framework Bangladesh discourages tobacco production; but farmers are unlikely to give up tobacco cultivation unless a viable and profitable alternative crop is found.

Given the uncertainty in sustaining of cotton growing with jhum in the CHT during rainy season due to climatic vulnerability and socio-economic constraints, planting cotton in the dry season might be advantageous, but cold temperatures in the early growth stages may potentially deter dry season planting. Temperature is an environmental condition that has pronounced influence on the growth and development of crops. Cotton is highly sensitive to both biotic and abiotic stresses (Luo 2011; Zahid et al. 2016). Climatic extremes affect every phenological events of cotton to varying degrees (Singh et al. 2007). Low temperatures are reported to have delayed emergence and reduced cotton seedling vigor resulting in poor early growth (Oosterhuis and Jernstedt 1999). Patterson and Flint (1979) reported that even a short exposure to low temperature of $17/13^{\circ}\text{C}$ day/night temperatures significantly reduced dry weight, leaf area and height in cotton. However, cotton varieties/species differ significantly in terms of their tolerance to cold temperatures (Anjum and Khatoon 2003; Bolek 2010). Likewise, reports on the adverse effects of high temperatures on cotton growth, development and yield abound (e.g., Oosterhuis and Snider 2011; Singh et al. 2007). While the farmers consider cotton growing feasible in the southern coastal districts and in the CHT in the dry season, dry season cotton is gaining ground in the coastal districts of Indian state of West Bengal. Transplanting seedlings in January in intercropping system in Sundarban coastal area of West Bengal (India) Maitra et al. (2001) obtained seed cotton yields between

1,306 and 1,792 kg ha⁻¹. Similar works on growing upland cotton during dry season in Bangladesh has not been reported. In this paper, we report the feasibility of growing upland cotton in dry season in the valleys of Bandarban district conducting a two-season study and analyzing weather data.

Materials And Methods

The study was conducted in valley land along the river Sangu in Bandarban, Bangladesh. We conducted an exploratory type of single variate experiment in successive dry seasons– November 2018- May 2019, and December 2019 – May 2020 under irrigated condition. In the first year, an on-farm experiment was set up on a plot in a village Thwingyapara (22°13'03" N latitude and 92°12'56" E longitude) located at the foothill at an altitude of 130 m above mean sea level. In the following dry season, the experiment was established at the Experimental Farm of Agrarian Research Foundation (ARF), Reicha (22°10'10" N, 92°10'59" E).

Hill soils of Bandarban upazila are mostly loamy to sandy loam. In the valley lands of both the experimental locations, however, the soils are silty-clay to clay in nature with fairly a high water holding capacity (SRDI 2010). A large plot (50 m x 30 m) was established in the first year. In 2019-20 season, the plot size was reduced to 30 m x 20 m. In each season the plot was split into three sub-plots, each sub-plot forming a replication. Cotton seeds (cv. CB 12) were planted in rows, 0.70 m apart, on 15 November 2018 and on 01 December 2019. Fertilizer dose used was 100-60-80 kg ha⁻¹ of N-P₂O₅-K₂O as urea, triple superphosphate and muriate of potash, respectively in both the years. One third of N and full dose of P and K fertilizers were applied at planting, and the remaining N fertilizer in two equal installments at first square formation and at early boll development stages.

Meteorological data for the growing seasons were obtained from the Soil Conservation and Watershed Management Center, some 10 km south of Thwingyapara and 1 km north of ARF, Reicha. Key phenological events– emergence, first square formation, first flowering and first boll opening were determined and related with air temperatures. At maturity seed cotton was harvested manually in two pickings in 2018-19 season. In the second year, cotton suffered from rain damage and thus a single picking was possible. From each unit plot, a sample area of 5 m² was harvested for recording seed cotton yield.

Apart from recording yield, we estimated yield attributes by selecting and harvesting 15 plants at random from each plot. Plant height, number of nodes and branches on main stem, nodal position of the first sympodial branch, number of bolls in each plant and the number of open bolls harvested at each picking were recorded. Weather data pertinent to cotton growing have been presented graphically. Single variate data on yield and yield attributes were statistically analyzed (Tukey1977) using means and standard deviation.

