Implementing a Peer-to-peer, Self-management Intervention for Young People with Depression and Anxiety in Denmark

Sigurd Lauridsen (✉ sila@sdu.dk)

University of Southern Denmark

Maj Britt Dahl Nielsen

University of Southern Denmark

Amalie Kusier

University of Southern Denmark

Camilla Øst Cloos

University of Southern Denmark

Marie Pil Jensen

University of Southern Denmark

Susan Andersen

University of Southern Denmark

Lau Thygesen

University of Southern Denmark

Anna Paldam Folker

University of Southern Denmark

Research Article

Keywords: Anxiety and depression, implementation evaluation, community-based intervention

Posted Date: October 7th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-930113/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Psychology on March 16th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00777-w>.

Abstract

Background

Depressive and anxiety disorders share major risk factors and can often be effectively prevented or treated with similar interventions. However, less than half of young people with mental health problems seek professional help and hence innovative approaches to support this group are needed. To this end, Coping with Anxiety and Depression, a peer-to-peer and group-based psycho-social programme aimed at improving self-efficacy and self-management among adolescents with symptoms of anxiety and depression, shows promise.

The aim of this paper was to evaluate the implementation of Coping with Anxiety and Depression for young people aged 15-25 years showing symptoms of anxiety and/or depression in a Danish community setting.

Methods

To evaluate the implementation of the programme, we collected quantitative and qualitative data simultaneously and subsequently we triangulated it in the data analysis. We conducted semi-structured interviews in seven case municipalities and carried out a baseline and post-intervention survey. Interview data was coded via an inductive and deductive analysis approach. Survey data was analysed via descriptive statistics in the statistical software programme STATA 16.

Results

The evaluation showed that the implementation of the programme is feasible, and that most participants (76%) were satisfied with the programme to a high/very high extent. In total, 78% of the participants reported that it was advantageous that instructors themselves had experience with anxiety and depression. Qualitative data showed that the participants were very positive about the group format that allowed them to meet other people with similar challenges. Thus, while this paper has not addressed the effectiveness of the programme, our findings suggest that it can be implemented in a way that is useful and beneficial for young people.

Conclusion

Coping with Anxiety and Depression constitutes a feasible peer-to-peer, group-based community programme that is well-received by its target group. Participants appreciate that the instructors of the programme have personal experience with anxiety and/or depression and were in general satisfied with the programme. Recruitment and retention of participants and instructors is challenging but doable.

Background

Depressive and anxiety disorders have become widespread and are among the leading contributors to the health burden among children and young people globally (1, 2). The two disorders often co-occur and are often prevalent in youth (3). Young people with depression are, for instance, six to twelve times more likely to have anxiety than are young people who are not depressed (4). Moreover, depression and anxiety in adolescence predicts a range of mental health disorders in adult life, including substance-related disorders, bipolar disorder and suicidal behaviour as well as unemployment and physical health problems (5).

The World Health Organization therefore considers efforts to prevent these disorders a public health priority (6), and there is growing evidence that prevention programmes directed at children and young people can reduce symptoms and delay the onset of major anxiety and depressive disorders (7-9). Notwithstanding, less than half of young people with mental health problems seek professional help (10-13). Major barriers include lack of recognition of mental health problems and low mental health literacy, concerns about confidentiality and trust, difficulties navigating existing mental health services, and fear of judgement or stigma associated with mental illness and seeking professional help (11, 14-19). Existing mental health services often provide delayed and restricted access to services for a small subgroup of young people with severe disorders with the artificial boundary at age 18 as a major barrier. In light of these barriers, innovative approaches supporting young people with mental health disorders are vital (20).

As anxiety and depressive disorders share major risk factors, they often can be effectively prevented or treated with similar interventions (21). Interventions include school-based, community-based and digital-platform and individual-/family-based interventions (22, 23). The two best studied psychological treatments are cognitive behavioural therapy and interpersonal psychotherapy, but to enhance reach there is an unmet need for other types of measures, preferably less expensive, low threshold, and community-based programmes (5, 24).

To this end, Coping with Anxiety and Depression, a peer-to-peer and group-based psycho-social programme aimed at improving self-efficacy and self-management among young people with symptoms of anxiety and depression, warrants interest. Originally, Coping with Anxiety and Depression was developed for adults (18+ years). An effect evaluation based on a randomized controlled trial showed that this programme increased self-efficacy and reduced symptoms of anxiety and depression among adults (25).

Coping with Anxiety and Depression for young people was initiated by the Danish Parliament, operated by the Danish Committee for Health Education, a non-profit NGO, and is focused on improving self-efficacy and self-management among young people with symptoms of anxiety and/or depression. Among other things, it stands out against other programmes in the following three respects: It is group-based, partially user-led (peer-to-peer) and community-based. This means that the programme is delivered in a non-clinical setting by non-clinical staff for a diverse group of young people ranging from the age of 15-25 with varying degrees of anxiety and depression, sometimes comorbid disorders. Moreover, the group

format allows the inclusion of up to 14 participants at the same time , and may therefore be a cost-effective alternative to e.g., individual cognitive behavioural therapy and interpersonal psychotherapy – though the literature on the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of community-based programmes is sparse (26).

