Untreated dental caries prevalence and impact on the quality of life among 11 to14-year-old Egyptian schoolchildren: A cross-sectional study

Samar Eid

Ministry of health and population, Egypt

Nagwa Khattab

Minia University Faculty of Dentistry

Ahmad Elheeny (✉ ahmed.elheny@yahoo.com)

Faculty of Dentistry Mina University Egypt <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7796-0198>

Research article

Keywords: Adolescent, oral health, dental caries, quality of life

Posted Date: February 27th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.18719/v2>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Oral Health on March 19th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-020-01077-8>.

Abstract

Background This study aimed to assess caries prevalence and experience among 11-14 years, school children, analyze demographic, socioeconomic, personal and professional dental care in relation to untreated carious lesions, and evaluates the effect of decayed teeth on early adolescents' oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL). **Methods** A cross-sectional analytical investigation conducted on 1020 preparatory schoolchildren selected on the basis of a multistage sampling technique. Caries status of the participants detected via recording their caries experience and untreated cavities using DMFT and DT indices. OHRQoL was determined using a validated Arabic CPQ11-14 short-form questionnaire. Statistical methods for descriptive analysis, chi-square test, Independent-Samples T-Test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used. Multi variate level Poisson regression analysis through a hierarchical approach was used to detect the influence of independent variables on DT scores. To declare the association between independent variables and QoL, a step-by-step, multivariate regression analysis was conducted. **Results** The average scores of DMFT and DT in this study were 2.97 ± 1.29 and 1.66 ± 1.24 . Poisson regression analysis demonstrated that early adolescents whose mothers with a lower level of education and of low socioeconomic status were 1.41 and 1.27 times respectively had higher DT scores when compared with their peers. Untreated cavities affected mainly by mother education, school type, family income, and regular dental appointments. Children with $DMFT \leq 3$ or $DT = 0$ recorded a statistically significant lower CPQ11-14 average score ($p \leq 0.01$) and ($p \leq 0.0001$) respectively. **Conclusions** Untreated carious cavities and caries experience were associated with lower socioeconomic, maternal education And less frequent tooth brushing. Untreated carious cavities have a significant negative impact on schoolchildren's QoL.

Background

Dental caries is one of the global health issues in both industrialized and developing communities. However, dental caries incidence and prevalence is more pronounced in developing countries like Egypt [1, 2]. Dental caries is an age-related disease involving about 60-90% of schoolchildren [1, 3]. Caries is an outcome of several interplaying factors such as cultural, social, and political factors which in turn rule the individual behaviors and commitment to preventive oral hygiene measures [4]. Dental caries studying has a raised attention in a critical life-stage like adolescence because caries progression increases at this stage [5]. In addition, at this stage good oral hygiene, proper diet and regular dental check-up behaviors should be enforced. Untreated carious cavities especially when associated with pain may influence children's physical and psychological development as well as school and daily-life achievements [6]. Plenty of studies revealed the association between oral health and adolescent's quality of life (QoL) physical, psychological, social and emotional aspects [7, 8]. One of the tools designed by Jokovic et al. to measure the oral-health related quality of life (OHRQoL) in children is a child perception questionnaire for 11-14 years age group (CPQ₁₁₋₁₄) short form [9].

OHRQoL has a multidimensional structure to measure different aspects of oral health in a subjective way including oral symptoms, functional limitations, emotional and functional well-being [10]. Caries prevalence among Egyptian inhabitation is high [1]. The number of investigations conducted in Egypt especially among the early adolescents age group is limited. Moreover, up to the available data, the relation between decayed teeth and OHRQoL among teenagers Egyptian students is still not clarified.

The current study aimed to (1) assess caries prevalence and experience among 11-14 years schoolchildren, (2) analyze demographic, socioeconomic, personal and professional dental care in relation to untreated carious lesions, (3) evaluate the effect of decayed teeth on early adolescents' OHRQoL.

Methods

1. Design, setting and sampling

This cross-sectional analytical study conducted in City, Upper Egypt between September 2016 and April 2019. The number of children participating in the study was 927, which calculated on the basis of the following formula [11]; $N = (Z_{\alpha/2})^2 s^2 / d^2$, where (N) is the number of participants and (d) is the degree of precision adjusted at 0.05 (5%), and the $Z_{\alpha/2}$ was 1.65. A pilot study conducted before launching the procedures on 56 children aged from 12 to 14 years and the standard deviation (s) of DMFT was 0.92. The total sample size was 1020 children after adding 10% to compensate the drop-off. After obtaining the necessary permissions from the Ministry of Education, the sampling process has been launched. The type of sample adopted in this study was a multistage stratified random sample. The selected strata based on gender (males and females), school type (public, private) and finally the school district (North, South, East and West). Details of sampling procedures illustrated in figure 1.