Results And Discussion

Growing season characterization:

Climate in Bandarban is characterized by humid sub-tropical with distinct wet and dry seasons. Usually the wet season starts in the month of July that continues up to September. Compared with rainy season is referred to as atmospheric drought. After the termination of rainy season, however, post-monsoon moist period during October-November prevails. In the valleys residual soil moisture can usually support crop growth during this period. From December onward a prolonged dry period prevails when evaporative demand supersedes natural precipitation.

Prevailing weather during growing seasons:

Temperatures varied a little between the two growing seasons; intra-seasonal variation in temperatures was, however, substantial (Fig. 1). The difference between the highest and the lowest temperatures was the minimum at the beginning of the growing season. But as the season advanced, air temperatures started dropping; the diurnal variation in temperatures began widening. In both the years, diurnal variation in temperatures was the highest during mid-January through mid-February.

In 2018-19 season, night temperatures dropped to 9°C on several occasions; but in the subsequent year night temperatures remained higher than 11°C throughout the season. In contrast, day temperatures surged higher past March 15 in 2018-19 season, occasionally exceeding 38°C although the average temperatures remained relatively higher in 2019-20 season. Optimal temperature for the growth and development of cotton is 25°C with lower and upper threshold temperatures ranging between 12°C and 32°C (Reddy et al. 1999; Bange and Milroy 2004). However, the range of temperatures required for the growth and development of cotton plants varies depending on phenological events. For instance, optimal temperature for germination and seedling development ranges between 28 and 30°C while base temperature is about 12°C for seed germination and 15.5°C for seedling growth (Azhar et al. 2020). Conversely, high temperature stress during reproductive and post-reproductive phases hastens maturity shortening the length of growing season (Wheeler et al. 2000) and reducing yields (Pettigrew 2008; Reddy et al. 2017) of annual crops. Schlenker and Roberts (2009) determined the critical threshold temperature for cotton as 32°C, raising temperature further causes yield decline. Earlier, Reddy et al. (1992) suggested 30/22°C as optimal temperature optimum for stem elongation, leaf area expansion, and biomass accumulation. Temperature regime prevailing in 2018-19 season was thus sub-optimal during early growth stages while it was supra-optimal past February in both the seasons.

Trend of monthly rainfall during the growing seasons are presented in Fig. 2. Rainfalls during October through May were 757 mm and 579 mm, respectively in 2018-19 and 2019-20 seasons. Rainfall pattern remained similar in both the growing seasons. There was scanty rainfall in October followed by a long dry spell until April. In 2019-20, however, the amount of rainfall in May far exceeded the amount received in the earlier season. From winter rainfall pattern it becomes apparent that irrigation is necessary for growing crops during dry season. Frequency of incidence and amount of rainfall could be an added

advantage in reducing irrigation requirements provided the incidence is in vegetative or reproductive stage. Rainfall beyond April synchronized with boll opening could result in rain damage of cotton.

Seasonal variation in plant growth characters:

Data on the seasonal variation in growth characteristics of cotton plants are given in Table 1. There was a great deal of differences in plant height between two growing seasons. Plants were generally taller in 2018-19 than in succeeding season. In 2018-19, plant height ranged between 61.3 cm and 116.62 cm giving an average of 84.4 cm. However, a high value of SD (± 22.06) indicates a high degree of variability in plant height within the population. In the subsequent season, plant height varied between 51 cm and 75 cm. An average plant of 2019-20 growing season was 26% shorter than that of an average plant of 2018-19 season. In 2018-19, seeds were planted more than two weeks earlier that helped early germination and seedling establishment prior to experiencing suboptimal temperatures. But in 2019-20 season, seeds were planted on December 1 and such that took longer time to germinate in cooler temperatures. Late planting of seeds in 2019-20 season synchronizing the onset of winter might have delayed germination and poor early growth (Patterson and Flint 1979; Hake et al. 1990; Oosterhuis and Jernstedt 1999; Reddy et al. 2005). The seedlings of both the growing seasons, however, encountered cool temperatures; but seedlings of November 15 planted cotton of 2018-19 season could have been established prior to encountering sub-optimal temperatures (Bange and Milroy 2004). Earlier studies have shown that air temperatures < 16 °C essentially halt cotton seedling growth (Esparza et al. 2007). In both the seasons, cotton plants suffered from sub-optimal temperatures during early vegetative stages.