To deliver and disseminate high quality public health interventions it is central to understand implementation facilitators and barriers. That is, in regard to Coping with Anxiety and Depression for young people, to investigate e.g., whether this audience can be reached in a community setting and how can we refrain them from dropping out once enrolled in the programme. Process- and implementation research can help answer these questions and further our understanding of what, why, and how interventions work in “real world” settings (27).

Aim

The aim of this paper is thus to evaluate the implementation of Coping with Anxiety and Depression for young people showing symptoms of, but not necessarily diagnosed with, anxiety and/or depression aged 15-25 years in a Danish community setting. More specifically the research question of this study was to assess barriers and facilitators to implementation of the programme (including dose delivered and received, recruitment and context).

Methods

Study design

To evaluate the implementation of the programme we employed both quantitative and qualitative methods (28): Data were collected through three surveys and qualitative interviews in seven selected case municipalities from the beginning of 2017 to the end of 2019.

This implementation study is part of a larger program evaluation aimed at assessing the program’s effectiveness on school and labour market attainment and changes in self-efficacy, depressive and anxiety symptoms measured before and after the intervention. The results of the effectiveness study will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

In the following we describe the content, structure, and inclusion criteria of the intervention program then the evaluation framework we used to investigate the implementation of the programme and finally the methods and strategies we used for collecting and analysing data.

Content and structure of the intervention programme

The programme Coping with Anxiety and Depression is a peer-to-peer group-based programme consisting of seven 2.5-hour modules deployed over seven weeks. The content of the programme is based on

research literature on self-management and uses self-efficacy as a core outcome (29).

The programme was implemented in 39 Danish municipalities. The target group of the programme was young people aged 15-25. Each module covered 5-7 themes and activities, such as how to deal with complex emotions, cognitive techniques, positive thinking, communication, problem solving, use of action plans and cooperation with the healthcare system. The instructors used a highly structured manual. The instructors were trained to facilitate the process using themselves as positive role models.

The programme was organised in the following way in each municipality: There was a local coordinator from the administration in the municipality, two volunteering instructors, and up to 14 programme participants. The local coordinator was responsible for setting up the programme. This included conducting initial meetings with possible program participants, recruiting instructors, and advertising for and recruiting program participants. At the initial meeting, possible program participants were screened for eligibility using a screening manual developed by The Danish Committee for Health Education, the Danish Health Authorities and Danish experts on anxiety and depression. The committee also had designed advertisement materials the coordinator could use to recruit program participants. Modules were led by two instructors who both either had current or previous experiences with anxiety and/or depression and is in this sense a peer-to-peer programme.

In four of the municipalities, it was embedded in the municipalities' health centre; in two municipalities the programme was embedded in the municipality's labour market administration and in one case, it was embedded in the municipality's community psychiatry.

Inclusion criteria

Based on the following inclusion criteria, the coordinator in each municipality assessed eligibility in dialog with candidates, who themselves had approached the program:

- The person is between 15 and 25 years of age
- The person has self-assessed symptoms of anxiety and/or depression or currently has no self-rated symptoms of anxiety and/or depression, but the person has previous experiences with anxiety and/or depression.
- Anxiety and/or depression can be a secondary disorder to other illness
- The person has a desire to join a group and is motivated to learn how to use self-management techniques
- No severe cognitive challenges (the person can receive and process information at a level where it is considered that the person will benefit from the programme)
- The person participates voluntarily
- There is no evidence of aggressive behaviour

As part of the eligibility assessment, the coordinators used the Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS) to screen for anxiety and depression among adolescents aged 15-17. For people aged 18-25 the Major Depression Inventory (MDI) was used to screen for depression and the Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire (ASS) was used to screen for anxiety. No persons were excluded merely for not meeting the inclusion criteria, but some decided in dialogue with the coordinator that the programme would not meet their present needs and hence was not suited for them.

Evaluation framework

To evaluate the implementation of Coping with Anxiety and Depression, we have employed components of an evaluation framework designed by Saunders and colleagues (30). We have employed the following components: Dose delivered, satisfaction (dose received), reach, recruitment, and context.

The implementation components used in this study are defined and applied as follows (see Table 1):

- Recruitment: The recruitment procedure of participants as well as instructors were evaluated by survey to the coordinators and interviews with coordinators and participants.
- Reach was defined as the proportion of participants who participated (participation rates) and characteristics of participants who attended the programme. This was evaluated by survey to the coordinators, a post-intervention survey to participants, and interviews with coordinators and participants.
- Dose delivered was defined as number of intended modules provided to participants. This information was achieved from the coordinators.
- Dose received/satisfaction was defined as the participants' opinions and attitudes towards the modules. We used participant survey and interviewed participants, instructors, and coordinators to explore the participants' experiences.
- Context was defined as factors and aspects of the environment which either hindered or facilitated the implementation. We used interviews with coordinators, instructors, and participants to describe the context surrounding the provision of modules and participation in them.

Table 1

Research themes and data collection

	Recruitment	Reach	Dose delivered	Dose received	Context
Quantitative data sources					
Survey, participants (baseline)		X			
Survey, participants (post-intervention)				X	
Survey, coordinators	X	X	X		
Qualitative data sources					
Interview, coordinators	X	X		X	X
Interview, participants	X	X		X	X
Interview, instructors				X	X

Data collection

Qualitative interviews

The qualitative data collection comprised semi-structured interviews in seven case municipalities. In each municipality, the following interviews were conducted:

- an interview with the local municipality coordinator
- a group interview with two instructors
- a group interview with two to seven participants in the programme.

