Prediction of micro milling force and surface roughness considering size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill

Du Yicong

Shandong University

Qinghua Song (✉ ssinghua@sdu.edu.cn)

Shandong University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9792-9988>

Liu Zhanqiang

Shandong University

Research Article

Keywords: Size-dependent vibration, cutting edge trajectory, micro milling force, surface roughness

Posted Date: September 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-937675/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Prediction of micro milling force and surface roughness considering size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill

Yicong Du^a, Qinghua Song^{a,b*}, Zhanqiang Liu^{a,b}

^a Key Laboratory of High Efficiency and Clean Mechanical Manufacture, Ministry of Education, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shandong University, P.R. China

^b National Demonstration Center for Experimental Mechanical Engineering Education, Shandong University, P.R. China

* Corresponding author: ssinghua@sdu.edu.cn (Q. Song), Tel/Fax: +86-(0)531-88392045

Email: duyicong@sdu.edu.cn (Y. Du), ssinghua@sdu.edu.cn (Q. Song), melius@sdu.edu.cn (Z. Liu)

Abstract

When the characteristic structure size of the component is at the micron level, the internal crystal grains, grain boundaries and pore defects of the component material with the same size at the micron level cannot be ignored, so the micro-sized component will show different physical properties from the macro-sized component, which is called size effect. Since the tool diameter of micro-end mill is in the micron level, the micro-end mill will also show a significant size effect phenomenon. In addition, in micro milling process, because the surface roughness that affects the performance and service life of micro parts is mainly influenced by the vibration of micro-end mill, in order to enhance the machined surface quality, it is crucial to research the formation mechanism of surface topography in micro milling process. In this paper, a comprehensive method is proposed to predict micro-end mill vibration, micro milling force and surface roughness. At first, a size-dependent dynamic model of micro-end mill is presented based on the strain gradient elasticity theory (SGET). Secondly, considering the feedback of micro-end mill vibration, the micro milling force model is presented and solved through iterative method. Then the machined surface topography is simulated through the actual cutting edge trajectory considering the micro-end mill size-dependent vibration and material elastic recovery. The results show that the vibration of the micro-end mill will increase the micro milling force and surface roughness. In order to verify the accuracy and efficiency of the presented method, experiments are performed, and it is found that the experimental results are consistent with

the predicted results.

Keywords: Size-dependent vibration; cutting edge trajectory; micro milling force; surface roughness

1 Introduction

Micro products with micron level feature size and complex shapes is widely used in the fields of aerospace, defense science and biomedical science [1], and micro milling with the advantages of high machining precision and strong three-dimensional machining capability is the main process for processing micro products [2],[3]. Due to the limitation of feature size and complex shapes, some post-processing methods like precision grinding and polishing, cannot be used to achieve a better surface quality. As a consequence, the surface roughness that affects the micro parts performance and service life is the most important index for evaluating the micro milling performance [4]. In order to obtain the required surface quality, the revealing of the surface topography formation mechanism and the accurate prediction of surface roughness in micro milling process is an urgent problem to be solved.

The research on predicting micro milling surface roughness has attracted the attention of many scholars and a number of models and methods have been presented to predict the surface roughness. BP neural network [5], with strong non-linear mapping ability, can establish a high accurate surface roughness prediction model considering multi factors, while depending on the experience of designer. Support vector machine [6], using inner product kernel function to replace nonlinear mapping to high dimensional space, has short calculation time and high accuracy. Grey relational analysis [7], as a typical non-statistical theory, can determine the correlation between surface roughness and machining parameters from a small number of samples, however, it has low prediction accuracy. The cutting edge trajectory method [8], which simulate the surface roughness using the actual cutting edge trajectory, is a directly and effective method and can consider many factors affecting surface roughness. Therefore, the cutting edge trajectory method has been used by many scholars to study surface roughness. Li [9] presented a trajectory-based surface roughness model in which the tool wear, minimum chip thickness and micro tool geometry are considered. Yuan [10] proposed a surface roughness model considering the combined effects of the run-out, the minimum chip thickness, the trajectory of the tool tip, and the tool geometry.

Unlike macro-milling, the machining mechanism of micro milling has changed. For example, the cutting edge has an edge radius rather than an absolute sharp edge and chip formation occurs only when the uncut chip thickness is larger than a value which is called minimum chip thickness [11],[12]. In addition, the surface roughness is more susceptible to many factors like micro-end mill vibration,

micro-end mill runout than macro milling [13],[14]. Kiha [15] researched the effect of chip load, cutting speed and cutting depth on surface roughness through experiments and investigated the influence of micro-end mill runout on surface roughness. Chen [16] proposed a surface generation model in which the effect of micro-end mill runout and minimum chip thickness are taken into account. Huo [17] researched the influence of micro-end mill deflection on surface generation in micro milling based on numerous iterations method and found that the predicted side wall profile of the slot is a curve rather than a straight line due to the micro-end mill deflection.