Table 1
Seasonal variations in plant characters of cotton grown in 2018-19 and 2019-20 seasons

Growing season	Plant characters	Min	Max	Average	SD (\pm)
2018-19 (15 Nov sowing)	Plant ht, cm	61.3	111.62	84.4	22.06
	Nodes on main stem (number)	23.12	33.06	25.07	3.81
	Number of branches per plant	9.8	17.5	11.3	2.04
	Node of first sympodial branch	6	9.38	7.3	1.06
2019-20 (01 Dec sowing)	Plant ht, cm	51	75	62.8	7.02
	Nodes on main stem (number)	20	25	22.6	1.71
	Number of branches per plant	7	11	8.4	1.17
	Node of first sympodial branch	7	10	8.8	1.03

The two highly correlated and important criteria (Ray and Richmond 1966) for determining the length of growth duration- the length of the pre-fruiting period and the nodal position of the first sympodium are influenced by temperature (Reddy et al. 1991. Reddy et al. (2017) demonstrated that regardless of cultivar differences, node numbers and root tips increased linearly with increase in temperature. Length and

number of internodes determine the final height of the plant. The number of nodes on main stem varied between 23.2 and 33.06 making an average of 25.07 (\pm 3.81) in 2018-19 season. The corresponding numbers of nodes in the following season were 20 and 25 respectively with an average of 22.6 (\pm 1.71). Internode lengths were short in both the seasons (3.82 cm and 2.91 cm, respectively) and there was no sign of rank growth. Rather, internode length was too short in the second season making up the plants of short stature. In 2019-20, the number of nodes on the main stem was less variable than in 2018-19.

Each node on the main stem of cotton plant is capable of bearing a branch; but all branches do not bear fruits. Normally the branches developed on the lower 4–7 nodes on the main stem do not bear bolls (fruits) and are called monopodial branches. Fruiting branches are called sympodial. The number of branches per plant varied between 9.8 and 17.5 with an average of 11.3 (\pm 2.04) branches in 2018-19 season. The number of branches was unusually low compared with the number of nodes developed on the main stem. Fewer branches were produced in the second year which ranged between 7 and 11 with a mean of 8.4 (\pm 1.17). The first sympodial branch was on node 7.3 in 2018-19 and on node 8.8 in the subsequent season. Development of the first sympodial branch on node 8.4 was rather unusual suggesting a prolonged vegetative growth prior to shifting to reproductive growth. This is also indicative of the plants undergoing stress environment prior to switching to reproductive stage. Vegetative growth has bearing on the initiation and the rate of formation of sympodial branches (Mauney 1986), and again the rate of vegetative growth prior to onset of flowering is temperature-dependent (Hesketh et al. 1972). Low temperatures for a longer period during seedling and vegetative stages or prior to squiring in both the seasons could have increased the number of nodes and branches (El-Shahawy et al. 1994). But in the present study, such a prolonged vegetative phase encountering cool temperatures might have slowed the rate of node and branch formation. Our observations compare favorably with that of Khan (2003) who reported that plants growing in cooler temperatures produce a greater number of nodes to first sympodia than those growing in warmer temperatures.

Seed cotton yield and yield components:

Table 2 summarizes data on seed cotton yield and yield components as influenced by growing season. The number of plants per unit area, harvestable open bolls per plant and individual open boll weight make up the seed cotton yield per unit area. In the present study, we harvested open bolls from 15 m² pre-demarcated sample area of each unit plot to record seed cotton yield. Separately sampled 15 plants were harvested from each unit plot cutting at the base and yield attributes determined. As expected, the number of bolls, boll weight and seed cotton yield differed appreciably between the growing seasons. In 2018-19, the number of open bolls per plant ranged between 13.07 and 35.7 with an average of 20.39. A substantial percentage (25%) of bolls did not open and could not be harvested. Cotton was harvested for a single picking in 2019-20 growing season and the crop damage due to rainstorm following cyclone Amphan did not allow second picking. The number of bolls per plant decreased appreciably in 2019-20 season recording 9 to 13 harvestable bolls per plant. More than 31% bolls remained immature and not harvested. Regardless of growing seasons, the number of bolls was appreciably low. The crop

