

Provider attitudes about childhood tuberculosis prevention in Lesotho: A qualitative study

Yael Hirsch-Moverman (✉ yh154@cumc.columbia.edu)

Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health

Joanne E. Mantell

New York State Psychiatric Institute

Limakatso Lebelo

ICAP Lesotho

Andrea A Howard

Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health

Anneke Hesselning

Stellenbosch University Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

Sharon Nachman

Stony Brook University Hospital

Koen Frederix

ICAP in Lesotho

Llang B Maama

Lesotho Ministry of Health

Wafaa M El-Sadr

Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health

Research article

Keywords: Child contact management, isoniazid preventive therapy, qualitative evaluation

Posted Date: December 12th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.18882/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Health Services Research on May 25th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05324-0>.

Abstract

Background: One million children developed tuberculosis in 2016, and at least 250,000 children died from TB. Implementation of effective child contact management is an important strategy to prevent childhood tuberculosis, but these practices often are not prioritized or implemented, particularly in low and middle income countries.

Objective: To explore attitudes of healthcare providers toward tuberculosis prevention and perceived facilitators and challenges to child contact management in Lesotho, a high TB burden country.

Design: Qualitative data were collected via group and individual in-depth interviews with 12 healthcare providers at five health facilities in one district, and analyzed using a thematic framework.

Findings: Providers identified facilitators and challenges to a successful childhood tuberculosis prevention program. Facilitators included: provider understanding of the importance of tuberculosis prevention, and enhanced provider training on child contact management. Challenges included: (1) access to care, (2) supply-chain issues, (3) identification and screening of child contacts, and (4) adherence to isoniazid preventive therapy.

Conclusions: Given the significant burden of tuberculosis morbidity and mortality in young children, prioritization of child contact management is imperative and should include enhanced provider training and mentorship, and strategies to eliminate challenges, including improved access to services, more efficient community screening using standardized tools, and delivery of intensive community health education about the importance of tuberculosis prevention.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that one million children <15 years of age developed tuberculosis (TB) in 2016, and at least 250,000 children died from TB (1). Infants, young children, and HIV-positive children are at increased risk for developing TB following infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (2, 3) and have higher risk of severe TB disease and death than the general adult population (3, 4). Given the significant burden of TB disease and mortality in young children, implementation of effective TB adult case child contact management (CCM) is an important upstream strategy to prevent TB in children, and also provides an opportunity for early case detection and TB treatment initiation. Isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) has been found to decrease the risk of TB disease in children by 59% (5), and TB screening and IPT in young children have been shown to be highly cost-effective (6). In 2006, the WHO guidelines recommended that national TB control programs conduct contact investigations for TB and offer IPT for child contacts <5 years of age and for HIV-positive child contacts of any age (7, 8). In 2016, the WHO added IPT initiation in child contacts <5 years of age as a formal indicator of TB program performance (9). Unfortunately, while sub-Saharan Africa has the highest childhood TB mortality rates, it has the lowest proportion of child contacts <5 years of age who received IPT (1, 10). While many national TB programs have adopted the WHO guidelines, implementation of these guidelines in high TB burden

countries remains limited, with many countries experiencing operational challenges (11, 12), which ultimately result in poor IPT initiation and completion rates (13).

Lesotho is a lower middle-income sub-Saharan African country (14), with an estimated TB incidence of 665 per 100,000, and HIV prevalence of 25.6%, both the highest and second highest rates in the world respectively (1, 15). Childhood TB in Lesotho is largely managed by TB clinic nurses in health facilities, who provide outpatient care and preventive services, with complicated cases referred to district hospitals. Typically, each TB clinic is staffed by one to three nurses and one or two lay counselors, who are supported by 20–30 community-based village health workers (VHWs). VHWs conduct contact investigation in the community. The Ministry of Health (MOH) supplies each health facility with isoniazid 100 mg tablets for the prevention of TB in child contacts as per standard of care; isoniazid suspension is not available in Lesotho.