Case municipalities were selected to obtain maximal variation in geographical location, size of the municipality and embeddedness of the programme (31).

Interviews with instructors and participants were made face-to-face after completion of the final module in the programme. Coordinator interviews were either conducted over the phone or face-to-face. In three municipalities, the coordinator was also an instructor. In total, seven local coordinators, 14 instructors and 23 participants (8 men and 15 women) were interviewed.

Survey among participants

In corporation with the local coordinators, we carried out a three-wave survey among the participants. The coordinators handed out a paper survey at the screening session (baseline) and at the last module (post

intervention). Participants received an electronic survey if they were not present at the last module. All participants received an electronic survey five months after the first module (follow-up). This paper only presents select survey data relevant for the implementation evaluation (data from the follow-up are not included).

In the introduction letter to the survey, we explained that it was voluntary to participate in the evaluation and that non-participation would not influence participation in the programme. We sent two reminders to participants who did not complete the surveys, either by email or text message.

The baseline survey assessed participants sociodemographic characteristics, drug use, depression (Becks' Depression Inventory, BDI-II) and anxiety levels (Spielberger's State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, STAI) and motivation and expectations for participation. The post-intervention survey included questions about satisfaction with the programme and extent of drop-out and reasons for drop-out. The wording of the questions about satisfaction and absence is shown in Table 3. We used the same questions as a previous evaluation of the same programme for adults over 18 for comparison.

A total of 483 participants agreed to participate in the evaluation and completed the baseline survey. Among the baseline responders, 67 % (n=351) completed the post-intervention survey.

Survey among coordinators

After completion of the programme, we send an electronic survey to all coordinators (n=39) via email. In total, 37 coordinators completed the survey. The survey encompassed questions about organisation, partners, recruitment, the screening session with possible participants, causes for drop-out and future implementation of the programme in the municipality.

Data analysis

The data from the interviews were transcribed verbatim. All transcriptions were imported to the qualitative analysis software programme NVivo 12. They were coded via an inductive and deductive analysis approach, which means that the coding was guided by the interview guide and the research questions (deductive), but at the same time was open to new themes appearing in the material (inductive) (32).

Descriptive statistics of survey data were made in the statistical software programme STATA 16.

Results

Recruitment

The local coordinators were responsible for recruiting participants and two volunteer instructors for each course. In this section, we present the findings on recruitment of participants and instructors separately.

Recruitment of participants

We asked the coordinators about their experiences with recruitment of participants in a survey and qualitative interviews with coordinators and participants. In the survey, 41% (n=16) of coordinators responded that recruiting participants was challenging to a very high degree/high degree, whereas 32% (n=12) responded to some degree and 27% (n=11) to a low/very low degree. In general, the coordinators in the qualitative interview study explained that it always takes time to create awareness about a new service. The interviews also showed that the coordinators used many different channels, such as local newspapers and Facebook, as well as general practitioner and local libraries. Most importantly, many coordinators established networks with professionals in other municipal departments, such as employment agencies, social services, and schools.

'A lot of my work consists of getting out there and telling people that we are starting up a new thing here – and to try to figure out who the stakeholders are in this municipality and among non-for-profit organisations' (Coordinator, C2).

Targeting recruitment efforts to other professionals seemed to be a productive strategy. Many participants explained that they heard of the programme via others, such as family members or professionals. This also concurs with the coordinator's experiences. However, several participants highlighted that recruitment efforts could focus more on other social media, such as Instagram and Twitter:

'I think that all young people are on Facebook, Instagram and Twitter [...], a lot of young people think it is a taboo to ask for help. It's not, but you don't think that when you're unwell, because you feel weak. But if it was more widely distributed via social media, then more young people would think that it is OK not to be OK' (Participant, C3).

The interviews with the participants showed they had been motivated by different aspects of the programme. While some were attracted by the group format, others had been more attracted by the self-management concept. Several participants highlighted that the programme was free of charge and that they experienced a lack of service for people in their situation:

'I felt like I missed that someone would take care of me and my problems after I turned 18. I didn't really know where to start or who to turn to, so it was obviously a good opportunity, and it was free' (Participant, C3).

Recruitment of instructors

The peer-to-peer concept – a core element in the programme – entails that instructors must have first-hand experiences with symptoms of anxiety and/or depression. In this study, we also found that recruitment of instructors was a challenge, and about 54% (n=21) of the coordinators responded that

recruiting instructors was challenging to a very high/high degree; 19% (n=7) responded to some degree and 27% (n=11) to a low/very low degree. The coordinators in the qualitative study explained that finding instructors who had first-hand experiences with symptoms of anxiety and/or depression and, at the same time, had surplus energy to act as instructor, was particularly challenging.