Scholars have systematically researched the machining mechanism of micro milling, the factors affecting the surface roughness, and the micro milling surface roughness prediction method. However, the size effect phenomenon [18],[19] of micro-end mill is ignored. When the characteristic structure size of the component is at the micron level, the internal crystal grains, grain boundaries and pore defects of the component material with the same size at the micron level cannot be ignored, so the micro-sized component will show different physical properties from the macro-sized component. This is the so-called size effect. In order to satisfy the required machining accuracy, the selected micro-end mill diameter is decreasing and recently the micro-end mill with a diameter of 10 μ m has been commercialized. Therefore, the micro-end mill will show the phenomenon of size effect. Because of lacking intrinsic length scale, the classical continuum theory fails to predict such size dependent phenomenon [20]. During the past several years, some new high-order continuum theories have been developed and employed. The modified couple stress theory (MCST) proposed by Yang [21] and the strain gradient elasticity theory (SGET) proposed by Lam [22]. are the most widely used methods. In the modified couple stress theory, the rotation gradient tensor is considered and one material length scale parameter is contained in the constitutive equation, while in the strain gradient elasticity theory, rotation gradient tensor, stretch gradient tensor and dilatation gradient tensor are considered and three material length scale parameters are contained in the constitutive equation. Since the vibration of micro-end mill is an important factor affecting the surface roughness in micro milling process, an accurate vibration model considering the size effect is the basis for predicting the surface roughness accurately.

In order to obtain the required micro milling force and surface roughness, a comprehensive micro milling force prediction model and surface roughness prediction model considering size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill is proposed. The organizational of the paper is as follows: Section 2

proposes the mechanistic models of micro milling, including micro-end mill size-dependent vibration model, iterative micro milling force model and surface roughness prediction model. And the steps for the establishment of this model is shown in Fig.1. Section 3 reveals the influences of size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill on micro milling force and surface roughness through numerical calculation and analysis. Section 4 verifies the accuracy of the proposed model through experiments. Section 5 concludes the contributions.

Fig.1 Diagram of organizational structure

2 Mechanistic model

2.1 Size-dependent vibration model of micro-end mill

The geometric parameters of micro-end mill include shank diameter (d_s), tool diameter (d_t),

equivalent tool diameter (d_t') that is 68% of (d_t) [25], taper angle (γ), total length (L) and tool length (L_t), as shown in Fig.2. In micro milling process, the tool diameter of micro-end mill is usually less than 100 μm , which is significantly smaller than the size of the workpiece, as a consequence, the micro-end mill is generally considered to be flexible while the workpiece is considered to be rigid. Due to the small tool diameter, the mechanical properties of micro-end mill have obvious size effect phenomenon. And therefore, the micro-end mill produces significant size-dependent vibration under micro milling force. Because of the uneven distribution of micro milling force along z direction, the size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill along z -direction is inconsistent and irregular, that is, the size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill has a significant position-dependent phenomenon.

Fig.2 Micro-end mill model

To describe the size effect of material mechanical properties, the strain gradient elasticity theory (SGET) is utilized to model the micro-end mill vibration. Considering the shear deformations and rotary inertia effects, the micro-end mill is assumed as a Timoshenko beam model. With the Hamilton's principle, the governing equations of micro-end mill without considering damping are derived,

$$\begin{aligned} (k_4 + k_5) \frac{d^4 w}{dz^4} + (-2k_4 + k_5) \frac{d^3 \varphi}{dz^3} - k_6 \left(\frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} - \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right) + \rho A(z) \frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} &= q(z) \\ (k_1 + k_3) \frac{d^4 \varphi}{dz^4} - (-2k_4 + k_5) \frac{d^3 w}{dz^3} - (EI + k_2 + 4k_4 + k_5) \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} - k_6 \left(\frac{dw}{dz} - \varphi \right) + \rho I(z) \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dt^2} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where w is the lateral displacement. φ is the rotation angle of cross-section with respect to the vertical direction. A and I , which are functions of length (z), are the area of cross-section and the inertia

moment of micro-end mill, respectively. ρ is the density of micro-end mill. $q(z)$ is the distributed micro milling force along z direction. k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5 and k_6 are coefficients which can be written as follows:

$$k_1 = 2\mu l_0^2 I, \quad k_2 = 2\mu A l_0^2, \quad k_3 = \frac{4}{5} \mu l_1^2 I, \quad k_4 = \frac{8}{15} \mu A l_1^2, \quad k_5 = \frac{1}{4} \mu A l_2^2, \quad k_6 = k_s \mu A \quad (2)$$

here, k and μ are the bulk modulus and shear modulus, respectively. l_0, l_1 and l_2 are the material length scale parameters. k_s is the shear coefficient.