Available data suggest that TB incidence in children is approximately 50% of adult TB incidence in such contexts (16). However, child TB disease is likely to be underdiagnosed and under-reported as only 3.3% of reported TB cases in Lesotho are in children <15 years (1), on the lower end of the expected 10–20% in similar settings (17). This lower than expected reporting of pediatric cases is most likely due to underdiagnosis of TB in children in Lesotho. In 2011, the Lesotho National TB Program (NTP) adopted the WHO's recommendations for CCM, but implementation has been limited and the reasons for this wide policy-practice gap are unclear. As healthcare providers are gatekeepers of CCM implementation, we explored their attitudes toward TB prevention in children and perceived challenges and facilitators to CCM via qualitative interviews.

Study Population, Design And Methods

As part of a cluster randomized trial, 10 of the 19 public health facilities in Berea district, Lesotho were randomized to deliver a community-based intervention or standard of care, following stratification by facility type. A sample of 12 healthcare providers from the five health facilities that were randomized to deliver the study intervention was recruited in December 2015, prior to the launch of the cluster randomized trial. The five participating health facilities included one hospital and four health centers. One of the four participating health centers was located in a rural area whereas the other facilities were located in urban areas. During the study period, we enrolled a convenience sample of nine nurses who were the main providers of TB care and all three facility-based lead village health workers (LVHW) who were employed at study facilities; all study participants worked in a TB clinic. Nurse participants had standard of care training on TB provided by the Lesotho NTP; LVHW did not have such prior training. Inclusion criteria were: nurse or LVHW working in a study site; aged 18 or older; English- or Sesotho-speaking; and capacity and willingness to provide informed consent.

Four small group interviews were conducted with nurse participants assigned to the same health facility for logistical reasons; these were similar to focus group discussions, but with a smaller number of participants, capitalizing on group dynamics to capture group norms and experiences. One individual

interview was conducted with a nurse at one facility because a group interview was not feasible due to scheduling constraints. Three individual interviews were also conducted with LVHW at three health facilities. Participants were not compensated for participation.

The study was presented to nurses and LVHW at a district-wide meeting. The trained qualitative interviewer introduced the study to potential participants at each facility as an exploration of their views on CCM and if they were interested, obtained informed consent. Interviews were conducted in English or Sesotho at each participating health facility in a private space on-site. The interview guide consisted of open-ended, exploratory questions that were asked in a non-judgmental and culturally-sensitive way. Respect for participants' privacy and confidentiality was emphasized in group interviews, and divergent perspectives were encouraged. Questions relevant to this analysis addressed training received on childhood TB and CCM, and the need for further training; attitudes toward CCM, including community screening, clinic evaluation, and IPT provision; CCM challenges and possible solutions; and stigma in the community. Interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, translated, anonymized and subjected to textual analysis.

Study investigators used thematic analyses as the framework for data inquiry and analysis. We used an iterative analytic process to facilitate a comprehensive understanding of healthcare providers' perspectives. Initially, we used deductive analysis to identify thematic categories based on our questions and the CCM literature and inductive analysis to generate new codes that emerged from the data. Two study investigators independently reviewed four transcripts to develop a preliminary coding scheme. We used a "negotiated agreement approach" to ensure consistent interpretation and application of codes, which increases coding reliability (18, 19). Differences in coding were discussed and consensus reached on how to apply codes. Once consensus on codes was achieved, the final coding scheme was applied to the full set of transcripts. Typical quotations are used to illustrate the themes. We used Dedoose, a qualitative software program, and Microsoft Word for systematic data management and analysis(20).

Findings

All individuals referred to the study agreed to participate. Three group interviews with nurses and five individual interviews were completed with 12 providers, including nine nurses and three LVHW. Interview duration ranged from 25 to 55 minutes, with a mean of 37 minutes. The majority (92%) of participants were female; the median age was 39 years. We did not discern any differences in attitudes between nurses and LVHW. Study findings were presented and discussed with participants at a district-wide meeting in February 2016.

