Joint Modeling of Repeated Measurements of Different Biomarkers Predicts Mortality in COVID-19 Patients in the Intensive Care Unit.

Kirby Tong-Minh (✉ k.tong-minh@erasmusmc.nl)

Erasmus Medical Centre: Erasmus MC <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8373-0011>

Yuri van der Does

Erasmus MC

Joost van Rosmalen

Erasmus MC

Christian Ramakers

Erasmus MC

Diederik Gommers

Erasmus MC

Eric van Gorp

Erasmus MC

Dimitris Rizopoulos

Erasmus MC

Henrik Endeman

Erasmus MC

Research

Keywords: COVID-19, repeated measurements, join models, prediction model, intensive care unit, biomarkers

Posted Date: October 12th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-944704/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Predicting disease severity is important for treatment decisions in patients with COVID-19 in the intensive care unit (ICU). Different biomarkers have been investigated in COVID-19 as predictor of mortality, including C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR). Using repeated measurements in a prediction model may result in a more accurate risk prediction than the use of single point measurements. The goal of this study is to investigate the predictive value of trends in repeated measurements of CRP, PCT, IL-6 and suPAR on mortality in patients admitted to the ICU with COVID-19.

Methods

This was a retrospective single center cohort study. Patients were included if they tested positive on SARS-CoV-2 by PCR test and if IL-6, PCT, suPAR was measured during any of the ICU admission days. There were no exclusion criteria for this study. We used joint models to predict ICU-mortality. This analysis was done using the framework of joint models for longitudinal and survival data. The reported hazard ratios express the relative change in the risk of death resulting from a doubling or 20% increase of the biomarker's value in a day compared to no change in the same period.

Results

A total of 107 patients were included, of which 26 died during ICU admission. Adjusted for sex and age, a doubling in the next day in either levels of PCT, IL-6 and suPAR was significantly predictive of in-hospital mortality with and an HR of 1.523 (1.012 – 6.540), 75.25 (1.116 – 6247) and 24.45 (1.696 – 1057) respectively. With a 20% increase in biomarker value in a subsequent day, the HR of PCT, IL-6 and suPAR were 1.117 (1.03 – 1.639), 3.116 (1.029 – 9.963) and 2.319 (1.149 – 6.243) respectively.

Conclusion

Joint models for the analysis of repeated measurements of PCT, suPAR and IL-6 are a useful method for predicting mortality in COVID-19 patients in the ICU. Patients with an increasing trend of biomarker levels in consecutive days are at increased risk for mortality.

Background

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by the novel Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) was declared a pandemic on the 11th of March 2020 by the World Health Organization¹. Approximately a third of the patients with COVID-19 require treatment at an intensive care unit (ICU) when they develop acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)^{2,3}. To manage hospital capacities, while providing the best care possible for as many patients, patient triage and information of prognosis of individual patients is

required. Predicting disease severity is important for treatment decisions, especially when ICU capacity is limited by the overwhelming amount of admissions⁴.

Multiple predictors of mortality in COVID-19 patient have been studied since the start of the pandemic⁵. These vary from routinely measured vital parameters and laboratory tests, demographic data to experimental biomarkers. Different biomarkers have been investigated in COVID-19, including C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR)^{6–9}. These biomarkers are involved in different inflammatory pathways and are elevated in different kind of infections and have also been incorporated in different prediction models of disease severity or mortality^{10, 11}.

The majority of the previously studied prediction models are developed and validated using single measurements, even though many parameters are measured daily in ICU patients. When biomarkers levels rise or fall over time, this data can be used to predict disease progression and ultimately mortality¹². However, these changed over time in biomarkers are rarely studied in prognostic studies. Using repeated measurements in a prediction model may result in a more accurate risk prediction than the use of single point measurements.¹³

The goal of this study is to investigate the predictive value of repeated measurements of different biomarkers on mortality in patients admitted to the ICU with COVID-19.

Methods

This study was a retrospective single center cohort study. We included patients admitted to the ICU of Erasmus University Medical Center, in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, with a confirmed COVID-19 infection between 1 March 2020 and 30 April 2020. Erasmus University Medical Center had an ICU capacity of 72 beds during the COVID-19 pandemic. The institutional review board waived informed consent for the retrospective use of clinical data of COVID-19 patients.