Fig.3 FE model of micro-end mill

In order to solve the size-dependent and position-dependent vibration of micro-end mill, the finite element method (FEM) is utilized in this paper. The micro-end mill is divided into m Timoshenko beam elements, as shown in Fig.3. Each node of the element has a lateral deflection degrees of freedom and a rotation degrees of freedom. According to weighted-residual method, the final weak statements of Eq.(1) over an element (z_a, z_b) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \int_{z_a}^{z_b} & \left[(k_4 + k_5) \frac{d^2 v_1}{dz^2} \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + (-2k_4 + k_5) \frac{d^2 v_1}{dz^2} \frac{d\varphi}{dz} + k_6 \frac{dv_1}{dz} \frac{dw}{dz} - k_6 \frac{dv_1}{dz} \varphi + v_1 \rho A \frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} - v_1 q \right] dz \\ & - v_1'(z_a) Q_1 - v_1(z_a) Q_3 - v_1'(z_b) Q_5 - v_1(z_b) Q_7 \\ 0 = \int_{z_a}^{z_b} & \left[(k_1 + k_3) \frac{d^2 v_2}{dz^2} \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} + (-2k_4 + k_5) \frac{dv_2}{dz} \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + (EI + k_2 + 4k_4 + k_5) \frac{dv_2}{dz} \frac{d\varphi}{dz} - \right. \\ & \left. k_6 v_2 \left(\frac{dw}{dz} - \varphi \right) + v_2 \rho I \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dt^2} \right] dz \\ & - v_2(z_a) Q_2 - v_2'(z_a) Q_4 - v_2(z_b) Q_6 - v_2'(z_b) Q_8 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where Q_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 8$) are parameters that can expressed in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= - \left[(k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + (-2k_3 + k_4) \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right]_{z=z_a} = -M(z_a) \\
Q_2 &= \left[k_1 \frac{d^3 \varphi}{dz^3} - (-2k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} - (k_2 + 4k_3 + k_4) \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right]_{z=z_a} = Q^h(z_a) \\
Q_3 &= \left[(k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^3 w}{dz^3} + (-2k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} - k_5 \left(\frac{dw}{dz} - \varphi \right) \right]_{z=z_a} = V(z_a) \\
Q_4 &= - \left[k_1 \frac{dv_2}{dz} \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} \right]_{z=z_a} = -M^h(z_a) \\
Q_5 &= \left[(k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + (-2k_3 + k_4) \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right]_{z=z_b} = M(z_b) \\
Q_6 &= - \left[k_1 \frac{d^3 \varphi}{dz^3} - (-2k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} - (k_2 + 4k_3 + k_4) \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right]_{z=z_b} = -Q^h(z_b) \\
Q_7 &= - \left[(k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^3 w}{dz^3} + (-2k_3 + k_4) \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} - k_5 \left(\frac{dw}{dz} - \varphi \right) \right]_{z=z_b} = -V(z_b) \\
Q_8 &= \left[k_1 \frac{dv_2}{dz} \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} \right]_{z=z_b} = M^h(z_b)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Assuming that w and φ is interpolated by the following forms

$$w(z, t_s) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^e(t_s) \psi_j^{(1)}(z), \quad \varphi(z, t_s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^e(t_s) \psi_j^{(2)}(z) \tag{5}$$

Substituting $v_1 = \psi_i^{(1)}(z)$, $v_2 = \psi_i^{(2)}(z)$ and Eq. (5) into Eq.(3), the element stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}^e$, element mass matrix $\mathbf{M}^e$ and element force matrix $\mathbf{F}^e$ are obtained as follows,

$$\mathbf{K}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{11}^e & \mathbf{K}_{12}^e \\ \mathbf{K}_{21}^e & \mathbf{K}_{22}^e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{11}^e & \mathbf{M}_{12}^e \\ \mathbf{M}_{21}^e & \mathbf{M}_{22}^e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 \\ \mathbf{F}_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K}_{11}^e &= \int_{z_a}^{z_b} k_6 \frac{d\psi_i^{(1)}}{dz} \frac{d\psi_j^{(1)}}{dz} dz + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} k_4 \frac{d^2 \psi_i^{(1)}}{dz^2} \frac{d^2 \psi_j^{(1)}}{dz^2} dz + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} k_5 \frac{d^2 \psi_i^{(1)}}{dz^2} \frac{d^2 \psi_j^{(1)}}{dz^2} dz \\
\mathbf{K}_{12}^e &= \mathbf{K}_{21}^e = \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(-k_6 \frac{d\psi_i^{(1)}}{dz} \psi_j^{(2)} \right) dz + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left((-2k_4) \frac{d^2 \psi_i^{(1)}}{dz^2} \frac{d\psi_j^{(2)}}{dz} \right) dz + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} k_5 \frac{d^2 \psi_i^{(1)}}{dz^2} \frac{d\psi_j^{(2)}}{dz} dz \\
\mathbf{K}_{22}^e &= \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(EI \frac{d\psi_i^{(2)}}{dz} \frac{d\psi_j^{(2)}}{dz} + k_6 \psi_i^{(2)} \psi_j^{(2)} \right) dz + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(k_1 \frac{d^2 \psi_i^{(2)}}{dz^2} \frac{d^2 \psi_j^{(2)}}{dz^2} + k_2 \frac{d\psi_i^{(2)}}{dz} \frac{d\psi_j^{(2)}}{dz} \right) dz \\
&\quad + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(k_3 \frac{d^2 \psi_i^{(2)}}{dz^2} \frac{d^2 \psi_j^{(2)}}{dz^2} + 4k_4 \frac{d\psi_i^{(2)}}{dz} \frac{d\psi_j^{(2)}}{dz} \right) dz + \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(k_5 \frac{d\psi_i^{(2)}}{dz} \frac{d\psi_j^{(2)}}{dz} \right) dz
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{11}^e = \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(\rho A \psi_i^{(1)} \psi_j^{(1)} \right) dz, \mathbf{M}_{12}^e = \mathbf{M}_{21}^e = 0, \mathbf{M}_{22}^e = \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \left(\rho I \psi_i^{(2)} \psi_j^{(2)} \right) dz \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_1^e = \int_{z_a}^{z_b} q \psi_i^{(1)} dz + Q_{2i-1}, \mathbf{F}_2^e = Q_{2i} \quad (9)$$

It can be seen from Eq.(7) that the stiffness matrix of micro-end mill derived from the SGET contains the contribution of dilatation gradient tensor, deviatoric stretch gradient tensor and symmetric part of the rotation gradient tensor to stiffness matrix. As a result, the stiffness of micro-end mill will increase when size effect is considered.