2. Eligibility criteria

Children aged from 11 to 14 years should fulfill the following criteria; (1) No orthodontic treatment or malocclusion or severe gingival or periodontal diseases. (2) Absence of any systemic, emotional or intellectual disabilities. (3) No emergency dental recall in the last three months reference

3. Study variables

An anonymous questionnaire using a face-to-face interview has been adopted in this study. The questionnaire was divided into 2 principal sections; the first section included the following variables; (1) demographic data; (a) gender and (b) age, (2) socioeconomic data (a) mother's level of education; dichotomized according to the number of education years into ≥ 9 years (greater than secondary and secondary school) and ≤ 9 years (less than secondary school or illiterate), (b) school type; classified into public and private schools, (c) household expenditures which recorded according to the cut-off poverty line in Egypt which is 3.20 US\$ per day and [12, 13], (3) dental self-care and use of dental services; (a) frequency of tooth brushing with fluoridated toothpaste, (b) frequency of fluoridated mouth rinse use. The response of both 'a' and 'b' dichotomized into regular use (frequency is ≥ 1 time per day) and irregular (no tooth brushing or mouth wash use or ≤ 3 times per week), (c) use of dental floss, (d) Do you regularly visit the dentist for regular check-up per year dichotomized into (yes or no). (4) clinical oral examination and recording dental caries status through recording the decayed, missing, filled teeth (DMFT) index for permanent teeth according to data into (≤ 3 and > 3) based on the global target of score three announced by World health organization (WHO) for the year 2000 [14]. Untreated carious cavity scores "i.e. Decayed tooth (DT) index" dichotomized into 0 and ≥ 1 . The second section concerned with evaluating the OHRQoL using a previously validated Arabic version of CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ short-form consisted of 16 questions (4 questions of each domain). The 4 domains assessed firstly, the oral symptoms (OS) included questions about pain in teeth/mouth, bad breath, mouth sore and food caught between teeth. The second domain assessed the functional limitation (FL). For instance, the difficulty in chewing of firm food or saying words, sleepin problems and longer time has been taken to eat a meal. The third domain evaluated the emotional well-being (EW) through questions concerned whether the participants felt upset, shy, frustrated or concerned what people think about his/her teeth. Finally, the social well-being (SW) domain illustrated through 4 questions (teased/called names, avoided smiling/laughing, argued with children/family and not wanted to speak/read loud in class). Response scores graduated on a 4-Likert point scale; (0) never, (1) once or twice, (2) sometimes, (3) often and (4) every day or almost every day. The minimum score was 0 and the maximum score was 64. Another one self-perception question reported by each participant about his/her OHRQoL evaluation [9, 15].

4. Calibration, pilot study, and data collection

Firstly, two dentists with at least two years of residency at the Pediatric and Dental Public Health Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University, trained for two weeks for calibration. The second step was conducting a pilot study on 67 children. The pilot study aimed to determine QoL mean and standard deviation required for sample size calculation and to test the intraexaminer and inter-examiner reliability. The results of the pilot study did not include in the final statistical analysis. Dental caries examination performed at two appointments with one-week interval. The clinical examination carried out using a visual-tactile method using a dental mirror and WHO probe five seconds per dental surface under artificial light use [16].

5. Statistical methods

A Statistical Program Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 has been used for statistical analysis. Data normality was examined and descriptive analysis including frequency tables, chi-square test for categorical variables, Independent-Samples T-Test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ overall score means of independent predictors. The mean/standard deviation, and median/Interquartile range (IQR) of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ different domains were calculated. Univariate Poisson regression analysis was performed to determine the associations between decayed teeth (i.e. outcome variable) and demographic, socioeconomic, dental care and oral health related quality of life self-perception independent predictors. Predictors with a significant level exceeding 0.2 ($p \leq 0.2$) were excluded from the final adjusted multivariate regression model. A conceptual model was released according to a hierarchy approach of determinants and risk factors and it was structured according to the model made by Paula et al. as shown in figure 2 [17]. Predictors categorized into four models Model 1 included gender, Model 2 incorporated model 1 plus socioeconomic variables Model 3 contained Model 2 plus child's dental care and Model 4 implicated model 3 plus child's oral health-related quality of life self-perception.