Inclusion And Exclusion Criteria

Patients were included if they tested positive on SARS-CoV-2 by PCR test and if IL-6, PCT, suPAR was measured during any of the ICU admission days. There were no exclusion criteria for this study.

Data Collection

Patient data including demographics, body mass index and comorbidities were collected from the day of admission to the ICU. Biomarker data was recorded from every day as long as the patient was admitted to the ICU. Patients were followed up until discharge from the ICU or in-hospital death.

Primary Outcome

Primary outcome

The primary outcome of this study was ICU-mortality.

Biomarker Measurements

In every patient, blood was drawn daily at 06.00 AM for laboratory testing. PCT was measured using E801 Elecsys BRAHMS PCT reagent and IL-6 was measured using E801 Elecsys IL-6 reagent, both on a COBAS 8000 (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland). SuPAR was measured using a turbidimetric assay (Virogates, Denmark) on a COBAS 6000 (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland). The values of these biomarkers were reported in the electronic patient records and available to the treating physician in the ICU.

Statistical analysis

Normally distributed variables were reported as mean with standard deviation (SD), non-normally distributed variables as median with interquartile range (IQR). Differences in dichotomous variables between the survivors and the non-survivors were analyzed with chi-square tests. Differences in continuous variables were analyzed using an independent samples t-test for normally distributed data and a Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed data.

For the baseline predictors age, sex and body mass index (BMI) we presented standard Cox regression model analysis and Kaplan-Meier curves for the survival function.

Following, we continued in the analysis of the longitudinally measured biomarkers. This analysis was done using the framework of joint models for longitudinal and survival data. These models combine a linear mixed-effects model per biomarker that describes the patient-specific longitudinal trajectories. These estimated trajectories are then put in a Cox model for the time-to-death, also correcting for age and sex. Many of the biomarkers have limits of detection (either from above or below), and skewed distributions. To accommodate for these features, we used linear mixed models that account for censoring, and we transformed the biomarkers' values using the logarithmic transformation with base 2. This means that the reported hazard ratios (HRs) express the relative change in the risk of death resulting from a doubling of the biomarker's value in a day compared to no change in the same period. Due to a limited detection limit of suPAR and IL-6, we also calculated the HR for mortality when biomarkers increased by 20% in the next day. We used splines in the fixed and random effects parts for biomarkers with nonlinear shapes of the patient-specific longitudinal trajectories.

Statistical analyses were performed using 'R' version 4.0.5. For joint modeling the package JMbayes2 version 0.1-6 was used.

Results

Between 1st of March 2020 and 30th of April 2020, a total of 110 patients were admitted to the ICU with a confirmed COVID-19 infection. PCT, IL-6 or suPAR were measured in 107 of these patients. These 107 patients were included in the final analysis. In total, 26 patients died during ICU admission. There was missing data in BMI in 1 patient (0.9%)

Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. There was no significant difference between survivors and non-survivors in sex, BMI or any of the comorbidities. Non-survivors were significantly older than survivors. The Kaplan-Meier curve for survival is shown in Figure 1.

In a Cox regression model including age and gender, the HR of age for in-hospital mortality was 1.036 (1.001 – 1.072) and that of female sex was 0.344 (0.105 – 1.131). We saw that the effect of BMI was weak and removed it from subsequent analysis.

There were a total of 1336 PCT measurements in 92 patients, 811 suPAR measurements in 92 patients and 1286 IL-6 measurements in 91 patients.

The HRs of PCT, IL-6, suPAR and CRP are shown in Table 2. Adjusted for sex and age, a doubling in the next day in either levels of PCT, IL-6 and suPAR was significantly predictive of in-hospital mortality with and an HR of 1.523 (1.012 – 6.540), 75.25 (1.116 – 6247) and 24.45 (1.696 – 1057) respectively.

With a 20% increase in biomarker value, the HR of PCT, IL-6 and suPAR were 1.117 (1.03 – 1.639), 3.116 (1.029 – 9.963) and 2.319 (1.149 – 6.243) respectively. A doubling of CRP levels was no significant predictor of in-hospital mortality with an HR of 14.55 (0.21 – 1518) and neither was a 20% increase of CRP with a HR of 2.022 (0.663 – 6.867).