In order to overcome the shear locking phenomenon, the interpolation functions of $\psi_i^{(1)}$ and $\psi_i^{(2)}$ are selected as cubic polynomial and quadratic polynomial, respectively. Then the element stiffness matrix, element mass matrix and element force matrix are assembled to obtain the overall stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}$, mass matrix $\mathbf{M}$ and force matrix $\mathbf{F}$, respectively. Therefore, the dynamic equation of the micro-end mill system can be obtained as Eq.(10).

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F} \quad (10)$$

And then, the size-dependent vibration of each point of micro-end mill in the z -direction can be obtained by the Newmark scheme [26].

2.2 Iterative prediction model of micro milling force

Different with macro milling process, the uncut chip thickness is comparable to the cutting edge radius in micro milling process. When the actual uncut chip thickness h_c is larger than a critical chip thickness value, the micro milling mechanism is dominated by the shear effect. However, when the actual uncut chip thickness h_c is less than the critical chip thickness value, the chip formation does not occur and the micro milling mechanism is dominated by the ploughing effect. The critical chip thickness value is defined as minimum chip thickness $h_{\min}$ [23]. Therefore, the micro milling force is divided into two parts: shearing-dominant force ($h_c > h_{\min}$) and ploughing-dominant force ($h_c \leq h_{\min}$), as shown in Eq.(11). Due to the small vibration of micro-end mill in axial direction, the micro milling force in z -direction is not studied in this paper.

$$\begin{bmatrix} dF_x \\ dF_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & -\cos \varphi \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} K_{tc} h_c + K_{te} \\ K_{rc} h_c + K_{re} \end{bmatrix} dz, & h_c > h_{\min} \\ \begin{bmatrix} K_{tp} A_p + K_{te} \\ K_{rp} A_p + K_{re} \end{bmatrix} dz, & h_c \leq h_{\min} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where φ is the immersion angle related to time t ; A_p is the ploughed area that can be calculated with

reference to Ref.[29]. K_{tc} , K_{rc} are the radial and tangential cutting coefficients, respectively; K_{tp} , K_{rp} are the radial and tangential ploughing coefficients, respectively; K_{te} and K_{re} are the radial and tangential edge coefficients, respectively.

Fig.4 Actual uncut chip thickness considering micro-end mill vibration

From Eq.(11), it can be seen that the micro milling force is closely related to the uncut chip thickness h_c which is defined as the distance between the current cutting edge trajectory and the previous cutting edge trajectory. In macro milling process, the uncut chip thickness can be modeled as $h_c = f_z \sin \phi$ (f_z is the feed per tooth). However, in micro milling process, due to the micro-end mill vibration and runout, the cutting edge trajectory is not a regular cycloid, as shown in Fig.4. Therefore, the traditional uncut chip thickness model is no long suitable for micro milling process. In this paper, an uncut chip thickness model based on the actual cutting edge trajectory is presented. As shown in Fig.4(b), the actual uncut chip thickness h_c can be defined as the distance between P -point and Q -point. That is,

$$h_c = \sqrt{[x_P(t_1) - x_Q(t_2)]^2 + [y_P(t_1) - y_Q(t_2)]^2} \quad (12)$$

here, t_1 and t_2 denote the time when cutting edge passes through P -point and Q -point, respectively.

It can be found from Eq.(12) that the determination of P -point and Q -point coordinates is the key to obtaining the actual uncut chip thickness. The coordinates of P -point and Q -point can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} x_P(t_1) = f \cdot t_1 + R \sin \left(\varphi_0 + \omega t_1 + j \frac{2\pi}{N_z} - \frac{z \tan \lambda_s}{R} \right) + x_v(t_1) + x_d(t_1) \\ y_P(t_1) = R \cos \left(\varphi_0 + \omega t_1 + j \frac{2\pi}{N_z} - \frac{z \tan \lambda_s}{R} \right) + y_v(t_1) + y_d(t_1) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{cases} x_Q(t_2) = f \cdot t_2 + R_t \sin\left(\varphi_0 + \omega t_2 + (j-1)\frac{2\pi}{N_z} - \frac{z \tan \lambda_s}{R_t}\right) + x_v(t_2) + x_d(t_2) \\ y_Q(t_2) = R_t \cos\left(\varphi_0 + \omega t_2 + (j-1)\frac{2\pi}{N_z} - \frac{z \tan \lambda_s}{R_t}\right) + y_v(t_2) + y_d(t_2) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where f is the feed speed, R_t represents the tool part radius of micro-end mill, (x_v, y_v) denotes the deviation of micro-end mill vibration, (x_d, y_d) denotes the deviation of micro-end mill runout, φ_0 is the initial immersion angle, ω is the angular velocity of the spindle, j is the index of tool flutes, N_z is the number of tool flutes, z is the axial depth, λ_s is the helix angle.