To declare the association between independent variables and QoL, a step-by-step, multivariate linear regression analysis was performed. The best fit defined by the highest R^2 . The level of significance was 5% (p -value ≤ 0.05) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

Results

Intra and inter-observer reliability; Kappa coefficients (κ) were 0.91 and 0.86 for intra-examiner and inter-examiner reliability respectively. More than two-thirds of participants are from the public. The prevalence of dental caries among school children (DT = 0) was 58.5%. Approximately half of the participants showed caries experience exceeded score three (DMFT $\geq$ 3). The socioeconomic status displayed that more than two thirds of the participants' mothers had at least nine years of education (72.1%) and approximately one half the teenager's family income was below the cut-off point of poverty (46.4%). The majority of the teenagers did not use mouthwash or dental floss (84.8% and 87% respectively). Concerning toothbrushing using a fluoridated tooth paste, only 30.1% of the participants were brushing their teeth on a regular basis (2 times daily). (Table 1).

The average scores of DMFT and DT in this study were 2.97 ± 1.29 and 1.66 ± 1.24 . Caries experience showed no statistically significant difference between males and females. Maternal education, school type as an indicator of economic status, regular toothbrush and professional check-up revealed a significant impact on DMFT and DT scores ($p \leq 0.001$) (Table 2).

Poisson regression analysis demonstrates that early adolescents whom their mothers with a lower level of education and of low socioeconomic status were 1.41 and 1.27 times respectively had higher DT scores when compared with their peers. Untreated cavities affected mainly by the mother education, school type, family income and regular dental appointments (Table 3).

Females report a higher score of CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ means, indicating lower satisfaction with their OHRQoL than male counterparts ($p \leq 0.0001$). Regarding caries status, both caries experience (DMFT $\leq$ 3) and decayed teeth score (DT = 0) record a statistically significant lower CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ average scores ($p \leq 0.01$) and ($p \leq 0.0001$) respectively than those with higher DMFT and DT scores (Table 4).

Oral symptoms domain especially, pain figures out the highest mean scores (11.16 ± 3.58) among CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ different domains. Functional limitations, emotional well-being, and social well-being domains average scores are illustrated in table 5.

Multiple regression analysis showed that untreated carious lesions (DT), socioeconomic status and constant toothbrush explain 64% of the variance in participant's QoL (Table 6).

Discussion

Dental caries may have negative impacts, especially on early adolescence life, through reducing the efficiency of masticatory function and general appearance which is reflected in growth and development as it affects emotional and social health. The current observational cross-sectional study intended to assess the caries experience and its impact on OHRQL among a group of Egyptian school children aged from 12 to 14 years. This age group has been chosen to carry out this study based on the following aspects; (1) caries rate is higher among children [18], (2) this age group is the WHO target group for dental caries global comparison [14]. Data gathered through a face-to-face interviews to eschew data missing, reduce information bias and enhance the accuracy of data [19]. The response rate was 87%, this may be related to the use of anonymous CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ questionnaire which also guarantees the participant's confidentiality.

Although the flood of data concerning the worldwide caries experience at various age groups, it still a significant issue to concern. Up to the available data, studies measuring caries experience among 11- to 14-year-old students were limited. Moreover, this work is leading research in studying the association between caries and OHRQoL among early adolescent Egyptian students. The mean DMFT scores in this study were 2.97 ± 1.29 which within does approximately similar to the DMFT target declared by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2000 [14]. Untreated cavities mean values were higher among girls than boys. This difference may be attributed to the higher commitment of girls toward oral hygiene habits like tooth brushing than boys. This finding was in agreement with prior studies [1, 20]. In the present study, caries experience was higher than that reported by two former studies conducted in a nearly similar Egyptian age group. The first study performed in 2011, on 976 schoolchildren [2]. The average DMFT score was 1.68 ± 1.92 , and this may be assigned to the use of a distinct sampling method which relied on choosing only two public schools (one for boys and the other for girls) from the Giza governorate. In addition, school enrollment of children in Egypt depends fundamentally on geographic distribution. Therefore, the approach might consider children who nearly have similar socioeconomic and parents' educational backgrounds. The DMFT score of the other study published in 2019, was also lesser than the results of the current study (1.68 ± 1.92) [1]. The reason may be due to the difference between the two studies in sample size. Caries prevalence of untreated carious cavities in the present study was 58.5% which was higher than that reported by a pontigo-loyola et al. who reported a caries prevalence of 48.6% among 12 and 15 age children in high altitudes [21]. The difference may be related to the higher community water fluoridation. The findings of skinner et al. published a caries

