The Central Role of Peers Facilitators in the Empowerment of Breast Cancer Patients: A Qualitative Study

Béatrice LOGNOS (✉ beatrice.lognos@umontpellier.fr)

University of Montpellier-IDESP

isabelle Boulze-Launay

Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier

Elodie Million

University of Montpellier-IDESP

Gérard Bourrel

University of Montpellier-IDESP

Michel Amouyal

University of Montpellier

Xavier Gocko

Jean Monnet University

Bernard Clary

University of Montpellier-IDESP

Grégory Ninot

University of Montpellier-IDESP

Agnès Oude-Engberink

University of Montpellier-IDESP

Research Article

Keywords: Empowerment, Peers, Patient association, Breast Cancer, Phénoménological study

Posted Date: September 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-931669/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background In 2020, the number of new cancer cases was estimated at 20 490 862 worldwide up from 18.1 million in 2018 and 14.1 million in 2012. Since the 2000s, cancer treatments have significantly improved, allowing either a cure or control of the disease. Patients share their experience of the disease and use supportive care solutions through involvement in patient associations and online forums. All the associations were built on the principle of “peer support,” which is based on mutual aid between people who suffer or have suffered from the same somatic or psychological illness or had the same life experience.

This experiential knowledge can be explored to understand the role of peers and associations in the appropriation of their cancer

Methods: A qualitative phenomenological study was undertaken through semi-structured interviews with 12 participants. Interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, then analyzed by means of triangulation up to the point of theoretical saturation, by a semio-pragmatic method.

Results: Four categories emerged: (1) “Transforms a painful experience into a positive one. It mobilizes the human values of sharing, love, and humility, which facilitates resilience”; (2) “The characteristics of the association, a non-medical place between people sharing a common destiny, resonates with patients’ needs and improves their well-being”; (3) “The association transforms the patients’ experiences by facilitating engagement that leads to a patient-expert (empowerment)”; and (4) “Understanding what is happening to them is soothing, reassuring, because patients’ concerns need to be heard and their care understood”.

Conclusions: This study highlights patient associations can serve as the mediator of NPI and facilitate the empowerment of breast cancer patient

Practice implications: Educating health professionals in initial and continuing education about non-pharmacological interventions will be a major issue. Teaching the patient-centred approach to health professionals is one of the priorities in initial and continuing medical education.

Background

In 2020, the number of new cancer cases was estimated at 20 490 862 worldwide up from 18.1 million in 2018 and 14.1 million in 2012 (1). The number of cancer-related deaths was estimated at 10 021 864 in 2020 compared to 9.6 million in 2018 and 8.2 million in 2012. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that cancer had become the second leading cause of death responsible for more deaths than all coronary heart disease and stroke (1). In 2020, breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer with 2 261 419 (11.7%) followed by lung cancer 2 206 771 (11.4%). In 2018, the most frequently diagnosed cancer with 1.8 million new cases (13% of total) was lung cancer against breast cancer with 1.7 million cases (11.9% of total). Lung cancer is the most frequent cause of cancer deaths with

1796,144 (18%), the number of deaths from breast cancer is 684 996 (6.9%). As of the end of 2020, there were 7.8 million women alive who were diagnosed with breast cancer in the past 5 years, making it the world's most prevalent cancer (1).

Since the 2000s, cancer treatments have significantly improved, allowing either a cure or control of the disease. The standardized 5-year net survival (2005–2010) was estimated to be 87% over 10 years during the period between 1989 and 2010(1). Supportive care is used to reduce symptoms, extend autonomy, and improve quality of life. These methods include complementary therapies, non-pharmacological interventions (NPIs), and alternative and complementary medicine (CAM) (2). The appropriation of cancer or resilience is an active process. Patients share their experience of the disease and use supportive care solutions through involvement in patient associations and online forums (3). With the help of health professionals to optimize person-centered support, forums support people's informal learning on coping with a disease (4).

We analyzed online discussions on forums showing the interest of patients in NPI and the use of forums for support. A qualitative phenomenological approach was used to question the lived experiences of patients belonging to an association (3). It allows for a comprehensive approach to the patients' experiences. We were able to identify the common characteristics of the associations based on the promoters' intentions. All the associations were built on the principle of "peer support," which is based on mutual aid between people who suffer or have suffered from the same somatic or psychological illness, or had the same life experience (5). Associations also include person-centered supportive care to help people regain their lost existential balance and reappropriate identity values. This experiential knowledge can be explored to understand the role of peers and associations in the appropriation of their cancer.