'It has been really, really hard to recruit instructors, because you need to have experience with anxiety and depression, but you also need to be very strong to be able to teach and have the surplus energy to show up every time, and many [instructors] have a job at the same time.' (Coordinator, C4)

The recruitment of instructors was very essential because the programme could not run without instructors:

'I think the most difficult part of the programme has been recruitment of instructors, because it is so vulnerable, it all depends on it.' (Coordinator, C2)

The coordinators explained that they typically recruited instructors via external partners, such as volunteer organisations. Moreover, coordinators from municipalities which already offered the programme for adults over 18 years recruited instructors among the participants.

In an initial pilot test prior to this study, instructors also had to be the same age as the participants, but this demand was eventually withdrawn, because an evaluation of the pilot test showed that recruitment of instructors was particularly challenging and that the age of the instructors was not important for the participants.

Although the instructors did not have to be the same age as participants in this study, we found that several coordinators explained that they primarily looked for young instructors. However, because recruitment was often challenging, some coordinators chose to participate together with a volunteer peer-to-peer instructor or to mix a younger and an older instructor. In the section on satisfaction, we present the findings regarding the participants assessment of the instructors and the importance of age.

Reach

In this section, we present the characteristics of participants and participation rates. This project aimed to implement two programmes, with 12-14 participants in each programme, in 25 municipalities. In total, 39 municipalities participated; 29 successfully implemented both programmes, whereas 10 implemented one programme. During a first wave of implementing the programme, 44% of the coordinators reported that they enrolled 12 or more participants, but only 18% enrolled 12 or more participants during a second wave of implementation. The decrease in participants per programme reflected challenges with recruitment.

In total, 478 participated in the programme and in the evaluation. As shown in Table 2, most participants were women (71%) with a mean age of 20 years. About 24% were under 18 years and 55% were between

18 and 22 years. About half were still enrolled in education, while 8% were working and 35% were unemployed. Among those enrolled in education, 21 % attended elementary school, 35% high school and 16% vocational training. At baseline, 17% had high depression and anxiety; 5% minimal depression and anxiety and about 19% had not received medical help.

Table 2
Characteristics of participants at baseline (n=483)

Age, year, mean (SD)	20 (3)
Gender, n (%)	
Women	346 (72)
Men	136 (28)
<i>Missing</i>	1 (0.2)
Labour market status, n (%)	
Enrolled in education	257 (53)
Working	39 (8)
Unemployed	169 (35)
<i>Missing</i>	18 (4)
Enrolled in education (n=257), n (%)	
Elementary school	55 (21)
High school	91 (35)
Vocational training	40 (16)
Higher education	36 (9)
<i>Missing</i>	35 (14)
Cohabitation, n (%)	
Living alone	82 (17)
Living with family	276 (57)
Living with friends	10 (2)
Living with partner/spouse	78 (16)
Other	27 (6)
<i>Missing</i>	10 (2)
Support for managing anxiety and depression, n (%)	
Psychiatric support	138 (29)
Medical treatment	147 (30)
Support from psychologist	233 (48)
Other	91 (19)

Age, year, mean (SD)	20 (3)
No support	92 (19)
Drug use, n (%)	
Yes	206 (43)
No	262 (54)
<i>Missing</i>	15 (3)
Depression score (BDI), mean (SD) (n=401)	27 (11)
Anxiety score (STAI), mean (SD) (n=436)	52 (12)
Symptom burden (BDI-II & STAI combined), n (%)	
Minimal depression AND low anxiety	24 (5)
Moderate anxiety (or lower) OR mild/moderate depression	148 (31)
Moderate anxiety AND moderate depression	73 (15)
Major depression OR high anxiety	145 (30)
Major depression AND high anxiety	80 (17)
<i>Missing</i>	13 (3)

One fourth (27%) of participants reported that they attended all seven modules, whereas 50% reported to be absent between one and two times, and 11% three or more times (Table 2). Participants and coordinators in the qualitative study reported that tiredness and seediness was the main reason for absence. To increase participation, most local coordinators sent reminders and follow-ups by text message, phone call or email, and some coordinators arranged that someone would accompany the participants. The participants responded favourably to these measures highlighting that it was nice ‘that someone was thinking of them’. In the quote below, one of the participants explains how the coordinator sends text messages prior to each module to encourage participation:

“Bring your umbrella´ and things like that. It’s a cool message, because it gives a little spark, because you might not get out of the door when it’s raining” (Participant, C5).

Dose-delivered

Dose-delivered refers to the extent to which the programme was actual provided/delivered. All seven modules were executed as planned, i.e., dose-delivered was 100%.

Satisfaction (dose received)

We assessed participants' satisfaction with: (1) the screening session, (2) the programme, (3) the instructors and (4) the group format, respectively

Coordinators and participants' satisfaction with the screening session

Before entering the programme, participants met with the coordinator to assess their eligibility. Both participants and coordinators felt that the screening session contributed to a sense of security, because the session gave the participants a better understanding of what to expect and prepared them emotionally for the programme.

'Instead of just entering a room filled with all sorts of people that you never talked to before, it was nice to talk to xxx (the coordinator) first. You never know exactly what to expect when you haven't tried it before, but she could kind of explain what it was all about and what to expect. I really liked that' (Participant, C4).

The manual for the coordinators stressed the importance of acknowledging participants' viewpoints and to respect their perspectives. The interviews with the participants showed that participants in general felt that the coordinators received them in a positive and respectful manner.