prevalence of 45% among 14- and 15-year-olds in New South Wales, Australia [22]. In comparison, global DMFT scores reported in other countries showed a wide range of diversity. Some researches revealed lower DMFT scores than the finding of the current research [23-27]. Other research reported nearly similar results [28-30]. While a number of researches recorded higher DMFT scores [14, 31, 32]. This wide range of diversity attributed to the differences in study designs, sample sizes, eating habits and socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds. In the current study, children with lower DMFT and DT scores were belonging to families with higher income as well as education level in comparison to children with high caries experience. This inverse relationship between DMFT scores and both maternal education level and economic status denotes their significant impact on caries experience. Several previous studies confirmed this relationship [33-35]. Lower socioeconomic status might hinder the adherence to preventive interventions such as tooth brushing and regular professional dental examination which consistent with other studies. Moreover, these associations were also evident with untreated carious cavities [36].

Dental caries has a negative impact on the adolescent's QoL. The present study utilized CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ short form which reported by Jokovic et al. to have excellent validity and maintained its multidimensional properties [9]. Direct comparison between the results of this study and the findings of global researches concerned with the impact of caries on OHRQoL may be influenced with the diversity of socioeconomic, traditions and cultural backgrounds. However, it was salutary to consider some of these studies. In the present, oral symptoms especially pain demonstrated the highest mean scores which demonstrated the prominent influence on eating, speaking and sleeping aspects. These were in agreement with the findings of former studies [37, 38]. Untreated carious lesions can lead to what can be called a "vicious cycle" because pain or teeth loss as a result of the carious tooth will reduce the mastication performance due to the difficulty in hard food chewing and subsequently, a shift toward more soft and processed food will take place. This food usually more cariogenic and of little nutritional benefits which will increase the caries progression and subsequent increase in more soft food consumption. Another negative aspect of soft and highly processed foods is their passive effect on children's growth and development [39, 40]. Unfortunately, oral symptoms not the only consequences of pain or missing teeth due to decay in this study, but also, the emotional effect on the participants in this study was announced. This can be explained by the undermining of children's self-esteem due to altered eating, chewing, speaking and social appearance [40, 41]. The current study emphasized the connection between low socioeconomic status and OHRQoL. The education level of mothers and financial status plays a vital role in an individual's lifestyle decisions and adherence to routine preventive measures such as tooth brushing and get access to dental services.

The findings of this study spotlight the importance of adopting strategies aimed to increase awareness about oral health among mothers and school children at this age and emphasize the importance of oral hygiene habits. It is also recommended to reduce socioeconomic inequalities.

The present study has a number of strong features such as the representative sample, high response rate as well as intra-examiner and inter-examiner reliability. All of these points are positively reflected in the study generalization as well as its internal validity. On the other hand, the main weak point in this study was attributed to the cross-sectional design as data collected at one point of time in contrast to the prospective design.

Conclusions

The present study clarifies that more than half of schoolchildren aged from 11- to 14-years showed untreated cavities. This may declare the negative impact of untreated carious lesions and negative QoL. However, caries experience recorded no significant alliance with OHRQoL. Both untreated cavities and caries experience were significantly associated with lower household expenditure, lower maternal education and irregular adherence to tooth brushing habit. Oral symptoms were the chief domains that adversely influencing early adolescent's OHRQoL. Further studies especially the longitudinal study are required to assess the correlation between these different variables and OHRQoL different aspects.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study reviewed and signed by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University (registration number 174/2015). The current study conducted in full accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. All participants or their legal guardians signed the informed consent form before participating in any part of the research

Consent for publication

All authors give the their acceptance for publication of that work in BMC Oral Health

Availability of data and material

Not applicable

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

The search was self-funded and other sources for funding for the research

Authors' contributions

Author EAA was responsible for the research idea, paper writing and formatting and statistical analysis. Author ES was responsible for the clinical aspects of the study and data gathering. Author KN was responsible for revising the work

Acknowledgments

The author is deeply appreciated to all participants and their parents for their full cooperation to conduct this work. In addition, I would like to thank the Paediatric residents AMM and EKM in Paediatric Dentistry Department for their crucial contribution to oral examination and data recording. In addition, the author appreciates all the staff members and the nursing staff at the Paediatric Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University for their help and care of our precious children.