encountered low temperature stress following planting that endured throughout seedling and vegetative stages while high temperature stress during reproductive stage enhanced maturity reducing boll development phase. In both the seasons, there was long spell of low temperatures with night temperatures very often lower than 15°C (Fig. 1). Cotton plants exposed to temperatures $\leq 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ at any phenological stage have a slowing down effect on the growth (Burke et al. 1988; Reddy et al., 1991). Since cotton seeds were planted 15 November (2018-19 season) or 1 December (2019-20 season), cotton plants had to undergo low temperature stress with occasional 'cold shock' (Bange and Milroy 2004) during seedling stage. Again, as day temperatures exceeded 32°C past mid-February (Fig. 1), plants encountered high temperature stress during reproductive and boll development stages.

Table 2
Seasonal variation in yield and yield attributes of cotton

Growing season	Yield attributes	Minimum	Maximum	Average	SD (\pm)
2018-19 (15 Nov sowing)	No. open bolls per plant	13.07	35.7	20.39	3.44
	No. bolls not opened	2.1	8.7	6.8	2.83
	% open boll	58.19	80.66	74.99	4.17
	Open boll wt per plant	61.08	94.41	91.55	10.03
	Boll weight (g boll ⁻¹)	3.22	6.03	4.63	0.71
	Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	961	2,743	2,047	127
2019-20 (01 Dec sowing)	No. open bolls per plant	9	13	10.6	1.17
	No. bolls not opened	4	7	4.8	1.03
	% open boll	65	69.23	68.83	53.2
	Open boll wt per plant	28	39	31.9	3.67
	Boll weight (g boll ⁻¹)	2.69	3.4	3.01	0.26
	Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	738	2,106	1,186	114.4

A high degree of heterogeneity in seed cotton yield was observed (Table 2) in both the growing seasons. In 2018-19, average yield was 2,047 (± 127) kg ha⁻¹, which was reduced to 1186 (± 114) kg ha⁻¹ in 2019-20. The yields were rather low in both the growing seasons. The low yield was associated with fewer numbers of bolls per plant and low boll weight. Low temperatures at the early growth stages might have reduced boll formation while high temperatures reduced the size and number of bolls retained. Prolonged supra-optimal temperatures ($> 35^{\circ}\text{C}$) past vegetative phase might have caused shedding of squares and bolls (Reddy et al., 1992). Costa (2015) indicated that 38/30°C (day/night) temperature conditions presented a reduced boll size and a substantial decline in non-structural carbohydrate content.

Temperatures influence cotton growth and development and growing season is regulated by the onset and termination of the growing season depends on temperature regime. Bolls per plant and boll weight are the two yield components playing major role in the variation in seed cotton yield. In our study, seed cotton yield varied widely between the seasons. Variation in seed cotton yield was associated with the seasonal variation in both the components. Differences in yield attributes might be attributed to the differences in temperature regimes prevailing during the growing seasons. Apart from the differences in planting dates between the two growing seasons, adverse effects of supra- and sub-optimal temperatures, rain damage of open bolls also reduced seed cotton yield in 2019-20 season.