Methods

Recruitment

The sample comprised of breast cancer patients belonging to a patient association. Three associations were contacted: Étincelle, Living Like Before, and InLife. A document explaining the purpose and modalities of the study was drafted. The interviews took place at either the association's headquarters or the patients' homes, except for one that was conducted over the telephone. The sampling followed the principle of a purposive sample (6), in which participants varied according to socio-professional level and membership in an association. The size of the sample was not established in advance, and data adequacy was determined from data emergence and saturation on material analysis.

Data collection

Material for the analysis was gathered through in-depth interviews, using a guide drafted by two researchers, with phenomenologically oriented questions centered on the participant's lived experiences (Table 1). The interviews took place between November 2017 and January 2019. Each of the 11 interviews lasted between 38 and 90 minutes. Participants ages 39 to 74 years freely described their

personal lived experience without restraint. Table 2 summarizes the other characteristics guaranteeing a variation of patient experiences. Their responses were audio-recorded, then transcribed verbatim. The identifying features of all participants were anonymized after they signed a consent form for the analysis and publication of data. The researcher did not know the participants before interviewing them. Each verbatim transcript received a number to ensure anonymity. Ethical approval for this research was granted by a national ethic Committee for the Protection of Persons in accordance with the current regulation (IRB n ° IRB00010804). All procedures were performed in accordance with relevant declaration of Helsinki 's guidelines.

Phenomenological pragmatic analysis of the interview material

We used a phenomenological semio-pragmatic approach in accordance with our objective of exploring the lived experiences of female breast cancer patients. A semio-pragmatic analysis is a qualitative research method that proposes the logical ordering of empirical data according to their semiotic characterization, reducing the bias of interpretation without compromising the emergence of new results (6). It is a specific phenomenological approach based on the category theory of CS Peirce (7), and a descriptive method for categorizing lived experience recorded from interview transcripts using the data ordering principle. Peirce demonstrated that only three categories were necessary and sufficient for describing any phenomenon. He called them “universal categories” or three “modes of being,” which are invariants of existence. In this method, the analyst takes into account all the semiotic elements of a text, including linguistic and contextual clues. They are linked by logical hierarchical semiotic relations. The concepts are at the top of the pyramid. They then presuppose the existing ones, which embody feelings. This formal principle of data ordering makes it possible to construct organized and relevant sets that will become first-level categories. Through a constant comparison process (8) with others verbatims, the analyst then enriches the emerging categories and their sub-categories or properties until the saturation point is reached and new categories are identified. Each category represents a dimension of the phenomenon being studied. The restitution of the meaning of the studied phenomenon is presented in the form of an integrative synthetic proposal taking into account the different dimensions. Using a formal principle limits the bias due to the researcher's interpretation and strengthens the validity of the results (9). The steps of the method used are summarized in Table 3 (10)

Reliability criteria

The work is reported in conformity with the COREQ criteria (11). The validity of coherence (12) has been respected by the congruence between the research objective and choice of methodological steps for the research, making them rigorously explicit. The triangulation of researchers was obtained between three experts in qualitative research who pooled their analyses. Three more interviews were performed once saturation of the material was reached. All participants consented to the release of the results of their interviews.

Semio-pragmatic phenomenological analysis

Four phenomenological statements emerged from the analysis. First, the association transforms a painful experience into a positive one to mobilize human values of sharing, love, and humility, which facilitates resilience. The association transforms the deleterious representation of the disease as a punishment that triggers feelings of guilt and anguish into something more positive. Feelings of total denial (“I was in total denial.” [S3]) were transformed to feelings of injustice (“No, it is not deserved, it is the opposite.” [S10], “Why am I sick when I have done everything?” [S8]). The patient may feel responsible or even guilty (“Being sick means feeling guilty and being punished.” [S3]). The illness can be a source of anguish (“I felt anguish when I learned I had cancer the second time.” [S3]). However, involvement in associations can also lead to a feeling of surprise, with cancer acting as the gateway to beautiful encounters. According to S3, “Having cancer is a negative experience, but joining an association allows cancer patients to meet with each other. I went from experiencing something very sad to having beautiful encounters that I would never have had without being sick. That is the good thing about joining associations.” Other responses reflecting the same sentiment are: “Offering my time to give back what I have received” (S1, S2); “The association has allowed me to rediscover my identity values, freedom of action and thought, commitment that gives me meaning, and restored confidence in my life” (S4, S10); “I am rediscovering my human values and now I really want to get involved” (S2); and “I need to have a sense of meaning in my life” (S4).