References

1. Abbass MMS, Mahmoud SA, El Moshly S, Rady D, AbuBakr N, Radwan IA, Ahmed A, Abdou A, Al Jawaldeh A: **The prevalence of dental caries among Egyptian children and adolescences and its association with age, socioeconomic status, dietary habits and other risk factors. A cross-sectional study.** *F1000Res* 2019, **8**:8.
2. Mobarak EH, Shabayek MM, Mulder J, Reda AH, Frencken JE: **Caries experience of Egyptian adolescents: does the atraumatic restorative treatment approach offer a solution?** *Med Princ Pract* 2011, **20**(6):545-549.
3. Petersen PE: **The burden of oral disease: challenges to improving oral health in the 21st century.** *Bull World Health Organ* 2005, **83**(1):3.
4. Ortiz AS, Tomazoni F, Knorst JK, Ardenghi TM: **Influence of socioeconomic inequalities on levels of dental caries in adolescents: A cohort study.** *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2019.
5. Merdad L, El-Housseiny AA: **Do children's previous dental experience and fear affect their perceived oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL)?** *BMC Oral Health* 2017, **17**(1):47.
6. Mota-Veloso I, Soares ME, Alencar BM, Marques LS, Ramos-Jorge ML, Ramos-Jorge J: **Impact of untreated dental caries and its clinical consequences on the oral health-related quality of life of schoolchildren aged 8-10 years.** *Qual Life Res* 2016, **25**(1):193-199.
7. Akesson ML, Warnberg Gerdin E, Soderstrom U, Lindahl B, Johansson I: **Health-related quality of life and prospective caries development.** *BMC Oral Health* 2016, **16**:15.
8. Kastenbom L, Falsen A, Larsson P, Sunnegardh-Gronberg K, Davidson T: **Costs and health-related quality of life in relation to caries.** *BMC Oral Health* 2019, **19**(1):187.
9. Jokovic A, Locker D, Guyatt G: **Short forms of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire for 11-14-year-old children (CPQ11-14): development and initial evaluation.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2006, **4**:4.
10. Sischo L, Broder HL: **Oral health-related quality of life: what, why, how, and future implications.** *J Dent Res* 2011, **90**(11):1264-1270.
11. Suresh K, Chandrashekara S: **Sample size estimation and power analysis for clinical research studies.** *J Hum Reprod Sci* 2012, **5**(1):7-13.
12. Fosu AK: **Inequality, income, and poverty: comparative global evidence.** *Soc Sci Q* 2010, **91**(5):1432-1446.
13. Mowafi M, Khadr Z, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, Hill A, Bennett GG: **Socioeconomic status and obesity in Cairo, Egypt: a heavy burden for all.** *J Epidemiol Glob Health* 2014, **4**(1):13-21.