Conclusion

Hill cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) used to be planted in the hill slope as a component of jhum crops has been gradually replaced with upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) over the past few years. However, the system being labor intensive and low productive its sustainability is being challenged. With changing climate boll opening of upland cotton very often encounters high rainfall making the crop vulnerable to weather damage resulting to low yields. Climate change impact is already visible in terms of rising temperatures and shorter winter season (Anon. 2018). These changes may allow shifting cotton growing from rainy season to dry season. Warmer temperatures during winter allow growing cotton during post-monsoon dry period. From our results, it becomes apparent that the window of growing cotton during dry season is very narrow. Valley lands in Bandarban are intensively used and making the land available for dry season cropping earlier than November would be difficult. However, even if the seeds are planted in early November, there could be a long growing season of 5–6 months before the onset of rainy season. But low night temperatures during December-January and high temperatures in March are not conducive of getting higher yield. Analysis of long term rainfall data suggests an upward trend of the amount of pre-monsoon rainfall (Islam et al. 2014) in the south-eastern hill region of Bangladesh. Our observations for two growing seasons also indicate the likelihood of rainfall with potential vulnerability of rain damage of open bolls from early May onward. In order to avoid such climate vulnerabilities development and adoption of short-duration varieties of upland cotton might be an effective tool for shifting the cotton growing season from wet season to post-monsoon dry season in Bandarban.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Chai Thowai Mong Marma of Thwingyapara village for allowing us running the experiment in his plot during 2018-19 dry season.

Funding

The work was partially funded by the Bangladesh Ministry of Science and Technology through Special Allocation Program 2019-20.

Availability of data and materials

Weather data used in this paper were collected from Soil Conservation and Watershed Management Center, SRDI of Bandarban. Data on cotton plant growth and yields were generated by the authors with whom both weather data and cotton plant and yield data are available.

Author contribution

Neogi MG, Hamid A and Farid Uddin, M designed and supervised conducting the experiment, Marma ASS, Mollah MAM conducted field experiments and generated data, Biswas JC and Islam MM analyzed data. Hamid A led the writing. All authors read, edited and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Anjum ZI, Khatoon A. Chilling effect on germination and seedling vigor of some cultivated species of *Gossypium*. *Asian J. Plant Sci.* 2003;2(3):297-299.
2. Anonymous. Climate Change Profile: Bangladesh. Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands, The Hague. 2018; 28 pp. www.government.nl/foreign-policy-evaluations. Accessed 10 Oct 2020.
3. Anonymous. Mountain Issues and Communities in Chittagong Hill Tracts of Bangladesh. Policy Brief #3. South Asia Watch on Trade, Economics and Environment (SAWTEE), Kathmandu, Nepal. 2002; 4 pp.
4. Azhar MT, Wani SH, Chaudhary MT, et al. Heat Tolerance in Cotton: Morphological, Physiological, and Genetic Perspectives. In Wani, SH and Kumar V. (eds.) Heat Stress Tolerance in Plants: Physiological, Molecular and Genetic Perspectives, First Edition. John Wiley & Sons. pp. 1-22.2020.
5. Bolek Y. Genetic variability among cotton genotypes for cold tolerance. *Field Crops Res.*2010; 119:59-67. doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.06.015