Second, the characteristics of an association, a non-medical organization for people sharing a common destiny, resonates with the needs of the patients and improves their with well-being.

According to S1, an association is defined as “a non-medical place for listening and understanding the person without judgement. It is a place where one has the freedom of expression, and everything is allowed without judgement, such as laughing and crying, as is the case in the medical environment. We do not talk about illness in these places; they are places of joy.” The association is a positive place where people belong to a community of destiny. It is “like a theater; we change, we reveal ourselves, we are without taboos and reluctance. I want to be useful” (S3). Joining an association means “to belong to a community” (S7) (S5), “the association helps them to advance in terms of their well-being as cancer patients” (S5). An association allows patients to give and receive from their peers: “I needed to find a place here. It is a cocoon where we do not talk about the disease, and people always have a smile on their faces” (S4); “I needed to have meaning in my life” (S4); and “I want to do something. It is also good to listen to patients and allow them to talk about their experiences” (S8).

Third, association transforms the patient’s experience by facilitating engagement that leads to one becoming a patient-expert (empowerment). Quoting S4, “I need to have meaning in my life. I am going to help and share my experience so I can be of some use, but it is not enough.” However, for S1, “the experience of the disease is singular, I do not want to speak for others, and I sometimes have the same experience or feeling of undesirable side effects, and I know what they can cost.” As for S2, she feels like, “a very modest expert in that respect. I can provide help, understanding, and support. It gives you more experience. Not all of us are equal: To be an expert, you have to have shared the same experience. Sometimes I cannot understand what others go through because my case is not the same. Others have

experienced chemo, but I have not. But there are other things that I can share with them in terms of experience.” The response of S4 was: “I do not have to feel inferior in front of a doctor. He is skilled and I am someone who will discuss and ask questions, and even make suggestions... that we are on equal footing.”

Finally, literacy, and better understanding what is happening to them and their care through the involvement of peers and caregivers, enables them to find and understand information better. This develops their capacity and makes them feel peaceful and reassured. According to S1, “The small booklets were very well made and accessible to everyone. They did not use any difficult words They did not use any difficult words, allowing patients to better understand their treatment, “people need to understand their treatment (S11)”. S4’s response was, “Listen and take into account the patient’s words and allow us to understand them.” As for S8, “I was shocked to look up what it meant in the carcinologic dictionary. She did not tell me ‘You have breast cancer.’ It is a simple sentence. She gave me some papers and I looked up the meaning of what I had been told.”

Discussion

Through genuine exchanges, our qualitative approach enabled a better understanding of the lived experience of cancer patients who attend an association. Our four main emerging categories highlight the lived experience of cancer patients who feel the need to express themselves to caregivers and peers without the supervision of health professionals, using NPIs that allow them to experience well-being. They express the need to understand what is happening. These different factors enable them to change and take ownership of their disease, and even become expert patients. In this study, we discuss these factors and show how they are intertwined.

The patient centeredness approach (PCA) is consistent with our four categories. It promotes change, resilience, and responsiveness. We owe the concept of PCA to M Stewart (13) who described this process along six dimensions, which he reduced to four. He describes the first as the fundamental component of the approach. It is the exploration of lived experience that can lead to a holistic biopsychosocial understanding of the person, which is the second dimension. The person-centered approach is essential in approaching a patient, and whenever one is in a helping relationship, as in the case of a patient association. Participants in forums [5] or associations are looking for a community of destiny, where people find a sense of belonging with others who have had the same experiences. People say it is not a way to exchange details about the disease and the suffering that comes with it, which they feared they would find there, but an outlet to discuss living with the disease, and how it affects their children

The patients likened this community spirit to [a sports team] with its competitive spirit of [winning together]. This is a concept developed by Maffesoli in [the time of tribes]; the association is like a tribe with its organization, customs, and habitus. Behind the [community of destiny],” there are concepts connoting sharing, mutual aid, [making oneself useful] to the other, and love. These concepts have emerged from the lived experiences of the patients in our study. The patients find that understanding

what is happening to them is soothing and reassuring because their concerns need to be heard, which is in agreement with the literature (14).