14. Al-Darwish M, El Ansari W, Bener A: **Prevalence of dental caries among 12-14 year old children in Qatar.** *Saudi Dent J* 2014, **26**(3):115-125.
15. Brown A, Al-Khayal Z: **Validity and reliability of the Arabic translation of the child oral-health-related quality of life questionnaire (CPQ11-14) in Saudi Arabia.** *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2006, **16**(6):405-411.
16. Assaf AV, Meneghim Mde C, Zanin L, Mialhe FL, Pereira AC, Ambrosano GM: **Assessment of different methods for diagnosing dental caries in epidemiological surveys.** *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2004, **32**(6):418-425.
17. Paula JS, Ambrosano GM, Mialhe FL: **The impact of social determinants on schoolchildren's oral health in Brazil.** *Braz Oral Res* 2015, **29**:1-9.
18. Clinical Affairs Committee AAoPD: **Guideline on Adolescent Oral Health Care.** *Pediatr Dent* 2015, **37**(5):49-56.
19. Khattab NMA, Elheeny AAH, Tony GA: **Oral-cancer knowledge, practice, and attitude assessment of dentists in Upper Egypt: A cross-sectional study.** *Clin Exp Dent Res* 2019, **5**(2):121-127.
20. Mamai-Homata E, Koletsi-Kounari H, Margaritis V: **Gender differences in oral health status and behavior of Greek dental students: A meta-analysis of 1981, 2000, and 2010 data.** *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent* 2016, **6**(1):60-68.
21. Pontigo-Loyola AP, Medina-Solis CE, Borges-Yanez SA, Patino-Marin N, Islas-Marquez A, Maupome G: **Prevalence and severity of dental caries in adolescents aged 12 and 15 living in communities with various fluoride concentrations.** *J Public Health Dent* 2007, **67**(1):8-13.
22. Skinner J, Johnson G, Phelan C, Blinkhorn A: **Dental caries in 14- and 15-year-olds in New South Wales, Australia.** *BMC Public Health* 2013, **13**:1060.
23. Christian B, Ummer-Christian R, Blinkhorn A, Hegde V, Nandakumar K, Marino R, Chattopadhyay A: **An epidemiological study of dental caries and associated factors among children residing in orphanages in Kerala, India: Health in Orphanages Project (HOPE).** *Int Dent J* 2019, **69**(2):113-118.
24. Nurelhuda NM, Trovik TA, Ali RW, Ahmed MF: **Oral health status of 12-year-old school children in Khartoum state, the Sudan; a school-based survey.** *BMC Oral Health* 2009, **9**:15.
25. Ahmed NA, Astrom AN, Skaug N, Petersen PE: **Dental caries prevalence and risk factors among 12-year old schoolchildren from Baghdad, Iraq: a post-war survey.** *Int Dent J* 2007, **57**(1):36-44.
26. Masood M, Yusof N, Hassan MI, Jaafar N: **Longitudinal study of dental caries increment in Malaysian school children: a 5-year cohort study.** *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2014, **26**(3):260-267.
27. Campus G, Cagetti MG, Senna A, Sacco G, Strohmenger L, Petersen PE: **Caries prevalence and need for dental care in 13-18-year-olds in the Municipality of Milan, Italy.** *Community Dent Health* 2008, **25**(4):237-242.
28. Jamelli SR, Rodrigues CS, de Lira PI: **Nutritional status and prevalence of dental caries among 12-year-old children at public schools: a case-control study.** *Oral Health Prev Dent* 2010, **8**(1):77-84.
29. Pakpour AH, Hidarnia A, Hajizadeh E, Kumar S, Harrison AP: **The status of dental caries and related factors in a sample of Iranian adolescents.** *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2011, **16**(6):e822-827.
30. Al-Mutawa SA, Shyama M, Al-Duwairi Y, Soparkar P: **Dental caries experience of Kuwaiti schoolchildren.** *Community Dent Health* 2006, **23**(1):31-36.
31. Delgado-Angulo EK, Hobdell MH, Bernabe E: **Poverty, social exclusion and dental caries of 12-year-old children: a cross-sectional study in Lima, Peru.** *BMC Oral Health* 2009, **9**:16.
32. Hysi D, Caglar E, Droboniku E, Toti C, Kuscu OO: **Dental caries experience among Albanian pre-school children: a national survey.** *Community Dent Health* 2017, **34**(1):46-49.
33. Oliveira LB, Sheiham A, Bonecker M: **Exploring the association of dental caries with social factors and nutritional status in Brazilian preschool children.** *Eur J Oral Sci* 2008, **116**(1):37-43.
34. Pattussi MP, Marcenes W, Croucher R, Sheiham A: **Social deprivation, income inequality, social cohesion and dental caries in Brazilian school children.** *Soc Sci Med* 2001, **53**(7):915-925.
35. Piovesan C, Mendes FM, Antunes JL, Ardenghi TM: **Inequalities in the distribution of dental caries among 12-year-old Brazilian schoolchildren.** *Braz Oral Res* 2011, **25**(1):69-75.
36. Polk DE, Weyant RJ, Manz MC: **Socioeconomic factors in adolescents' oral health: are they mediated by oral hygiene behaviors or preventive interventions?** *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2010, **38**(1):1-9.

37. Bastos RS, Carvalho ES, Xavier A, Caldana ML, Bastos JR, Lauris JR: **Dental caries related to quality of life in two Brazilian adolescent groups: a cross-sectional randomised study.** *Int Dent J* 2012, **62**(3):137-143.
38. Jurgensen N, Petersen PE: **Oral health and the impact of socio-behavioural factors in a cross sectional survey of 12-year old school children in Laos.** *BMC Oral Health* 2009, **9**:29.
39. Lima SLA, Santana CCP, Paschoal MAB, Paiva SM, Ferreira MC: **Impact of untreated dental caries on the quality of life of Brazilian children: population-based study.** *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2018, **28**(4):390-399.
40. Gilchrist F, Marshman Z, Deery C, Rodd HD: **The impact of dental caries on children and young people: what they have to say?** *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2015, **25**(5):327-338.
41. Kaur P, Singh S, Mathur A, Makkar DK, Aggarwal VP, Batra M, Sharma A, Goyal N: **Impact of Dental Disorders and its Influence on Self Esteem Levels among Adolescents.** *J Clin Diagn Res* 2017, **11**(4):ZC05-ZC08.