As t_1 is the current time, the coordinates of P -point can be calculated according to Eq.(13). As shown in Fig.4(b), P -point, Q -point and O -point are on the same line, the following equation should be satisfied. As a consequence, the coordinates of Q -point can be obtained through Newton-Raphson method.

$$\tan \varphi(t_1) - \frac{x_P(t_1) - x_Q(t_2)}{y_P(t_1) - y_Q(t_2)} = 0 \quad (15)$$

The micro-end mill vibration is caused by the micro milling force, however, the vibration of the micro-end mill will affects the actual uncut chip thickness, which will in turn affects the micro milling force. In this paper, the micro milling force considering micro-end mill vibration feedback is researched. The flow chart is shown in Fig.5.

Fig.5 Flow chart for calculating micro milling force considering micro-end mill vibration feedback

2.3 Surface roughness prediction model

The surface topography is formed by the relative movement of micro-end mill and workpiece, and is related to the residual height generated by cutting edge trajectory, as shown in Fig.6. Therefore, the machined surface topography can be simulated by the cutting edge trajectory.

Due to the minimum uncut chip thickness, the generation of surface roughness in micro milling process is divided into two types: when the actual uncut chip thickness is larger than the minimum uncut chip thickness, the workpiece material is cut by micro-end mill to form chip, and the machined surface topography can be described by the cutting edge trajectory; when the actual uncut chip thickness is less than the minimum uncut chip thickness, the workpiece undergoes extrusion deformation and partial elastic recovery without chip generation. Therefore, the modified surface topography can be modified as

$$\begin{cases}
x(t) = f \cdot t + R_t \sin \varphi + x_v(t) + x_d(t) \\
y(t) = R_t \cos \varphi + y_v(t) + y_d(t)
\end{cases} , h_c > h_{\min}$$

$$\begin{cases}
x(t) = f \cdot t + R_t \sin \varphi + x_v(t) + x_d(t) + P_e h_c \sin \varphi \\
y(t) = R_t \cos \varphi + y_v(t) + y_d(t) + P_e h_c \cos \varphi
\end{cases} , h_c \leq h_{\min}$$
(16)

here P_e is the recovery rate.

Fig.6 Formation principle of surface topography

Based on the actual cutting edge trajectory, the simulating procedure for surface roughness is stated as follows:

Step 1: Determine the geometric and physical parameters of micro-end mill, the micro-end mill runout parameters, the cutting parameters;

Step 2: Mesh the micro-end mill and use the finite element method to obtain the stiffness matrix, mass matrix and force matrix of micro-end mill;

Step 3: For t time and j cutting edge, calculate the uncut chip thickness and micro milling force F ;

Step 4: Calculate the instantaneous deflection of micro-end mill under micro milling force, obtain the modified cutting edge trajectory, and then obtain the uncut chip thickness and calculate the modified micro milling force F^0 ;

Step 5: If $|(F-F^0)/F^0| \leq 0.001$, record the micro milling force at t time and record the coordinates of the cutting edge trajectory. Otherwise, repeat step 4;

Step 6: Compare time t with total time t_m , if $t \leq t_m$, repeat steps 3 to 5. Otherwise, compare the

vertical coordinate values of the cutting edge trajectory in the same horizon coordinate values, store the coordinate with the small vertical coordinate values, and simulate the surface topography.

3 Numerical results and discussions

In this section, two two-edged micro-end mills with diameter of 10 μm and 100 μm , and the tool length is 1.5 times of the tool diameter are selected. The specific geometric properties and physical properties of the micro-end mills are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Table 1 Geometric properties of micro-end mill

No.	Tool diameter d_t (mm)	Tool length l_t (mm)	Taper angle γ ($^\circ$)	Helix angel β ($^\circ$)	Shank diameter d_s (mm)	Total length L (mm)
I	0.01	0.015	30	30	4	30
II	0.1	0.15	30	30	4	30

Table 2 Physical properties of micro-end mill

Physical parameters	Value
density ρ	14900 kg/m ³
Young's modul E	630 GPa
Possion ratio ν	0.22
Shear deflection coefficient ks	0.9

Table 3 Mechanistic cutting coefficients

K_{tc} (N/m ²)	K_{rc} (N/m ²)	K_{tp} (N/m ³)	K_{rp} (N/m ³)	K_{te} (N/m)	K_{re} (N/m)
4.13×10 ⁹	2.70×10 ⁹	7.90×10 ¹¹	1.21×10 ¹¹	1.90×10 ³	1.30×10 ³

Down side milling is adopted in this numerical simulation and the cutting coefficients [23] is shown in Table 3. The cutting parameters are shown as follows: spindle speed $n=10000$ rpm, feed per tooth f_z is set as 10% of the tool diameter d_t , axial cutting depth a_e is set as 40% of the tool length l_t , radial cutting depth a_r is set as 40% of the tool diameter d_t . In order to save the calculation time and guarantee calculation accuracy at the same time, according to grid independence analysis, the number of elements for tool part, taper part and shank part is 100, 500 and 100, respectively. Without loss of generality, the material length scale parameters (l_0 , l_1 and l_2) are all equal to 10 μm [27],[28].