'I was afraid you had to argue why you need help, like in other parts of the system. Like, really convince them that you need to participate in this programme. But I didn't experience that at all. It was more like, when I was there, I got the feeling that they just wanted to make sure that you came to the right place, and that they really wanted to help you' (Participant, C2).

According to the coordinators, exclusion was always based on a mutual understanding and that the main reasons for exclusion was lack of motivation or severe cognitive challenges (as outlined in the section on content and structure of the programme).

'It's typically a mutual decision (...). I haven't experienced that someone really wanted to participate and seemed really motivated, and I found myself saying no. But I have experienced that we, jointly, agreed that it was not right for them. Sometimes it simply comes down to that they are starting a lot of other things as well, for instance at school, at work or that they are starting some type of treatment or therapy, and that I could feel that they were a little bit stressed about all the things that were happening' (Coordinator, C2).

While participants could bring a parent, social worker or friend to the screening session, coordinators generally had poor experiences with their presence and preferred that they waited outside, because they sometimes dominated the conversation too much.

'Clearly it is different if they come alone or bring a parent. I think that the conversation is easier if they come alone, because the parents have a different perspective, and they come with expectations and

hopes or sometimes they are more critical' (Coordinator, C2).

Satisfaction with the programme

About 76% of participants responded that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the programme, and 54% found the programme useful (to a very high/high degree), as shown in Table 3. In the qualitative study, the participants highlighted the relaxation exercises and action plans as particularly useful.

The action plan was a self-management tool to help participants set goals and make plans for how and when to achieve these goals. Each week, the participants completed an action plan for the following week:

'Those action plans have been really good, because you have something you can focus on, because when you have something to focus on and something to strive for, and when you have reached a milestone, then you feel that, well, it's very cool, because you have actually achieved something, despite everything' (Participant, C4).

A few of the participants felt that the action plans increased their beliefs in their ability to start an education; however, the coordinators and the instructors were generally more sceptical about the effect on education and labour market attainment, because most of the participants were already in school or at work.

While some of the participants found that the relaxation exercises were difficult, they generally felt that they were useful and something they could use after the programme as well:

'I became quite fond of the relaxation exercises; I completely relax. In really think my body appreciates that it can just sink into a calm state and not be alert all the time. That's something that I will take with me' (Participant, C1).

Group dynamics

In the survey, 62% of participants reported that they could recognise the other participants' problems and experiences, and that the other participants understood them (a lot/to a high degree) (see Table 3). The participants in the qualitative study highlighted the social aspect of being part of a group of people with the same challenges. Thus, the group format allowed the participants to share their experiences. Although some participants were still in elementary schools and others were working and no longer lived with their parents, participants were able to relate in a meaningful way. Meeting others with a depression and anxiety induced a feeling of 'being normal', because many participants realised, they were not alone with their problems, which one participant explained as being able to 'put a face on the disorder'. Although participants did not necessarily form friendships outside of the programme, they felt less lonely, because they realised that they were not alone with their problems.

'It has been extremely good for me, because I don't really know anyone with anxiety – besides this group. So, it's very cool to find out that I am not abnormal; that I'm just a person with anxiety' (Participant, C6)

Table 3
Participation and satisfaction (post-intervention questionnaire)

	N	%
How many times were you absent from the seven course workshops?		
0	88	27
1-2	162	50
3 or more	35	11
<i>Missing</i>	40	12
The workshop leaders communicated the course material in an understandable and pedagogical manner		
Strongly agree / Agree	247	76
Neither agree nor disagree	17	5
Disagree / Strongly disagree	33	10
<i>Missing</i>	28	9
It was an advantage that the workshop leaders had experience with anxiety and depression		
Strongly agree / Agree	243	78
Neither agree nor disagree	12	4
Disagree / Astrongly disagree	35	10
<i>Missing</i>	25	8
Workshop leaders were skilled and well-prepared		
Strongly agree / Agree	246	76
Neither agree nor disagree	20	6
Disagree / Strongly disagree	34	10
<i>Missing</i>	25	8
To what degree was the course useful?		
Not at all / To some degree	126	39
To a high degree / To a very large degree	173	53
<i>Missing</i>	26	8
Altogether, how satisfied were you with the course?		
Very dissatisfied / Dissatisfied	10	3

	N	%
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	42	13
Satisfied / Very satisfied	246	76
<i>Missing</i>	27	8
To what degree did you recognise the other participants' problems and experiences?		
Not at all / A little	31	10
To some degree	69	21
A lot / To a large degree	201	62
<i>Missing</i>	24	7
To what degree did the other participants understand you?		
Not at all / A little	39	12
To some degree	65	20
A lot / To a large degree	195	60
<i>Missing</i>	26	8

The peer-to-peer concept and satisfaction with instructors

In the survey, 76% of the participants agreed that the instructors communicated the programme material in an understandable and pedagogical manner, and that the instructors were skilled and well-prepared (agree/strongly agree). Furthermore, 78% of participants agreed that it was an advantage that the instructors had personal experience with anxiety and/or depression (agree/strongly agree). The qualitative interviews with instructors and participants revealed that the instructors functioned as role models, and that their first-hand experiences increased their credibility.