Tables

Table 1. Frequency of demographic, socioeconomic, dental care, relation caries experience (DMFT) and decayed teeth (DT)

Predictors	N (%)
Gender	
Male	575(56.4)
Female	445(43.6)
Age (years)	
12	323(31.6)
13	299(29.3)
14	398(39.1)
School type	
Public	721(70.7)
Private	299(29.3)
Mother education (years)	
≥9	735(72.1)
<9	285(27.9)
Household expenditure	
≤3.20\$/day	547(53.6)
>3.20\$/day	473(46.4)
Tooth brushing frequency	
Irregular	713(69.9)
Regular	307(30.1)
Mouth washing frequency	
Irregular	865(84.8)
Regular	155(15.2)
Dental appointment per year	
No	671(65.8)
Yes	349(34.2)
Dental floss use	
No	887(87.0)
Yes	133(13.0)
DMFT	
≤3	513(50.3)
>3	507(49.7)
DT	
0	423(41.5)
≥1	597(58.5)

Table 2. Frequency of demographic, socioeconomic, dental care, in relation caries experience (DMFT) and untreated decayed teeth (DT)

tors	DT		<i>p-value*</i>	DMFT		<i>p-value *</i>
	DT=0 N(%)	DT≥1 N(%)		DMFT≤3 N(%)	DMFT>3 N(%)	
r	104(10.2)	471(46.1)	0.0001	326(32)	249(24.4)	0.53
3	187(18.3)	258(25.3)		261(25.6)	184(18)	
ears)	106(10.4)	217(21.3)	0.06	191(18.7)	132(12.9)	0.29
	88(8.6)	211(20.7)		179(17.5)	120(11.8)	
	99(9.7)	299(29.3)		217(21.3)	181(17.7)	
type	136(13.3)	585(57.4)	0.0001	213(20.9)	508(49.8)	0.001
,	155(15.2)	141(13.8)		179(17.5)	120(11.8)	
r education (years)	423(41.5)	312(30.6)	0.0001	513(50.3)	182(17.8) 115(11.3)	0.0001
	85(8.3)	200(19.6)		170(16.7)		
hold expenditure /day	255(25)	292(28.6)	0.01	323(31.7)	224(22)	0.29
\$/day	134(13.1)	339(33.2)		264(25.9)	209(20.5)	
brushing frequency	125(12.3)	588(57.6)	0.0001	330(32.4)	383(37.5)	0.0001
lar	176(17.3)	131(12.8)		204(20)	103(10.1)	
ir						
washing frequency	268(26.3)	686(67.3)	0.24	358(35.1)	507(49.7)	0.34
lar	23(2.3)	43(4.2)		93(9.1)	62(6.1)	
ir						
appointment per year	136(13.3)	535(52.5)	0.0001	267(26.2)	404(39.6)	0.0001
	196(19.2)	153(15)		214(20.1)	135(13.2)	
floss use	260(28.4)	597(58.5)	0.07	372(36.5)	515(50.5)	0.39
	30(2.9)	103(10.1)		72(7.1)	61(5.9)	

*Chi-square test

Table 3. Poisson analysis for decayed teeth (DT) in relation to demographic, socioeconomic and dental care

Predictors	Univariate analysis		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	Unadjusted PR 95% CI	<i>p</i> - <i>value</i>	Adjusted PR 95% CI	<i>p</i> - <i>value</i>	Adjusted PR 95% CI	<i>p</i> - <i>value</i>	Adjusted PR 95% CI	<i>p</i> - <i>value</i>	Adjusted PR 95% CI	<i>p</i> - <i>value</i>
Gender										
Male	1.07(0.98;1.14)	0.17	0.94(0.80;1.11)	0.47	1.07(0.86;1.18)	0.11	0.99(0.84;1.16)	0.90	1.06(0.98;1.14)	0.15
Female	1		1		1		1		1	
Mother education (years)	1.21(1.06;1.24)	0.002			1.58(1.27;1.95)	0.0001	1.56(1.25;1.95)	0.0001	1.41(1.14;1.74)	0.006
<9	1				1		1		1	
≥9										
School type										
Public	1.14(1.09;1.29)	0.01			1.34(1.09;1.64)	0.006	1.48(1.18;1.85)	0.001	1.27(1.17;1.38)	0.02
Private	1				1		1		1	
Household expenditure	1.16(1.06;1.19)	0.02			1.21(1.03;1.33)	0.018	1.23(1.11;1.39)	0.01	1.22(1.12;1.49)	0.04
<3.20\$/day	1				1		1		1	
≥3.20\$ /day										
Tooth brushing	1.21(1.06;1.49)	0.05					1.02(0.75;1.15)	0.51	1.14(0.80;1.84)	0.46
Irregular	1						1		1	
Regular										
Dental appointments	1.11(1.05;1.23)	0.03					1.20(1.04;1.29)	0.04	1.25(1.16;1.46)	0.004
No	1						1		1	
Yes										
Mouth wash	1.03(0.79;1.33)	0.85					1.08(0.89;1.94)	0.14	1.18(0.89;1.84)	0.19
Use	1						1		1	
No										
Yes										
Dental floss	1.07(0.70;1.22)	0.30					1.12(0.91;1.28)	0.48	1.05(0.8;1.36)	0.73
Use	1						1		1	
No										
Yes										