3.1 Investigation of size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill

In order to reveal the influences of size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill on micro milling force and surface roughness, the influence of size effect on micro-end mill vibration should be researched first. Fig.7 shows the tool tip vibration of micro-end mill with different tool diameters under the action of simulated micro milling force considering size-dependent vibration feedback, in which the red line and blue line represent the vibrations calculated based on the strain gradient elasticity theory (SGET) and classical theory (CT), respectively. The simulated micro milling forces are discussed in detail in Section 3.2.

Fig.7 Vibrations of micro-end mill (— SGET; - - - CT)

It can be seen from Fig.7(a) that when the tool diameter is 10 μm and the tool length is 15 μm, the tool tip vibration amplitude in x -direction calculated by SGET is about 0.25 μm, and the tool tip vibration amplitude of micro end mill in x -direction calculated by CT is about 1.25 μm. It can be easily concluded that the vibration amplitude of micro-end mill in x -direction calculated using CT is 5 times larger than that calculated using SGET. It can also be seen from Fig.7(a) that the simulated micro milling force in y -direction is greater than that in x -direction, which is caused by the difference between the x -direction and y -direction micro milling forces (as shown in Fig.9). However, the law

of size-dependent vibration in x -direction, that is, the vibration amplitude calculated using CT is 5 times larger than that calculated using SGET, is also true in y -direction. This is because the micro-end mill is a symmetrical structure, the stiffness matrix and mass matrix are both symmetrical matrices, and the size effect is caused by change of the stiffness and mass matrix, which is independent of the micro milling force.

As shown in Fig.7(b), when the tool diameter is $100\ \mu\text{m}$ and the tool length is $150\ \mu\text{m}$, the phenomenon does not appear, that is, the vibration amplitude of the micro-end mill predicted by CT is exactly the same as that predicted by SGET. This is consistent with the conclusion in the literature[20] that the size effect will only appear when the size is less than or equal to the material length scale parameters.

3.2 Effect of micro-end mill vibration on micro milling force

Considering the size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill, the uncut chip thickness and micro milling force are simulated and shown in Fig.8 and Fig.9, in which subscripts SGET, CT and NV represent the results predicted by SGET, the results predicted by CT, and the results predicted without considering vibration, respectively.

Fig.8 Uncut chip thickness (— h_{SGET} ; - - - h_{CT} ; — h_{NV})

When the micro-end mill vibrates, the actual cutting edge trajectory deviates from the theoretical cutting edge trajectory (as shown in Fig.4), resulting in the predicted uncut chip thickness considering micro-end mill vibration is obviously larger than that without considering micro-end mill vibration. In addition, it can be found from Fig.8 that the exit angle of the tool decreases due to the vibration of micro-end mill. And it also can be seen that the uncut chip thickness changes unevenly over time due to the vibration of the micro-end mill, which will cause sudden changes in the milling

force and reduce tool life.

(a) $d_t=10\mu\text{m}$, $l_t=15\mu\text{m}$

(b) $d_t=100\mu\text{m}$, $l_t=150\mu\text{m}$

Fig.9 Micro milling force (— F_{SGET} ; - - - F_{CT} ; — F_{NV})

Fig.9 is the predicted micro milling force, where F_{SGET} represents the predicted micro milling force considering size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill, F_{CT} represents the predicted micro milling force considering traditional vibration of micro-end mill, and F_{NV} represents the predicted micro milling force without considering micro-end mill vibration. It can be seen from Fig.9 that due to the vibration of micro-end mill, the uncut chip thickness increases, which further leads to the increase of micro milling force. When the size effect is considered, the vibration of micro-end mill decreases and the micro milling force decreases. In addition, considering the vibration of micro-end mill, the change of micro milling force is unsmooth.

3.3 Effect of micro-end mill vibration on surface topography

Fig.10 shows the three-dimensional and two-dimensional drawings of the surface topography (ST) simulation results. It can be seen from Fig.10 that the machined surface quality becomes worse and the surface roughness increases when considering the micro-end mill vibration. When the

diameter of the tool head is $10\mu\text{m}$, because the maximum micro-end mill vibration amplitude considering size effect is decreased, the predicted surface roughness considering size effect is smaller than that without considering size effect. When the diameter of the tool head is $100\mu\text{m}$, because the influence of size effect is no longer obvious, the predicted surface roughness considering size effect is consistent with that without considering size effect.