Health literacy (HL) highlights the importance of patients understanding their situation (14). It is the degree to which one obtains, analyzes, and understands basic information (15). Health understanding is a component of the implementation conditions and a pathway to patient engagement, commitment to adherence to interventional devices, and commitment to others in a helping relationship according to our findings. Many professional organizations recommend providing information that is understandable and accessible to all patients, regardless of their level of literacy or education, and avoiding medical jargon (16). Health literacy is not simply a tool used to assess people's understanding of an illness, but a "determinant of health" (17) where patients can play a more active role. It is an important predictor of successful self-management in cancer (18) and other chronic diseases (15). The multivariate analysis indicated that HL was independently related to disease knowledge (19).

To improve HL, action is needed at all levels (17). Users' skills, resources, and capacities must be increased, and health professionals' communication and emotional skills must be improved (20) by acting at the level of health care organizations (21). Health literacy is also a determinant of therapeutic education; a low level of HL is a barrier to therapeutic education. Both approaches, HL and therapeutic education, have the same objective of increasing users' competencies (15)(20) for empowerment. People with low HL trust social media and blogs more than health professionals (21).

Patients can have the freedom to express themselves in health forums and associations to find their own solutions (22) without advice from health professionals, which constitutes support. For breast cancer, 72% of women use NPI's (23), but more than half do not mention them to their oncologist (24) for fear of disapproval or inability to help (25). Moreover, alternative medicines can cause adverse effects, deleterious interactions with oncology treatments, and lost opportunities due to treatment delays (26).

Can the association be reclassified as a health intervention? If so, on what criteria (27)(28)? Is it a vector of NPI? Patients of the Etincelle association consider the association to be a health "intervention," that delivers supportive care. For health professionals, the association may provide access to NPIs, but is not itself classified as one. The association can be seen as a social support device that allows the dispensation or exchange of emotional, instrumental, or information resources by non-professionals(29). It responds to the important need for human equilibrium in social relations, with five essential functions: emotional support, social integration, the possibility of feeling useful and needed, confirmation of one's value, and the acquisition of concrete and material help (29).

Our semi-pragmatic analysis allows a modelling:

When the characteristics and design (conditions of implementation) of an association resonate with the components of the subjects' lived experience in all its existential dimensions, this leads them to a process of adhesion, then of commitment, and finally of change, which gives them a feeling of newfound freedom.

The process of change involves the transformation of the lived experience of the disease into a positive experience that goes hand in hand with a feeling of usefulness to others, well-being, and openness towards a new life project, taking the form of resilience.

From this perspective, the “association” itself takes the form of an NPI if we consider this definition (Ninot): [An NPI is a care encompassing more helpers with the aim of well-being, contrary to medicinal care, it is a complementary intervention with the aim of doing without medication]. Marion Carayol (30) hypothesized that the effects induced by the conditions of implementation of an intervention could be at the origin of the change, rather than the intervention itself. This hypothesis is confirmed by this qualitative research work. Other processes of change are described in the literature, resulting in a change of place, role, greater autonomy (31), and giving meaning to the experience (32). Non-pharmacological interventions are described by our patients as “more supportive, encompassing care with the goal of wellness,” which is consistent with the literature (33). Supervised adapted physical activity programs have been shown to benefit quality of life and prognosis in breast cancer patients as NPIs (34), and so has “mindfulness” (35).