Facilitators of a successful childhood TB prevention program

Provider understanding of the importance of TB prevention and receiving enhanced provider training on CCM were the major themes regarding a successful childhood TB prevention program. Providers in this study agreed that TB prevention services, including contact tracing, early detection of child TB contacts,

and provision of IPT to eligible children, are essential to controlling the TB epidemic in Lesotho. They emphasized the importance of IPT in confronting TB and spoke of the danger of TB and the value of the protection that IPT confers to children exposed to TB. Some of the providers felt that CCM is feasible in Lesotho and suggested expanding IPT to *all* household contacts of TB cases and not just to children.

I see IPT... as a weapon that will protect children. [LVHW, individual interview]

It [IPT] is good so that they can be safe and protected ... all children in their [household] should be put on preventive therapy... [LVHW, individual interview]

It [CCM] prevents spread of TB, eh, because if we are targeting children... it is easier for them to catch the disease ... so if they are being targeted, to be screened or to be supplied with isoniazid for 6 months, I think it will help us control the disease and reduce number of TB cases. [Nurse, individual interview]

IPT, if it can be given to every contact in the house... everybody that we screened in that house found with no TB should get on IPT. [LVHW, individual interview]

Providers reported that having enhanced training on CCM, including an emphasis on excluding TB disease in child contacts, is imperative. They were especially concerned about missing cases of child TB disease and wanted to know more about managing potential side effects from isoniazid (INH).

I think when we have engaged in trainings, it is then that... we are able to attend to side effects... as early as possible. Then we will be equipped with skills... what are the complaints that might come up, those that we are able to identify earlier. [Nurse, group interview]

It is difficult to diagnose children, because if you are going to start IPT without any X-ray or sputum samples, we only base ourselves on signs and symptoms... not knowing in depth what is happening to the child. [Nurse, group interview]

Challenges to CCM provision and strategies for addressing them

Providers reported multiple challenges to CCM provision and suggested strategies for addressing them. Challenges were categorized into four themes: limited access to care, supply-chain issues, barriers to identification and screening of child contacts, and difficulty with IPT adherence.

Access to Care

Providers reported that caregivers' ability to bring children to health facilities for CCM was a challenge. In some villages, community-based village health workers (CB-VHW) provide child-related services, such as weighing children. Expanding such community-based services by VHW to include screening and follow-up of child contacts was viewed as potentially advantageous, especially for those experiencing long or

difficult journeys to the health facility and in situations where caregivers lack the resources to bring the children for monthly follow-up appointments if IPT is initiated.

At times it is because these... [caregivers] come from far remote areas where transport issues hinder them from making several trips to the clinic. [LVHW, individual interview]

They will tell you that they walk long distances, so they cannot carry children from that far. [LVHW, individual interview]

Supply-chain Issues

Providers reported stock-outs and shortages of pediatric formulations of INH and vitamin B6, which make it difficult for them to provide IPT to children. Having to adjust adult doses to pediatric ones can be challenging as the INH pills have to be cut in two or four depending on the child's weight. They indicated that availability of a reliable drug supply would facilitate IPT implementation.

The challenges are... inconsistent supply of appropriate drugs. [Nurse, individual interview]

It becomes very difficult for us. It [INH] takes a long time to be replaced. Also, to reduce the adult dose for children is so difficult. [Nurse, group interview]

Identification and Screening of Child Contacts

Providers believed that identifying and screening children for TB was the main challenge and that providing IPT is feasible once children come to the clinic. Community education and the CB-VHW were seen as pivotal to supporting caregivers to bring their children to the clinic for evaluation.