6. Burke JJ, J.R. Mahan JR, Hatfield, JL. Crop-specific thermal kinetic windows in relation to wheat and cotton biomass production. *Agron. J.* 1988;80(4):553–556.
7. Castella JC, Kibler JF. Towards an agroecological transition in Southeast Asia: Cultivating diversity and developing synergies. GRET, Vientiane, Lao PDR. 2015;99 pp.
8. Chaudhry MR, Guitchounts A. Cotton Facts. Int. Cotton Adv. Committee. 2003.172 pp.
9. Costa, M.S.B. 2015. Temperature in the Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Canopy and Effects on Cotton Leaf and Boll Growth. An MS thesis submitted to University of Arkansas, 103 pp.
10. Datta J, Gangadharappa, NR, Debnath A. Sustainability of jhum cultivation as perceived by the tribal people of Tripura. *Int. J. Social Sci.* 2014;3(2):179. DOI: [10.5958/2321-5771.2014.00100.8](https://doi.org/10.5958/2321-5771.2014.00100.8)
11. El-Shahawy MI, El-Gahel SM, Makram EA. Effect of planting dates, hill spacing and nitrogen levels on Giza76 cotton cultivar. *J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ*, 1994;20:631-642.
12. Esparza AM, Gowda PH, Baumhardt RL, et al. Heat unit availability for cotton production in the Ogallala Aquifer Region of the United States. *J. Cotton Sci.* 2007;11:110–117.
13. Gao M, Snider SL, Bai H, et al. Drought effects on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) fibre quality and fibre sucrose metabolism during the flowering and boll-formation period. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 2020;26:309-321.
14. Gérardeaux, E, Sultan B, Palai O et al. Positive effect of climate change on cotton in 2050 by CO₂ enrichment and conservation agriculture in Cameroon. *Agron. Sust. Dev.* 2013;33:485–495.
15. Hake K, Banks JC, Bourland F, et al. Boll Weathering. *Cotton Physiol. Today.* 1992;3(10):1-4.
16. Hake K, McCarty W, Hopper N, Jividen G. Seed Quality and Germination. *Cotton Physiol Today.* 1990; March 1990. 4 pp
17. Heinimann A, Mertz O, Froelking S, Egelund Christensen A, Hurni K, Sedano F, et al. A global view of shifting cultivation: Recent, current, and future extent. *PLoS ONE.* 2017;12(9): e0184479.
18. Hesketh JD, Baker DN, Duncan WG. Simulation of growth and yield in cotton. II. Environment control of morphogenesis. 1972;12:436-439.
19. Islam AKM, Murshed SB, Khan MSA, et al. Impact of Climate Change on Heavy Rainfall in Bangladesh. Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka. 2014; 88 pp.
20. Khan MJU, Islam AKMS, Bala SK, Islam GMT. Changes in climate extremes over Bangladesh at 1.5°C, 2°C, and 4°C of global warming with high-resolution regional climate modeling. *Theor. Appl. Clima.* 2020;140:1451–1466.
21. Khan UQ. Monitoring the growth and development of cotton plants using main stem node counts. *Asian J. Plant Sci.* 2003;2:593-596.
22. Kingwell-Banham E, Fuller Q. Shifting cultivators in South Asia: Expansion, marginalisation and specialisation over the long term. *Quaternary Intl.* 2012;249:84-95. doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2011.05.025
23. Lewin TH. 2010. The Hill Tracts of Chittagong and the Dwellers Therein [1869]. Paperback reprint. Cornell University Library.

24. Luo Q. Temperature thresholds and crop production: a review. *Climatic Change* 2011;109:583–598. doi 10.1007/s10584-011-0028-6
25. Luo Q. Temperature thresholds and crop production: a review. *Climatic Change* 2011;109:583–598. DOI 10.1007/s10584-011-0028-6
26. Maitra S, Samui SK, Roy DK, et al. Effect of cotton-based intercropping system under rainfed conditions in Sundarban region of West Bengal. *Indian Agric.* 2001;45(3):157-162.
27. Mauney J. Vegetative growth and development of fruiting sites, pp. 11-28. In Mauney JR and Stewart JM. (eds.) *Cotton physiology*. 1986. The Cotton Foundation, USA.
28. Misbahuzzaman K. Traditional farming in the mountainous region of Bangladesh and its modifications. *J. Mountain Sci.* 2016;13:1489-1502
29. Moulherat C, Tengberg M, Haquetand JF, et al. First evidence of cotton at Neolithic Mehrgarh, Pakistan: Analysis of mineralized fibres from a copper bead. *J. Archaeological Sci.* 2002; 29:1393-1401.
30. Munro JM *Cotton*. 2nd edition. Longman-Wiley, New York, 1987.
31. Nahar A, Akbar MA, Biswas JC. et al., [Household demography and food security of jhum farmers in Bandarban District, Bangladesh](#). *J. Appl. Agric. Econ. Policy Anal.* 2020; 3(1): 8-14. doi: 10.12691/jaaepa-3-1-2
32. Nath TK, Jashimuddin M, Hasan MK, et al. The sustainable intensification of agroforestry in shifting cultivation areas of Bangladesh. [Agroforestry Sys.](#) 2016;90:405-416.
33. Oosterhuis DM, Snider JL. High temperature stress on floral development and yield of cotton. In: Oosterhuis DM (ed) *Stress physiology in cotton*, vol 7., The Cotton Foundation Reference Book Series. The Cotton Foundation, Cordova, pp 1–24 2011.
34. Oosterhuis DM, Jernstedt J. Morphology and anatomy of the cotton plant. In 'Cotton origin, history, technology, and production'. (Eds CW Smith, JT Cothren) pp. 175–206. (John Wiley and Sons Inc.: New York) (1999)
35. Padoch C, Coffey K, Mertz O, et al. The demise of swidden in Southeast Asia? Local realities and regional ambiguities. *Danish J. Geogr.* 2007);107:(1)29–42.
36. Patterson DT, Flint PT. Effects of chilling on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*), velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*), and spurred anoda (*Anoda cristata*). *Weed Sci.* 27(5):473-479
37. Pettigrew WT. 2008. The effect of higher temperatures on cotton lint yield production and fiber quality. *Crop Sci.* 1979;48: DOI: [10.2135/cropsci2007.05.0261](#)
38. Ray LL, Richmond TR. Morphological measures of earliness in cotton. *Crop Sci.* 1966;6:527-531.
39. Reddy KR, Brand Wijewardana DC, Gao W. Temperature effects on cotton seedling emergence, growth, and development. *Agron. J.* 2017.109:1379–1387, doi:10.2134/agronj2016.07.0439
40. Reddy KR, Davidonis GH, Johnson AS, Vinyard BT. Temperature regime and carbon dioxide enrichment alter cotton boll development and fiber properties. *Agron. J.* 1999;91:851–858.