'They [participants] clearly take example from us [...] it's not because we attended some fancy school that we say these things; it's because we have actually lived with it. To be that kind of role model is a tool I use, and that experience is super. It means that they [participants] listen and that they trust us' (Instructor, C6).

The participants had mixed feelings about the importance of the age of the instructors. Some preferred instructors who were about their age, whereas others preferred someone who was older, as exemplified in the two quotations below. Rather, the most important aspect of the peer-to-peer concept seemed to be personal experiences with anxiety and depression.

'That they know our lives, I mean, for instance that they know that young people can feel a lot of pressure in school and all that stuff with social media and so on. Perhaps someone over 40 would not know about that the same way' (Participants, C1).

'I think it would be odd if it was someone our age, because there are people the same age – that's the whole idea with the groups, so I think it's OK that the instructors aren't the same age as us, it makes good sense' (Participant, C1).

Contextual barriers and facilitators for implementation

We identified several contextual barriers and facilitators for the recruitment, retainment and satisfaction with the programme. We divided the facilitators and barriers into three main themes: *coordinator support*, *physical context*, and *organisational and geographical context*.

The importance of Coordinator support for retainment and satisfaction

Some coordinators chose to stay in a room close to the programme venue to provide social support for participants and instructors. If any of the participants felt overwhelmed and left the programme, the coordinators were able to talk to them. The coordinators and instructors reported that the presence of the coordinator could prevent drop-out and provided participants and instructors with a sense of security.

'I think it has ensured serenity and security that she [the coordinator] has been here. When some of the participants have dealt with anxiety, she has been able to meet with them instead of just leaving. I am quite sure our participants would have left, if it wasn't for her' (Instructor, C6).

Pleasant physical area

The interviews with coordinators, instructors and participants showed that it was important to pay attention to the physical properties of the venue for the programme. In general, participants expressed satisfaction with the venues, which were easily accessible by public transportation or car. Moreover, ensuring that the venue had a roomy feel with sofas and serving snacks or food facilitated more informal interactions among the participants and contributed positively to the group.

'We've made an extra effort to strengthen the sense of community. They get something to eat here, I mean, we try to make it cosy, and we have gone the extra mile, and the thing about eating together is nothing to sneeze at, because many of them live on their own and think it's great to score dinner here. It sounds trivial, but I think ... I mean it's something you do together with someone who has the same challenges' (Coordinator, C6).

In a similar vein, some of the participants explained how they experienced the atmosphere:

'There's always someone to greet you when you arrive and there's always fruit available. So, you feel welcome.

Yes, they try to make it cosy, and the atmosphere is nice.

It doesn't have a clinical feeling' (Participants, C1).

The coordinators explained that they preferred that the programme was conducted in the same facility, because it felt safer for the participants. Moreover, this also allowed the coordinators to show the facilities to the participants at the screening session.

'It means a lot that you can show them that this is where you are going to sit; you can choose where you want to sit because I will make a place card, so if they want to sit in the back at the right side of the room, then I will put the place card there' (Coordinator, C6).

The influence of organisational and geographical context on recruitment

The analysis shows that the organisational and geographical context seemed to have an impact on recruitment of participants. Firstly, the size of the municipality influenced the number of young people with symptoms of anxiety or depression and thus the size of the recruitment base. Secondly, the anchoring of the programme also played a role for the recruitment. While the majority (n=25) of the municipalities anchored the programme in a health or health promotion department, others anchored the programme in an employment or education guidance department (n=8), a social psychiatric unit (n=5) or in a volunteer organisation (n=1). It appeared to be a disadvantage to anchor the programme in a health and health promotion department because coordinators in these departments typically do not have direct access to and contact with young people. Consequently, they had to rely more on cooperating with external partners who did have such access than did coordinators in employment and education guidance departments.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the implementation of Coping with Anxiety and Depression, a peer-to-peer group-based self-management programme for young people. The present study demonstrates that the programme is feasible in a community setting. We found that the programme was delivered as intended with high participation rates, although recruitment was challenging, especially regarding recruitment of the instructors. In total, about half of the local coordinators reported that recruiting participants into the programme was challenging to a high/very high degree, while one in four reported that recruiting participants into the programme was difficult to a high/very high degree. Such recruitment challenges are

common, however. For instance, previous research shows that it is particularly challenging to recruit young men into mental health programmes, and that the stigma of mental health is a major barrier for recruitment of young people (33). Likewise, in *Coping with Anxiety and Depression*, more women (72%) enrolled. However, this may also partly reflect that more women suffer from depression and anxiety. In Denmark, for instance, 8% of girls and 5% of boys up to 18 years of age are diagnosed with an anxiety disorder, and 2% of girls and 1% of boys up to 18 years of age are diagnosed with depression (34). Moreover, recruitment challenges differed between municipalities, and findings point to different contextual factors that may promote or hinder recruitment. The size of the municipality appeared to be of importance. The programme's recruitment base was simply larger in big compared to small municipalities, which made recruitment easier. The institutional anchoring of the programme also played a role. If the programme was implemented in an institution, the target group of young people already knew and regularly frequented this facilitated recruitment.

