Growth of D614G Virus : Morgan – Morgan – Finney (MMF) Regression

JAYDIP DATTA (✉ deepjoy1972@gmail.com)

Indian Institute of Chemical Engineers (CRC) , Kolkata , 700032, INDIA <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0013-5269>

Research Article

Keywords: SARS-COV-2 , D614G , MMF Model , Polynomial Fit , Pearson Coefficient (r) , Probability (p-Value) , Chi-Sq Hypothesis .

Posted Date: October 15th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-92776/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

In our earlier work [1], a correlation was established between Infection fatality rate (IFR) vs age of the patient by logistic or sigmoid regression model. The regression model shows a more probable IFR with a sigmoid rise after 46 yrs and becoming most significant after 70 yrs and above. In the present study the frequency of infected patient by 'G' type of mutants of SARS-COV-2 with time from Jan, 2020 to June, 2020 for 6.5 months duration of experimental study. The best fit analysis is compared to **Morgan – Morgan – Finney (MMF)** model (3,4) statistics and Polynomial regression model. Both the above model correlates a significant result with Pearson coefficient (r), Probability (p-value).

Introduction

The G type of mutants of SARS-COV-2 (2) namely D614G was first discovered in china at the end of Jan, 2020 along with Germany. The quick spreading rate of mutants increasing the susceptibility of infection throughout the Europe. Here the most important epidemiological variants, frequency of 614G infection vs time w.e.f Jan – June, 2020. The goodness of fit analyses two non linear regression like MMF (3,4) and 4th degree polynomial fit.

Methods

The scattered data (2, Figure-I) frequency vs month for 6.5 months retrieved, are plotted in Excell spreadsheet as well as a distribution of contour with two months interval. The scattered data (2) are executed by Curve Finder (V-1.4) shows MMF model fit and 4th degree polynomial fit with a significant value of r with p-value (7,8). In each model the Standard error (s), Root mean standard error (RMSE), Chi-square hypothesis, Covariance matrix support r and p-value. (Model Curve – 1-3, 5-8)

Results

MMF MODEL –

Model equation (Figure – III): $y = (ab + cx^d) / (b + x^d)$ ————— (1)

$r = 0.99334767$, $s_1 = 0.06152343$, Covariance matrix (6, Figure V) = [4 x 4] Sq.

4th Degree Polynomial Fit –

Model equation (Figure – IV): $y = (a + bx + cx^2 + d x^3 + \dots)$ ————— (2)

$r = 0.99158899$, $s_2 = 0.0773068$, Covariance matrix (6, Figure VII) = [5 x 5] Sq.

The variable y represents frequency of D614G infection and x represents the number of months.

The value of regression coefficients a, b, c, d for this model can be determined from covariance matrix.

$RMSE = \sqrt{[(s_1^2 + s_2^2) / 2]} = 0.06985$ ————— (3)

p-value (MMF) < 0.0001 @ 0.01 interval (7,8).

p-value (Polynomial Fit) < 0.0001 (7,8).

Since in both the cases p-value < 0.01, Confidence interval (CI) > 95 %.

Conclusion

Both the fit shows the relevant value of r followed by p-value (7,8) shows the infection growth frequency (y) of D614 G type mutant is more significant as the month increases from Jan – June, 2020. But considering ChiSq analysis (Figure – VI) the MMF model is more preferable than 4th Degree-Polynomial model since the tolerance limit = $1 * e^{-0.006}$ with 27 iterations (Figure VIII) is more converged.

References

1. JAYDIP DATTA. COVID19 - A Correlation Study of Infection Fatality Rate vs Age, 05 October 2020, PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square [+https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-85482/v1+].
2. Ewen Callaway, MAKING SENSE OF CORONAVIRUS MUTATIONS, 174 | Nature | Vol 585 | 10 September 2020 | 16 September, P. 175 (Figure).
3. Topal, Ş. C. Bolukbası https, Comparison of Nonlinear Growth Curve Models in Broiler Chickens, (http://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2008.9706960).
4. Daily Based Morgan – Morgan – Finney Model: A Spatially Distributed Conceptual Soil Erosion, Model to Simulate Complex Soil Surface Configurations, **water** 2017, 9(4), 278; [mdpi.com/journal/water](https://doi.org/10.3390/w9040278) (doi:10.3390/w9040278).
5. Jaydip Datta. Mathematical and Statistical Study on COVID19- SIR Model, 12 October 2020, PREPRINT (Version 2) available at Research Square [+https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-89766/v2+].

6. Jaydip Datta -https://www.researchgate.net/post/What_is_Co-Variance_Matrix-Explain_its_Utility – January ,2020 .
7. <https://www.socscistatistics.com/pvalues/pearsondistribution.aspx>
8. <https://www.medcalc.org/manual/correlation.php#:~:text=The%20P%2Dvalue%20is%20the,coefficient%20is%20called%20statistically%20significant.>
9. JAYDIP DATTA. (2020). Data -SUPPLIMENDARY INFORMATIONS –Growth of D614G Virus - RegressionAnalysis (Version <https://semver.org/spec/v1.0.0-beta.html>) [Data set]. Zenodo. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4088751>

Declarations

"I hereby declare that I have no competing interest."

Figures

Figure 1

MMF Model .

Figure 2

4 th Degree Polynomial Degree Fit

Figure 3

A Contour Distribution

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [DataSupplementaryInformations.docx](#)