The problem is contacting or tracing of contacts. But those who have been inside here, there are no problems. [Nurse, group interview]

I usually ask the parent to come so that we have a one-on-one health talk so that I explain clearly the benefits of getting into the preventive therapy and the risks of denying this therapy. So with persuasion, we see some do bring their children, but some are just hard-hearted and they don't. [Nurse, individual interview]

In cases where caregivers do not bring in the child contacts for evaluation, CB-VHW are deployed to assist, and when they have difficulty tracing patients, the village chief is informed so that he can assist in finding them.

We use our CB-VHW to help us bring them. Those are the ones who assist us most of the time. [Nurse, group interview]

If they [CB-VHW] are unable to find and bring them, then we inform the village chief that we have a TB patient in his village and that we need [to assess] their children. [Nurse, group interview]

Nurses and LVHW emphasized the need for health education as they believed that community members do not recognize the importance of preventing TB in child contacts, including the need for infection control in situations where a presumptive or confirmed TB case has been identified in the household. Providers suggested that community-based health education can reach more people and have a greater impact, especially by strengthening the role of CB-VHW to educate families in the community.

We have to educate them... so that they understand why, because then if while a child is on IPT, it means it is a long period of time. [Nurse, group interview]

There is a need to go out to schools, during public gatherings, wherever we are able to go and reach out to provide health education. [Nurse, group interview]

We also ask the CB-VHW to give the health education at the village so that the parent will end up understanding that it is important to have the children on IPT. [Nurse, group interview]

IPT Adherence

Providers indicated that IPT adherence was another major challenge, possibly because of fear of the drug's side effects such as a severe rash. To tackle this issue, they suggested continuous enhancement of health literacy for caregivers about the importance of adherence and the possibility of side effects from IPT.

The challenge is the child cannot come regularly. She comes once, skips a month. When you follow the child again, the child reappears so there is drug interruption. [Nurse, individual interview]

The challenge is that we find later after they have missed doses of IPT because of experiencing side effects. [Nurse, group interview]

Perceived Stigma

Stigma was not reported as a CCM challenge. When providers were specifically questioned about the role that stigma plays, they indicated that stigma related to TB prevention is generally not perceived as an issue in the community. However, some providers acknowledged that stigma may play a role in some situations where caregivers did not bring children to the clinic. Others believed that lack of knowledge, not stigma, is the reason that caregivers did not bring children to the clinic. Providers felt that community health education campaigns could help to increase knowledge regarding TB and dispel any possible stigma.

“TB these days is no longer stigmatized; everybody is willing... so they do not have a problem if you want to screen them in the community.” [Nurse, group interview]

“Not stigma as such, lack of knowledge maybe... I don’t think stigma is still a problem.” [Nurse, individual interview]

“It [IPT] won’t put them at risk of stigma because they would have accepted that their children should be initiated [on IPT].” [LVHW, individual interview]

“Those who are bringing their children do not have the problem [stigma], but I could see or sense that these ones who don’t want to bring in their children, they think in some way of stigma.” [Nurse, individual interview]

Discussion

A limited number of studies have explored provider attitudes regarding TB prevention in children in a high TB burden setting in-depth (13). In this qualitative study, we found interest and commitment among healthcare providers in Lesotho to improve CCM. Providers reported a need to have enhanced training on CCM, with an emphasis on excluding the diagnosis of TB among child contacts and advocated for strong community health education efforts as they reported that community members do not currently recognize the importance of preventing TB in child contacts. Such efforts would enable caregivers of TB child contacts to appreciate the importance of preventing TB in such children and the role that TB prevention can play in their children’s health and well-being.