41. Reddy KR, Hodges HF, Reddy VR. Temperature effects on cotton fruit retention. *Agron. J.* 1992; 84:26-30.
42. Reddy KR, Prasad PVV, Kakani VG. Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide and interactions with temperature: cotton. *J. Crop Improv.* 2005;13:157–191
43. Reddy VR, Reddy KR, Baker DN. Temperature Effect on Growth and Development of Cotton During the Fruiting Period. *Agron. J.* 1991;83:211-217.
44. Shahid S. Recent trends in the climate of Bangladesh. *Climate Res.* 2010;42(3):185-193.
45. Schlenker W, Roberts MJ. Nonlinear temperature effects indicate severe damages to U.S. crop yields under climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106(37):15594–15598.
doi: [10.1073/pnas.0906865106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906865106)
46. Singh RP, Vara Prasad PV, Sunita K, et al. Influence of High Temperature and Breeding for Heat Tolerance in Cotton: A Review. *Adv. Agron.* 2007;93:313-385
47. Soil Resource Development Institute (SRDI). Manual on Land and Soil Resource Use. Bandarban Sadar (Revised edition). Soil Resource Development Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2010. 212 pp.
48. Spedding CRW. Subsistence farming and shifting cultivation, pp. 114-119. *In* *An Introduction to Agricultural Systems*. Elsevier Applied Science Publishers Ltd. 1988.
49. Tukey JW. *Exploratory Data Analysis*. Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Reading. 711 pp. 1977.
50. Ullah MJ, Akbar MA, Sarker MAZ. Prospects and Cultivation Practices of White Maize – A Secondary Staple Crop for Bangladesh. Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka. 2020; 145 pp.
51. Wang R, Ji S, Zhang P, Meng Y. Drought effects on cotton yield and fiber quality on different fruiting branches. *Crop Sci.* 2016;56(3).DOI: [10.2135/cropsci2015.08.0477](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2015.08.0477)
52. Wheeler TR, Craufurd PQ, Ellis RH, et al. Temperature variability and the yield of annual crops. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 2000;82:159–167.
53. Wolkovich EM, Burge DO, Walker MA, Nicholas KA. Phenological diversity provides opportunities for climate change adaptation in wine grapes. *J. Ecol.* 2017;105:905–912. doi: [10.1111/1365-2745.12786](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12786)
54. ZahidKR, Ali F, Younas M, et al. Response and tolerance mechanism of cotton *Gossypium hirsutum* L. to elevated temperature stress: A Review. *Front Plant Sci.* 2016;7: 937.[10.3389/fpls.2016.00937](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00937)

Figures





Figure 1

Seasonal variations in daily maximum (Tmax) and minimum (Tmin) temperatures in Bandarban, Bangladesh. (a) 2018-19 and (b) 2019-2020 dry seasons (DS).





Figure 2

Rainfall distribution patterns during dry seasons (a) DS 2018-19 and (b) DS 2019-20 in Bandarban, Bangladesh.