Joining associations, sharing with peers, and NPIs were described by patients as a way of reclaiming their bodies. Listening to oneself and the caregivers, and sharing experiences with other patients is at the origin of a process of change that would allow patients to reappropriate their body in all its physical, social, and spiritual dimensions (36). The association provides a time out from nursing one's health. It is also a place of resilience. Most of the patients say they need to make themselves useful to others by helping them rebuild their lives. The patients' life project is modified by the encounter with the disease (37), and this change in priority is reflected in our research. The patients refer to their past as either a facilitating element or, on the contrary, a source of difficulties. The person uses coping strategies (38). The external resources surrounding the subject after the trauma of coping with chronic illnesses (39), and the return of self-confidence enable the subject to feel an improvement in both the physical and psychological quality of life, which is a reflection of our results. Patients also express the need to be accompanied and surrounded, a result which is found in the literature where the entourage contributes to the resilience of patients and the isolation being burdened with living with the disease (40)(4). This is in accordance with our results.

These experiences have led to the emergence of so-called “expert” patients with different levels of expertise depending on their commitment and reflexivity. By moving away from the classic patient-disease-doctor triad, the emergence of the patient-expert changes hierarchical relationships and relationships to knowledge. It can also be a source of misunderstanding. According to the patients, “The association transforms the patient's experience by facilitating engagement that leads to a patient-expert (empowerment). They live a statutory transition thanks to the association, which transforms the lived experience of the patients and guides them in the process of empowerment.” Glaser and Strauss (41) have described the properties of status transitions as “regular, planned and prescribed, but not necessarily always present. A transition can be desirable or undesirable” depending on the transition.

In the context of association as an “intervention,” there are multiple transitions: from patient to patient-witness, from isolated patient to patient-partner, from patient-in-doubt to committed patient, and from patient-partner to patient-expert. Not all patients go through these points of passage, but the association has a facilitating, mediating action to access them. The ultimate point of this dynamic process is empowerment, which refers to the mastery and control of the situation. What we can see is the transformation of an isolated, individual transition that gradually becomes a collective, with the sharing of the same pathway-destiny to benefiting the person within the patient association. This is an essential concept to apply in the search for change, as it is linked to the exploration of lived experience, which provides an opening towards the expectations and needs of the patient towards his or her life with the disease (i.e., towards the person, an approach advocated, for example, for people in migration situations) (42). Change is promoted through the person-centered approach in accordance with our outcomes.

Strengths And Limitations

A phenomenological approach allows for a deep exploration of the lived experience, complementing epidemiological research. The validity of our results rests on the authenticity of the responses, and the triangulation of the analysis with the participation of two experts in the semio-pragmatic approach. This phenomenological approach is the only method that includes a formal ordering principle, which limits the interpretation bias of researchers in a quantitative analysis. This allows a better understanding of health behaviors and the mechanisms that lead to empowerment.

Conclusion

This research work raises questions, but also highlights the contribution of the lived experience of patients treated for breast cancer on the understanding of their appropriation of the disease and the contribution of patient associations. When the characteristics and conception of the association resonate with the components of the subjects’ lived experience in all its existential dimensions, patients undergo a process of adhesion, then commitment, and finally of change, based on the various active ingredients and their induced effects emerging from the conditions of the implementation of the intervention. From this perspective, the patient association becomes a mediator of NPI, an inclusive and benevolent approach that makes it possible to direct each person towards relevant and personalized NPI.

Practice implications

In the future, educating health professionals in initial and continuing education about NPIs will be a major issue. Currently, NPI and CAM approaches are often not well known in cancer care (43). Teaching the patient-centered approach to health professionals is one of the priorities in initial and continuing medical education, as it is a major key to the professional patient-physician relationship, regardless of their beliefs about health and life. It allows us to ask patients about their use of NPIs, which would have a positive impact on the trust relationship (44)(45)(46).

The study shows that the place of patients and users in the French healthcare system is changing. They are becoming partners in their care. Teaching others about a disease based on one's own experiences can introduce the patient-expert to the act of counselling or giving guidance to other patients, as was already the case in therapeutic education programs. There remains the question of patient associations. Changing the way we look at the role of the association invites us to reflect on the ethical consequences of this change. If the association is positioned as a care structure, then the problem of governance and status arises. Further research on the association should be carried out.

List Of Abbreviations

NPI non-pharmacological interventions

CAM: complementary medicine

PCA: patient centeredness approach

HL:Health literacy

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures were performed in accordance with relevant declaration of Helsinki 's guidelines.