This study also showed that the programme was acceptable among young people. In total, 76 % of the participants reported they were satisfied with the programme to a high/very high extent. The level of satisfaction is similar as among the adults in the previous intervention of the programme among adults (here, 71% reported that they were satisfied with the programme to a high/very high extent) (25). Thus, while this paper has not addressed the effectiveness of *Coping with Anxiety and Depression* for young people, findings suggest that it can be implemented in a way that is useful and beneficial for young people.

The qualitative interviews showed that the participants especially liked the action plans and the relaxation exercises and that they were positive about the group format that allowed them to meet other people with similar challenges. In the survey, 62% reported that they recognised the other participants' problems and experiences to a high extent/a great deal and 60 % reported that the other participants understood them to a high extent/a great deal. This is important, since studies show that peer support increases participants' sense of hope, control, and ability to effect changes in their lives as well as their self-care and sense of belonging to the community (35). As children mature, settings and persons outside the family become increasingly important, and schools and peer exert a major influence. Young people are especially susceptible to peer influence about values, decision-making and the emotional displays of others. Hence, at this age, peer influence on health and well-being is greater than at any other age. Therefore, using peer-to-peer approaches in health interventions targeting young people may have immense potential (24).

In continuation of this, our survey showed that the majority of the participants reported they found it advantageous that instructors themselves had experience with anxiety and depression. The qualitative interviews supported this finding, but also revealed more mixed feelings about the importance of the age of the instructors. Informants reported that it was important that the instructors themselves had experienced anxiety or depression, while the age of the instructor was less important. Although peer support is a novel approach, it has been used in a variety of contexts, e.g., addiction and drug use and mental health services targeting different subgroups, such as families, young people, and people with

disabilities. The literature suggests that the benefit of peer support impact not only the recipient but also extends to peer support workers. However, several challenges have also been identified, including low pay, stigma, unclear work roles, alienation, struggling with skill deficits, lack of training opportunities, emotional stress in helping others, and, on top of that, maintaining their personal physical and mental health. These barriers often result in high attrition rates among peer support workers in mental health settings(36). Likewise, in our study we found that recruitment and attrition of instructors were challenging. Thus, to overcome this challenge some coordinators chose to mix instructors with experienced professionals, which may be a more pragmatic solution. Moreover, this study also highlights the importance of providing adequate support to instructors to ensure that they do not get overwhelmed.

Strength and weaknesses

It is a strength of our study that we have combined quantitative survey data from 39 municipalities (out of 98 municipalities) with qualitative in-depth data from seven case municipalities. This has enabled a thorough triangulated interpretation of the implementation of the programme and solid results on how Danish young people experience participating in Coping with Anxiety and Depression. It hereby contributes to the implementation research and knowledge on the implementation of mental health promotion programmes (26, 33). It is, however, a limitation of the study that not all components of the methodological framework we used to analyse the implementation of the programme have been investigated with both quantitative and qualitative data. The context of the implementation has only been analysed qualitatively and not quantitatively. This was a methodological choice partly made because context can be difficult to capture in a survey and partly made to avoid a too lengthy survey. The target group is fragile, and we presumed that a lengthier survey would have led to a lower response proportion.

Conclusion

To sum up, Coping with Anxiety and Depression constitutes a feasible peer-to-peer, group-based community programme that is well-received by its target group. The programme reaches its target group of young people with symptoms of anxiety and/or depression, and overall, the participants were satisfied with the programme. They can recognise the problems of the other participants and they appreciate that the instructors of the programme themselves have personal experience with anxiety and/or depression. Recruitment and retention of participants and instructors is challenging but doable. The results underscore the importance of partnerships across sectors, institutions, and departments, e.g., employment agencies, social services, and schools, as part of a successful recruitment strategy.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Regulation and the University of Southern Denmark, RIO Legal Services (No. 10.659). It was conducted in compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All who participated in interviews gave in writing informed consent to participate. Participants were informed that participation in the study was voluntary and that they could withdraw at any time without any consequence for current or future help or support from the municipality.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

The study was funded by The Danish Committee for Health Education. The Committee assisted in collecting data and was given opportunity to comment on an early draft of the paper. It did not play any role in analysing or interpreting data nor in writing the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

SL, MBDN and APF designed the study. SL and MBDN drafted the manuscript. SL, MBDN, AOK and CØC collected data. MBDN and AOK conducted the qualitative data analysis and MPJ the statistical analysis. APF secured the funding of the project. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript and all approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

The authors are obliged to all study participants who took part in this study.