Table 1
baseline characteristics

Patient characteristics		All patients	Survivors	Non-survivors	p-value
		n=107	n= 81	n=26	
Gender: male	n (%)	79 (73.8)	57 (70.4)	22 (84.6)	0.238
Age	median (IQR)	64 (16)	61 (16)	68 (18.5)	0.067
BMI	mean (SD)	29.1 (7.0)	29.1 (6.5)	29.3 (8.3)	0.866
Comorbidity: pulmonary disease	n (%)	20 (18.6)	16 (19.8)	4 (15.4)	0.835
Comorbidity: Cardiovascular disease	n (%)	46 (43.0)	33 (40.7)	13 (50.0)	0.407
Comorbidity: Diabetes Mellitus	n (%)	29 (27.1)	24 (29.6)	5 (19.2)	0.432
Comorbidity: Malignancy	n (%)	8 (7.5)	5 (6.2)	3 (11.5)	0.634
Comorbidity: Renal disease	n (%)	3 (2.8)	1 (1.2)	2 (7.7)	0.292

BMI: Body Mass Index,

Table 2
Hazard ratios on mortality of different biomarkers

	HR	2.5%	97.5%	P-value
Procalcitonin				
Age	1.032	0.9344	1.129	0.4501
Gender: female	0.5344	0.08998	3.521	0.4165
Two fold increase	1.523	1.012	6.54	0.03253
20% increase	1.117	1.003	1.639	0.03253
suPAR				
Age	1.021	0.9432	1.152	0.689
Gender: female	0.3688	0.01645	2.732	0.344
Two fold increase	24.46	1.696	1057	0.007067
20% increase	2.319	1.149	6.243	0.007067
IL-6				
Age	1.037	0.9761	1.115	0.2858
Gender: female	0.366	0.05727	1.537	0.2111
Two fold increase	75.24	1.116	6247	0.0444
20% increase	3.116	1.029	9.963	0.0444
CRP				
Age	1.049	0.9879	1.123	0.1295
Gender: female	0.5067	0.1095	1.756	0.335
Two fold increase	14.55	0.21	1518	0.2305
20% increase	2.022	0.6633	6.867	0.2305

HR: Hazard Ratio, suPAR: soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor, IL-6: interleukin-6, CRP: C-reactive protein

Discussion

In this exploratory study we investigated the trend in repeated measurements of different biomarkers of disease severity and inflammation for predicting ICU mortality in COVID-19 patients. We found that when IL-6, suPAR or PCT double or rise with 20% in a subsequent day that this is predictive of in-hospital

mortality. These findings confirm that these biomarkers are predictors of disease severity, and add that a rising trend in these biomarker values predicts mortality in the ICU in COVID-19 patients.

In clinical practice, trends and changes in biomarkers are used daily to monitor a patient's status and to evaluate if a disease of the patient is progressing or resolving¹⁴. However, the actual effect or prognostic value of a certain rise in biomarkers is often unknown and rarely investigated in clinical studies. Our study shows how joint models can be translated to data that can be used in daily clinical practice. We showed that a trend, such as a doubling of 20% increase, in biomarkers predicts mortality, which may help physicians identify patients that require more intensive treatment, especially when ICU capacity is stressed due to a pandemic.

The analysis of daily repeated measurements to investigate the relation of a trend in time with a survival outcome requires appropriate statistical methods to correctly interpret the data. In contrast to a cross-sectional design or single point measurement, a regular Cox or logistic regression analysis cannot be used. Joint models allow the simultaneous modelling of a longitudinal outcome such as a daily biomarker measurement in the ICU, and a time-to-event outcome, which was ICU mortality in this study¹⁵.

We chose to investigate suPAR, IL-6 and PCT because these biomarkers are derived from different inflammatory pathways. They have previously been investigated in COVID-19 patients as single measurements⁶. SuPAR is a general marker of disease severity and has shown to be elevated in different kinds of infections¹⁶. SuPAR at admission is a predictor of severe complications¹⁷. However, no studies have been done investigating the predictive value of suPAR in ICU patients with COVID-19. Although we found that a rise in suPAR is predictive of mortality, translating these results to clinical practice may be challenging. SuPAR was already elevated in all patients at admission. The detection limit of suPAR was 25 ng/mL, resulting in 29% of the measurements above the detection limit. The range of detection of suPAR is therefore too narrow for severely ill patients, such as COVID-19 patients. The role of IL-6 in COVID-19 patients has been investigated extensively, because selective inhibition of IL-6 may improve survival¹⁸. In a study by Gorham et al., the use of repeated measurements of IL-6 was investigated. Even though this study used daily measurements of IL-6, the authors only used the changes between predetermined time points and admission. The strength of our study is that we showed that a rise in biomarker level in a following day, no matter which admission day, predicts mortality. PCT has previously been investigated as bacterial marker. Currently, its main role in the ICU is to aid the clinical decision to start or stop antibiotic treatment¹⁹. In COVID-19 patients, PCT may aid in identifying patients with bacterial coinfections⁷. Several studies showed that PCT is also a marker of disease severity^{8,9}. Our findings support that PCT is a biomarker of disease severity, although we did not correct for bacterial coinfections in our patients.