Comité Éthique DU CNGE: 155 rue de Charonne 75011 Paris :

Ethical approval for this research was granted by a national ethic Committee for the Protection of Persons in accordance with the current regulation (IRB n ° IRB00010804). I confirm all patient/personal identifiers have been removed or disguised so the person(s) described are not identifiable and cannot be identified through the details of the story.

All interviewees signed an informed consent to the study

Consent for publication

I confirm that I have obtained the consent of the person, all interviewees signed an informed consent to the study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this section.

Funding: NA

Authors' contributions

BL, IB, and GN developed the original proposal for the study. BL did the data collection and triangulation with AOE and BL. BL drafted the initial manuscript. AOE and GB, reviewed and expertized the content. All authors (9) revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to the patients who agreed to talk about their experiences, to the associations that made this work possible, to Betty Mercier and Anne Stoebner who enabled me to contact the associations. Thank you to Monique Isambert who faithfully transcribed all these interviews.

References

1. WHO | Global Health Observatory (GHO) data [Internet]. WHO. [cité 9 sept 2016]. Disponible sur: <http://www.who.int/gho/en/>
2. Ninot G, Carbonnel F. To a consensus model of clinical validation and surveillance of non-pharmacological interventions (NPIs) [Internet]. 2016. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/61405>
3. Lognos B, Carbonnel F, Boulze Launay I, Bringay S, Guerdoux-Ninot E, Mollevi C, et al. Complementary and Alternative Medicine in Patients With Breast Cancer: Exploratory Study of Social Network Forum Data. *JMIR Cancer*. 27 nov 2019;5(2):e12536.
4. Bayen S, Carpentier C, Baran J, Cottencin O, Defebvre L, Moreau C, et al. Parkinson's disease: Content analysis of patient online discussion forums. A prospective observational study using Netnography. *Patient Education and Counseling* [Internet]. 28 janv 2021 [cité 6 mai 2021]; Disponible sur: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0738399121000550>
5. Repper J, Carter T. A review of the literature on peer support in mental health services. *Journal of Mental Health*. août 2011;20(4):392–411.
6. Bourrel G, Oude Engberink A. La phénoménologie sémiopragmatique en recherche qualitative [Internet]. [cité 22 sept 2021]. Disponible sur: <https://schwabe.ch/la-phenomenologie-semiopragmatique-en-recherche-qualitative-978-3-7965-4279-4>.
7. Peirce CS (1839–1914), Tiercelin C (1952-), Thibaud P (1938-), Tiercelin C, Thibaud P. Oeuvres philosophiques. Volume I, Pragmatisme et pragmatisme / Charles Sanders Peirce [Internet]. Éd. du Cerf. Paris; 2002 [cité 7 févr 2019]. 484 p.; ouv. ill. en coul.; 24 cm; br. (Passages (Paris. 1986)). Disponible sur: <http://documentation.unicaen.fr/Default/doc/FLORA/358629/oeuvres-philosophiques-volume-i-pragmatisme-et-pragmaticisme-charles-sanders-peirce>