References

1. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, Baxter AJ, Ferrari AJ, Erskine HE, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* (London, England). 2013;382(9904):1575-86.
2. Baxter A, Vos T, Scott K, Ferrari A, Whiteford H. The global burden of anxiety disorders in 2010. *Psychol Med*. 2014;44(11):2363.
3. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Koretz D, Merikangas KR, et al. The Epidemiology of Major Depressive Disorder Results From the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *JAMA*. 2003;289(23):3095-105.
4. Costello EJ, Egger H, Angold A. 10-year research update review: the epidemiology of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders: I. Methods and public health burden. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2005;44(10):972-86.
5. Thapar A, Collishaw S, Pine DS, Thapar AK. Depression in adolescence. *The Lancet*. 2012;379(9820):1056-67.
6. WHO. mhGAP: Mental Health Gap Action Programme: scaling up care for mental, neurological and substance use disorders. 2008.
7. Stockings EA, Degenhardt L, Dobbins T, Lee YY, Erskine HE, Whiteford HA, et al. Preventing depression and anxiety in young people: a review of the joint efficacy of universal, selective and indicated prevention. *Psychol Med*. 2016;46(1):11-26.
8. Johnson D, Dupuis G, Piche J, Clayborne Z, Colman I. Adult mental health outcomes of adolescent depression: A systematic review. *Depress Anxiety*. 2018;35(8):700-16.
9. !!! INVALID CITATION !!! .
10. McGorry P, Bates T, Birchwood MJTBJoP. Designing youth mental health services for the 21st century: examples from Australia, Ireland and the UK. 2013;202(s54):s30-s5.
11. Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: a systematic review. *BMC psychiatry*. 2010;10(1):113.
12. Rickwood DJ, Deane FP, Wilson CJJMJoA. When and how do young people seek professional help for mental health problems? 2007;187(S7):S35-S9.
13. Andrews G, Issakidis C, Carter G. Shortfall in mental health service utilisation. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2001;179(5):417-25.
14. Clement S, Schauman O, Graham T, Maggioni F, Evans-Lacko S, Bezborodovs N, et al. What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. *Psychological medicine*. 2015;45(1):11-27.
15. Salaheddin K, Mason BBJJGP. Identifying barriers to mental health help-seeking among young adults in the UK: a cross-sectional survey. 2016;66(651):e686-e92.
16. Stretton A, Spears BA, Taddeo C, Drennan JJIJoEE. Help-Seeking Online by Young People: Does the Influence of Others Matter? 2018;10(1):25-46.

17. Pretorius C, Chambers D, Cowan B, Coyle DJJmh. Young People Seeking Help Online for Mental Health: Cross-Sectional Survey Study.2019;6(8):e13524.
18. Aschbrenner KA, Naslund JA, Tomlinson EF, Kinney A, Pratt SI, Brunette MFJFipb. Adolescents' Use of Digital Technologies and Preferences for Mobile Health Coaching in Public Mental Health Settings.2019;7:178.
19. Hall S, Fildes J, Perrens B, Plummer J, Carlisle E, Cockayne N, et al.Can we Talk? Seven Year Youth Mental Health Report-2012-2018. Mission Australia: Sydney, NSW; 2019.
20. Kazdin AEJJoCP, Psychiatry. Annual Research Review: Expanding mental health services through novel models of intervention delivery. 2019;60(4):455-72.
21. Axelson DA, Birmaher B. Relation between anxiety and depressive disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Depress Anxiety*. 2001;14(2):67-78.
22. Das JK, Salam RA, Lassi ZS, Khan MN, Mahmood W, Patel V, et al. Interventions for Adolescent Mental Health: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2016;59(4, Supplement):S49-S60.
23. Corrieri S, Heider D, Conrad I, Blume A, König H-H, Riedel-Heller SG. School-based prevention programs for depression and anxiety in adolescence: a systematic review. *Health Promotion International*. 2013;29(3):427-41.
24. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *The Lancet*. 2016;387(10036):2423-78.
25. EVALUERING AF SATSPULJE-PROJEKTET: LÆR AT TACKLE ANGST OG DEPRESSION [press release]. Copenhagen: Danish Board of Health, 14.06.2016 2016.
26. Christensen H, Pallister E, Smale S, Hickie IB, Calear AL. Community-based prevention programs for anxiety and depression in youth: a systematic review. *The journal of primary prevention*. 2010;31(3):139-70.
27. Peters DH, Adam T, Alonge O, Agyepong IA, Tran N. Implementation research: what it is and how to do it. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2013;347:f6753.
28. Creswell JD. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches: Sage publications; 2017.
29. Lorig KR, Holman H. Self-management education: history, definition, outcomes, and mechanisms. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*. 2003;26(1):1-7.
30. Saunders RP, Evans MH, Joshi P. Developing a process-evaluation plan for assessing health promotion program implementation: a how-to guide. *Health promotion practice*. 2005;6(2):134-47.
31. Cohen D, Crabtree B. Maximum variation sampling. *Qualitative Research Guidelines Project*. 2006.
32. Ritchie J, Lewis J, Nicholls C, Ormston R. Qualitative research practice: A guide for social science students and researchers. Sage Publications. Inc; 2014.
33. Woodall A, Morgan C, Sloan C, Howard L. Barriers to participation in mental health research: are there specific gender, ethnicity and age related barriers? *BMC Psychiatry*. 2010;10(1):103.

34. Dalsgaard S, Thorsteinsson E, Trabjerg BB, Schullehner J, Plana-Ripoll O, Brikell I, et al. Incidence Rates and Cumulative Incidences of the Full Spectrum of Diagnosed Mental Disorders in Childhood and Adolescence. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2019:e193523.
35. Davidson L, Bellamy C, Guy K, Miller R. Peer support among persons with severe mental illnesses: a review of evidence and experience. *World Psychiatry*. 2012;11(2):123-8.
36. Shalaby RAH, Agyapong VI. Peer support in mental health: literature review. *JMIR Mental Health*. 2020;7(6):e15572.