The challenges identified in this study suggest the primary drivers that need to be addressed to achieve successful TB prevention in children in Lesotho, summarized in the driver diagram in Figure 1. We identified that prohibitive cost of transport and long and difficult journeys to the clinic were structural challenges to CCM. This is similar to findings from other studies that employed qualitative methods and reported costs and transportation challenges as major barriers (21–26). Providers in our study suggested strategies to decrease costs to caregivers, such as home visits that include weighing children so that INH dosages can be adjusted according to the child’s weight, precluding the need for caregivers to bring children to the clinic every month. Another structural challenge to IPT delivery was the lack of reliable supply of pediatric formulation of INH and vitamin B6, an issue that has been reported in prior studies (21, 27, 28). Although according to the Lesotho NTP guidelines, vitamin B6 is recommended to be given with INH, nurses are encouraged to prescribe INH even in the case of vitamin B6 shortage as peripheral neuropathy is uncommon in young children (29). It is unclear whether the INH stock-outs and shortages are due to procurement problems or inefficient distribution of the supply of the drug to health facilities. It is also possible that the shortage may be due to inaccurate forecasting of the required doses of INH and vitamin B6 that are needed.

Identification and screening of child contacts was found to be a clinic-level challenge that impacts the success of TB prevention efforts. Lack of community contact tracing follow-up contributed to this

challenge. These challenges likely arose from gaps in caregiver education about the importance of TB prevention, which has been reported in other studies (21, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31). Providers recommended that caregivers be educated in the community by CB-VHW so that they will be convinced of the need to have their children evaluated. Additionally, the Lesotho TB program has had some success involving the village chiefs in motivating reluctant caregivers to take their children for evaluation at the health facilities.

IPT adherence was an individual-level barrier to CCM, with providers reporting that caregivers may not fully understand the importance of adherence and worrying about possible side effects from IPT. Caregiver concerns about side effects have been reported in studies from India and Indonesia (22–24, 27). Providers suggested that health literacy education about the importance of adherence with IPT and possible side effects might encourage caregivers to more promptly present at the health facilities if their children demonstrate either of these. This might also alleviate the failure to complete IPT course by children that may be due to side effects experienced by the children.

While providers did not perceive stigma as an important issue in the community as related to TB and IPT, when specifically questioned, some acknowledged that it may play a role in situations where caregivers failed to bring children to the clinic. Skinner et al. similarly found that providers felt that TB was so widely prevalent that it could no longer be stigmatized. The role of stigma needs to be further explored among caregivers of child TB contacts (31).

Our qualitative evaluation had several limitations. Given the small sample of providers drawn from a small number of health facilities, the findings may not reflect the experiences of all healthcare providers engaged in public-sector TB care in Lesotho, limiting our ability to draw definitive conclusions about CCM and IPT. We conducted provider interviews at five health facilities in a combination of small group and individual interviews and were limited to those providers who worked at those facilities and were available on the interview day. The interviewer's familiarity with the healthcare providers in study facilities may have led to either more honest discussions or socially desirable responses.

Nevertheless, the study also had several strengths. Our qualitative study explored provider attitudes in-depth, thereby providing rich data collected in a rigorous way; interpretations were contextualized with illustrative quotes to enhance their applicability and relevance to similar high TB burden settings. It included both nurses and LVHW. Despite the differences in their training and role in health delivery, we did not discern any differences in attitudes/opinions between nurses and LVHW. Few studies conducted to date employed qualitative methods to explore in-depth provider attitudes toward TB prevention in high TB burden settings, and only two of these qualitative evaluations were conducted in Africa (21, 31).

Conclusions

Despite a decade-long recommendation from the WHO to conduct CCM in high TB burden settings, its implementation in Lesotho has been limited. However, we found interest and commitment among TB providers in our study to improve CCM. Challenges identified by providers were at multiple levels—structural, clinic, and individual. Given the significant burden of TB morbidity and mortality in young

children and the recent requirement by the WHO to report IPT initiation in child contacts, prioritization of CCM is imperative and should include enhanced provider training on childhood TB and CCM, as well as strategies to eliminate challenges such as creating standardized tools that facilitate the implementation, tracking, and monitoring of CCM coupled with guidance and mentorship from the district health management team, delivering intensive community health education, conducting community screening more efficiently using standardized tools, and facilitating access to services.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The study was approved by the Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Board (Ref #IRB-AAAN7358) and the National Health Research Ethics Committee in Lesotho (Ref #ID78–2015). All participants provided written, informed consent prior to participation. Consent was facilitated by providing individuals with a detailed study description and an adequate opportunity to ask questions. Providers were informed that their study participation was voluntary, all responses would be kept confidential and anonymized, and their decision to participate would have no impact on their employment.