(a-1)

(a-2)

(a-3)

(a-4)

(a) $d_t=10\mu\text{m}$, $l_t=15\mu\text{m}$ (a-1 and a-2 represent the 3D predicted results based on SGET and CT; a-3 represent 3D predicted results without considering vibration; a-4 represents 2D predicted results)

(b-1)

(b-2)

(b-3)

(b-4)

(b) $d_t=100\mu\text{m}$, $l_t=150\mu\text{m}$ (b-1 and b-2 represent the 3D predicted results based on SGET and CT; b-3 represent 3D predicted results without considering vibration; b-4 represents 2D predicted results)

Fig.10 Predicted results of surface topography(— ST_{SGET} ; - - - ST_{CT} ; — ST_{NV})

4 Experiments validation

In order to validate the accuracy of the micro milling force and surface roughness prediction models established above, a micro milling machining experiment is carried out on a self-assembled micro milling machine with a maximum spindle speed of 125,000rpm. The experiment set-up is shown in Fig.11. A multi component dynamometer (Kistler 9109AA) is fixed on the worktable to measure the micro milling force in the micro milling process. Since there is currently no suitable micro-end mill with tool diameter of less than $30\mu\text{m}$ on the market for experiments, the micro-end mill manufactured by NS TOOL with tool diameter of $30\mu\text{m}$ is selected in this experiment. The micro-end mill is fixed on the machine tool spindle, adjusting the suspended length of micro-end mill equals to 30mm. The aluminum alloy 7075 workpiece with a length of 50mm, a width of 20mm, and a height of 20mm is mounted on the dynamometer.

Fig.11 Experiment set-up

In this experiment, the machining method of side milling and up milling are used. Before the micro milling, an end mill with tool diameter of 1mm is used for rough machining to ensure the flatness and levelness of the workpiece surface. The cutting parameters are shown as follows: spindle speed $n=10000\text{rpm}$, feed per tooth $f_z=1\mu\text{m}/z$, radial cutting depth $a_r=12\mu\text{m}$, axial cutting depth $a_e=18\mu\text{m}$. A dial indicator is used to measure the runout of micro-end mill and it is found that the runout is less than $1\mu\text{m}$, so the impact of tool runout is ignored in this research.

4.1 Experimental and simulation micro-milling force

In micro flank milling process, the lateral vibration of micro-end mill mainly affects the micro-milling force in x - and y -direction, and has little effect on the micro-milling force in the z -direction. Therefore, the micro-milling force in z -direction is not studied in this section. Fig.12 shows the experimental and simulation instantaneous micro milling forces in x - and y -direction. It can be seen that the predicted micro milling forces using the proposed method agree well with the experimental results. If the micro-end mill vibration is not considered, the predicted micro milling force is smaller than the experimental results, and the two results are quite different. The predicted micro milling force considering micro-end mill vibration is twice that without considering micro-end mill vibration.

Fig.12 Simulated and experimentally determined micro milling forces in x -axis and y -axis

(— Predicted results by the proposed method; - - - Experimental results; — Predicted results without considering micro-end mill vibration)

4.2 Experimental and simulation surface roughness

In order to verify the correctness of the surface roughness prediction model proposed in this paper, the surface topography measured by micro milling experiment is compared with the surface topography simulated based on the surface roughness prediction model proposed in this paper and the surface topography predicted without considering the micro-end mill vibration, as shown in

Figure 13. It can be seen that the surface topography simulated by the proposed method in this paper is highly consistent with the experimental results. The simulated surface roughness without considering the micro-end mill vibration is obviously smaller than the experimental results. It can be concluded that the vibration of micro-end mill will increase the surface roughness and worsen the surface quality. In order to improve the quality of micro milling, the vibration of micro-end mill should be restrained.

(a) Experimental results (3D and 2D surface topography)

(b) Predicted results by the proposed method (3D and 2D surface topography)

(c) Predicted results without considering micro-end mill vibration (3D and 2D surface topography)

Fig.13 Experimental and predicted results of surface topography

5 Conclusions

Based on the strain gradient elasticity theory, a size-dependent vibration model of micro-end mill is developed. And then, considering the size-dependent vibration of micro-end mill in micro milling process, the prediction model of micro milling force and surface roughness is presented. Through numerical analysis and experimental validation, the following conclusions can be obtained

from the present work.

(1) The size effect improves the stiffness of micro-end mill, so the vibration amplitude of micro-end mill calculated by strain gradient elasticity theory is lower than that calculated by traditional theory.

(2) The vibration of micro-end mill will cause the actual cutting edge trajectory to deviate from the predetermined trajectory, increase the uncut chip thickness and make the uncut chip thickness change unstably, which in turn leads to the increase and instability of micro milling force.

(3) Due to the sharp change of the actual cutting edge trajectory, the residual height generated by the actual cutting edge trajectory increases, that is, the surface roughness increases.

(4) The micro milling force and surface topography predicted by the presented method are in good agreement with experimental results.

Declarations

Funding This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 51875320, 51922066) and the Natural Science Outstanding Youth Fund of Shandong Province (grant number ZR2019JQ19). This work was also supported by grants from Taishan Scholar Foundation (grant number TS20130922).

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Availability of data and material All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Code availability Not applicable.

Authors' contributions Yicong Du and Qinghau Song contributed the central idea, analyzed most of the data, and wrote the initial draft of the paper. The remaining authors contributed to refining the ideas, carrying out additional analyses, and finalizing this paper.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

References

[1] Yoon HS, Ehmann KF. Dynamics and stability of micro-cutting operations. *International Journal*

of Mechanical Sciences, 2016, 115-116:81-92.