8. Glaser BG, Strauss AL, Soulet M-HT, Paillé P. La découverte de la théorie ancrée: stratégies pour la recherche qualitative. Paris, France: A. Colin; 2010.
9. Oude Engberink A, Lognos B, Clary B, David M, Bourrel G. La méthode phénoméno-pragmatique: une méthode pertinente pour l'analyse qualitative en santé [Internet]. exercer, La Revue Française de Médecine Générale. Disponible sur: <http://www.exercer.fr>
10. Bourrel G, Oude Engberink A. En quoi, une théorie sémiopragmatique à partir des théories de Peirce peut-elle renouveler la recherche en sciences humaines et sociales ? in Peirce et les sciences sociales. Une sociologie pragmatiste ? crs. 2017;(62):177–201.
11. Tong A, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care. déc 2007;19(6):349–57.
12. Whittemore R, Chase SK, Mandle CL. Validity in Qualitative Research. Qual Health Res. 1 juill 2001;11(4):522–37.
13. Stewart M. Towards a global definition of patient centred care: The patient should be the judge of patient centred care. BMJ. 24 févr 2001;322(7284):444–5.
14. Mackenzie G, Parkinson M, Lakhani A, Pannekoek H. Issues that influence patient/physician discussion of complementary therapies. Patient Education and Counseling. 1 oct 1999;38(2):155–9.
15. Dunn P, Conard S. Improving health literacy in patients with chronic conditions: A call to action. Int J Cardiol. 15 déc 2018;273:249–51.
16. Hersh L, Salzman B, Snyderman D. Health Literacy in Primary Care Practice. Am Fam Physician. 15 juill 2015;92(2):118–24.
17. Margat A, Gagnayre R, Lombrail P, de Andrade V, Azogui-Levy S. [Health literacy and patient education interventions: a review]. Sante Publique. déc 2017;29(6):811–20.
18. Papadakos JK, Hasan SM, Barnsley J, Berta W, Fazelzad R, Papadakos CJ, et al. Health literacy and cancer self-management behaviors: A scoping review. Cancer. 2018;124(21):4202–10.
19. Gazmararian JA, Williams MV, Peel J, Baker DW. Health literacy and knowledge of chronic disease. Patient Education and Counseling. 1 nov 2003;51(3):267–75.
20. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ. 29 sept 2008;337:a1655.
21. Kickbusch I, Pelikan JM, Apfel F, Tsouros AD, World Health Organization, éditeurs. Health literacy: the solid facts. Copenhagen: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2013. 73 p. (The solid facts).
22. Chen X, Hay JL, Waters EA, Kiviniemi MT, Biddle C, Schofield E, et al. Health Literacy and Use and Trust in Health Information. J Health Commun. 2018;23(8):724–34.
23. Jacomet C, Bastiani P, Prouteau J, Lambert C, Linard F, Ologeanu-Taddei R, et al. E-health. Patterns of use and perceived benefits and barriers among people living with HIV and their physicians. Part 1: Information retrieval on the Internet and social networks. Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses. 1 oct 2020;50(7):575–81.

24. Tagliaferri M, Cohen I, Tripathy D. Complementary and alternative medicine in early-stage breast cancer. *Seminars in Oncology*. 1 févr 2001;28(1):121–34.
25. Molassiotis A, Fernandez-Ortega P, Pud D, Ozden G, Scott JA, Panteli V, et al. Use of complementary and alternative medicine in cancer patients: a European survey. *Ann Oncol*. 1 avr 2005;16(4):655–63.
26. Awortwe Charles, Makiwane Memela, Reuter Helmuth, Muller Christo, Louw Johan, Rosenkranz Bernd. Critical evaluation of causality assessment of herb–drug interactions in patients. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 24 janv 2018;84(4):679–93.
27. Ninot G. *Non-Pharmacological Interventions: An Essential Answer to Current Demographic, Health, and Environmental Transitions* [Internet]. Springer International Publishing; 2021 [cité 17 mai 2021]. Disponible sur: <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783030609702>
28. Ninot G, Boulze-Launay I, Bourrel G, Grazime A, Guerdoux-Ninot E, Lognos B, et al. Definition and Ontology of Non-Pharmacological Interventions (NPIs) [Internet]. 2018. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/65114>
29. Abbamonte JM, McMahon RC, Stanforth ET, Rosenberg R, Jean-Gilles M, Dvieux JG. Social Support, Relationship Power, and Knowledge of HIV + Serostatus in Sexual Risk Behavior Among Women in Psychiatric Treatment. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. mars 2021;28(1):191–9.
30. Carayol M, Ninot G, Senesse P, Bleuse J-P, Gourgou S, Sancho-Garnier H, et al. Short- and long-term impact of adapted physical activity and diet counseling during adjuvant breast cancer therapy: the « APAD1 » randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer*. 25 juill 2019;19(1):737.
31. Rajagopal L, Pranee P, McBride KA. The Lived Experience of Australian Women Living with Breast Cancer: A Meta-Synthesis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2019;20(11):3233–49.
32. Hildebrandt C, Mayer H, Koller A. Experiences of patients with colorectal cancer from diagnosis until completion of treatment: A meta-ethnography approach. *Psychooncology*. 2019;28(2):219–27.
33. Corbett T, Singh K, Payne L, Bradbury K, Foster C, Watson E, et al. Understanding acceptability of and engagement with Web-based interventions aiming to improve quality of life in cancer survivors: A synthesis of current research. *Psychooncology*. 2018;27(1):22–33.
34. Kotronoulas G, Papadopoulou C, Burns-Cunningham K, Simpson M, Maguire R. A systematic review of the supportive care needs of people living with and beyond cancer of the colon and/or rectum. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. aot 2017;29:60–70.
35. Tate KJ, Newbury-Birch D, McGeechan GJ. A systematic review of qualitative evidence of cancer patients' attitudes to mindfulness. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. mars 2018;27(2):e12783.
36. Santiago M. Perspectives critiques en psychologie de la sant. L'exemple des recherches en oncologie - Cairn.info. 2007;2(4):9–21.
37. Cordova MJ, Andrykowski MA. Responses to cancer diagnosis and treatment: posttraumatic stress and posttraumatic growth. *Semin Clin Neuropsychiatry*. oct 2003;8(4):286–96.
38. Finkelstein-Fox L, Park CL. Control-coping goodness-of-fit and chronic illness: a systematic review of the literature. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2019;13(2):137–62.