PR: Prevalence Ratio

Table 4. Mean of Decayed, Missed, Filled (DMFT) index and decayed teeth (DT) scores in relation to demographic, socioeconomic, dental care, relation

Predictors	CPQ ₁₁₋₁₄ Mean (SD) scores	<i>p-value</i> *
Gender		
Male	38.07(12.60)	0.0001
Female	42.20(10.49)	
Age (years)		
12	40.96(12.14)	0.13
13	42.82(9.64)	
14	41.32(12.66)	
School type		
Public	44.64(8.28)	0.0001
Private	30.16(12.18)	
Mother education (years)		
≥9	40.46(11.67)	0.014
<9	38.13(11.50)	
Household expenditure		
>3.20\$/day	36.36(13.26)	0.0001
≤3.20\$/day	45.06(6.94)	
Tooth brushing frequency		
Irregular	41.73(11)	0.0001
Regular	35.33(12.56)	
Mouth washing frequency		
Irregular	40.79(11.46)	0.0001
Regular	34.77(12.68)	
Dental appointment per year		
No	43.40(9.41)	0.0001
Yes	34.67(13.23)	
Dental floss use		
No	40.65(11.55)	0.076
Yes	38.73(12.10)	
DMFT		
≤3	34.05(12.46)	0.002
>3	42.22(10.14)	
DT		
0	27.66(12.76)	0.0001
≥1	45.48(5.81)	

*Independent-Sample T test; One Way (ANOVA)

Table 5. Perceived oral health related quality of life (OHRQoL) based on the scores of child perception questionnaire CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ domains

Q ₁₁₋₁₄ domains	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Cronbach's alpha
Oral symptoms (OS)	11.16±3.58	12(4.75)	0.71
Functional limitations (FL)	10.45±3.25	11(5)	0.67
Emotional well-being (EW)	10.15±3.42	11(5)	0.61
Social well-being (SW)	9.75±2.98	10(3)	0.67
Overall score	42.40±11.63	43(11.75)	0.84

Table 6. Multiple regression analysis of factors influencing oral health related quality of life (OHRQoL) in 11 to 14 years school children

redictors	β	95% CI	<i>p-value</i>	Adjusted R ²
tep 1				
Gender	0.18	2.75;5.58	0.0001	0.04
Age (years)	-0.83	-1.67; -0.26	0.007	
tep 2				
Gender	0.08	0.68;2.98	0.002	0.38
Age (years)	-0.02	-0.82;0.31	0.38	
Household expenditure	0.16	2.30;5.01	0.0001	
School type	-0.54	-15.34;-12.38	0.0001	
Mother education	-0.23	-8.42;-5.30	0.0001	
tep 3				
Gender	0.08	0.75;3.05	0.001	0.4
Age (years)	-0.2	-0.79;0.33	0.43	
Household expenditure	0.14	1.99;4.67	0.0001	
School type	-0.5	-14.26;-11.04	0.0001	
Mother education	-0.22	-8.18;-5.04	0.0001	
Mouth washing frequency	-0.05	-5.24;0.16	0.07	
Tooth brushing frequency	-0.11	-1.59;0.56	0.0001	
Dental appointment	-0.07	-3.15;-0.21	0.025	
Dental floss use	-0.07	-2.21;-0.17	0.014	
tep 4				
Gender	-0.03	-1.54;0.28	0.17	0.64
Age (years)	-0.03	-0.77;0.09	0.12	
Household expenditure	0.07	0.62;2.70	0.002	
School type	-0.38	-10.97;-8.45	0.0001	
Mother education	-0.17	-6.36;-3.93	0.0001	
Mouth washing frequency	-0.04	-3.89;0.28	0.09	
Tooth brushing frequency	-0.07	-1.05;-0.26	0.001	
Dental appointment	-0.08	-1.58;0.73	0.47	
Dental floss use	-0.05	-1.53;1.44	0.95	
DMFT	0.02	-0.34;1.45	0.23	
DT	0.54	12.88;15.03	0.0001	

Figures

Figure 1

Multistage sampling procedures

Figure 2

A conceptual model was released according to a hierarchy approach of determinants and risk factors and it was structured according to the model made by Paula et al.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [STROBEchecklistv4combined.pdf](#)