The research field in prediction models is shifting towards the use of more advanced technological models, such as machine learning for processing large amounts of data²⁰. Using repeating measurements allows for more personalized medicine²¹. Certain biomarkers, such as suPAR, can be elevated in chronic

condition like kidney diseases and malignancies^{22, 23}. Therefore, when the absolute value is already elevated at admission, it is more informative to look at relative changes in time, which contributes to more personalized medicine. The use of repeated measurements to predict certain outcomes in the ICU is in itself a well-known concept. A study by Lu et al. used linear mixed-effects sub-models in COVID-19 patients to predict mortality using repeated SpO₂/FiO₂ ratios and showed that unit decrease in the ratio corresponded to 1.82-fold increase in mortality risk²⁴. Our study shows that the method of joint models is feasible in the ICU where laboratory data are collected daily and vital parameters are continuously monitored and recorded²⁵. Future studies should incorporate these continuously measured parameters in combination with biomarkers, which could result in a more accurate mortality prediction when more predictors are used.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. Because it is an explorative and retrospective study to investigate the concept of using repeated measurements, the study used a convenience sample of all COVID-19 patients who were admitted to the ICU in the spring of 2020. The mortality rate was relatively low with 26 patients who died. Therefore, the findings of this study are at risk of overfitting. Although these findings need to be validated in a larger cohort, they do show that the use of joint models in longitudinal data is a feasible method for the prediction of mortality in ICU patients. Furthermore, the biomarkers that were investigated in this study were prospectively measured and available to the treating physicians. The outcomes of the study may therefore be biased when physicians used these biomarkers for monitoring or clinical decision making.

Conclusion

Joint models for the analysis of repeated measurements of PCT, suPAR and IL-6 are a useful method for predicting mortality in COVID-19 patients in the ICU. Patients with an increasing trend of biomarker levels in consecutive days are at increased risk for mortality.

Abbreviations

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19)

SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2

ICU: Intensive care unit

ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome

CRP: C-reactive protein

PCT: Procalcitonin

IL-6: Interleukin-6

SuPAR: Soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor

SD: Standard deviation

BMI: Body mass index (BMI)

HR: hazard ratio

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The retrospective use of data of COVID-19 patients was waived by the institutional review board of Erasmus University Medical Center.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This manuscript was not funded by any funding body.

Authors' contributions

KTM, JR, DR, HE were involved in the conception or design of the manuscript. KTM, JR and DR did the analysis and interpretation of the data. KTM drafted the manuscript. KTM, YD, JR, HR, CR, EG, DG and HE were involved in the critical revision of the manuscript and final approval of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

No applicable.