- [2] Romoli L. Flattening of surface roughness in ultrashort pulsed laser micro-milling. *Precision Engineering*, 2018, 51:331-337.
- [3] Oliaei SNB, Karpas Y. Built-up edge effects on process outputs of titanium alloy micro milling. *Precision Engineering*, 2017,49:305-315.
- [4] Chen Y, Sun R, Gao Y, Leopold J. A nested-ANN prediction model for surface roughness considering the effects of cutting forces and tool vibrations. *Measurement*, 2017, 98:25-34.
- [5] Lu X, Jia Z, Wang H, Si L, Wang X. Surface roughness prediction model of micro-milling Inconel 718 with consideration of tool wear. *International Journal of Nanomanufacturing*, 2016, 12(1):93.
- [6] Lu X, Hu X, Wang H, Si L, Liu Y. Research on the prediction model of micro-milling surface roughness of Inconel718 based on SVM. *Industrial Lubrication & Tribology*, 2016, 68(2):206-211.
- [7] Meng H, Li X. Grey relational analysis for impact factors of micro-milling surface roughness. *International Conference on Electronic Measurement & Instruments*, 2016:109-113.
- [8] Costes JP, Moreau V. Surface roughness prediction in milling based on tool displacements. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 2011, 13(2):133-140.
- [9] Li H, Lai X, Li C, Feng J, Ni J. Modelling and experimental analysis of the effects of tool wear, minimum chip thickness and micro tool geometry on the surface roughness in micro-end-milling. 2008, 18(2):1-52.
- [10] Yuan Y, Jing X, Ehmann KF, Zhang D. Surface roughness modeling in micro end-milling. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2018(95):1655-1664.
- [11] Chen N, Chen M, Wu C, Pei X. Cutting surface quality analysis in micro ball end-milling of KDP crystal considering size effect and minimum chip thickness. *Precision Engineering*, 2017, 50:410-420.
- [12] Zhang X, Yu T, Wang W. Prediction of cutting forces and instantaneous tool deflection in micro end milling by considering tool run-out. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 2018, 136:124-133.
- [13] Rodríguez P, Labarga JE. Tool deflection model for micromilling processes. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2015, 76(1-4):199-207.
- [14] Jing X, Tian Y, Yuan Y, Wang F. A runout measuring method using modeling and simulation

cutting force in micro end-milling. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2017, 91(9-12):4191-4201.

- [15] Kiha L, David A. A Study of surface roughness in the micro-end-milling process. *Laboratory for Manufacturing & Sustainability*, 2004.
- [16] Chen W, Huo D, Teng X, Sun Y. Surface Generation Modelling for Micro end Milling Considering the Minimum Chip Thickness and Tool Runout. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017, 58:364-369.
- [17] Huo D, Chen W, Teng X, Lin C, Yang K. Modeling the influence of tool deflection on cutting force and surface generation in micro-milling. *Micromachines*, 2017, 8:188.
- [18] Sadeghian H, Goosen H, Bossche A, Thijsse B, Leulen FV. On the size-dependent elasticity of silicon nanocantilevers: impact of defects. *Journal of Physics D Applied Physics*, 2011, 44:072001.
- [19] Liu D, He Y, Tang X, Ding H, Hu P, Cao P. Size effects in the torsion of microscale copper wires: Experiment and analysis. *Scripta Materialia*, 2012, 66:406-409.
- [20] Wang B, Zhao J, Zhou S. A micro scale Timoshenko beam model based on strain gradient elasticity theory. *European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids*, 2009, 29(4): 591-599.
- [21] Yang F, Chong ACM, Lam DCC, Tong P. Couple stress based strain gradient elasticity theory for elasticity. *International Journal of Solids & Structures*, 2002, 39(10): 2731-2743.
- [22] Lam DCC, Yang F, Chong ACM, Wang J, Tong P. Experiments and theory in strain gradient elasticity. *Journal of the Mechanics & Physics of Solids*, 2003, 51(8): 1477-1508.
- [23] Song Q, Liu Z, Shi Z. Chatter stability for micromilling processes with flat end mill. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2014, 71(5-8):1159-1174.
- [24] Zhang X, Ehmann K F, Yu T, Wang W. Cutting forces in micro-end-milling processes. *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture*, 2016, 107:21-40.
- [25] Singh K K, Singh R. Chatter stability prediction in high-speed micromilling of Ti6Al4V via finite element based microend mill dynamics. *Advances in Manufacturing*, 2018:1-12.
- [26] Reddy JN. An introduction to the finite element method. Avenue of the Americas, New York: GcGraw-Hill, 2006.
- [27] Voyiadjis GZ, Almasri AH. Variable material length scale associated with nanoindentation experiments. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 2009, 135(3): 139-148.
- [28] Song JR, Liu JY, Wei YG. A kind of physics interpretations of material length scales. *Advances*

in Heterogeneous Material Mechanics 3rd International Conference on Heterogeneous Material Mechanics, Shanghai, China, DEStech Publication, Inc. 2011, 341-347.

- [29]Zhang X, Ehmann KF, Yu T, Wang W. Cutting forces in micro-end-milling processes. International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 2016, 107:21-40.