39. Bacqué MF. La charge psychique de la maladie chronique: aider les malades à « faire face ». *Rev Mal Respir.* 2002;19:35–7.
40. Lippiett KA, Richardson A, Myall M, Cummings A, May CR. Patients and informal caregivers' experiences of burden of treatment in lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research. *BMJ Open.* 05 2019;9(2):e020515.
41. Glaser BG, Strauss AL. Les transitions statutaires et leurs propriétés. *SociologieS* [Internet]. 9 mai 2012 <http://journals.openedition.org/sociologies/4062>
42. Batterham RW, Hawkins M, Collins PA, Buchbinder R, Osborne RH. Health literacy: applying current concepts to improve health services and reduce health inequalities. *Public Health.* mars 2016;132:3–12.
43. Brenner DR, Brockton NT, Kotsopoulos J, Cotterchio M, Boucher BA, Courneya KS, et al. Breast cancer survival among young women: a review of the role of modifiable lifestyle factors. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2016;27:459–72.
44. Glasziou PP. Promoting evidence-based non-drug interventions: time for a non-pharmacopoeia? *Med J Aust.* 20 juill 2009;191(2):52–3.
45. Hoffmann TC, Erueti C, Glasziou PP. Poor description of non-pharmacological interventions: analysis of consecutive sample of randomised trials. *BMJ.* 10 sept 2013;347:f3755.
46. Davis EL, Oh B, Butow PN, Mullan BA, Clarke S. Cancer patient disclosure and patient-doctor communication of complementary and alternative medicine use: a systematic review. *Oncologist.* 2012;17(11):1475–81.

Tables

Due to technical limitations, table 1 is only available as a download in the Supplemental Files section.

Table 2

Summary table of characteristics, age, membership of an association, occupation and duration of interviews with the patients questioned

Interview issue	Age	Association name	Occupation	Duration of the interview
S1	57 ans	Etincelle	Caregiver	53 :15
S2	50 ans	Etincelle	Development Manager	59 :17
S3	70 ans	Etincelle	Teacher Retired	50 :28
S4	69 ans	Etincelle	Psychologist .	57 :57
S5	39 ans	Etincelle	Psychologist .	51 :58
S6	71 ans	Living as before	Tax officer	1 :19 :52
S7	74 ans	Living as before	Reporter then publisher	1 :31 :14
S8	47 ans	Etincelle /In Life	Before Accounting / Reviewer Actually Help at home	1 :25 :52
S 9	53 ans	The Day After	Coach	37 :58
S 10	48 ans		Patient Association manadger	58 :02
S11	59 ans	Ancient Etincelle	Researcher Inserm	1:30 :00

Table 3
Steps of a pragmatic phenomenological analysis.

Word by word transcription of recordings (verbatim).
A reading using a floating attention, followed by a focussed reading.
Extracting signifying units from the text and grouping these units by themes.
Collating textual and contextual meaningful semiotic elements and their semio-pragmatic characterisation.
A first categorisation through a regrouping of these semiotic elements and of the signifying units in accordance with the research question.
Enriching the categories by continuing comparison until theoretical saturation is reached.
Placing the emerging categories in logical order and reducing them and their properties in order to model the ensemble in integrative semio-pragmatic statements.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Table1.jpg](#)