Consent for Publication

No information related to individual patients is presented in this study.

Availability of Data and Materials

All relevant data collected during the current study will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Funding

This study is funded by the National Institute of Allergy & Infectious Diseases (NIAID) of the National Institutes of Health under award number 1K01A104351. Joanne Mantell was supported by a Center Grant (NIMH P30

MH43520; Principal Investigator: Robert H. Remien, Ph.D.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of NIH.

Authors Contributions

YHM conceived the study design, developed the protocol, led study implementation and drafted the manuscript. WME, AAH, KF, AH, SN, JEM, and LBM conceived the study design, developed the protocol, led study implementation, and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. LL participated in the design and implementation of the study, and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the study participants for their time and willingness to contribute to the understanding of TB prevention in children. They thank village health workers, the District Health Management Team and the Lesotho Ministry of Health for their invaluable assistance in conducting this study.

Abbreviations

CCM—child contact management

INH—isoniazid

IPT—isoniazid preventive therapy

LVHW—lead village health worker

NTP—National TB program

TB—tuberculosis

VHW—village health worker

WHO—World Health Organization

References

1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis control: WHO report 2017. Geneva, Switzerland World Health Organization; 2017. Available at: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/gtbr2017_main_text.pdf?ua=1. Accessed Oct 31, 2017.

2. Marais BJ. Childhood tuberculosis: epidemiology and natural history of disease. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2011;78(3):321–7.
3. Marais BJ, Gie RP, Schaaf HS, Hesselning AC, Obihara CC, Starke JJ, et al. The natural history of childhood intra-thoracic tuberculosis: a critical review of literature from the pre-chemotherapy era. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2004;8(4):392–402.
4. Zar HJ, Pai M. Childhood tuberculosis - a new era. *Paediatr Respir Rev.* 2011;12(1):1–2.
5. Ayieko J, Abuogi L, Simchowitz B, Bukusi EA, Smith AH, Reingold A. Efficacy of isoniazid prophylactic therapy in prevention of tuberculosis in children: a meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:91.
6. Mandalakas AM, Hesselning AC, Gie RP, Schaaf HS, Marais BJ, Sinanovic E. Modelling the cost-effectiveness of strategies to prevent tuberculosis in child contacts in a high-burden setting. *Thorax.* 2013;68(3):247–55.
7. World Health Organization. Guidance for national tuberculosis programmes on the management of tuberculosis in children. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2006. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2006/WHO_HTM_TB_2006.371_eng.pdf. Accessed May 5, 2012.
8. World Health Organization. Guidance for national tuberculosis programmes on the management of tuberculosis in children second edition. 2014. Available at <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s21535en/s21535en.pdf>. Accessed Dec 3, 2016.
9. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis control: WHO report 2016. Geneva, Switzerland World Health Organization; 2016. Available at: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250441/1/9789241565394-eng.pdf?ua=1>. Accessed Oct 31, 2016.
10. Starke JR. Mortality in childhood tuberculosis: has there been progress? *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2017;17(3):239–41.
11. Graham SM. The management of infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in young children post-2015: an opportunity to close the policy-practice gap. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2017;11(1):41–9.
12. Hill PC, Rutherford ME, Audas R, van Crevel R, Graham SM. Closing the policy-practice gap in the management of child contacts of tuberculosis cases in developing countries. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(10):e1001105.
13. Szkwarko D, Hirsch-Moverman Y, Du Plessis L, Du Preez K, Carr C, Mandalakas AM. Child contact management in high tuberculosis burden countries: A mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(8):e0182185.