References

1. World Health O. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19-11 March 2020. Geneva, Switzerland; 2020.
2. Grasselli G, Tonetti T, Protti A, Langer T, Girardis M, Bellani G, et al. Pathophysiology of COVID-19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicentre prospective observational study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8(12):1201-8.
3. Abate SM, Ahmed Ali S, Mantfardo B, Basu B. Rate of Intensive Care Unit admission and outcomes among patients with coronavirus: A systematic review and Meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(7):e0235653.
4. Ma X, Vervoort D. Critical care capacity during the COVID-19 pandemic: Global availability of intensive care beds. *J Crit Care*. 2020;58:96-7.
5. Wynants L, Van Calster B, Collins GS, Riley RD, Heinze G, Schuit E, et al. Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19 infection: systematic review and critical appraisal. *BMJ*. 2020;369:m1328.
6. Arnold DT, Attwood M, Barratt S, Morley A, Elvers KT, McKernon J, et al. Predicting outcomes of COVID-19 from admission biomarkers: a prospective UK cohort study. *Emerg Med J*. 2021.
7. Gorham J, Moreau A, Corazza F, Peluso L, Ponthieux F, Talamonti M, et al. Interleukine-6 in critically ill COVID-19 patients: A retrospective analysis. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(12):e0244628.
8. Hodges G, Pallisgaard J, Schjerning Olsen AM, McGettigan P, Andersen M, Krogager M, et al. Association between biomarkers and COVID-19 severity and mortality: a nationwide Danish cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(12):e041295.
9. Williams P, McWilliams C, Soomro K, Harding I, Gurney S, Thomas M, et al. The dynamics of procalcitonin in COVID-19 patients admitted to Intensive care unit - a multi-centre cohort study in the South West of England, UK. *J Infect*. 2021;82(6):e24-e6.
10. Wang S, Zha Y, Li W, Wu Q, Li X, Niu M, et al. A fully automatic deep learning system for COVID-19 diagnostic and prognostic analysis. *Eur Respir J*. 2020;56(2).
11. Weng Z, Chen Q, Li S, Li H, Zhang Q, Lu S, et al. ANDC: an early warning score to predict mortality risk for patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019. *J Transl Med*. 2020;18(1):328.
12. Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M, Zimmerli W, Mueller B. Repeated measurements of endothelin-1 precursor peptides predict the outcome in community-acquired pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med*. 2011;37(6):970-80.
13. Kievlan DR, Zhang LA, Chang CH, Angus DC, Seymour CW. Evaluation of Repeated Quick Sepsis-Related Organ Failure Assessment Measurements Among Patients With Suspected Infection. *Crit Care Med*. 2018;46(12):1906-13.
14. Kipnis E, Ramsingh D, Bhargava M, Dincer E, Cannesson M, Broccard A, et al. Monitoring in the intensive care. *Crit Care Res Pract*. 2012;2012:473507.
15. Rizopoulos D. Dynamic predictions and prospective accuracy in joint models for longitudinal and time-to-event data. *Biometrics*. 2011;67(3):819-29.

16. Huang Q, Xiong H, Yan P, Shuai T, Liu J, Zhu L, et al. The Diagnostic and Prognostic Value of suPAR in Patients with Sepsis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Shock*. 2020;53(4):416-25.
17. Oulhaj A, Alsuwaidi AR, Suliman A, Gasmelseed H, Khan S, Alawi S, et al. Admission levels of Soluble Urokinase Plasminogen Activator Receptor (suPAR) are Associated with the Development of Severe Complications in Hospitalised COVID-19 Patients: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2021;107:188-94.
18. Stone JH, Frigault MJ, Serling-Boyd NJ, Fernandes AD, Harvey L, Foulkes AS, et al. Efficacy of Tocilizumab in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(24):2333-44.
19. Wirz Y, Meier MA, Bouadma L, Luyt CE, Wolff M, Chastre J, et al. Effect of procalcitonin-guided antibiotic treatment on clinical outcomes in intensive care unit patients with infection and sepsis patients: a patient-level meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Crit Care*. 2018;22(1):191.
20. Moor M, Rieck B, Horn M, Jutzeler CR, Borgwardt K. Early Prediction of Sepsis in the ICU Using Machine Learning: A Systematic Review. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021;8:607952.
21. Campbell KR, Martins R, Davis S, Juarez-Colunga E. Dynamic prediction based on variability of a longitudinal biomarker. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2021;21(1):104.
22. Eugen-Olsen J, Andersen O, Linneberg A, Ladelund S, Hansen TW, Langkilde A, et al. Circulating soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor predicts cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes and mortality in the general population. *J Intern Med*. 2010;268(3):296-308.
23. Hayek SS, Leaf DE, Samman Tahhan A, Raad M, Sharma S, Waikar SS, et al. Soluble Urokinase Receptor and Acute Kidney Injury. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(5):416-26.
24. Lu X, Jiang L, Chen T, Wang Y, Zhang B, Hong Y, et al. Continuously available ratio of SpO₂/FiO₂ serves as a noninvasive prognostic marker for intensive care patients with COVID-19. *Respir Res*. 2020;21(1):194.
25. Peiffer-Smadja N, Rawson TM, Ahmad R, Buchard A, Georgiou P, Lescure FX, et al. Machine learning for clinical decision support in infectious diseases: a narrative review of current applications. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2020;26(5):584-95.

Figures

Figure 1

Kaplan-Meier curve of survival