14. The World Bank. Mobile cellular subscriptions (per 100 people). Available from: <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IT.CEL.SETS.P2>. Accessed November 27, 2017.
15. U.S. Embassy in Lesotho. National Survey Shows Lesotho's Remarkable Progress toward HIV Epidemic Control. Available at: <https://ls.usembassy.gov/national-survey-shows-lesothos-remarkable-progress-toward-hiv-epidemic-control/>. Accessed on Nov 10, 2017 [
16. Marais BJ, Rabie H, Cotton MF. TB and HIV in children - advances in prevention and management. *Paediatr Respir Rev*. 2011;12(1):39–45.
17. Dodd PJ, Gardiner E, Coghlan R, Seddon JA. Burden of childhood tuberculosis in 22 high-burden countries: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2014;2(8):e453–9.
18. Campbell JL, Quincy C, Osserman J, Pedersen OK. Coding In-depth Semistructured Interviews: Problems of Unitization and Intercoder Reliability and Agreement. *Sociol Method Res*. 2013;42(3):294–320.
19. Garrison DR, Cleveland-Innes M, Koole M, Kappelman J. Revisiting methodological issues in transcript analysis: Negotiated coding and reliability. *The Internet and Higher Education*. 2006;9:1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2005.11.001.
20. Dedoose Version 6.2.17, web application for managing, analyzing, and presenting qualitative and mixed method research data. Available from: www.dedoose.com. [Internet]. 2015 [
21. Assefa D, Klinkenberg E, Yosef G. Cross Sectional Study Evaluating Routine Contact Investigation in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A Missed Opportunity to Prevent Tuberculosis in Children. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6):e0129135.
22. Rekha B, Jagarajamma K, Chandrasekaran V, Wares F, Sivanandham R, Swaminathan S. Improving screening and chemoprophylaxis among child contacts in India's RNTCP: a pilot study. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2013;17(2):163–8.
23. Rutherford ME, Ruslami R, Anselmo M, Alisjahbana B, Yulianti N, Sampurno H, et al. Management of children exposed to Mycobacterium tuberculosis: a public health evaluation in West Java, Indonesia. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2013;91(12):932–41A.
24. Rutherford ME, Ruslami R, Maharani W, Yulita I, Lovell S, Van Crevel R, et al. Adherence to isoniazid preventive therapy in Indonesian children: A quantitative and qualitative investigation. *BMC Res Notes*. 2012;5:7.
25. Tornee S, Kaewkungwal J, Fungladda W, Silachamroon U, Akarasewi P, Sunakorn P. Factors associated with the household contact screening adherence of tuberculosis patients. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2005;36(2):331–40.

26. Triasih R, Padmawati RS, Duke T, Robertson C, Sawyer SM, Graham SM. A mixed-methods evaluation of adherence to preventive treatment among child tuberculosis contacts in Indonesia. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2016;20(8):1078–83.
27. Singh AR, Kharate A, Bhat P, Kokane AM, Bali S, Sahu S, et al. Isoniazid Preventive Therapy among Children Living with Tuberculosis Patients: Is It Working? A Mixed-Method Study from Bhopal, India. *J Trop Pediatr.* 2017.
28. Coprada L, Yoshimatsu S, Querri A, Lopez E, Agujo P, Paulino MR, et al. A review of tuberculosis contact investigations in the poor urban areas of Manila, The Philippines. *Public Health Action.* 2016;6(4):220–5.
29. Cruz AT, Ahmed A, Mandalakas AM, Starke JR. Treatment of Latent Tuberculosis Infection in Children. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.* 2013;2(3):248–58.
30. Banu Rekha VV, Jagarajamma K, Wares F, Chandrasekaran V, Swaminathan S. Contact screening and chemoprophylaxis in India's Revised Tuberculosis Control Programme: a situational analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2009;13(12):1507–12.
31. Skinner D, Hesselning AC, Francis C, Mandalakas AM. It's hard work, but it's worth it: the task of keeping children adherent to isoniazid preventive therapy. *Public Health Action.* 2013;3(3):191–8.

Figures




Figure 1

